MICROCOPY 099

ROLL

Microfilm Publication M892

RECORDS OF THE UNITED STATES

NUERNBERG WAR CRIMES TRIALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA v. CARL KRAUCH ET AL. (CASE VI)

AUGUST 14, 1947-JULY 30, 1948

Roll 2

Transcript Volumes (English Version)

Volumes 1-3, P. 1-999 Aug. 14-Sept. 18, 1947



THE NATIONAL ARCHIVES
NATIONAL ARCHIVES AND RECORDS SERVICE
GENERAL SERVICES ADMINISTRATION

WASHINGTON: 1976

INTRODUCTION

On the 113 rolls of this microfilm publication are reproduced the records of Case VI, United States of America v. Carl Krauch et al. (I. G. Farben Case), 1 of the 12 trials of war criminals conducted by the U.S. Government from 1946 to 1949 at Nuernberg subsequent to the International Military Tribunal (IMT) held in the same city. These records consist of German- and English-language versions of official transcripts of court proceedings, prosecution and defense briefs and statements, and defendants' final pleas as well as prosecution and defense exhibits and document books in one language or the other. Also included are minute books, the official court file, order and judgment books, clemency petitions, and finding aids to the documents.

The transcripts of this trial, assembled in 2 sets of 43 bound volumes (I set in German and 1 in English), are the recorded daily trial proceedings. Prosecution statements and briefs are also in both languages but unbound, as are the final pleas of the defendants delivered by counsel or defendants and submitted by the attorneys to the court. Unbound prosecution exhibits, numbered 1-2270 and 2300-2354, are essentially those documents from various Muernberg record series, particularly the NI (Nuernberg Industrialist) Series, and other sources offered in evidence by the prosecution in this case. Defense exhibits, also unbound, are predominantly affidavits by various persons. They are arranged by name of defendant and thereunder numerically, along with two groups of exhibits submitted in the general interest of all defendants. Both prosecution and defense document books consist of full or partial translations of exhibits into English. Loosely bound in folders, they provide an indication of the order in which the exhibits were presented before the tribunal.

Minute books, in two bound volumes, summarize the transcripts. The official court file, in nine bound volumes, includes the progress docket, the indictment, and amended indictment and the service thereof; applications for and appointments of defense counsel and defense witnesses and prosecution comments thereto; defendants' application for documents; motions and reports; uniform rules of procedures; and appendixes. The order and judgment books, in two bound volumes, represent the signed orders, judgments, and opinions of the tribunal as well as sentences and commitment papers. Defendants' clemency petitions, in three bound volumes, were directed to the military governor, the Judge Advocate General, and the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia. The finding aids summarize transcripts, exhibits, and the official court file.

Case VI was heard by U.S. Military Tribunal VI from August 14, 1947, to July 30, 1948. Along with records of other Nuernberg

and Far East war crimes trials, the records of this case are part of the National Archives Collection of World War II War Crimes Records, Record Group 238.

The I. G. Farben Case was 1 of 12 separate proceedings held before several U.S. Military Tribunals at Nuernberg in the U.S. Zone of Occupation in Germany against officials or citizens of the Third Reich, as follows:

Case No.	United States v.	Popular Name	No. of Defendants
1	Karl Brandt st al.	Medical Case	23
2	Brhard Milch	Milch Case (Luftwaffe)	1
3	Josef Altstoatter et al.	Justice Case	16
4	Oswald Pohl et al.	Pohl Case (SS)	18
5	Friedrich Flick ot al.	Flick Case (Industrialist)	6
6	Carl Krauch et al.	 G. Farben Case (Industrialist) 	24
7	Wilhelm List et al.	Hostage Case	12
8	Ulrick Graifelt et al.	RuSHA Case (SS)	14
9	Otto Ohlendorf et al.	Einsatzgruppen Case (SS)	24
10	Alfried Krupp et al.	Krupp Case (Industrialist)	12
11	Ernst von Weiseaecker et al.	Ministries Case	21
12	Wilhelm von Leeb et al.	High Command Case	14

Authority for the proceedings of the IMT against the major Nazi war criminals derived from the Declaration on German Atrocities (Moscow Declaration) released November 1, 1943; Executive Order 9547 of May 2, 1945; the London Agreement of August 8, 1945; the Berlin Protocol of October 6, 1945; and the IMT Charter.

Authority for the 12 subsequent cases stemmed mainly from Control Council Law 10 of December 20, 1945, and was reinforced by Executive Order 9679 of January 16, 1946; U.S. Military Government Ordinances 7 and 11 of October 18, 1946, and February 17, 1947, respectively; and U.S. Forces, European Theater General Order 301 of October 24, 1946. Procedures applied by U.S. Military Tribunals in the subsequent proceedings were patterned after those of the IMT and further developed in the 12 cases, which required over 1,200 days of court sessions and generated more than 330,000 transcript pages.

Formation of the I. G. Farben Combine was a stage in the evolution of the German chemical industry, which for many years led the world in the development, production, and marketing of organic dyestuffs, pharmaceuticals, and synthetic chemicals. To control the excesses of competition, six of the largest chemical firms, including the Badische Anilin & Soda Fabrik, combined to form the Interessengemeinschaft (Combine of Interests, or Trust) of the German Dyestuffs Industry in 1904 and agreed to pool technological and financial resources and markets. The two remaining chemical firms of note entered the combine in 1916. In 1925 the Badische Anilin & Soda Fabrik, largest of the firms and already the majority shareholder in two of the other seven companies, led in reorganizing the industry to meet the changed circumstances of competition in the post-World War markets by changing its name to the I. G. Farbenindustrie Aktiengesellschaft, moving its home office from Ludwigshafen to Frankfurt, and merging with the remaining five firms.

Farben maintained its influence over both the domestic and foreign markets for chemical products. In the first instance the German explosives industry, dependent on Farben for synthetically produced nitrates, soon became subsidiaries of Farben. Of particular interest to the prosecution in this case were the various agreements Farben made with American companies for the exchange of information and patents and the licensing of chemical discoveries for foreign production. Among the trading companies organized to facilitate these agreements was the General Anilin and Film Corp., which specialized in photographic processes. The prosecution charged that Farben used these connections to retard the "Arsenal of Democracy" by passing on information received to the German Government and providing nothing in return, contrary to the spirit and letter of the agreements.

Farben was governed by an Aufsichtsrat (Supervisory Board of Directors) and a Vorstand (Managing Board of Directors). The Aufsichtsrat, responsible for the general direction of the firm, was chaired by defendant Krauch from 1940. The Vorstand actually controlled the day-to-day business and operations of Farben. Defendant Schmitz became chairman of the Vorstand in 1935, and 18 of the other 22 original defendants were members of the Vorstand and its component committees.

Transcripts of the I. G. Farben Case include the indictment of the following 24 persons:

Otto Ambros: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of Chemical Warfare Committee of the Ministry of Armaments and War Production; production chief for Buna and poison gas; manager of Auschwitz, Schkopau, Ludwigshafen, Oppau, Gendorf, Dyhernfurth, and Falkenhagen plants; and Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer.

- Max Brueggemann: Member and Secretary of the Vorstand of Farben; member of the legal committee; Deputy Plant Leader of the Leverkusen Flant; Deputy Chief of the Sales Combine for Pharmaceuticals; and director of the legal, patent, and personnel departments of the Works Combine, Lower Rhine.
- Ernst Buergin: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of Works Combine, Central Germany; Plant Leader at the Bitterfeld and Wolfen-Farben plants; and production chief for light metals, dyestuffs, organic intermediates, plastics, and nitrogen at these plants.
- Heinrich Buetefisch: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; manager of Leuna plants; production chief for gasoline, methanol, and chlorine electrolysis production at Auschwitz and Moosbierbaum; Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer; member of the Himmler Freundeskreis (circle of friends of Himmler); and SS Obersturmbannfuehrer (Lieutenant Colonel).
- Walter Duerrfeld: Director and construction manager of the Auschwitz plant of Farben, director and construction manager of the Monowitz Concentration Camp, and Chief Engineer at the Leuna plant.
- Pritz Gajewski: Member of the Central Committee of the Vorstand of Farben, Chief of Sparte III (Division III) in charge of production of photographic materials and artificial fibers, manager of "Agfa" plants, and Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer.
- Heinrich Gattineau: Chief of the Political-Economic Policy Department, "WIPO," of Farben's Berlin N.W. 7 office; member of Southeast Europe Committee; and director of A.G. Dynamit Nobel, Pressburg, Czechoslovakia.
- Paul Haefliger: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; member of the Commercial Committee; and Chief, Metals Departments, Sales Combine for Chemicals.
- Erich von der Heyde: Member of the Political-Economic Policy Department of Farben's Berlin N.W. 7 office, Deputy to the Chief of Intelligence Agents, SS Hauptsturmfuehrer, and member of the WI-RUE-AMT (Military Economics and Armaments Office) of the Oberkommando der Wehrmacht (OKW) (High Command of the Armed Forces).
- Heinrich Hoerlein: Member of the Central Committee of the Vorstand of Farben; chief of chemical research and development of vaccines, sera, pharmaceuticals, and poison gas; and manager of the Elberfeld Plant.

- Max Ilgner: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of Farben's Berlin N.W. 7 office directing intelligence, espionage, and propaganda activities; member of the Commercial Committee; and Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer.
- Friedrich Jachne: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; chief engineer in charge of construction and physical plant development; Chairman of the Engineering Committee; and Deputy Chief, Works Combine, Main Valley.
- August von Knieriem: Member of the Central Committee of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief Counsel of Farben; and Chairman, Legal and Patent Committees.
- Carl Krauch: Chairman of the Aufsichtsrat of Farben and Generalbevollmaechtigter fuer Sonderfragen der Chemischen Erzeugung (General Plenipotentiary for Special Questions of Chemical Production) on Goering's staff in the Office of the 4-Year Plan.
- Hans Kuehne: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of the Works Combine, Lower Rhine; Plant Leader at Leverkusen, Elberfeld, Uerdingen, and Dormagen plants; production chief for inorganics, organic intermediates, dyestuffs, and pharmaceuticals at these plants; and Chief of the Inorganics Committee.
- Hans Kugler: Member of the Commercial Committee of Farben; Chief of the Sales Department Dyestuffs for Hungary, Rumania, Yugoslavia, Greece, Bulgaria, Turkey, Czechoslovakia, and Austria; and Public Commissar for the Falkenau and Aussig plants in Czechoslovakia.
- Carl Lautenschlaeger: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of Works Combine, Main Valley; Plant Leader at the Hoechst, Griesheim, Mainkur, Gersthofen, Offenbach, Eystrup, Marburg, and Neuhausen plants; and production chief for nitrogen, inorganics, organic intermediates, solvents and plastics, dyestuffs, and pharmaceuticals at these plants.
- Wilhelm Mann: Member of the Vorstand of Farben, member of the Commercial Committee, Chief of the Sales Combine for Pharmaceuticals, and member of the SA.
- Fritz ter Meer: Member of the Central Committee of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of the Technical Committee of the Vorstand that planned and directed all of Farben's production; Chief of Sparte II in charge of production of Buna, poison gas, dyestuffs, chemicals, metals, and pharmaceuticals; and Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer.

Heinrich Oster: Member of the Vorstand of Farben, member of the Commercial Committee, and manager of the Nitrogen Syndicate.

Hermann Schmitz: Chairman of the Vorstand of Farben, member of the Reichstag, and Director of the Bank of International Settlements.

Christian Schneider: Member of the Central Committee of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of Sparte I in charge of production of nitrogen, gasoline, diesel and lubricating oils, methanol, and organic chemicals; Chief of Central Personnel Department, directing the treatment of labor at Farben plants; Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer; Hauptabwehrbeauftragter (Chief of Intelligence Agents); Hauptbetriebsfuehrer (Chief of Plant Leaders); and supporting member of the Schutzstaffeln (SS) of the NSDAP.

Georg von Schnitzler: Member of the Central Committee of the Vorstand of Farben, Chief of the Commercial Committee of the Vorstand that planned and directed Farben's domestic and foreign sales and commercial activities, Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer (Military Economy Leader), and Hauptsturmfuehrer (Captain) in the Sturmabteilungen (SA) of the Nazi Party (NSDAP).

Carl Wurster: Member of the Vorstand of Farben; Chief of the Works Combine, Upper Rhine; Plant Leader at Ludwigshafen and Oppau plants; production chief for inorganic chemicals; and Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer.

The prosecution charged these 24 individual staff members of the firm with various crimes, including the planning of aggressive war through an alliance with the Nazi Party and synchronization of Farben's activities with the military planning of the German High Command by participation in the preparation of the 4-Year Plan, directing German economic mobilization for war, and aiding in equipping the Nazi military machines. 1 The defendants also were charged with carrying out espionage and intelligence activities in foreign countries and profiting from these activities. They participated in plunder and spoliation of Austria, Czechoslovakia, Poland, Norway, France, and the Soviet Union as part of a systematic economic exploitation of these countries. The prosecution also charged mass murder and the enslavement of many thousands of persons particularly in Farben plants at the Auschwitz and Monowitz concentration camps and the use of poison gas manufactured by the firm in the extermination

The trial of defendant Brueggemann was discontinued early during the proceedings because he was unable to stand trial on account of ill health.

of millions of men, women, and children. Medical experiments were conducted by Farben on enslaved persons without their consent to test the effects of deadly gases, vaccines, and related products. The defendants were charged, furthermore, with a common plan and conspiracy to commit crimes against the peace, war crimes, and crimes against humanity. Three defendants were accused of membership in a criminal organization, the SS. All of these charges were set forth in an indictment consisting of five counts.

The defense objected to the charges by claiming that regulations were so stringent and far reaching in Nazi Germany that private individuals had to cooperate or face punishment, including death. The defense claimed further that many of the individual documents produced by the prosecution were originally intended as "window dressing" or "howling with the wolves" in order to avoid such punishment.

The tribunal agreed with the defense in its judgment that none of the defendants were guilty of Count I, planning, preparation, initiation, and waging wars of aggression; or Count V, common plans and conspiracy to commit crimes against the peace and humanity and war crimes.

The tribunal also dismissed particulars of Count II concerning plunder and exploitation against Austria and Czechoslovakia. Eight defendants (Schmitz, von Schmitzler, ter Meer, Buergin, Haefliger, Ilgner, Oster, and Kugler) were found guilty on the remainder of Count II, while 15 were acquitted. On Count III (slavery and mass murder), Ambros, Buetefisch, Duerrfeld, Krauch, and ter Meer were judged guilty. Schneider, Buetefisch, and von der Heyde also were charged with Count IV, membership in a criminal organization, but were acquitted.

The tribunal acquitted Gajewski, Gattineau, von der Heyde, Hoerlein, von Knieriem, Kuehne, Lautenschlaeger, Mann, Schneider, and Wurster. The remaining 13 defendants were given prison terms as follows:

Name	Length of Prison Term (years)			
Ambros	8			
Buergin	2			
Buetefisch	6			
Duerrfeld	8			
Haefliger	2			
Ilgner	3			
Jaehne	1 1/2			
Krauch	6			
Kugler	1 1/2			
Oster	2			
Schmitz	4			
von Schnitzler	5.			
ter Meer	7			

All defendants were credited with time already spent in custody.

In addition to the indictments, judgments, and sentences, the transcripts also contain the arraignment and plea of each defendant (all pleaded not guilty) and opening statements of both defense and prosecution.

The English-language transcript volumes are arranged numerically, 1-43, and the pagination is continuous, 1-15834 (page 4710 is followed by pages 4710(1)-4710(285)). The German-language transcript volumes are numbered la-43a and paginated 1-16224 (14a and 15a are in one volume). The letters at the top of each page indicate morning, afternoon, or evening sessions. The letter "C" designates commission hearings (to save court time and to avoid assembling hundreds of witnesses at Nuernberg, in most of the cases one or more commissions took testimony and received documentary evidence for consideration by the tribunals). Two commission hearings are included in the transcripts: that for February 7, 1948, is on pages 6957-6979 of volume 20 in the English-language transcript, while that for May 7, 1948, is on pages 14775a-14776 of volume 40a in the German-language transcript. In addition, the prosecution made one motion of its own and, with the defense, six joint motions to correct the English-language transcripts. Lists of the types of errors, their location, and the prescribed corrections are in several volumes of the transcripts as follows:

First Motion of the Prosecution, volume 1
First Joint Motion, volume 3
Second Joint Motion, volume 14
Third Joint Motion, volume 24
Fourth Joint Motion, volume 29
Fifth Joint Motion, volume 34
Sixth Joint Motion, volume 40

The prosecution offered 2,325 prosecution exhibits numbered 1-2270 and 2300-2354. Missing numbers were not assigned due to the difficulties of introducing exhibits before the commission and the tribunal simultaneously. Exhibits 1835-1838 were loaned to an agency of the Department of Justice for use in a separate matter, and apparently No. 1835 was never returned. Exhibits drew on a variety of sources, such as reports and directives as well as affidavits and interrogations of various individuals. Maps and photographs depicting events and places mentioned in the exhibits are among the prosecution resources, as are publications, correspondence, and many other types of records.

The first item in the arrangement of prosecution exhibits is usually a certificate giving the document number, a short description of the exhibits, and a statement on the location of the original document or copy of the exhibit. The certificate is followed by the actual prosecution exhibit (most are photostats,

but a few are mimeographed articles with an occasional carbon of the original). The few original documents are often affidavits of witnesses or defendants, but also ledgers and correspondence, such as:

Exhibit No.	Doc. No.	Exhibit No.	Doc. No.
322	NI 5140	1558	NI 11411
918	NI 6647	1691	NI 12511
1294	NI 14434	1833	NI 12789
1422	NI 11086	1886	NI 14228
1480	NI 11092	231/3	NI 13566
1811	NI 11144	2	

In rare cases an exhibit is followed by a translation; in others there is no certificate. Several of the exhibits are of poor legibility and a few pages are illegible.

Other than affidavits, the defense exhibits consist of newspaper clippings, reports, personnel records, Reichgesetzblatt excerpts, photographs, and other items. The 4,257 exhibits for the 23 defendants are arranged by name of defendant and thereunder by exhibit number. Individual exhibits are preceded by a certificate wherever available. Two sets of exhibits for all the defendants are included.

Translations in each of the prosecution document books are preceded by an index listing document numbers, biased descriptions, and page numbers of each translation. These indexes often indicate the order in which the prosecution exhibits were presented in court. Defense document books are similarly arranged. Each book is preceded by an index giving document number, description, and page number for every exhibit. Corresponding exhibit numbers generally are not provided. There are several unindexed supplements to numbered document books. Defense statements, briefs, pleas, and prosecution briefs are arranged alphabetically by defendant's surname. Pagination is consecutive, yet there are many pages where an "a" or "b" is added to the numeral.

At the beginning of roll 1 key documents are filmed from which Tribunal VI derived its jurisdiction: the Moscow Declaration, U.S. Executive Orders 9547 and 9679, the London Agreement, the Berlin Protocol, the IMT Charter, Control Council Law 10, U.S. Military Government Ordinances 7 and 11, and U.S. Forces, European Theater General Order 301. Following these documents of authorization is a list of the names and functions of members of the tribunal and counsels. These are followed by the transcript covers giving such information as name and number of case, volume numbers, language, page numbers, and inclusive dates. They are followed by the minute book, consisting of summaries of the daily proceedings, thus providing an additional finding aid for the transcripts. Exhibits are listed in an index that notes the

type, number, and name of exhibit; corresponding document book, number, and page; a short description of the exhibit; and the date when it was offered in court. The official court file is summarized by the progress docket, which is preceded by a list of witnesses.

Not filmed were records duplicated elsewhere in this microfilm publication, such as prosecution and defense document books in the German language that are largely duplications of the English-language document books.

The records of the I. G. Farben Case are closely related to other microfilmed records in Record Group 238, specifically prosecution exhibits submitted to the IMT, T988; NI (Nuernberg Industrialist) Series, T301; NM (Nuernberg Miscellaneous) Series, M-936; NOKW (Nuernberg Armed Forces High Command) Series, T1119; NG (Nuernberg Government) Series, T1139; NP (Nuernberg Propaganda) Series, M942; WA (undetermined) Series, M946; and records of the Brandt case, M887; the Milch Case, M888; the Altstoetter case, M889; the Pohl Case, M890; the Flick Case, M891; the List case, M893; the Greifelt case, M894; and the Ohlendorf case, M895. In addition, the record of the IMT at Nuernberg has been published in the 42-volume Trial of the Major War Criminals Before the International Military Tribunal (Nuernberg, 1947). Excerpts from the subsequent proceedings have been published in 15 volumes as Trials of War Criminals Before the Nuernberg Military Tribunal Under Control Council Law No. 10 (Washington). The Audiovisual Archives Division of the National Archives and Records Service has custody of motion pictures and photographs of all 13 trials and sound recordings of the IMT proceedings.

Martin K. Williams arranged the records and, in collaboration with John Mendelsohn, wrote this introduction.

Rol1 2

Target 1

Volume 1, p. 1-391

Aug. 14-Sept. 2, 1947

OFFICIAL RECORD

UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NÜRNBERG

U.S. vs CARL KRAUCH et al.

TRANSCRIPTS

(English)

14 August 47-19-17D-3-1-Foster (Int. Frank)
Court 1, Case 6

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal No. 6 in the matter of the United States of America against CARL KRAUCH et al, defendants, sitting at Murnberg, Germany on 14 August 1947, 1000, Austice SHAME presiding.

THE CLRSHIL: The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal VI.
Military Tribunal No. 6 is now in session. God save the United
States of America and this Honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the courtroom.

THE THESIDENT: Military Tribunal No. 6 will come to order.

The Tribunal will now proceed with the arraignment of the defendants in case muster 5 pending before this Tribunal. The Secretary-General will call the roll of the defendants.

THE SECRETARY-GENERAL: Each defendant will stand and answer present when his name is called.

(The Secretary-General then called the roll of the defendants:

CARL TRAUCH, HER ANN SON DIZ, GEORG VON SCHMITZIER, FRITZ GAJE/SKI,

HEINRICH HOERLEIN, AUGUST VON KNIERIKI, FRITZ T.R IEER, CHRISTIAN

BCHNEIDER, OTTO // BROS, TAX BRUEGGE ANN --

Defendant Brue genann is at present in a hospital near Duesseldorf in the British Zone of Occupation. Bruegemann was served with the indictment on 18 June 1947. Two days prior, on 15 June, Bruegemann's counsel, Br. Klefisch, filed a letter in the nature of a motion with the Secretary-General asking that the proceedings against Brueggemann be temperarily quashed or separated from the trial of the other defendants and that Bruegemann be respected from the trial of the other defendants and that Bruegemann answered this motion on 2h June and there appears to be no substantial conflict between the prosecution and the defense on this matter. Both the motion and the answer agree on the basis of the medical reports, that the defendant Bruegemann is not at present able to stand trial without serious danger to his life. The prosecution in its answer has suggested, in accordance with a procedure which the Interpational Ellitary Tribural adopted in the case of Gustav

Von Bohlen, that an order be made here postponing for an indefinite time the proceedings against Brueggeman but directing that the charges in the indictment be retained upon the docket of the Bilitary Triburals for trial thereafter, if the physical and mental condition of the defendant should permit. The tribural may of course, wish to have a further medical examination of Brueggemann before deciding this matter. So far as the prosecution is concerned we would have no objection to the entrance of an order severing the proceedings against Brueggemann at this time. In accordance with Dr. Elefisch request, and on the basis of the medical reports, Brueggemann was released from the Murnberg Juil on 7th July and, as I have said, he is presently hospitalized near Duesseldorf in the British wone, where he is under surveillance.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will pass upon that matter at the conclusion of the call of the defendants. You may proceed, it. Secretary.

THE SECRETARY-GENERAL: (Continuing):

ERNST BUERGIN, HEINRICH BUETEFISCH, PAUL HAEFLIGER, IAX ILONER, FRIEDRICH JLEHNE, HANS KUEHNE, CARL LAUTENSCHLEDER -

THE TRESTIENT: Is Counsel for the defendant present? Do you desire to make some observation to the court with reference to this defendant?

DR. PRIBILLA: No.

THE PRESIDENT: I may say to counsel that it has come to the attention of the Tribunal that the only son of this defendant passed away last night. I don't know whether the defendant himself yet knows of this fact, but under the circumstances we have excused him from attendance here this norming and we will dispose of his matter at the end of the roll-call also. You may proceed.

THE SECRETARY-GENERAL: (Continuing):

TILHELI INN, HEINTICH OSTER, KARL FURSTER -

BRIGADISR GENERAL THATOMO TAYLOR: May it please the court, the defendant Warster is at present in a hospital at Ludwigshafen in the

French Zone of Occupation, After the indictment of this case was filed, the prosecution learned, that the defendant Jurster had sustained an injury to his shoulder and that an operation had been performed and that Thurster was hospitalized in consequence thereof. According to the advise of the French doctors who treated Aurster, it appeared that he could not be moved to Murnberg at least for several weeks, Accordingly, arrangements were made to serve the indictment on the defendant Purster at Ludwigshafen in the French Zone, and that was done by the Harshal. of the Court on 20th of June 1947. Thereafter the defendant Murster was further affected by heart condition which further delayed his transfer to Murnberg. Defense Counsel for Turster, Dr. Wagner, has filed a motion requesting in the alternative that the defendant be discharged, or that the proceedings against him be severed from the proceedings against the other defendants. The prosecution filed an enswer to this petition. On 25th of July 1947 to which Dr. Wagner filed a further reply on the 4th of August. The Defendant's motion and the Enswer are pending before the Tribunal for disposition and can be dealt with now or later in the disgration of the Tribunal. The prosecution has just received information that the Defendant wrater was examined on 12 Awgust 1947 by an .merican !llitary doctor and is advised that Wurster could now be transported under proper physical safeguards to Murnberg. The prosecution will, when the court hears this matter, oppose Dr. Wagner's request that the proceedings be severed although we, of course, have no objection to such further medical examinations as the court may direct.

THE SECRETARY-GENERAL: (Continuing):

DALTER DUSERFELD, MEINRICH GATTINEAU, ERICH VON DER HEYDE AND HANS KUOLER.)

lay the Honorable Tribunal please, all defendants except Max Brueggemann, Carl Murator and Carl Leutenschlaeger are present and in the dock.

THE PRESIDENT: On the basis of the showings made, the arraignment of the Defendant Carl Lautenschlaeger will be postponed until the next

Brueggemann and Jurster will be postponed until such time as they are present or, in the alternative, until the further order of the Tribunal. In the meanwhile, the charges against the defendants Brueggemann and Jurster will be continued and the indictments against them will not be dismissed, but their names may be omitted from the list of the defendants now before the Tribunal for trial.

The Secretary-General will now call the defendants in the dock, one by one, for arraigment.

THE SECRETARY-GEN R.L: Carl Krauch --

DR. CONRAD BOETTCHER: Tr. President, before this question is put to the defendants, I should like to have your permission, on behalf of all defense counsel and all defendants, to make a briof declaration with regard to this question.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes.

DR. COMMAD BOUTTCHIA: Your Monor, sy name is Boettcher, Dr. Conrad Boettcher, attorney-at-law and defense coursel for the defendant Professor Dr. Krauch. .. t this time I am also speaking on behalf of all defense coursel present in this court room. At this point we must deal with two principal objections against these proceedings. The two points which I wish to deal with are these: I am first of all, objecting to this indictment as such since it does not correspond with the form prescribed in Ordinance Number 7. According to this Ordinance No. 7, paragraph h, the indictment must make it plain to a sufficiently clear extent what charges are being preferred against individual defendants. This, however, is not the case, particularly since the legal concept of conspiracy - at least as far as Counts 2 and 3 of the indictment are concerned, that is, War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity -- has been declared not applicable. For that reason the prerequisites for this trial are not sufficiently in existence, namely, the question cannot at this point be put to the defendants whether they are guilty or not guilty. 14 August 47-15-ATD-3-5-Foster (Int. Frank)
Court 1, Case 6

Secondly, according to Ordinance No. 7, as well as according to the American constitution, the defendants have a claim for a fair trial. That is Article 5 of the American Constitution, and it is a claim which, according to the present defendants and considering the volume of the material, presents particularly great difficulties for the preparation for the defense, and which has, therefore, not been sufficiently realized.

With regard to one, as already stated at this point, proceedings cannot be continued because provisions contained in Article 4, of Ordinance No. 7, dealing with the prerequisites for such a trial at the beginning of the proceedings have not yet been fulfilled. Article 4 states in its first paragraph, sub-paragraph a, as follows:

"The indictment must specify clearly and simply the points of the indictment and must produce sufficient details in order to enable the defendants to be awars of the accusations and charges raised against him."

This is not not sufficiently in the indictment, neither as far as the evidence of conspiracy is concerned nor, as far was the formal participation is concerned, contained in Control Council Law No. 10 with regard to the first three points of the indictment. They are based upon the principal of individual participation, of course, although in the proceedings before the LH the conspiracy was described as a particular form of participation under Anglo-Saxon law and, formed thus the basis of the indictment. The prosecution, at that time properly considered it essential to state in Appendix A of the indictment, with regard to every individual defendant, which points were applicable to his particular case and through which particular actions the individual perpetrations had been realized in his particular case.

As far as this present indictment here is concerned, the conspiracy, apart from Count 1 of the indictment has been dealt with by 14 August 47-45-ATD-3-6-Poster (Int. Frank) Court 1, Case 6

decisions of the Military Tribunals at Murnberg on the basis of the en banc session of 9 July 1947, that is to say, after this present indictment was filed and the decision of that Tribunal was binding

for every later Tribunal and, it was declared an independent charge, and in that menner was also declared as non-applicable as a point of indictment. For that reason too the prosecution will have to go into infinitely greater detail then was necessary earlier, even as early as the filing of the written indictment which concrete facts every individual of the 24 defendents are being scherged with.

So lost as this objection of mine, which I am discussing now, has not been dealt with, sufficient details are not available, which would have to be evailable according to Ordinance 7, in order to inform the individual defendants regarding the charges raised against them. And consequently the preconceived conditions for the entering into these proceedings, and which are essential for the defendants to allow them to answer the question of guilty or not guilty, are not met with.

I do not wish to be cause for a misunderstanding. All defendants do feel that they are not guilty. However, that would not effect our objection which is, that on the basis of the indictment in the form in which we have it here before us, the question of guilty or not guilty can not be put to them in the proper way at all. If the indictment with regard to individual counts produces very occasionally individual names, then it is not recognizable whether the charges are spolicable to those defendants named in that connection and are to be restricted to them. In most cases any indication is lanking to the affect which one of the 24 defendants is to be charged with the individual count of the indictment.

Let me mention only two out of many examples, in order to eludicate the shortcomings which I am dealing with. I will quote from page 5 of the original indictment.

"All defendents through the IG Ferben and otherwise, with diverse other persons, during a period of years preceeding the 5th of May 1945, perticipated in the planning, preparation, initiation and waging of

7

14 august - 37-4-2-Foster (Int. Frank) - Court I. Cose 5

wirs of egression and invasions of other countries and of quota-

I shell continue to quote from page 38 of the original of the indictment. "All defendants together with various other persons evailed themselves during the time of the 12th of March 1938 up to the 5th of May 1945 of the facilities of the IG in order to countit War Crimes and Crimes against Musemity by participating in the locting of public and private property, its exploitation, application, in other countries which came under the belligarent occupation of Germany in the course of its invasions and aggressive wars."

It is for this reason what the defense would request this Tribunnl to fact to the indictment as insufficient and non-admissable, at
least, however, to request the prosecution to supply the necessary
supplement for this indictment before the beginning of its case in
which, the efter the submission of the completed indictment to allow
a sufficient period to elepse in order to make a statement and prepare
for this new indictment.

The focuments hended over to the defence by the prosecution up to this point do not ellevirte the sistekes in the indictment which I have just objected to, the violation of the rules contained in Article 4 of the Ordinance No. 7 can not be done away with by submission of such documents. Since the indictment as such is meant to contain those details, the submission of documents is a gesture on the part of the prosecution which lacks a proper meaning. The documents do not say enything about the participation of individual defendants, and have no relations to the major part of the defendants and, in connection with the indictment which we have up to now and which we consider incomplete, their meaning can not be grasped or understood.

I shall now turn to my argument with regard to point 2. It is the

1

considered duty of the defense to point out again and again that the unfavorable circumstances prevailing in Germany at this time, which effect the defense much more than the prosecution, make it an impossibility in practice to work in a trial of such international significance as this and to balance the overpowering force of the prosecution.

The defense is swere of the fact that the Tribunal and the prosecution are not in a position either to correct the effects of these unusual circumstances, no more to we expect that the beginning of the trial could be postponed until these conditions have shown a general improvement. The defense considers these unusual difficulties, however, to be additional handiceps which it is willing to bear in every instance considering the implicating circumstances. What, however, does not appear reasonable to the defense is that beyond this, the defense is burdened with restrictions which can be removed or through the refusel of favors which - could be greated them. The most important factor is that of time, whereas the prosecution has had two years to collect and screen prosecution meterial and, hed at its disposal a large organization over a period of years, the defense has only had very few, in fact, in some cases only three to four weeks to exploit the possibilities of preparing the trial material after they were conlitted before this Tribunel and, in particular contrary to the position of the prosecution, the defense has had utterly insufficient forces at their disposal.

The attornies designated as defense counsel have been prohibited from carrying out any type of activities before the Indictment was served on the defendants, although the defendants have been imprisoned now for years. It is correct to say, according to American law, the Prosecution does not have the duty of submitting to the defense, before the beginning of the Trial, all material used for its charges, but the defense would like to point out that due to the confiscation of the property of the I. G. the entire files of the I.G. Farbonindustrie were, first of all, not accessible and that only a few weeks ago did the defense counsel have free access to this material in the Document Center at Frankfurt, It is obvious that this documentary material can only be acreened in extensive and hard work and only in that manner be exploited for the defence. What is of particular significance is the fact that, in spite of repeated applications, the defendants who are indicted as a group have not, up to now, had eny possibility whatever to have joing conferences, availing themselves of the material which they had all their disposal in order to propare themselves for their defense, They were not in a position to examine the procedure on which the Indictment is based and of which only one defendant knows the economic side, the other only the technical side, the third only the financial angle, and to discuss such matters jointly and to reconstruct in their minds, in part, the developments so that after such a statement they were in a position to judge the information dealing with the entire complex of material and hand it over to their defense counsel. Only when the defense is in possession of this information can it be in the position to put pertinent questions during cross examination to the witnesses called by the Prosecution. Considering the outstanding importance of cross examination before an Angla Saxon court it would not help the defense at all if between the submissions made by the Prosecution and those of the defense and adjournment were granted, such as the Prosecution refers to in its reply dated 18 July and which possibility the decision refusing the adjournment of the

Tribunal, dated 30 July is referring to. Apart from this, upon the decision of the acting Tribunal the letter of defence, dated 25 July, and the reply dated 30 July of Prosecution, deals with the statements of the Prosecution and has not been put before this Tribunal presumably for technical reasons. Consequently the decision of the acting Tribunal was handed down without the statements of the defense, which was made in reply to the statement of the Prosocution, being made known to the Tribunal. Defense does not wish to leave this Tribunal in doubt that postponement of the beginning of the trial alone would not alleviate the difficulties at hand, since gain in time would have to be connected with the granting of further applications made on behalf of the defense, which deal with the creation of proper external prerequisites for these proceedings. No doubt, in the meantime, the high Tribunal will have received applications in question. They doal with the question of obtaining leged evidence and material from abroad. They deal with the admission of further German and Foreign defense counsels and suxiliary forces. They deal with the creation of a considerably larger financial basis, and for payment of defense, and deal with granting of at least the most simple assistance of a technical nature, such as for instance, the availability of sufficient work rooms in the building, typewriters, the possibilities to put through tolephone calls and similar points.

It is utterly insufficient, for the work to be done on such a voluminous complicated trial material, if a defendant has only two lawyers. That is to say one chief defense counsel and one assistant at his disposal who are helped by one single secretary. Further, intelerable conditions arise from the fact that defense counsel of various defendants are forced to work together in one room, as is the case at this time, whereas individual defence counsel have no office of their own at all. A further impediment which can not probably be understood by anyone who has not experienced it himself, are the

difficulties which we meet in connection with frequent journies which are necessary to locate witnesses and documents. The defense have no motor cars and have not sufficient gasoling. Journies made by train, due to to catastrophic traffic conditions, are an unusual waste of time and are unusually exhausting. Let me draw your attention to the particular difficulties which now arise, and which in this form did not arise in any other of the trials which have been in progress in Nurnberg up to now. The extent of the International business activities of the I. C. Ferbenindustrie, which to a considerable extent has been made the subject of this indictment. necessitates extensive contacts of the defense with sources abroad. if the subject is to be properly cleared up. It is impossible to use correspondence or even to use defense counsels who are not familiar with the material to alleviate these points in the foreign countries councerned. In fact, they can only be handled by the defense counsel themselves with a reasonable chance of success, particularly since the secremy of the defense cannot be observed properly due to conditions of consorship if correspondence were solely chosen. The difficulties which would entail if journies abroad were made due to the condition Cermany now finds herself are known to the denfens. The defense fells it necessary to draw your attention to that fact that without a satisfactory solution to these questions a fair defease will not be possible.

Independently and spart from the principle request for an adjustment which are connected with this motion are those which we handed in on 3 July, 30 July and 7 August 1947. All further motions which were made in connection with these are repeated and an early decision of the Tribunal is requested. They can be summarized as follows:

A request for adjournment of the beginning of the trial for & months, for the purpose of more efficient presentation on the part of defense.

12

14 August 1947 M MSD 586 4 Cross - Frenk Court I, case 6

Vir.

Request that the Office of the Sacretary General and the Prosecution be instructed that a sufficient and properly conducted defense be arranged.

It may appear to be somewhat mean that those technical matters are contained in an application of the defense playing such a big part, but it is necessary to understand how difficult and umpleasant and hopoless a tack and bettle with difficulties is presented by dialy life. It is necessary to see them from a close distance in order to feel our depression which we register concerning the magnitude of our tack which we have to perform subject to insufficient mans which we need for its completion.

The defense, therefore, bees this Tribunal in religing when dealin with their applications to be were of the fact that for the first time in history the heads of an International industrial large enterprise are under Indictment, the scientific accomplishments of which, and the economic solidarity of which, and the international attitude of which, has been recognized the world over, and that the accusations are raised which in very respect are appalling. The defendants themselves are keenly interested to prove before the public of the world that these charges are unjustifiable. They request no more than fairness with mo and to the preparation and development of these proceedings the granting of which the countries who uphold Anglo-Saxon legal traditions are so particularly proud of. There can be no doubt whatever that this trial is destined to write history and to clear up the question how in the future leading industrialists of a country should conduct themselves in the event of an international conflagration. This judgment can only, however, make history if it can live up to the scrutiny of the historians. That is the basis, and nothing else, for this motion of the defense. The defence cannot see that these two principal motions made with regard to this trial, namely, with reference to the imcompleteness of the Indictment and insufficent possibility of preparation on the part

14 August 1947 M MSD 586 5 Gross - Frank Case I, case 6

of the defense, cannot exhaust the objections on principle which have been reised to this trial in its entirety.

When the time comes the defense will point out that in this instance proceedings are carried on before an American Tribunal which must be carried out within the frame work of the American Constitution. The American Constitution contains an explicit prohibition that actions should be subject of criminal proceedings for which at the point when they were consitted no penal code had been in existence. Defense feels that they may not assume that the American democracy would depart from the ideal principles which it has represented the world over and for which it is attempting to gain the support of that same German people (just with regard to members of this nation, Germany.)

The defense trust that the American Tribunals, right to the very head will see to it that this practice which has its basis in the American Prosecution should be applied forthwith.

May I make one remark of a technical nature. A written translation of this motion of mine will be submitted to this Tribunal in the near future and the Prosecution as well. It is already on its way to the Tribunal.

THE PRUSIDENT: This Tribunal, which was only recently constituted, has been laboring under the impression that a motion for the
continuance of this cause had been ruled upon by the presiding Judges
of the Tribunal before this body was organized. If there has been
filed any additional motion for continuance this Tribunal has no
knowledge of it and would like to inquire of counsel for defence
who has just spoken if any such motion as been filed and called
to the attention of the Prosecution.

IR. BOETTCHER: That isn't an application, Mr. President. It is a statement of objections on the part of the defense against the beginning of the trial based upon the incompleteness of the Indictment and the insufficient time for defense to prepare their work.

14 August 1947_M_MSD_5&6_6 _ Gross _ Frank Court I, case 5

m

See

15

0

- 0

0

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel for Presecution can be heard on this metion.

CHNERAL TAYLOR: Your Honors, I would like to make very briefly three points, in no more than four nimites.

I believe that not one word that Dr. Boettcher has spoken is garmane to the only matter now pending before the Tribunal, which is whether these defendants are to be called upon to plead guilty or innocent. Dr. Boettcher rose apparently to address himself to that question but I believe he failed to do so uttorly. He hasn't suggested that any of the defendants would plead innocent, would plead guilty, if the Indictment were changed or if conditions were different. In fact, he has made it quite apparent that the defendants understand the Indictment and are about to plead not guilty. It seems to me a pity that that matter has been postponed so long.

As to the second point Dr. Boettcher has spoken at length with respect to the insufficiency of the Indictment. No such motion has come to the attention of the Prosecution, or I take it of the Tribunal. And, in answer to the Tribunal's last question it appears to me that Dr. Boottcher has not intended to make any motion but merely to make what I can only describe as an opening or closing statement somewhat prematurely and has not intended it as a motion at all. The Indictment in general is far more particular than the Indictments that have been filed in other cases here, I think the differences which D. Boettcher has intended to point out are without foundation but I don't, unless the Court desires, propose to argue this matter at length now. It seems to me such questions should be raised by properly written motion and disposed of in orderly fashion. The Indictment, I might add, has been supplemented by some 700 documents which the Prosecution has voluntarily placed at the disposal of the defense and if Dr. Boettcher is able to state those documents do not mention the defendants or in what ways they are implicated I can only suggest that he has not read the documents

15

14 August 1947- 586 - 7 Pross - Frank Court &I, case 6

made available to him.

As to the observation about conditions in Germany and as to the fairness of the trial once again all those are matters which can be raised by appropriate motion and isposed of in an orderly fashion.

And, it seems to me, have no place at this time.

counsel for defense, many of them served here in other cases, and I think are entirely aware of that. I think that is all I have to say at this time, your Honor.

IR. SIMMES: Your Honors, Dr. Siemers defense counsel for Dr. Erich von Schnitzler. Your Honors, with regard to the statement just made by General Taylor I should like first of all to may as a matter of principle that we are not here concerned with an application or motion which General Taylor says is lacking, but with an objection against the Indictment and the permissible objection as admissible in Angl. Saxon law. Dr. Boettcher has already doclared that in our opinion the Indictment formally speaking does not conform with the instructions contained in Ordinance 7. In rebuttal hereto General Taylor has pointed out that the Indictment in this trial is a more exact and more detailed than were the Indictments in other trials. Your Honors, I am not in a position at this point to check all the trials conducted in Surnberg. I personally have a clear picture of the trial before the IMT and the trial which is running parallel to this one here, the Flick case.

I contradict and oppose General Taylor's statement that the indictment in this trial is more exact. Dr. Boettcher has already pointed out to you that in the trial before the IMT the Indictment contained an Appendix & submitted by the Prosecution in order to establish a relation between the offenses committed, and the individual defendants. That is a matter wich is not contained in this Indictment before this Tribunal. In fact, your Honors, it transpired at a later stage then the Indictment in the big trial which was still not sufficiently complete, a fact which was

16

14 August 1947 586 - 8 - Gross - Frank M_MSD Court I, case 6

generally recognized and it was for that reason that the Prosecution later submitted special trial briefs with regard to each individual defendant. If an explicitness of this indictment is to be mentioned at all then it is only in connection with Foint I of the indictment, which is the so-called planning on the part of I. G. for the conducting of agressive wars. I might mention that I consider the statements under Point I not sufficient. At any rate they must give some sort of a general picture. In all the other points the indictment is so inexact that, even with the best of a will and desire, you cannot speak of a specification of the individual parts of the indictment.

I beg the Tribunal to give me permission to draw its attention to a few outstanding points of the indictment which will prove, without delay, the correctness of my statement.

On Page 73 of the German text of the indictment, Figure 121 of the Indictment, we find the heading: Participation of the Defendants in Slavery and Mass Murder." Such a colossal charge is dealt with in a few pages. On Page 75, Figure 124, it is said with reference to the subject, and I quote: "The exploitation of enslaved workers and of prisoners of war for work directly connected with war operations was standard policy of FARBEN." End of my quotation. In the whole of the indictment there isn't one single word, not one single fact to be found which would give the reasons for this sentence. It is never said how the exploitation is carried out, and it is never said where the exploitation is carried out, and it is never said where the exploitation is carried out, and it is never said where the exploitation is carried out, and there is no mention of a single individual fact, and not one individual name from amongst the defendants is mentioned.

On Page 78 of the German text of the indictment, under Figure 128, it says, and I quote:

"In all FARBEN plants and works, where slave labor was used, sub-human standards of living were the established order. Inadequate food rations, overcrowded and filthy sleeping quarters, excessive hours of hard physical labor, continued beatings and other cruel disciplinary measures, brought about a high percentage of illness and disease emong the inmates. In cases of disease,

little or no medical care was furnished, as a result of which many slave laborers died.

Your Honor, as Ordinance No. VII stated expressly that it is desirable and essential that details should be given, so that the defendant can inform himself of the details regarding the perpetrations with which he is charged, then I would like to ask the Prosecution, just how can the defendant, or defense counsel, inform himself and make the facts clear to himself in this connection? Once again the I. G. Farben is only generally mentioned, which supposedly isn't under indictment in its entirety as a body. Concrally all works and factories are talked about, although we know that there are hundreds of them, and although we know that there were hundreds of camps. In spite of all that, not one single name of any camp is mentioned. If that is supposed to be a specification, then I, both legally and economically, have never yet up to now understood the meaning of the word "specification."

May I now turn to Figure 131 of the indictment, that is Page 80 of the German text, and I quote:

"Use of poison gas and medical experimentation on enslaved persons. Poison gases and various deadly pharmaceuticals manufactured by FARBEN and supplied by FARBEN to officials of the SS were used in experimentation upon and the extermination of enslaved persons in concentration camps throughout Europa. Experiments on human beings (including concentration camp immates,) without their consent, were conducted by FARBEN to determine the effect of deadly gases, vaccines, and related products."

Your Honors, one of the most horrible charges, the most farreaching charges, namely that of planned murder of every sort, that is
an accusation which the Presecution dares to render in nine lines of
the text of the indictment, and without mentioning any further details
and, they dare to assert that its duty of specification under Article
IV of Ordinance No. 7, complied with. There is no mention as to which

19

14 Aug 47-M-FjC-7-3-Maloy (Frank) Court I Casa VI

one of the defendants is supposed to have participated. It has never said where these supplies went, to which officers of the SS.

Quito generally "enslavement" and "extermination" is used. It
is the typical purely propagands material, by means of which the defendents are linked with sad and regrettable criminal acts, which the German
Regime under Hitler was guilty of. I deny the right on the part of the
Prosecution to make statements of this nature in such general terms.
We, since they are of material importance legally, shall deal with these
points at a later stage.

We are here only concorned with the question of procedure, and then it will have to be granted that this individual charge is not specifically treated.

May I, in this connection, supplement my statement by saying that
the ruling contained in Article IV, specifically started with the words,
and I quote: "For the purpose of the preservation of the privileges of
the defendants, this and that type of procedure is to be adopted." We
are concerned with the ruling on procedure which is to preserve the
privileges of the defendants. Consequently, the Presecution must take
upon themselves the trouble of complying with the details demanded in
this Article

The Ordinance No. VII arose from the Charter of the International Military Tribunal. It might be interesting, therefore, to re-establish this link, and I might draw your attention to the fact that in Article 16 of this Charter of the International Military Tribunal, you will find the following sentence: "The indictment is to contain all details from which the facts of guilt can be ascertained."

Your Honor, the very same picture, which was the basis of the big trial, applies to this no doubt equally big I. G. trial.

Finally, may I draw your attention to Figure 146 of the indictment, which is Count V of the Indictment. In Count V, as before, the conspiracy is charged as an independent crime. It appears doubtful to me whether this is possible. We might leave this question open for the

moment. The most doubtful fact, however, is whether in consideration of the Control Council Law the conspiracy can be brought up at all in connection with Points 2 and 3, but even if it were legally justified, the Prosecution must, at least at this point, relate individual facts through which the charge of conspiracy arose. It is quite unthinkable that a more general way of expression can be chosen, less justified than in this case. Let me draw your attention to the first sentence:

FARHEN and other wise, with divers other persons, during a period of years preceding 5 May 1965, participated as leaders, organizers, instigators, and accomplices in the formulation and execution of a common plan or conspiracy to commit, or which involved the commission of Crimes against Peace (including the acts constituting War Crimes and Crimes against Humanity, which were committed as an integral part of such Crimes against Peace) as defined by Control Council Law No. 10, and are individually responsible for their own acts and for all acts committed by any persons in the execution of such common plan or conspiracy."

The defendants are personally responsible for their own acts and for any other acts which any other persons committed in the execution of this common plan for conspiracy. Your Henors, the Prosecution deem't even bother to give us any details. They say, "together with several other persons," but they aren't mentioning them. They say generally that the I. G. and other means were used, but they don't quote the other means of this conspiracy. They never quote anything at all in detail.

I think that I have been able to show you, by means of four or five examples, that this indictment is, in fact, not in compliance with Article IV. I might supplement my statement by saying this, it is beyond a doubt not the duty on the part of the Prosecution to hand over all documents of the evidence, but in Article IV it is prescribed that all such documents are to be submitted in the German language before the

14 Aug 47-M-BJ-8-1-Maloy-(Frank) Court I, Case VI

and of 30 days, which are out forward in commaction with the indictment.

The importance of the English v raion of this Article may be argued about. It is the interpretation of the Prosecution on this Article that they only have to sugmit such documents, together with the indictment, as are already linked with the indictment as an appendix. wite possibly that may be true if you interpret the regulation word by word, but it certainly does not comply with the intention of the meaning. If I am to describe the details of the indictment, and as I have already said this is only done in connection with Case I, then at least documents which are clearly and thoroughly mentioned must be submitted at the same time. If, for instance it is stated in Count II, as a matter of fundamental importance in connection with "Plunder and Spoliation", and the Goering Decree dated October 19, 1939 is quoted, and if three sentences from that document are re-printed, then in my opinion this is a document which is in connection with the indictment, and therefore according to the Regulation must be supmitted at the same time.

I don't want to delay the High Tribunal by multiplying my examples. They are integral parts of this indictment, and they are a part of this specification which we request because only then will sufficient clarify be achieved.

GENERAL TAYLOR: May it please the Court, I am convinced that everything Dr. Siemers has said is quite as irrelevant, as was everything Dr. Boettcher said.

I clearly understood the Court to ask, at the conclusion of Dr. Boettcher's argument, whether any mothen had been filed requestion a dismissal of the Bill of Particulars, and there is a clear enswer, no such motion has been filed.

In order to prevent a repatition of Dr. Siener's

14 Aug 47-M-BJ-8-2-Maloy-(Frank) Court I, Case VI.

insurgent remarks, the Prosecution now formally requests that the pleas of the defendants be taken, and if there are any objections to the form and substance of the indictment, the defendants file a motion in accordance with the rules of the Court with which all of them are fally familiar.

THE PRESIDENT: As the Tribunal understands the arguments of counsel for the defense, three propositions have been urged; one that the indictment does not charge an offense within the language or the meaning of the laws of the Charter and the Ordinances under which this Tribunal operates. That matter would go to the jurisdiction of this Tribunal as applied to these defendants, and any objection may be as well raised on the offering of the evidence or in the final argument as now, and it cannot be seen how the arraignment of the defendants would injure their rights in that regard.

The second proposition urged expears to be that the indictment does not charge the offenses with sufficient certainty. Manifestly this Tribunal would be in no position to pass decision upon such anatter without a definite and socific motion before it, setting out certainly the parts of the indictment which ought to be made more definite and certain, in order to permit the defendants to make their proper showing.

Now, the third proposition appears to be a motion for a continuance of the cause, and it is the view of the Tribunal that on the present state of the record that matter was passed upon by the presiding Judges. This Tribunal is not disposed at this time to distrub the ruling of the presiding Judges in that regard, and unless and until some further facts are presented to the Tribunal we shall be obliged to consider that matter as closed.

The Tribunal will now rase for about 10 minutes, after

MA Aug 47-M-BJ-8-3-Maloy-(Frank)
Court I, Case VI.

which the arraignment of the defendants will proceed without further interruption.

(Thereupon a 10-minute racess was taken.)

1h Aug-M-MB-9-1-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: The Secretary-General will proceed with the calling of the defendants for arraignment.

THE SECRETARY-GENERAL: Carl Frauch.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Carl Krauch, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT KROUCH: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DFFEMDANT FFAUCH: Yes, sir.

THE PESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to reed the indictment?

DEFENDANT EPAUCH: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT KRAUCH: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Carl Frauch, how do you pleed to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT KROUCH: Not guilty.

THE PRESIDENT: You may be seated.

THE SECHETARY-GENERAL: HE PMINN SCHMITZ.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Hermann Schmitz, heve you counsel?

DEFENDANT SCHMITZ: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the Garman language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT SCHMITZ: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT SCHMITZ: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT SCHWITZ: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Hermann Schmitz, how do you plead to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT SCHMITZ: Not under any circumstances guilty.

14 Aug-M-MB-9-2-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Georg Von Schnitzler.

THE PRESIDENT: Georg Von Schnitcler, heve you counsel?

DEFENDANT VON SCHNITZLER: Yes.

THE PFFSIDFNT: Hes the indictment in the German language been served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT VON SCHNITZLER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Mave you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT VON SCHNITZLEF: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT VON SCHNITZLEP: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Georg Von Schnitzler, how do you plend to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT VON SCHNITZLES: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Fritz Gajewski.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Fritz Gajewaki, have you counsel?
DFFENDANT GAJENSKI: Yes.

THE PRESTRENT: Wes the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT GAJSWSKI: Yes.

THE PERSIDENT: Howe you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT GAJESSKI: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you reed the indictment?

DEFENDANT GAJEWSKI: Yes.

THE PHESIDENT: Defendent Fritz Gajewski, how do you pleed to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT GAJEWSKI: Not under any circumstances guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Heinrich Hoerlein.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Hoerlein, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT HOEFIEIN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German lenguage

11 Nug-M- MB-9-3-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT HOEFLEIN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT HOEFLEIN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you reed the indictment?

DEFENDANT HOEFLEIN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Heinrich Hoerlein, how do you plead to this indictment, guilty or not guilty.

DEFENDANT HOEFLEIN: Not guilty.

THE SECRET HY GENER'L: August Von Knieriem.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant 'ugust Von Knieriem, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT VON KNIERIEM: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT VON KHIEFIEM: Yes.

THE PERSIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indistment?

DEFENDANT VON KNIERIEM: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT VON ENTERIEM: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you plend to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT VON ENTERIEM: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Fritz Ter Meer.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Fritz Ter Mer, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT TEP WEER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT TER MEER: Yes, yes.

THE PFESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment? 14 Aug-M-MB-9-4-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

DEFENDING TER WEER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT TER MEER: Yes.

THE PHESIDENT: Defendent Fritz Ter Meer, how do you plead to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT TER MEER: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Defendant Christian Schneider.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Christian Schneider, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT TER MEEF: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDINT SCHNETDER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT SCHWEIDER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT SCHNEIPER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Christian Schneider, how do you pleed to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFEND INT SCHNETNER: Not guilty.

THE SECIPT PY GENERAL: Otto Ambros.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Otto 'mbros, have you counsel?
DEFENDANT 'MBPOS: Yes.

THE PHESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFEND'NT 'VBROS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT AMBROS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT AMBROS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Otto Ambros, how do you pland to

14 Nug-M-MB-9-5-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

the indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT 'MBROS: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Ernst Buergin.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Ernst Suergin, have you counsel?

DEFENDINT BUEFGIN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT BUEFGIN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT BUEFGIN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Ernst Buergin, how do you plend to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT BUEFGIN: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Heinrich Bustefisch.

THE PESSIDENT: Defendent Heinrich Buetefisch, have you counse."

DFFEND'NT BURTEFISCH: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT BUETEFISCH: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT BUETEFISCH: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Howe you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT BUETEFLISCH: Tes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you pleed to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT BUETEFISCH: Not guilty.

THE SECRET FRY GENERAL: Paul Haefliger.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Paul Haefliger, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT HARFLIGEF: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language

1h Aug-M-MB-9-6-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT HARFLIGEF: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT HAEFLIGER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT HABFLIGER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you pleed to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT EAEPLIGER: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Max Tigner.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Max Ilgner, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT ILGNER: You.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFEND 'NT ILCNER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT ILGNER: You.

THE PHESIDENT: Did you reed the indictment?

DEFENDANT ILGNER: Yes.

THE PHESIDENT: How do you pleed to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT ILGNEF: Not guilty.

THE SECRET'NY GEWER'L: Friedrich Jachne.

THE PHESIDEMT: Defendent Friedrich Jeehne, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT JABHNE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT JAEHNE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment? 14 Aug-M-MB-9-7-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

DEFEND OF J'ENNE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT J'ERNE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you ple=d to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT JAEHNE: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Hens Kuehne.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Hens Kuehne, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT KUEHNE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT KUEHME: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT KUERNE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Hove you read the indictment?

DEFRMD MT FUERNE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you pleed to the indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT RUESNE: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENER'L: Wilhelm Menn.

THE PERSIDENT: Defendent Wilhelm Mann, have you cornect?

DEFENDANT MANN: Yes:

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT MANN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEVENDANT MANN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you reed the indictment?

DEFEND'NT MANN: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you pleed to this indictment, guilty

or not guilty?

1h Aug-M-MB-9-8-Foster (Int. Frank) Court No. I, Case No. 6.

DEFENDANT MANN: Not guilty.

THE SECRET'RY GENER'L: Heinrich Oster.

THE PHESIDENT: Defendent Heinrich Oster, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT OSTEF: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT OSTEP: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT OSTEP: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you rend the indictment?

DEFENDANT OSTEP: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you pleed to the indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFEND'NT OSTER: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Walter Duerrfeld.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Welter Duerrfeld, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT DUEPRFELD: Yea.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the Garman language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT OSTEP: Tes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT D'EPHFELD: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you rend the indictment?

DEFENDANT DUERFFELD: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you pleed to the indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFEND'NT DUERFFELD: Not guilty.

THE SECRET'RY GENERAL: Heinrich Cettine au.

THE PHESIDENT: Defendant Heinrich Gettinesu, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT GATTINEAU: Yes. 32

The Aug-M-MB-9-9-Foster (Int. Frank)
Court No. I, Case No. 6.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFEND INT G'TTINE 'U: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFEND'NT G'TTINEAU: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT GATTINEAU: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you pleed to this indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFEND'NY GATTINEAU: Not guilty.

THE SECRET MY GENERAL: Erich von Der Heyde.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendent Erich von Der Heyde, have you counsel?
DEFENDANT VON DEP HEYDE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German Language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT VON DEP HEYDE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT VON DEP HEYDE: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT VON DEP HEYDE: Yen.

THE PRESIDENT: How do you plend to the indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT VON DER HEYDE: Not guilty.

THE SECRETARY GENERAL: Hons Kugler.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Hans Kugler, have you counsel?

DEFENDANT RUGLER: Yes.

THE PHESIDENT: Was the indictment in the German language served upon you at least 30 days ago?

DEFENDANT KUGLER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

Court No. I, Cose No. 6.

DEFENDANT KUGLER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

DEFENDANT FUGLER: Yes.

THE PRESTREMT: How do you pleed to the indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT KUGLERS Not guilty.

THE PRESIDENT: '11 of the defendants now present having snawered that they have had an opportunity to read the indictment and that they have read the indictment, there appears to be no reason why the indictment should be read again. Unless there is an objection, the formal reading of the indictment will be considered to have been waived. There being no such objection, it is so ordered.

We are advised that this court room will not be available for the purposes of this case for a few days. In the meantime, there may be some matters which the Tribunal may desire to take up with counsel in chambers. There are some pending motions with respect to which we should like to be advised and if it is contemplated that other motions may be filed, we will appreciate it, if counsel will get them to us as promptly as possible so that we may consider them and hear you in the meanwhile.

The Tribunal will now be in recess until Wednesday, August 27, et 9:30 o'clock in the morning. The Tribunal will now rise.

The Tribunel adjourned to meet Wednesday, 27 sugust 1947, et 9:30 o'clock.

Consistence of the Prosecutione Consistence to the angl. Transcrip Historic Page 45 to Page 676 filed after this houses 1 to

Murnberg, Germany UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Against

KRAUCH and Others (Case VI)

FIRST MOTION OF THE PROSECUTION TO CORRECT THE ENGLISH TRANSCRIPE

- 1. The prosecution herewith moves that the Tribunal order that the corrections indicated below be ende in the official mimeographed copies of the English transcript.
- 2. In this commestion, the prosecution suggests that the Tribunal set a date certain (a week or ten days honge) by which the defence either agree to the corrections stated below or else states in writing its counter-proposals, if any, to these suggested corrections. Meanwhile representatives of the prosecution and the defense can discuss any disagreements and attempt to arrive at a stipulation on any items which may be in issue initially.

Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION_
1. 45	30	Add footnote number "1" after last word.
2. 45		Piece following footnote at bottom of page: 1. Report of U.S. Alica Property Custodian (1919), pp. 25-25.
3, 46	13-14	Delete the following: "1. Report of U.S. Alien Property Custodian (1919), pp. 25-26."
4. 51	27	Delete as repetition the following: "The Gerran chemical plants."
5. 61	28	Change "other solved" to read "other was solved".
6. 66	28	Change "submit more" to read "submit no
7. 70	30	Change "colenting" to "cementing".

inge "colenting" to "cementing".

Transcript		· ·
page	Line(s) _	FROPOSED_CORRECTION
8. 71	10-11	Delete as repetition from "Inasmuch", fourth
		word in line 10, to "evidence", fifth word
411		in line 11.
9. 77	2	Change "who were work in organization" to
		read "the were the authors of thic war,
		they did achieve a stupendous work in
		organization."
10. 93	16	Indent entire paragraph (quotation).
11. 93	14-21	Indent entire paragraph (quotation).
12, 105	3	Delete period after "concerns".
13. 107	1	No new paragraph; small "w" in "written"
		(continued sentence).
14, 115		Should be renumbered "115".
15. 116		Should be remmbered "115".
16. 120	1-6	Indent (continuation of quotation at end
		of page 119).
17. 140	22	Change "the German word La (Funhrer)" to
		read "(the German word is "Fuehrer")."
18, 160	1	Begin paragraph with quotation marks.
19. 193	16	"von Heyde" should be "von Heider".
20, 195	4	Change "tenature" to "premature".
21. 199	22	Change "that a great documentary evidence."
		to read "that there is a great amount of
		documentary evidence."

1000	ecript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION .
22.	203	-50	Delete "each document center established -".
23.	313	31	*MI - 5631" should be "NI - 6531".
24.	238	16	"Pontesheim" should be "Preungesheim".
25.	228	24	"Pontenhe:n" should be "Preumgesheim".
26.	233	14	Change "might to towards" to read "might
			lead towards".
27.	233	15	Change "bestere that certain statements
		8 -	have been made this morning concerning the
			prior notice in the TMP. " to read "before
			when certain statements were made this
			morning concerning the prior practice in
			the IMT."
28.	233	21	Change "this a form for" to read "this a
,			forum for".
29.	233	27-28	Change "we would be able to save a lit of
			dilatory practice so" to read "we may be
			able to save a lot of dilatery practice
			and sos.
30.	236	2-3	Delote as repetition all of line 2 and first
			word "being" of line 3.
31.	236	13	Change "executed and did" to read "executed
			in English and we did".
32.	252	1	"Weskott" should be "Baessler".
33.	270 _	24-27	Change "to mark for identification. That
			is a statement of the defendant Gattineau
		-	with respect to Prosecution Exhibit 27
			177

Twat	script				
page		Line(s) _		PROPOSED CORRECTION	
		7 *	-	marked for identification." to read "to mark	
	-			also for identification as Exhibit 27,	
1		-		Pocument WI 5170, the statement of the	
-				defendant Gattineau with respect to	
	1			Prosecution Exhibit 26."	
34.	271	15		"interference" should be "inference",	
35.	276	23		"attention" should be "protection".	
36.	280	15		"subsidiaries" should be "subsidies".	
37.	280	16		"subsidiaries" should be "subsidies".	
38.	281	27		"since reintentions" should be "sincere	
				intentions".	
39.	286	29	9.	"44" should be "34".	
40.	287	26		"prejudiced" should be "prejudicial".	
41.	295	23		"overlook" should be "chack".	
42.	304	3		"Dewell" should be "Devine".	
43.	306	28		Change "any intentionally" to read "any	
				untruth intentionally".	
44.	310	2		"errors" should be "corrections".	
45.	314	13		"Strauss" should be "Struss".	
46.	315	21		"make" should be "read".	
47.	316	4-5		Change "They give me Ter Meer, in the	
		-24		first instance, to understand here I must"	
				to read "They gave me - Ter Meer, in the	
				first instance - to understand Here	
	1			I must".	
			-	3+(-4-)	

Transcript		Linefel _	PROPOSED CORRECTION
48.	324	5	"Course" should be "coercion".
49.	325	26	Change "proposition" to read "proposition that".
50.	328	8	"delegate Schwidt" should be "minister Schwidt".
51.	340	20	"NI - 16029" should be "NI - 10029".
52.	345	1	Change "Then identify the" to read "Then he identifies the".
53.	347	3	Delete "book" (fifth word in line).
54.	349	19	"\$300,000" should be "RM 500,000".
55.	350	13	Change "to discretion" to read "to use discretion".
56.	350	15	Place "Mr. AMCRAN:" at beginning of line before "There followed".
57.	355	2	Change "dooungst book" to read "indictment".
58.	358	28	Change "gesetz" to read "legal gazette".
59.	368	14	Change "a German in the" to read "a General on the".
60.	373	17	"1945" should be "1935".
61.	381	30	Delete quotation marks before "this is". Change "war report office" to read "war office report".
62.	386	14	"Ribber" should be "Fitter".

Par	nscript		
	Pege	Line(s)_	PROPOSED CORRECTION
63.	392	-8	Change "MR. SPRECHER: Yes, Your Honors".
			to read:
			THE MARSHALL: Yes.
			MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors.
64.	394	4	Change "was a conference," to read "related
			to a conference of military officials at
			which,".
65.	395	30	"of dynamita" should be "of Dynamit A.G.".
56.	403	31	"about secret plans," should be "about
			secret plants.".
67.	406	27	"NI = 5187," should be "N1. 5497,".
68.	407	13	Delete the word "to" before "a 100 percent
			I,G.8.
69.	417	9	"General von Heider" should be "Mr. von
			Heider".
70.	422	24	Change "taken over for Sparte 2." to read
			"taken over for Sparte 1!".
71.	429	11	"then now" should be "them now."
72.	429	14	Change "they can be put in evidence with less"
			to read "can be put in evidence later with
			less*.
73.	429	18	Change "The later document to" to read "The
			documents later than".
74	431	5	"on" should be "one".
	301		are amount of love."

	script	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
75.	432	2	Change "of these" to read "of which these".
76.	433	21	Charge "page 59 and to" to read "pages 59 and 60".
77.	433	24	Change comma after Vermittlungsstelle W to e period. Begin new sentence with "Whereas".
78.	433	32	Change "coordination of the needed" to read "coordination which needed".
79.	434	4	Change "pages 51 to twr" to read "pages 61 to 62".
80.	434	13	Change "were taken together here, were" to read "when taken together here, in".
91.	435	2	Change "page 43 to the pages" to read "page 43 of the English and to pages".
82.	437	15	Change "concern I.G. Farben that" to read "concerning I.G. Farben to prove that".
83.	438	12	Delete the number "1" before the quotation marks.
84.	438	13	Place the number "1" inside the quotation marks at beginning of sentence.
85.	438	16	Place the number "2" inside the quotation marks at beginning of sentence.
85.	440	9	Change "that the industry" to read "that of the industry".
87.	445	21	"explasion" should be "expansion".

	ege	Line(s)_	PROPOSED CORRECTION
88.	446	7-	Change "prosecution of maybe" to read
			"prosecution or maybe".
89.	448	25	"kesp" should be "give".
90.	448	26	First word "end" should be "and". Place
			period after "numbers," Change "NI - 6912"
			to read "NI - 6192".
91.	448	27	Change comma after "Exhibit 153" to period.
			Begin new sentence with "This".
92.	455	16-17	Change comma after "conference" to portod.
			Change "the number of lawyers and raised
			points" to read "A number of lawyers raised
			points:".
93.	456	8-9	Change comma after "plants" to period. Begin
			new sentence with "Mornelly" in next line.
94.	460	26	Change "was industry" to read "war industry".
95.	464	14	"exercised" should be "exercises",
96.	464	25	"Sparte A - 2" should be "Sparte 2".
97.	466	10	"joint" should be "giant".
98.	469	23	Place quotation marks before "which".
99.	473	7-8	Delete me repetition all of line 7 and first
			word "Schneider" in line 8.
100.	476	10	"production plans" should be "production
			plants".

	cript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1.0	477	9	"Gattineau" should be "Noack". Delete "of
55.0			Nosok's, the last two words in line.
102.	479	9-10	Change "numeral II, remarks, as in Fall B"
			to reed "numeral II, the remark "as in Fall
			3.".
103.	479	31	"objective" should be "objected",
104.	481	3	Change "matters in conflict" to read
			"matters owt old conflict.".
105.	485	4	Change "to page," to read "to the end
			of the page.".
196.	485	19	"62 or 62" should be "62 or 65".
107.	485	26	"peroration" should be "coordination".
108.	487	4	Change "which follows any possible was
			publically known" to "which removes any
			possible doubt as to what was publicly
			known*.
109.	487	15	"craft," should be "protestion,".
110.	488	7	Change "figures of farben" to read
			"figures for Farben".
111.	488	8	Change "Farven is at comlation of to
			read "Farben is mining at correlation of".
112.	498	9	Change last word in line "for" to "with".
113.	468	12	Change "are in plants" to read "involve
			the plants",

	cript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CONFECTION
114.	488	20	Change comma after "plant" to period. Hegin
			new sentence with "However",
115.	488	21	"Bund" should be "Buna". Change "is offered."
1			to read "resulted,".
116.	488	23-24	Change "which of course had taken place
			discussions, " to read "discussions had taken
			place".
117,	488	25	Change "stand-by plants." to read "stand-by
			plants for certain specific products.
118.	488	25-29	Change last sentence in paragraph to read as
			follows: "I will pass up the specific
			products now because I think that later with
			the mid of an expert witness about the
			significance of some of these products, it
			will take up less time."
119.	488	31	Change "is to be represents the intimary" to
			read "represents the epitone".
120.	489	4	Change "manomatons situations situation" to
			read "anamolous situation." Pegin new
			sentence with "Krauch".
ISI.	489	7	Change "then Goering" to read "than Gooring".
			Delete as repetition last three words in
			line "et that time,".
122.	489	8	Change first word "at" to "in". Place
			period after "office." Begin new sentence
			"And he addressed". Delete last word in
			line "of".
			246

34(-10-)

	cript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
	489	9	Delete first word "course.". Change Fand
			Worster were jointly in charge" to read
			Fand Wurster were, of course, jointly in
			charge,".
120.	489	14	Change "about the time of Munich," to read
			Rof Austria and about the time of Numich. ".
125.	489	16	"tand" should be "plans".
126.	489	18	"Board of the" should be "Board or the".
127.	489	19	Change "a points to certain prorogatives"
			to begin a new sentence and to read "Re
			points to certain of his prorogatives".
128.	489	22	Change "last he mentions;" to real "last
			paragraph he mentions:".
129.	689	30	Change "presecution will require to rely on"
			to read "prosecution, if required to, could
			rely on",
130.	490	10	Delete word "quote".
131.	490	14	Change "opinion: material" to rend "opinion
			on meterial".
132.	490	16	Change comma after "215" to period. Begin
			new sentence with "Here".
133.	490	17	"Hierschel" should be "Hirschel".
134.	490	25	Change "with increase" to read "will increase".
135.	490	26	Delete quotation marks after "future".

Transcript		Line(s) _	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
136.	491	1	Change "id we amy" to read "if we may".	
137.	491	18	Change "ti is rather interestion" to read "it is rather interesting".	
138.	491	29	"amounts" should be "amount". Insert "by" after "day" and before "the", the last two	
139.	492	29	"Sparte II" should read "Sparte III". Last two words "on of" should be "one of".	
140.	492	31	"is Leverkusen" should be "in Leverkusen".	
141.	495	21	"Bodesdick" should be "Britestick".	
142.	497	21	"117, 118, and 121" whould be "117, 118 and 119.",	
143.	512	15	Change comma to period after "text." Change "which escaped" to "It escaped", beginning a new sentence.	
144.	516	21	Change "I.G. Farbon expect" to read "I.G. Farbon and I expect".	
145.	518	14	Change last word in line "secretary" to "Chief".	
146.	519	5	Delete "which", first word in line.	
147.	521	32	Change "as the index" to read "in the index".	
148.	522	i	Change "to", first word in line, to "as".	
149.	522	20	"not" should be "note".	
			34 (-12-)	

1

-

Transcript		Lipe(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
150.	532	30	Change "there was" to read "is".
151.	533	3	Delete "this indicated", last two words in
			lipe.
152.	534	1	Change "Document" to "Exhibit"-
153.	535	18	Delete "in" before "documents".
154.	535	19	"repetition" should be "representation".
155.	535	27	"notice" should be "alleged".
156.	536	22	"comes" should be "come".
157.	536	23	Change last half of sentence "in goes
			Vermittlungsstelle W in what, as a" to
			read as follows:
			secondly with Vermittlungsstelle W.
			"As u
158.	536	25	Place quotation carks after "Vermittlungs-
			stelle".
159.	536	36	Delete "as", second word in line.
160.	539	2	Delete period and "It is" after "indicated".
			Change the next word "on" to cin".
161.	539	12	Change last word "as" to "of".
162.	539	17	"introduction" should be "reproduction".
163.	540	1	Change "that the origin" to read "that
			concerning the origin".
184.	54C	3	Place period after "proof". Delete dash
			and question mark.

34 (-13-)

	cript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CONTROLTION
165.	541	10	"7126" should be "7136".
166.	547	1	"planned" should be "plan".
167.	553	24	"Sparte Chief" should be "Sporte
			representative".
168.	563	2	Second word "for" should be "or".
169.	569	24	"ready" should be "read".
170.	569	28	"from" should be "over".
171.	570	8	Delete "and", second to last word in line.
173.	577	25	Delete "in", before "c distinution".
173.	587	4	Change first word "of" to "with".
174.	589	9	"action" should be "acting".
175.	594	29	"stated," should be "started."-
176.	595	5	"amened" should be "amended".
177.	617	28	"frieed" should be "freed",
178,	625	16	"included" should be "inclined".
179.	629	23	Change "English Document XIV." to read
			"English Document Book XIV,".
180.	632	29-30	Place quotation marks before "member" in
			line 29 and ofter "bureau" in line 30.
181.	633	6	Put parentheses around "administrative
			council". Change "Sar" to read "Azot S.A.R.".

	cript	Line(s) _	PROPOSED CORRECTION
182.	633	7	Place quotation marks after "foundation.".
183.	633	12	Place quotation marks after "Pressburg.".
184.	648	10	"absolved" should be "absorbed".
185.	649	5	Change "is order" to read "is in order".
186.	649	27	Change "There's rather" to read "There's a rather".
187.	650	5	Change "Then, which had been carried" to read "principle, which had been carried".
188.	651	ė	"question," should be "objection."
189.	651	12	"plant" should be "plants".
190.	651	16	"Works Combine," should be "Works Combines,".
191.	552	2	done-up" should be "make-up".
192.	652	51	"Mi Wogen" should be "Nitrogen".
193.	653	1	"Gelman" should be "German".
194.	653	4	"Officers" should be "Offices".
195.	656	24	Delete quotation marks before "All".
196.	656	27	Delete quotation marks after "corporations.".
197.	657	6	"GR" should be "I.G.".
198.	658	19	Change "Dr. Boach Duisberg," to read "Dr. Boach and/or Duisberg,".
199.	658	24	Change "later, on" to read "later, was on".

ap.

Transcript		Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
200.	659	3	"shows," should be "chose,".
201.	662	12	Insert "was" before "a", last word in line.
505*	570	1	Change period to dash after "explanation".
203.	670	53	Change "matter of reports graphically showny."
			to read "matters it purports graphically to
			show.".
204.	671	25	Insert the word "points" after "ter Neer".
205.	672	20	Change comma to period after Verstand.
			Change third word in line "them" to "then".
			Change "thenatyre" to read "the nature".
306.	672	29	Delete "the persons and".
207.	673	4	"group" should be "groups".
206.	673	5	"points" should be "periods". "examittee"
			should be "constitues".
209.	673	27	"KE" should be "KA".
210.	674	18	Dolete second word in line "was".
211.	676	2	Chance comma to period after "Voretand."
			Begin new sentence with "Legally".

D.A. SPRECHER Chief, Ferben Trial Team

For: TELFORD TAYLOR Brig. Gen. USA Chief of Counsel 17 5

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal No. 6 in the matter of the United States of America against CARL KRAUCH of defendants, sitting at Nurnberg, Germany of 27 August 1947, 0930, Justice SHAKE presiding.

THE MARSHAL: The Honorable, The Judges of Military Tribunal VI.

Military Tribunal VI is now in session. Cod save the United States of America and this Honorable Tribunal. There will be order in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: Military Pribunel VI is now in session.

MR. Marshal, are the defendants in the dock?

THE MARSHAL: May it please Your Honors, all the defendants are present with the exception of the defendants Wurster and Brueggemann who are absort in the hospital.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will proceed with the arraignment of one of the defendants who was excused from attendance at the opening session.

The defendant Kerl Lautenschlasger will pleasa stand for arraignment.

Defendant Karl Lautenschlaeger, have you counsel?
DEFENDANT LAUTENSCHLAEGER: Yes.

THE TRESIDENT: Was the indictment in the Garman language served upon you at least thirty days ago?

DEFENDANT LAUTENSCHLARGER: Yes.

THE HESIDENT: Have you ked an opportunity to

DEFENDANT LAUTENSCHLAEGER: Yde.

THE HESIDENT: Have you read the indictment?

THE HESIDENT: How do you plead to the indictment, guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT LAUTENSCHLAEGER: Not guilty.
THE PRESIDENT: You may be seated.

The Tribunal will, at this time, state, for the record and for the information of counsel, its reasons for an order entered yesterday overruling the defendants, attack upon the indictment and denying their motion for a continuance of the trial.

By a motion, dated 18th august, 1947, filed on the 20th of sugust, 1947, counsel of the defendants in this case have submitted written objections directed against the legal sufficiency of the indictment, and, on behalf of all defense counsel, this Tribunal has been requested to reject the indictment as "insufficiently substantiated." The motion, reiterating objections made orally on 14th August, 1947, at the arraignment of the defendants, prgues that the indictment does not achtain sufficient particulars involving individual defendants to substantiate the indictment. This Tribunal is requested, in the alternative, to direct the prosecution to make the indictment more specific as to time, place and circumstances upon which the charges are based as regards each individual defendant. The motion further requests the Tribunal to grant a postponoment of six menths to permit the defendants to prepare their respective defenses to the indictment.

This Tribunal has entored an order denying this petition in all of its aspects. Because of the insistence with which these requests have been presented, the Tribunal desires briefly to record its reason for the denial of the petition.

(1) In the opinion of this Tribunal, the

indictment is legally sufficient within the intender of article IV of Ordinance No. 7, Military Government for Garmany. The requirements of the ordinance that "the indictment shall state the charges plainly, concisely, and with sufficient particulars to inform the defendants of the offenses charged" are adequately mot in this case. Counts I, II, III and V of the indictment constitute charges against all the defendants, who are alleged to have acted "through the instrumentality of Ferbon and otherwise, with diverse other persons" in the commission of the apacific orimas defined in Article II of Control Council Law No. 10, referred to in each count of the indictment. Those numerous portions of the indictment referring to specific acts or conduct engaged in by the I.C. Farba Industry constitute allegations charging the defendants with criminal responsibility for the acts involved, all as sot out in the indictment. Whether or not any or all of the defendants are so responsible is a matter of proof, not to be anticipated by the motion for further particulars filed therein.

Appendix A to the indictment may properly be viewed in the nature of a bill of further particulars. It contains, in detail, a statement of the high positions held by each of the defendants in the I.G. Farben Industry and in the financial, industrial and economic life of Germany, and of the respective high positions held by each of the defendants in the political, military and civil life. It is furthermore specifically alleged in Appendix A to the indictment that each of the defendants committed Crimes against

Peace as set forth in Count one, and War Crimes and Crimos against Humanity as set forth in Counts Two. Three, and Five by using their high positions and personal influence for participation in the commission of the crimes as charged. appendix A of the indictment may therefore be properly viewed as supplementing the general charges in the indictment, to the same extent that Appendix A of the indictment against the major war criminals before the International Dilitary Tribunal served a similar purpose in that case. In view of the Tribunal, the indictment, together with the appendix, is sufficiently in detail in order fairly to apprize each of the defendants of the crimes charged against him. Thora is no logal requirement that the indictment must state onch and overy feet or item of evidence upon which the presecution's charges are based. To the extent that the motion filed on behalf of the defendants would demend such particulars, it amounts to anticipating matters of defense. The indictment is, therefore, legally sufficient under Ordinance # 7.

(2) Motion for a three months, postponement in this case was proviously considered by the presiding judges of the Military Tribunals and denied, under date of 30 July 1947. The present potition for a six months, postponement amounts merely to a reiteration of the defendants, request previously considered and denied.

In the opinion of this Tribunal, no substantial rights of the defendants are denied by refusing the patition for a six months, postponement of the case. The defendants have had almost four months in which to prepare their defense. Further time for the preparation of the defense

will be afforded during the period required for the presentation of the presentation's gage. The defense is already in possession of numerous documents upon which the presentation will rely. If, as the trial progresses, it appears on proper application to the Tribunal that a continuance is necessary, such application will be then sensidered in the light of the particular circumstances than involved.

This Tribunal is in full no. Id with the opinion of the presiding judges dated 30 July 1947 that the application for a continuance should be denied at this time.

The Tribunal is now

DR. BOMTCHER (Defense Counsel for defendant Krauch): Your Honors, I should like to make a brief statement.

Since the motion of defense counsel to reject the indictment as not substantiated has been rejected, it is necessary for the defense to point out the following. The Encyclopedia of Federal Procedure in paragraph 2135 states that the defendant cannot complain about the rejection of his motion to reject the indictment unless he has objected to the denial of the motion at the time of the decision. This objection is hereby raised by the defense, in order to reserve all rights arising from this denial.

THE PRESIDENT: The record will so indicate.

The Tribunal is now ready to hear the opening statement of the presecution.

GENERAL TAYLOR: May it please the Tribunal,

The grave charges in this case have not open laid cafore the Tribunal desually or unreflectingly. The indictment
accuses these men of major responsibility for visiting upon
manking the must searing and datastrophic war in modern history. It accuses them of wholesale enslavement, plunder, and
murder. These are terrible charges; no man should underwrite
them frivolously or vengefully, or without deep and humble
avareness of the responsibility which he thereby shoulders.
There is no laughter in this case; neither is there any hate.

The world around us bears not the slightest resemblance to the Elysian Fields. The face of this continent is hideously scarred and its voice is a ditter shell; sverywhere man's works lie in ruins, and the standard of existence is purgatorial. The first helf of this century has been a black era; most of its years have been years of war, or of open menace, or of painful aftermeth, and he who seeks today to withese oppression, violence, or warfare need not choose his direction too carefully not bravel very far. Shell it be said, then, that all of us, including these defendants, are out the children of a poisoned span, and coes the guilt for the wrack and torment of these times defy apportionment?

It is easy thus to settle pack with a philosophic shrug or a weary sigh. Assignation and detachment may be inviting, but they are a fatal abdication. God gave us thus earth to be cultivated as a garden, and not to be turned into a stinking pile of rubble and refuse. If the times be out of joint, that is not to be accepted as a define scourge, or the working of an inscrutable fate which men are powerless to effect. At the root of these troubles are human failings, and they are only to be overcome by purifying the soul and exerting the mind and body.

This case, like any criminal proceeding, finds its

justification only as part of this process of redemption reconstruction. We have been told from the Mountain to judge not, that we be not judged, and we will do well to reflect upon and seek to comprehend this profound prohibition. It is at once the touchstone of the judicial process, and the core of this particular and fateful proceeding.

This solemn injunction, far from being a par to the jurisdiction of the Tribunal, is its foundation. It reminds the individual of his own frailty and fallicitity. It is not for any man to pierce the vail and divine the great absolutes. The Judge must not judge in his own name or uninstructed; he judges under laws derived from reverse scriptures and the wisdom of the ages, and declared or commonly accepted as binding by the community, large or small, whose agent and servant he is. That is why the judichal robe is a germent of humility, not of bride.

but this mandate is not for judges only; it is universal. It warns man not to set himself up as better than his fellows, and not to impose his personal notions of good and evil on his neighbours. It is an exhortation against arrogance, presumption, and vanity. It is the divine ordinance of rights and duties along men. from it are derived all the great problamations of human dignity in modern times, and on it are bottomed the very principles of law under which these defendants are to be tried.

The crimes with which these man are charged were not committed in rage, or under the stress of sudden temptation; they were not the slips or labers of otherwise well-ordered man. One does not build a stupendous war machine in a fit of passion, nor an Auschwitz factory during a passing spasm of brutality. What these man did was done with the utmost deliberation and would, I venture to surmise, be repeated if

the opportunity should recur. There will be no misteking truthless purposefulness with which the defendants embarked upon their course of conduct.

That purpose was to turn the sermen nation into a military machine and suild it into an engine of destruction so terrifyingly formidable that Germany could, by brutal threats and if necessary by wer, impose her will and her dominion on Europe and, later, on other nations beyond the ease. In this arrogant and supremely criminal savent re, the defendants were easer and leading participants. They joined instamoing out the flame of liberty, and in subjecting the German people to the monstrous, prinding tyranny of the Third Reich, whose purpose it was to brutalize the nation and fill the people with hate. They marshalled their imperial resources and focused their very formidable telente to forge the weapens and other implements of conquest which spread the German ter. They were the ware and woof of the dark mantle of death that settled over Europe.

marsly overzealous, and perhaps mis wided, patriots. We will hear it said that all they planned to do wer what any patriotic cusinessman would have done under similar circumstances. The Jerman Wehrmacht was weak; they helped to make it strong. They were responsible for the management of a vast industry of international scope, and a strong Germany would help to make their enterprise more profitable. As for the carnage of war and the slaughter of innocents, those were the regrettable deeds of Hitler and the Nozis, to whose dictatorship they, too, were subject. What has happened is indeed most unfortunate, they will admit, but we will be assured that there is nothing that any of them could possibly have done shout it.

However plausible, this is not the truth. These are men who stooped at nothing. They were the magicians who was the fantasias of "Main Kemof" come true. They were the guardcans of the military and state sacrets of the Third Reich. They were the master builders of the debrascht; they and very for others knew just how many simplene and truck tires and tank treads were ceing quilt from Farcan puns rucpar and just now large the stock cile of exclosives was. They knew every datail of the intricate and enormous an ine of warfere, and watched its growth with an architect's pride. They knew that the engine was going to or used, and they planned to use it themselves. Europe was dotted with mines and factories which they coveted, and for each step in the march of conquest there was a program of industrial plunder which was out into prompt and ruthless execution. These are the men who made wer porsible, and they did it because they wanted to conquer.

Did they blan en samy yoke for the becoles they ware determined to subject? Were they benevol nt despots under whose sway the humanities would flourish? Can we hear my note of idealish cut through the din and clan or of war? In 1940, the defendants were planning the construction of their fourth plant for the menufacture of synthetic rupper, the output of which would be vitall, necessary if the war was to be long continued. They decided to oulld it in eastern Zurope, and the defendent amores went prospecting for a suitsole location. In conquered Polent, Amoros was snown a town "nere one of Himmler's largest concentration camps had just been built. The town was Oswiecim, known to the Germans as Auschwitz. Ambros found the site otherwise suitable, and was particularly interested in the possibility of using the concentraion camp inmates to erect the plant, all of which was reported to the other defendants. They awreed, and

10

construction of the Farben Suschwitz plant was promotly undertaken. What hep-shed at Auschwitz during those years will later be set forth in some detail. Himmler, for a crice, furnished the defendants with the miserable inmates of his camp, who slaved and died to build the bune factory. It is a revolting story of brutality and murder. But this scheme was part of the standard pattern of the Third Reich, and it found wreat favour with the defendants. In 1982, a fifth bune plant was projected, and the defendant grouph wrote to Hein-rich Himmler:

I was particular cleased to hear that...you hinted that you may cossibly sid the excension of enother synthetic fectory, which I consider epsolutely essential for securing ruccer supplies, in a similar way as was done at auschwitz, by making available inmates of your camps if necessary. I have also written to Kinister Speer to this affect and would be grateful if you would continue sponsoring and aiding us in this metter.

These words might have been written of any of the defendants, "t is a letter of unmitigated presumtion and scorn for the laws of God and men. These men fered to judge. They judged themselves alone as fit to sway the destiny of the world. They judged themselves entitled to subjugate and to command. They judged themselves entitled to subjugate and to be untouchable. All their judgments sprang from a nottom-less vanity and an insatiscle ampition which exalted their own power as the supreme and only good. They randered and executed these arrogant pronouncements with whip and sword. There is herdly a country in Surope that excaped the ownege which these men loosed, and the day will surely come when their own countrymen will fully grasp what a catastrophic

44

accomination they worked for Germany. It is no set of wear to but an inescapable and solean duty, to test the conduct of these mon by the laws and commandments which they dered to disavow.

exposition, but we are concerned here with 23 individuals who coccupied key positions in a memmoth and intricate industrial astablishment. In order to understand this case, it is necessary to your a seneral knowledge of the history and structure of the Farban Empire, and of now several Cafendants fitted into the organization.

about the time of the War between the States in America, and during the period when Bismarch was effecting too unification of Garmany, a number of enterprises frew up in western usrmany, particularly in the Anine Valley, for the manufactor of synthetic dyes and a few ossic chamicals. These concerns grew rapidly with the progress of science, and to meet the needs of modern industrial aconomy for commical products. Yery soon numerous other syntactic products were discovered. The traditional werman acility in scientific research and technique made itself soundantly apprent as these firms prospered. Bismerck, eachy others, was muick to preso the tramendous implications of chamistry in the modern world, and the German covernment 616 much to foster chemical research and the expansion of chamical fac'lities. The result was that the German chamical industry, particularly in the manufacture of dyes and in chemical research, far outstrioped its rivals in other countries. The Alien Property Custodien of the United States, in his report in 1919 on the chemical industry, caclared that:

The German chamical industry, which had so throughly penatrated and permeated out own, was gigentic, per-

haps the strongest, and certainly the most remunerative of all Teutonic industries....

From about the middle of the mineteenth century, the

practical application of chamical science began to occupy the attention of a constantly increasing number of the best scientific end industrial minds of Germany. A compination of natural advantages and national characteristics lad to rando advance These edvantages were made use of to an extent nowhere else approached, pec-use from a commaratively early date the importance of research work to practical industry was firmly prosped by both ...---1. Report of U. S. alien Property Custodian (1919), pp. 25-26 the industrial and tovernmental ruling classes. The allience of the canufacturer and the university professor paceme constantly closer. To mest the needs pointed out by the industrial lenders, armies of slodding, but nevertheless skillful, chemists completed numbreds of thousands of separate researches. The results of these kept the wernen onemical industry constantly in the van -- slways somewhat sheed of their competitors in other countries in the way of new processes and products.

The technical complexity of the synthetic dys industry and particularly the inevitable production of numerous by-products for which some practical use was always being sought, led to an impressive flowering of chemical research, and to the expansion of these industries into other commercial fields. Medicines and fartilizers were developed along with dyes. In its origins the business was peaceful enough; it formished working materials to the peacent and weaver, and the stock-in-trade of the druggist.

tandency developed for the several big German chemical firms to come together in pooling arrangements or cartele, for the purpose of controlling market and price conditions and to protect their joint interests in the export trade. The principal spokesman for this policy of combination was the famous Carl Duisberg, one of the founders of I.G. Farben, who at that time was the head of the large caver firm at Leverkusen. In 1904, Duisberg urged that the entire German chemical industry should be brought together in a cartel, stating that:

The now existing domination of the German chemical industry, senscially the dye industry, over the rest of the world would then, in my opinion, be assured.

In 1904, Dulaberg succeeded in laying the first foundat. ion stones of the massave chem cal endire, the "State within a State", which we now know as I. G. Farcen. Duiscerg's firm joined forces with the acually powerful padische Anilin & Soda Faorik, of Ludwigsnafen, which was headed by the brilliant and resourceful Carl Bosca. In order to strengthen their position with respect to other German chemical firms, a fifty-year contract was concluded pursuant to which their two firms, and a third important Berlin chamical firm known as Agfa, pooled their net profits. At about the same time, three other important chemical concerns located in and near Frankfurt-em-Mein, effected a close combination between 1904 and 1915, these two separate groups entered into mutual agreements regulating competition in various parts of the dyestuff and other chemical industries. These efforts constituted the first stage in the development of I. G. Farben and leid the groundwork for closer relations between the groups in the future. By virtue of agreements eliminating internal

competition and pooling experience and resources, the two groups immediately achieved a predominant position in the organic dyestuff and pharmaceutical and chemical industries of the world.

The first World War precipitated the carrying of this process of concentration to its logical conclusion. In 1916, the six companies of the two original groups came together with two additional chamical firms, one of which was directed by the father of the defendant Ter Meet. This enormous cartel was of eight firms was known as the Interessengemeinschaft der Deutschan Teerfarbenindustrie, meaning the "community of interests" of the German Industry for the manufacture of tar-coal dyes. All the firms in the group, which became known simply as "I. G.", agreed to share their profits in fixed ratios. Although I. G. Parosn did not become a legal corporate entity until 1925, for alloractical purposes it become a unified industrial empire in 1916.

The complete domination of the German chamical industry by the I.G. was almost matched by the presminence which it achieved ecroad. The I.G.'s leading position in the world's chemical markets was achieved and maintained in part by the acknowledged soillty and industry of the Garman chemists, and in cert by ruthless competitive tactics. The story of Farcen's foreign activities before and during the first World War, and of its strenuous efforts to maintain world leadership despite the British olockede, is fascinating and illuminating. The German submarine "Deutschland", on its two tribs to the United States during the war, carried chiefly dye stuffs and dye ingredients. But we have no occasion at this time to rehearse these circumstances, other than to note that the revelations of the Alien Property Custodian in 1919 conclusively revealed the existence of a carefully directed

27 Aug 47-N-BJ-2-10-Leonard Court I, Case VI

German policy directed to world domination of the organic chamical industry, which hampered the military resources of other nations and which enhanced those

of Germany. 1 It was abundantly shown that German chemical policy was designed to prevent the emergence of strong chemical industries in other countries. 2

What is vitally important to understanding the sequence of events, is that during this period when the I. G. was developing and German chemical leadership was most apparent, the chemical industry became increasingly important for war purpose. Nitrates were an ossential ingredient in the manufacture of explosives, and for many years the principal source of nitrates had been Chilean saltpetre. German explosives manufacturers had perceived, as early as the turn of the century, the danger that in a future wer Germany might be cut off from the Chilean nitrate supply, and thus from the most important material for the menufacture of munitions. Fesserch was begun, and in 1913, Fritz Haber discovered = method of deriving nitrogen from the air. Carl Bosch, using Haber's discovery, immediately developed an engineering process for the production of synthetic nitrates, and his firm (Badische) started the manufacture of explosives, utilizing these synthetic nitrates which enabled Germany to become independent. of the Chilean supply. The critical importance of the Haber-Bosch nitrogen fixation process to the German military machine during the first World W r can not be overstated. Carl Duisberg, in his memoirs, reveals, that:

lacked the raw material vitally necessary for gun-powder and explosives; the saltpetre which was only to be obtained from abroad. The industry had thus to depend entirely on the resources of chemistry and agriculture. But even these supplies lasted only until the middle of 1915, then we had reached the very end; then we were finally lost. Then we, the German chemists, set to work and stabled all we had on everting this premature collapse. In a race against the terrible machinery of war, Heber and Bosch succeeded in producing saltpetre synthetically.

^{1.} Cf. The Riddle of the Phine (1921), by Victor Lefebure, p. 183.

^{2.} Report of U.S. Alien Property Custodian (1919), pp. 30-37.

27 Aug L7-M-MB-3-2-Meehan Court No. I, Case No. 6.

The second note-worthy contribution of the German chemical industry to the science of warfare was, of course, poison gas. A substitute of searching prophetic book, written in 1921, contains an exhaustive and searching analysis of the almost overwhelming headstart and advantage enjoyed by the Germans in the use of this weapon during the first World War. 1 Chlorine, perite, and later mustard gas were developed by German chemists and produced in I. G. factories. The resultant shock to world opinion aroused, for the first time, some general public realisation of the encommons strategic importance of the chemical industry. It was no accident that, in 1916, when General Ludendows sked two out-standing leaders of German industry to "join his train" to discuss war production, the two men invited were Gustav Brupp von Bohlen and Carl Duisburg. 2 and President Wilson, in his message to the United States Congress in 1919, pointed out that:

twong the industries to which special consideration should be given is that of the menufacture of dyestuffs and related chemicals. Our complete dependence upon German supplies before the wer made the interruption of trade a cause of excentional economic disturbance. The close relation between the menufacturer of dyestuffs, on the one hand, and of explosives and poisonous grees, on the other, moreover, has given the industry on exceptional significance and value. Although the United States will gladly and unhesitatingly join in the program of international disammement, it will, nevertheless, be a policy of obvious prodence to make certain of the successful maintenence of m-ny strong and well equipped chemical plants. The German chemical plants. The German chemical industry, with which we will be brought into compotition, was and may well be again, a thoroughly knit monopoly capable of exercising a competition of a peculiarly insidious and dangerous kind.

Germany lost the first World War, but the I. O. emerged bigger and more powerful than ever within Germany. Now it had large new capital investments which the German government had financed during the war, and the I. G. leaders soon laid plans to re-enter the world market and re-

The Piddle of the Phine (1921), by Victor Lafebure, Lieison Officer between Britain and the other Allies on chemical warfare and kindred questions.

^{2.} Ludendorf's Dwn Story, by Erich von Indendorf, vol. I, p. 326.

Message of the President of the United States, communicated to the two Houses of the Congress at the beginning of the first session of the 66th Congress, 1919, p. 8.

hand, the necessities of war had brought about a rapid development of the chemical industries in England, the United States, and elsewhere, and I. G.'s overseas situation was certainly not as dominant as before the war. International competition was stiffening, and the German chemical lords decided to tighten their own ranks.

In 1925, an agreement was finally reached for a marger of all the sight firms which comprised the "Interessengemeinschaft". Carl Mosch's firm (Madische) changed its name to "I. O. Farbenindustrie 'ktiongesellschaft", and moved its main offices to Frankfurt. As is set forth in Appendix B of the indictment, five other firms which had praviously been mambers of the cartel marged with I. G. Farbenindustrie, and thereby created a massive single corporation. The two remaining members of the cartel were not formally marged at that time, but over 90% of the stock of each of them was owned by Farben. The Hand-Book of German Joint Stock Companies laconically but aloquently states that the eight original "parent" firms voluntarily renowned their individuality for the greater purpose of the future traks of the German chemical industry. Carl Duisberg was named chairman of the Aufsichtsrat of Farben, and Carl Bosch chairman of the Vorstand.

The year 1926 witnessed the comenting of a very close and special connection between Ferben and the German explosives industry. The two principal manufacturers of explosives in Germany during the first World War were the well known firms of Dynamit-Nobel (known as DAG) and Koeln-Rottweil. They had had close relations with the Farben syndicate during the war, inasmuch as Carl Rosch's firm furnished the synthetic nitrates which were necessary to the manufacture of explosives. After the war, the explosives companies fell upon lean years, and Koeln-Rottweil sold its gunpowder plants to DAG.

In 1926, Forben and DaG entered into an agreement which bound

Article on I. G. Ferben in the Handbuch der Deutschen Aktiongesellschaften, 1938 edition, vol. IV, p. 5266.

the explosives company to Farben body and soul. DAG retained its separate corporate character, but was subject to the direction of Farben, and Farben guaranteed dividends on the shares of DAG. The president of DAG was Paul Mueller, who was a brother-in-law of the defendant Schmitz, a member of the Aufsichtsrat of Farben, and a frequent attendant at meetings of important Farben management committees. The defendants Schmitz and Gajewski were on the Aufsichtsrat of DAG. From 1926 on, DAG was, in practical effect, a part of Farben's chemical empire, and by the time the Nazis came to power in 1933, Farben exercised a dominant role in ammunition and explosives production.

Thus erose the enormous and intricate industrial complex of I. G. Farben, the broad outlines of which are portrayed in the chart on the wall of the courtroom, which will be offered in evidence at a later data. It will be seen that the overall supervision and management of Farben was in the hands of two boards, known respectively as the aufsichterat and the Vorstand. Below them the two principal groups were the Technical and Commercial Committees. Production was organized both functionally, in the three "Sparten", and geographically, in the five "Works Combines", shown below the Technical Committee. On the commercial side, the sales of various groups of products were directed principally by the four "Slaes Combines". Coordination between technical and commercial matters was achieved, not only through the Vorstand, but at a lower level in the three "Mixed Committees". A number of other departments and committees, shown at the right of the chart, dealt with various specialties such as law and patents, intelligence and propagends.

I will deel firstly with the sufsichtsrat and Vorstand.

Under German corporate law, all joint stock companies are governed by an sufsichtarat and a Vorstand. When I. G. Farbenindustrie *ktiengesellschaft was created in 1926, the sufsichtarat members and most of the Vorstand members of all the parent companies became members of the Aufsichtarat and Vorstand respectively of Farben.

Generally speaking, the duty of the sufsichtsrat is to supervise

the overall management of the company, whereas the day-to-day direction is in the hands of the Vorstand. The functions of the Farben Aufsichtsrat were, on the whole, rather perfunctory; it met three or four times a year to receive a report from the Vorstand, and on paper was responsible for selecting the members of the Vorstand. But the views of the Vorstand as to its own membership were generally followed by the Aufsichtsrat, and we know of no important case where the Aufsichtsrat opposed Vorstand policies or took much independent initiative.

Membership in the Aufsichterst, accordingly, became chiefly honorary, but individual members might, by virtue of their own stature and prestige, exercise great influence. The chairmanship of the Aufsichterst was always held by one of the great figures in the history of Farben. Carl Duisberg was chairman from the birth of Farben in 1926 until his death in 1935. He was succeeded by Carl Bosch, another towering personality and famous technician, who had been chairman of the Vorstand, and who remained as chairman of the Aufsichterst until his death in 1940.

From 1940 until the Cormon collepse, the defendant Corl
Frauch, who previously had been a leading member of the Vorstand, was
chairman of the Aufsichterst. Frauch was closely associated with
Goering in the Four-Year Plan, and in 1938 became the Reich Generalplenipotentiary for Special Chemical Questions. Krauch symbolized close
cooperation with the political leaders of the Third Feich, and his appointment emphasized the working intimacy between Farben and the government.

eighty members or deputy members. Since this number was far too large for efficient management, a working committee with about twenty-six members, was formed and in 1937, under a new joint stock company law, under which the size of the Vorstand was reduced to twenty-seven, most of the members were drawn from the working committee. The working committee was abolished.

Under the Ferben by-less, "the Verstand conducts the business of the corporation". Meetings of the full Vorstand were called about once a month. It was further provided that "at these conferences, each Vorstand member shall make a report on the matters specified as requiring Vorstant approval. It is also the duty of each Vorstand member to call attention to matters, the knowledge of which is of importance to other Vorstand members, especially as it may facilitate the overall sporsisal of the business. The various Vorstand members shall, as a rule, submit particularly important matters, which go beyoud the framework of the ordinary business, to the full Vorstand for decision." Amond the metters listed as going beyond the framework of ordinary business were: the erection or purchase of new manufacturing and selling facilities within Germany or abread; selling or reduction of plants; ocquisition or obondonment of participations in other industries; purchase and sale of patents, licenses and manufacturing secrets; and the conclusion and termination of cartel agreements, syndicates, and communities of interest. An individual Vorstand member was permitted to set on his own in concluding a matter without Vorstand soproval if serious disadvantages would otherwise occur. However, at the next session of the Vorstand, the matter had to be reported for soproval. Certain internal personnel mattern were handled by a "Central Committee" of the Vorstand, shown just to the right, consisting of eight leading members.

All living persons who were members of the Ferben Vorstand after 1937 are names in the indictment except one who retired in 1943 and whose health is very precarious. Of the twenty-four defendants indicted, all were members of the Vorstand except the four whose names conclude the list. The chairman of the Vorstand, from 1935 until the end of the war, was the defendant Schmitz.

As is shown by the chart, the Vorstand functioned through numerous committees, departments, and other agencies, and the Vorstand members held the leading positions in these subsidiary bodies. The

55

pasignments of Vorstand members to these duties may be classified, in general, as "technical" or "commercial"; these designations are somewhat arbitrary and overlapping, but they were in use among the defendants and will serve as a rough guide.

The Technical Committee (commonly referred to as TEA) was composed of the technical leaders of Farben, including the principal plant managers and leading engineers. Under the by-laws, TEA had tremendously important functions; its field included all technical and scientific questions, and all appropriations for operating or expanding the business were examined in TEA before submission to the Vorstand. The defendant Ter Meer was chairman of TEA from 1932 until the Garman collapse, and eleven other defendants were TEA members for substantial periods of time. TEA had numerous subsidiary bodies, the most important of which

the "Federal Countesion" (TEXO), which was headed by the defenthe Federal TEXO dealt with general engineering problems, and all a with expenditures for engineering purposes were passed that by TEXO Defero they were reviewed by TEA.

John the TEA, the technical organization was divided both and good problems and functionally. After the nearer in 1926, the vertous Tereon plants were prouped geographically for the purpose of coordinated direction. This resulted in the formation of the five North Couldness, the very mones of which illustrate their geographical basis. The North Couldness Upper Phine, headed by the defendant Warster, included the huge Bedische plants in Luswigshafess. The Works Couldness was Chief and Joshne Deputy Chief, comprised the plants in and near Frankfurt-marketh. The defendant Kuenne headed the Works Couldness in and near Frankfurt-marketh. The defendant Kuenne headed the Works Couldness, with its principal plant at Bitterfeld. In 1929, a fifth and market Couldness was established, which was called "Works Couldne Berlin" Ithough its plants were very widely scattered.

The individual plants which commised those combines were at the been of the organizational pyramid. The nero important plants were banaged or directly supervised by one or more Verstand nembers. Thus we find threster and amores at Ludwigshafen, Lautenschlauger and Jadine at Mocchet, Euchne and Brueggenann at Loveringen, Buergin at Dittorfold, Ecorlein at Riberfold, and Gajewski at Wolfen-Film.

At the end of 1929, Parben undertook a major re-organization on the todinical side in order to effect economics and to achieve greator coordination in production management. Operations were divided into three functional groups according to the products manufactured and each of the three directing groups was called a Sparte, or Main Grown.

Syarte I included nitrogen, methanol, gasoline, and other synthetic

fuels, and coal. The enormous synthetic gasoline plant at Louiz and the nitrogen plant at Oppen were the principal components of Sparto I, which was headed by the defendant Kranch until 1938, and thereafter by the defendant Schneider. Sparte II, by far the largest and nest fivorse, coordinated the production of dysstuffs, organic charicals, markediteals, light netals, synthetic rubber, and a variety of other workers. The defendant for hear was its chief. Sparte III was much such or the products and colophane. It was headed by the defendant for here was its chief.

It is important to note one other Farben a ency on the tochnical wide of the chart. This is the Vermittlumgestelle V (Maison Office Columnent), which was established in 1935 as a coordinating agency between Farben and the German armed forces. The defendant Manual was more or less its creator. At that time, Manual was the held of Sylate 1, and agathetic gaseline and nitrates were of special military importance. Later on, the other two Sparten participated in the operations of Vermitalumgestelle V, the purpose of which was, as Farben records of 1963 reveal: "the building up of a tight organization for amount within the I. C."

Through to the comported side, the majority of Farban products here sold through the four "Sales Combines" for dyestuffs, charicals, which conticuls, and photographic materials. Fitrates, synthetic fields, and certain other bulk products were sold chiefly through emplicates and other marketing organizations. The defendant Schmitzler has in charge of the marketing of dyestuffs, and after 1943, also charitals. The defendant huma headed the carbine for pharmaceuticals and the defendant Oster the sales arrangements for nitrogen. Co-ordination between marketing and production was achieved, as has already been pointed out, not only within the Verstand but at a lower level in the three so-called "mixed consistees." The defendant was Schmitzler headed the Dyestuffs Committee and, after 1943,

Carrittee.

In match the Technical Committee and to insure coordination in all concretal matters, the Commercial Committee was activated in Market 1937, and thereafter became one of the most important policy-formal promps within Forben. Both the commercial consistees and took-mical consistee usually met the day before Verstand, meetings, and their recommendations were laid before the Verstand. The defendant Schmitzler was the chairmen of the Commercial Committee, and min other follows.

A comporation so far-flund as Farbon, of course, needed v. rious control control control of partners, such as for bookkeeping, immurance, and takes. Only three of these warrant denties at this time. Heat of and plants and combines of Farbon had their own logal and patent denties, but their work was coordinated by two Vorstand contributes, the Logal Countries and the Patent Coursesion. The defendant von Unions was the chairman of both.

Pinally, a considerable number of agencies which case to be leasted in a particular scoter of Berlin were leasely thrown together sugar the mass "Berlin W V7". The defendant Higher was in charge of least of those Berlin offices, and was particularly concerned with intelligence and propaganda activities, which were carried out under the Political-Beenenic Policy Department (company known as UPC), and by an elaborate Economic Research Department known as VOMI. The Reference Gattiness and you der Reyde were important officials of UTPC.

Thus, each of the defendants was a key official in the organizational structure of Farben. The defendants Krouch and Schnitz housed the two governing bodies of the entire complex. Of the other Voystand Dansers, eleven, headed by Ter hear, were primarily technical and production non; they were newbors of the Technical Counitton, chiefs of the Sparten and Works Combines, and plant managers. Sim others, Court I Case VI 27 Aug 57 1-4-3-GO-Mechan

Considers also; the defendant Hoerlein was chief of the Phantecontrols Considers.

To match the Technical Committee and to insure coordination in all concretal matters, the Commercial Committee was activated in actual 1937, and thereafter became one of the most important policy—forming promps within Farben. Both the commercial committee and took—micel committee usually not the day before Verstand, meetings, and their recommendations were laid before the Verstand. The defendant Schmitzler was the chairmen of the Commercial Committee, and sim other formation were regular numbers.

A corporation so fur-flung as Farbon, of course, needed vivious control disinterative departments, such as for bookkeeping, insurance, and times. Only three of these warrant mention at this time. Need of the plants and combines of Farbon had their own local and parent legal and parent legal and parent was coordinated by two Verstand continues, the Legal Counities and the Patent Counission. The defendant von Unionical was the chairson of both.

Placify, a considerable number of agencies which canc to be located in a particular sector of Berlin were locatly throug together under the same "Berlin if W?". The defendant Higher was in charge of locat of these Berlin offices, and was particularly concerned with intelligence and propagated activities, which were carried out under the Political-Economic Policy Department (commonly known as TPO), and by an elaborate Economic Research Department known as VOCI. The defendants Sattingen and you der Heyde were important officials of MPO.

Ems, each of the defendants was a key official in the organizational structure of Farbon. The defendants Erouch and Schnitz housed the two governing bodies of the entire complex. Of the other Verstand newbors, eleven, headed by for hear, were primarily technical and production near they were newbors of the Technical Counittee, chiefs of the Sporten and Morks Combines, and plant namegers. Six others, led by defendant Schmitzler, were primarily commercial men and one, won Mhieriam, was chief counsel to the corporation.

Of the four defendants who were not members of the Vorstand,
Gattimean and von der Heyde were leading political agents of Ferben.
Kugler was a member of the Commercial Committee and in charge of
dyestuffs sales in eastern Europe. Duerrfeld was the director and
construction manager of the Ferben plants at Auschwitz.

Before passing to the charges in the indictment, it will be worthwhile to pause for an overall look at Farben in the early thirties,
just before the edvent of the Third Reich. It was the largest chemical
concern in the world — participating directly or indirectly in about
four hundred German compraise and five hundred business enterprises
abroad — with the largest and most modern staff of scientists and
technicions. According to the defendant von Schnitzler:

When one tires to compre the I.G. with the rest of the chesical industry of Europe, one should never forget that the parent houses of I.G., which constituted the merger in 1925, themselves were by for the biggest enterprises in the chemical domain in Germany ... It has always been characteristic of the German chemical industry that there was one side this bage conglowerstion of industrial power colled I.G. and on the other hand, and extremely great number of small enterprises split over the whole country It is not only that new inventions of outstanding importance were practically alone made by I.G. and that research work on a large scale was exclusively done by I.G., but that the real import ence of I.G. in here capacity as a supplier of all basic products to the other chemical industires was even higher Taken together with the overwhelming position I.G. had in the field of photographic products and the strong majority in nitrogen, one has to make the statement that chemistry in Germany and I.G. are to a great extent synonymous.

Forben's factories and technicions were one of the two great industrial resources of Germany, the other being the coal mines and
steel plants of the Ruhr. It is no coincidence that Ludendorf consorted with Duisberg and Krupp von Bohlem, and the famous Gorman
diplomat Stresemann once rhetorically asked the defendant von
Schnitzler: "What have I as a trump in my hands apart from you the
I. G., and the coal peoplet" Carl Duisberg played a leading part in

(MJ)

the establishment of the nationwide organization of industrial into the Reichsverband der Deutschen Industrie, the charmanship of this organization was usually held by Farben or Krupp officials. Relations between Parbon and the Ruhr heavy industries were reasonably close; Farben exmed coal mines and held stock interests in the big steel enterprises, and the defendant Schmitz sat on the aufsichterat of the huge Gorman steel combine, the Vereinigte Stahlwerke.

But the German iron lords never achieved the complete unity which the charical leaders brought about through the Farban merger, and there can be little doubt that Farban was the most powerful single industrial combine in Germany and, indeed, in Europe. It produced all of Germany's magnesium, nickel, methods, and synthetic rubber, and nearly all of its dyestuffs. It produced the bulk of Germany's nitrogen, synthetic gracine, and numerous important chanicals. It produced helf of Germany's pharmacouticals and more than half of its photographic supplies. It deminated the German explosives industry. It enjoyed close relations with the German government long before Hitler cane to wewer; the defendant Schmitz was a close associate of Chanceller Brusning, and another Farbon director, Warmbold, became Minister of Economics.

In the laboratories of Farben, many analog experiments were being carried to successful conclusions. New inventions and processes paured forth in a never-ending street; nost of them were of inestimable netural and potential value to markind. And, long before Hitler achieved natoriety, Farben efficials were wrestling with two problems, the successful colution of which would go far to make Commany economically pelit-mufficient, and independent of imports in time of war. One of these was the manufacture of synthetic rubber, which by 1932 had not yet advanced beyond the stage of promising experimental production.

But the other solved soon after the nerger of 1926, through the fencus Ferben hydrogenation process by which Germany's coal could be transformed into oil, gaseline, and other synthetic fuels and lubricants. The transmodus significance of this discovery is elequently reflected in a letter written at that time in 1926 by Frand Heward,

on official of the Standard Cil Company of New Jersey, to its president, Valuer Teagle from which I quote:

Based upon my observations and discussion today. I think that this matter is the most important which has ever faced the company since the dissolution.

The Badische-the reference being to one of the Farben factoriescm take high grade noter oil fuel from lighte and other low quality coal in amounts up to half the weight of the coal. This means absolutely the independence of Europe on the natter of gusoline supply. Straight price competition is all that is left.....

I shall not attempt to cover may details, but I think this will be evidence of my state of mind.

We do not stress those circumstances because any social or economic questions such as the limits, if any, which should be placed on the size of corporations, are in any way genume to this case. They are not. But the size of the Farbon empire and the strategic importcalco of Farbon techniques imst be gradped in order to understand the significance of the events which took place during the period govered. by the indictment. Farber was Germany's greetest single industrial resource. Countless other industries were entirely dependent on Ferden products. Farden techniques held the key to many of the problems which the Wehrmacht wished to selve. The German scenary could not have survived without Parbon, and no Gomian government could afford to macrifice its cooperation, least of all a government intent on rebuilding Gornany's military strength, In short, Parbon tochniques, and Farten leadership were vital accessities to Gentary and the Geming government, and the defendants know it. The defendants were not non who could be easily pushed around.

Least of all do we suggest that it is a crime to experiment and invent, whether the results are does or drugs or synthetic fuels.

The expecity to create is man's nest God-like attribute, and several of the defendants were eximently gifted. In the dock sits Heinrich Heerlein, who discovered luminal and helped develop the sulfa drugs.

Carl Lautenschlaeger also made valuable contributions to medical

science, and Krauch, Schnieder, Abros, and Gejewski have many useful inventions to their credit. Farban chemists developed suffanilatice, atabria, aspiria, pyramidea, acvocaine, and salvarsam. We
coult it gives the defendants little confort new to reflect on the
fact that numerous discoveries which spread Farben's fane were the
mork of Jowish scientists, such as Fritz Haber and Paul Ehrlich. But
be that as it may, there is no reason to obscure the fact that havenity owes much to Farban chamists.

The defendants, indeed, were privileged to spend nest of their lives in the wonderful world of synthesis and transmutation. One can only sorrow that these damaged souls were not content to reasin the workers of beneficent mirecles, but preferred to be the architects of catastrophs.

Mr. Dubois will continue with the statement, Your Honor.

MR. Dubois: COUNT ONE: FARMEN AND THE ESTABLISHMENT OF THE

Before outlining the evidence with the prosenction will adduce in support of Count One of the indictment, it will be well to set at rost one or two questions which might otherwise give rise to misconceptions. At the outset, it must be made clear what these defendants are charged with.

Whether these defendants, individually or collectively, were Maxis or subscribed to all phases of Maxi ideology, is not the core of the issue here. It is a fact that practically all of them were members of the NSDAP, but that is not the burden of our proof. We are not trying them for that. It is quite possible that some of the Nazi dectrines were personally distasteful to some of those defendants as individuals. But the fact that some of them may not have been in complete sympathy with all aspects of the Maxi program does not relieve them of responsibility for their actions. Their membership in the Maxi party is one, but only one, circumstance among many others which must be taken into account in determing what these defendants did, and the knowledge and intentions which gave rise to the acts with which they are charged.

Likewise, it goes without saying that these men have not been indicted because they are "industrialists" or because they exercised
great power and controlled great wealth. These things are not declared
as crimes by the law under which this Tribunel renders judgment, and
this Tribunel is not a forum for debate over the relative merits of
different oconomic systems.

What those men are charged with under Count One of the indictment is set forth in Article II of Control Council Law No. 10, which proscribes, as crimes against peace:

KI.

Initiation of invasions of other countries and wars of aggression in violation of international laws and treaties, including but not limited to planning, preparation, initiation or waging a war of aggression, or a war in violation of international treaties, agreements or assurances, or participation in a common plan or conspiracy for the accomplishment of any of the foregoing.

Furthermore, there is no occasion in this proceeding to re-submit the evidence and proofs concorning the invasions and wars of aggression of the Third Reich. Article X of Military Government Ordinance No. 7, under which this Tribunal is established, provides that:

The determinations of the International Military Tribunal in the judgment in case No. 1 that invasions, appressive acts and appressive wars, crimes, atrocities or inhuman acts were planned or occurred, shall be binding on the tribunals established herounder and shall not be questioned except insofar as participation therein or knowledge thereof of any particular person may be concerned. Statements of the International Military Tribunal in the judgment in Case No. 1 shall constitute proof of the facts stated, in the absence of substantial new evidence to the contrary.

The starting point of this case under Count One, accordingly, is the established fact and considered judgment of the International Military Tribunal that Germany under the Third Reich did plan and carry out invasions and did plan and wage were of aggression. The invasions and were of aggression covered by the judgment of the International Military Tribunal are listed in paragraph 2 of the indictment. The only question at issue under Count One is the extent to which the defendants knew of or participated in the preparation for and initiation of invasions and aggresive were which were planned and which did occur.

To establish guilt for the commission of crimes against peace, it is not, of course, necessary to show that the defendants gave the military order which lemnched an invasion, or personally fired the first shot. The necessary degree of connection with the crime to ostablish the guilt of the defendants is to be determined by paragraph 2 of Article II of Control Council Law No. 10, and in the light of recognized principles of criminal law. Paragraph 2 sets forth that an individual shall be found guilty of the crimes defined in Law 10 if he

was (a) a principal, or (b) an accessory, or if he (c) took a consenting part therein, or (d) was connected with plans and enterprises involving the commission of the crime, or (e) was a member of an organization or group connected with the commission of the crime. A further provision of this paragraph, applicable only with respect to crimes against peace, makes reference to the holding of high political, civil, or military positions in Gormany, or of high positions in Germany, or of high positions in the financial, industrial, or economic life of Gormany. This prevision, we believe, is not intended to attach criminal guilt automatically to all holders of high positions, but mans rather that logitante and reasonable inferences are to be drawn from the fact that a defendant held such a position, and places upon him the burden of countering the inferences which might otherwise be drawn.

Mor, to sustain the charges under Count One, do we need to prove that the ultimate purpose and final objective of the defendants was to bring about a state of ar. We doubt that war was the ultimate objective of anyone in the Third Reich; the objective was conquest. We do charge that the Third Reich had cortain political objectives well known to those defendants, and that when they played their crucially important part in re-arming Cormany, they know that Cormany would use her military strongth in invasions or aggressive wars against her noighbors, if that was necessary in order to accomplish the sims of the Third Roich. Force was the chief insturment of its foreign policy. The fact that the defendants or other participants in these criminal note may have hoped that their objectives could be achieved by the threat of force rather than by its ultimate application through war. is we submit more a defense for them than it would be for a burgler or robbor to express regret that he found it necessary to murder his victim in order to secure possession of the loot.

The origins of the crimes with which the defendants are charged

may be traced back over many decades, but for present purposes their genesis is in 1932, when Hitler had established himself as a major political figure in Germany, but before his seizure of power and the mivent of the Third Reich. Subsection A of Count One of the indictment charges that the defendants, together with other industrialists, played an important part in establishing the distatorship of the Third Reich. We do not here charge that this, in itself, was a crime under Law No.

10, but it was the first important step in the commission of the crimes against peace with which the defendants are charged.

When we charge an alliance between the defedadants and Hitler and the Mazi perty, this does not mean that the two groups new, in all respects, eye to eye. As is usually true, when two powerful groups collaborate, there were disagreements, as will appear from some of the evidence which will be effered. But the evidence will show that the main common aim of both roups was aggrandizement at the expense of other countries and the resping of the speils thereof, regardless of whether was algat be necessary to accomplish this purpose and regardless of how much death, miscry, and destruction might ensue. This common objective bound the two groups together, and without this collaboration. Sitter and his party followers would never have been able to seize and consolidate their power in Germany, and the Third Reich would never have dared to plunge the world into war.

In the July election of 1932 in Germany, the Mazi party polled about thirteen million votes out of thirty-six million east. This was more than double the vote which the Mazis had received at the provious election in 1930, and the Mazi party's representation in the Beichsteg rose from 137 to 230 seats, out of a total of 608. The position of Vice Chanceller was offered to Hitler, but he refused it.

At that time, the economic crisis had reached its climax; German industry was drastically affected, and some members of the Farben Vorstand favored abandoning the costly production of synthetic gasoline

at Leuna. The political situation under the von Papen government became increasingly unstable. Hitler's success in the election was impressive, and soon thereafter Farben took steps to establish contact with him.

Farbon sont two emmissaries, the defendants Cattineau and Buetofisch, to Eunich to discuss with Hitler Ferbon's most pressing problem—
the future of its synthetic gaseline program. Gettineau had had
provious contects with the Hesis, and was a suitable limited man.

He knew Hess personally and was Economic Consultant to Books, the
Chief of Staff of the Storm Treepers.

Sattiness arranged the secting through Ecse and came with

Buctofisch to find out whether Ferben could look for support from the

Nazis for governmental assistance, whether by way of higher protective

tex or otherwise, which would warrant Farben continuing its

costly production of synthetic ansoline. Hitler agreed that Farben's

resoline production should receive the necessary protection. The doubte

within Farben issediately disappeared, and the synthetic gaseline

program was carried on and expended. In January 1933, even before

Hitler was appointed Chanceller, Farben start d to hire thousands of

workers for its lignite mises and its Leuns Plant.

Why did Farben approach Hitler at that time to discuss matters of such importance? What did they know about him? Whether they know more than what everyone else in Germany then know is not important. It is enough that everyone in Germany know about Hitler when Farben decided to do business with him. Hitler and his party had a program which they had never hesitated to proclaim from the housetops. That program had been announded in 1920 and remained unaltered until the dissolution of the party in 1945. It consisted of twenty-five points, including the following:

"Point 1. We demend the unification of all Germans in the Greater Germany, on the basis of the right of self-determination of peoples. 27 August 1947 M MSR 566 6 Putty - Remier Court I, Case VI

"Foint 3. We demand land and territory for the sustenance of our people, and the chonication of our surplus population.

"Point 4. Only a member of the race can be a citizen. A member of the race can only be one who is of German blood, without consideration of creed. Consequently no Jew can be a member of the race.....

"Point 22. We demand abolition of the mercenary troops and formation of a national army."

The plain meaning and ultimate fruitien of these points has been well summerized on the judgment of the International Military Tribunal which states: I

The demand for the unification of all Germans in the Greater Germany was to play a large part in the events preceding the seizure of Austria and Czechoslovekia; the abrogation of the Treaty of Verarilles was to become a decisive motive in attempting to justify the policy of the German Government; the demand for land was to be the justification for the acquisition of "living space" at the expense of other nations; the expulsion of the Jews from membership of the race of German blood was to lead to the atrocities against the Jewish people; and the demand for a national army was to result in measures of rearmement on the largest possible scale, and ultimately to war."

other Nazi publications, clearly foreshadowing ruthless dictatorship, deleared that "Everything active has long lost faith in

perliaments and majority rule. The rootless unracial idea of democratic

perliamentariem is dying today and no longer finds followers who

are ready to give their lives at the barricades for this from of

constitution. No propagands will be able to revive this corpse...."

If anyons doubted that the party platform represented Micler's

personal views, ample confirmation was to be found in "Mein Kampf." Those

Judgment of the International Military Tribunal, Trial of the Major War Criminal, Vol. I, p. 175.

These were the views of Hitler and his party, and this was the man and the program, widely publicated and well known to the defendants, at the time that Bustefisch and Cattineau went to Maich to discuss Farben's synthetic gasoling program with Hitler.

The Next porty suffered a sathack, however, in the German election of Hovember 1932. Hitler *ropped some two million votes, and Hazi representation in the Heichetag fell from 230 to 196 sents. The election was a serious blow to Hitler's aspirations; shortly thereafter, Joseph Goebbels wrote in his diary:

"Doop deprossion is provelent in the organization. Financial worries prevent any consturctive work.... In the evening, the Fuchrer was at our house. We could not get into the right spirit. We were all very discouraged, particularly in the face of the present danger that the entire party may collapse and all our work be in wain. We are now facing the decisive test."

But soon ofter this depressing entry, Hitler's fortunes rose shruptly and decisively. A petition signed by leading industrialists and financiers had been presented to President Hindenburg calling upon him to entrust the Chancellership to Hitler. Early in January 1933, you Paper and Hitler set in conference at the home of the Cologne banker, Baron Kurt von Schroeder. Thereafter, you Papen conferred with President you Hindenburg, and on January 30, 1933, you Hindenburg appointed Adolf Hitler Chanceller of the Third Beich.

Thus the Third Reich was born, but the monstrous infant was not yet out of danger. The Masi party's slender purse, whichhad worried Goebbels some weeks carlier, was a serious obstacle to success in the election which was scheduled for March 1933. But the financial problem was solved, and Hitler's power was assured. Those defendants, through Farbon, participated in furnishing the necessary funds and thereby assisted in coienting Hitler's power. This took place just three wooks after Hitler had been appointed Chancellor.

70

On 20 February 1933, Cooring invited about twenty leading
German bankons and industrialists to his home to obtain financial
support for the Mazis in the coming election. Farben was represented
at this moeting by the defendant won Schnitzler, and others in attendance
included Gustav Krupp won Bohlon and Dr. Hjelmar Schacht. Hitler
appeared and delivered a long speech, at the conclusion of which this
powerful assemblage subscribed to a fund of three million marks to
be put at his disposal in the coming election. Erupp won Bohlen
made notes summarizing Hitler's speech, and these notes will be
offered in evidence. Insemuch as Hitler's speech, and those notes
will be offered in evidence. Insemuch as Hitler's speech, and the compelling
evidence of the nature of the man and the program which the industrialists
determined to support, substantial portions of it morit quotation.
Hitler said in part:

"Private enterprise cannot be maintained in the age of democracy;
it is conceivable only if the people have a sound idea of authority
and personality. Everything positive, good and valuable, which has been
achieved in the world in the field of economics and culture, in
solely attributed to personality. When, however, the defense of the
existing order, its political administration, is left to a majority, it
vill irretrievably go under. All the worldly goods which we possess,
we owe to the struggle of the chosen.....

The principle of private ownership which was slowly gone into general conception of justice and has become a complicated process of economic life, is rooted in this fact. The course which we have to take is clearly indicated. It is, however, not enough to say we do not want communism in our economy. If we continue on our old political course, then we shall periah. We have fully experienced in the past years that economics and politics cannot be separated. The political conduct of the struggle is the primary decisive factor. Therefore, notitically clear conditions must be reached.....It is therefore, the

noblest task of the leader to find ideals that are stronger than
the factors that pull the people together. I recognized even while in
the hespital that one had to search for new ideas conducive to reconstruct—
ion. I found them in nationalism, in the value of personality, and in
the the denial of reconciliation between nations...Of course,
nothing is being accomplished by simple denial of such thought, one has
to offer new thoughts. If one rejects pacifism, one must put a new
idea in its place immediately. Everything must be pushed aside, every—
thing replaced by something better....No must not forget that all the
benefits of culture must be introduced more or less with an iron fist
just as one time the farmer was forced to plant potatoes...."

Still from Krupp von Bohlon's notes:

"Now we stend before the last election. Regardless of the outcome, there will be no retreat even if the coming election does not bring about docision. One way or another. If the election does not decide, the decision must be brought about by other means. I have intervened in order to give the people once more the chance to decide their fate by thomselves The necessity to make sacrifices has nover been growter than now. For business, I have the one wish that it so porallel with the internal structure to meet a cals furture. The restoration of the Vehrmacht will not be decided at Geneve but in Germany, when we have gained internal strongth through internal peace ... There are only two possibilities, either to crowd back the opponent on constitutional grounds, and for this purpose once more this election. or a struggle will be conducted with other weapons, which may demend Greater sacrifices. I would like to see them avoided. I hope the German people thus recognize the greatness of the hour. It thall decide over the next ten or probably one hundred years. It will become a turning point in German history to which I pledge myself with glowing energy.

27 Amenat 1949 WEB 546 10 Putty _ Remier Court No. I, opse VI

THE PPESITENT: I wonder, Counsel, if this would not be a convenient place for our morning recdas.

The Tribunall will rise for a few minutes.

(A recoss was taken)

Tribunal is again in session.

THE PA SIDERT: Before we resume, may we inquire if it will be agreeable to run the session until about twelve-fifteen and then adjourn until one-thirty for lunch. Will that be satisfactory?

Counsel for the Prosecution may proceed.

A. DU BOIS: That was Sitler speaking, and that is what the defendant woo Schmitzler heard Ritler say on 20 Jebruary 1933, less than two weeks before the March election. Secrine followed Mitler with a request for financial support and concluded by saying: "The escrifices asked for surely would be so such easier for industry to bear if it realised that the election of warch 5 will surely be the last one for the next ten years—probably even for the next one hundred years." Sement they said: "On this table we must rise a fund of three million marks."

Schultzler went buck and reported what he had heard to the other Farbon officials. Ferben contributed 400,000 marks for Eitler's came sign — the largest single contribution by any of the firms represented at the neeting. The payment was made on 27 February 1933. The next day the Reichsteg building was not on fire, and on that same day Hitler and his cabinet, utilizing the fire as a pretext, promulgated a decree submending the constitutional guarantees of freedem. By this decree, certain postions of the Derman constitution were indefinitely suspended and, as the decree stated:

sion of opinion, including freedow of the press, on the right of essenbly and the right of essenbly and the right of esseciation, and violations of the privacy of postal, talegraphic, and colembnic communications, and warrants for house-searches, orders for confiscations as well as restrictions on property, are also paraisable beyond the legal limits otherwise prescribed.

This was the first act of the man and the party after receiving the subsidy which Fercen had so generously provided. The decisive election was held with the constitutional guarantees in a state of party one week later; the Wari party received seventeen million

74

votes out of thirty-nine million cast, and 288 Reichsting sonts out of a total of 547. Still lacking a majority, Mitler amplied the "other methods" which he had threatened to use in the speech which won Schnitzler had heard on the 30th of February. Opposition members in the Reichstig were taken into "protective sustedy" and in their enforced absence the Reichstag on 34 March 1937 passed the Enabling Act which gave bitler full legislative newer, including the power to deviate from the countitution. Thus parished democracy and liberty in Sermany; the Third Reich and come to stay for twelve long and terrible years.

"ore the defendant shocked, disempointed, or even surprised at the swift and brutal course of distatorable? In April 1937, Hermann Goering founded the Bestage. In that same month, the Reich association of Serman Industry, of which Carl Duisberg was a founder and Farbon a number, mulmitted to Fitter a plan for the re-organization of German industry according to the "fundamental" or "laddership principle".

In transmitting the plan, Suntay Errys von Soblem stated that:

The turn of political events is in line with the wishes which I myself, and the board of directors, have cherished for a long time. In re-organizing the heigh association of German Industry, I shall be guided by the aim of bringing the new organization into a resment with the political size of the German government.

In July 1933, a law was passed declaring the WSDAP to be the only political party, and making it criminal to maintain or form any other political party. Three days carlier, representatives of the Du Pont Company of Delaware had not with Corl Bosch, then charmen of the Parben Verstand, who told them that:

Just now it is a question of Fascism and Bolshevlem, and industry must support the present soverament to provent further chees. In the beginning, Eitler did not consult industrial leaders, but in recent weeks he has shown his stability by curbint the more extreme elements of the party and bringing the industrial leaders into consultation with him.

That did Ferben regard as "stability" and what was it that seemed to them preferable to "chaos"? During the remaining months of 1933, the trip of turnay grew even tighter. The independence of the judiciary was fitally undermined, special political courts were established, and

7.5

the concentration camp made its an expense. Jove were eliminated from the civil service and otherwise persecuted, the trade unions were strangled, and the Mitter Youth was organized on military lines.
Joseph Goodbells established the Ministry of Peoples' Enlightenment and propagands to insure distortion and suppresses of the truth.

Within Goodbel's Ministry, Walther Funk established a "Publicity Board of the Gorman Sconomy", on which the defendants von Schmitzler, Mann, and Gattiness were pleased to serve. Gormany withdrew from the International Distrangment Conference and from the Desgue of Mations.

Gormany's foreign policy was "ratified" by a sc-called "election" in Jovember, 1933. At this same "election", the defendant Schmitz, as a Mani nonlines, was elected to the Reichster. As government turned into distratorship and Gormany set her feet on the road to ver. Farben became over more closely integrated with and with to the Third Reich, which it had helyed to create.

ONDER OUT PLANTING AND PREPARITO INVASIOUS AND WARS OF ACCRESSION

In magazaching the defendants career of partnership with Fitler and the Maintacht during the years prior to the outbreak of the war, we asy profitably reside ourselves that the presentation of a war against tajor powers is an undertaking of staggering agaitude. It cannot be done at one can or by any single group of can. It must be organized mong all the leaders of a nation, and it talks for the cost meticulous and deliberate planning all down the line. Mr. Justice Jackson set this forth with admirable clarity before the Intermational Military Tribunal:

This war did not just happen. It was planned and prepared for over a loar period of time and with no small skill and cunning. The world has perhaps never seen such a concentration and atimulation of energies of any poople as that which enabled Germany twenty years after it was defeated, disarmed, and dismembered, to come

^{1.} Vol. II, Criel of the sajor War Criminals, pp. 104, 131.

so near to carrying cut its blan to dominate Europe. Whatever also may be said of those who were work in organization....
Financiers, economists, industrialists, joined in the plan, and promoted elaborate alterations in industry and finance to support an unprecedented concentration of resources and energies on the proparations for war.... These preparations were of a magnitude which surpassed all need of defense, and every defendant, and every intelligent German, well understood them to be for aggressive nurposes.

Farben's full scale collaboration in the program of the Third Soich was abundantly manifested immediately after Hitler's soicure of power. In outlining the evidence today, we will be principle stress upon its participation in re-arming Permany for aggressive purposes, but it must not be thought that this was the only field in which Farben found common ground with the Paris. Farben continued to give the HEDAP generous financial support, and carefully adapted its sales and publicity programs in accordance with Pari ideas. If any doubts lingered in the minds of the defendants, they were not antifested by word or by dead.

Ve will outline the proof of these oberges obrenologically.

1933.

The Tribunal will recall that, when Farbon first established contact with littler through Gattineau and Suctefiach in 1932, Farbon had 27 August-M-FL-S-1-Issaan (Int. Racmier) ...

been chiefly concerned with securing Sitler's support for the continuation and a valsion of its synthetic oil program. Hitler gave them satisfectory assurances, and soon after the seizure of power, in the spring of 1937, Matler invited Carl Boach, then chairman, of the Farben Vorstand, to meet ith him and discuss the details of the program which Bustolish and Cattineau had outlined in 1932. The meeting was not without its angaining aspects; Hitler was chiefly interested in quantity production, whereas Persen was arrives for government guarantees relating to prices at for the surchase of Parbon's output. This the full details of this cetter are not known to the prosecution, it is clear that Parbon received assurances which had them to develop a program for expansion of the Leupa plant.

Farben lambiately proceeded to establish close relations with
the Cerman Air Linkstry, in order to develop the aviation market for
synthetic gasoline. In the spring of 1933, the defendant Ensuch, at that
time the head of Jurie I (the Sparte concerned with nitrogen and
synthetic fuels), make to Ernardt Mich, the State Secretary and second
in command of Mercann Coering's Air Simistry. Treach outlined the hasis
for expansion of Parken's synthetic fuel Jacilities on the basis of a
"four year plan". This concept of a four year plan was later adopted by
Sitler and Coering for Germany as a whole, as will subsequently appear.
Frauch's letter contained the following:

27 August-M-FL-9-2-leekan (Int. Ramler) Court No. I, Case VI

As far as hydrogenation is concerned, we have worked for quite some time on the production of suitable aviation gasoline. We can now state that according to the conditions of technical methods, it would easily be possible to produce aviation gasoline as well as lubricants suitable for air lands by German production. At this time the Lufthansa is suing extensive tests with our gasoline. If there are any points arising out of this matter requiring additional clucidation, I shall be glad to furnish them to you with further verbal information.

On the basis of the Freuch report, Milch colled a special meeting at the Air Ministry with Lt. Coneral von Bockelberg, Chief of Army Orderance, and Lt. Colonel Thomas (who later became the chief of the Military Economy Staif), and reported to them on his conference with Wrauch. The minutes of this meeting state:

Sometary of State litch handed over to Lieutenant General von Vockelberg a perorandum of the I.G. (Dr. Krauch) concerning the expansion of the demestic basis of raw materials and proposed that both of their offices vigorously sponsor the project before the appropriate government agency. The appointment of a Consissar lith authority to take necessary measures to curry the project into effect was considered necessary.

Three wonths later, in December 1733, Farben received a formal contract from the possessed for synthetic gasoline production. The contract was approved for the Government by Mitler personally. Farben undertook to enlarge its Leuna plants so that during the second half of 1934 a minimum production of 80,000 tons could be reached, and by 31 December 1937 a minimum production of 300,000 to 350,000 tons. For this the Reich quaranteed Fargen for ten years - until 30 June 1944-a price corresponding to the cost of production. The Reich pledged it-self to take measures assuring the sale of the gasoline manufactured.

27 August-M-FL-9-3-lachan (Int. Ranler) Court No. I, Case VI

With the synthetic gasoline program successfully launched, Farben timed its attention to synthetic rubber. A min the military authorities were called to the council table. In Acquet, 1933, Farben wrote to the Army Ordnance Office reporting on the status of synthetic rubber experimentation. After detailing its work on rubber during the first World War and pointing out that research had been curtailed because of the firancial risk, Parkonta letter stated:

Of importance, furthermore, would seem to be certain indications that the new moduct surpsages natural rubber tires in non-skid properties. On these grounds the manufacture of synthetic rubber would seem to muld but some promise once a ain, so that the I.G. would be willing to resume their experiments on a large scale In order to avoid misunderstandings, it should be stated once more that it is impossible to manufacture synthetic rubber at a price as wood mating, homever ranchely, that of the present prince of natural rubber, but that it is hoped to counter-balance ligher costs by higher quality.

After several worths and more conferences, the Reich Minister for Economic Affairs wrote to Farbent

I am very such interested indeed in the manufacture of synthetic rubber in visu of the decrease in imports of raw materials from abroad, and, hore tenerally, because of the opportunities it might offer for the provision of employment. I, therefore, ap reciate it very such that your firs is or epared to restart the manufacture of synthetic rubber on a larger scale ... I shall, moreover, approach the Reich departments concerned, as soon as the tires are available, so that they can be subjected to extensive gractical tests. Should the tests produce favorable results. I shall ladly give further support to the matter by requiring government departments and public institutions to use synthetic tires movided prices are satisfectory.

27 August-N-FL-S-N-Noeign (Int. Ranler) Court No. 1, Case VI

Having secured these assurances, Parben intensified its experimental work with synthetic runbor, which came to fruition several years later. Far more than any other two things, Germany nested synthetic gasoline and synthetic runbor to smable it to fight a war under the economic pressure of energy naval superiority. Accordingly, these were the two fields in which Parben's efforts were primarily concentrated. Less alguificant but more obversely warlike activities were not lacking. As early as warch 1.33, yes make were issued to Parben employees, and training programs for defense against air raids and gas attacks were launched. May are unbatted with smoke screens was begun in collaboration with the Neich far like stry. The German Air dunistry, baying noticed an article in a Franch technical periodical with respect to a new and highly lethal poison has been farben was said to have discovered, sont an inquiry to Parben, and the defendant Krauch viscatched one of Farben's leading scientisted to Surlin "to give the required explanation."

Wholeheartedly and constrainedly throughout these months, when concentration camps were 'one catablished, and one tyrannical decree after mather issued tran Berlin. Farben made numerous financial contributions in 1933 to the party and its various organizations, and Carl Duisborg assured the Heich Association of German Industry that "on account of their absolutely positive attitude to the whole matter" Farben was "naturally presented to contribute to the whole matter" Farben's plant beader at Loverbusen, the defendant Kushma, experted all his employees to participate in the lay hay Hally, stating that "the main issue is that on this day of all, Germany should stand beated that "the main issue is that the management would "melecome suitable representation of National Socialist aims within the National Socialist factory cell organization."

27 August-M-FL-9-5-Nochan (Int. Ramler)

In June, a Parbon constitute determined "that it should be a matter of course, when engaging now beople, to give preference to members of semi-military organizations", with obvious reference to the SS, SA, and other Nazi uniforped gangs. In July, 1933, Carl Bosch was appointed by Sitler to a small and select economic council of government officials and prominent in entriclists. Later that same month, the defendant Schmitz became claim and one of Mitter's put rojects, called the Phouse for German Art", and, in soliciting funds for its construction to explained that:

The Reich Chanceller.... will be present at the laying of the foundation stone and will proclaim the cultural construction regree in a manifest. For the construction of the building, six million Reichmarks have to be related. This task will not be easy. It may, however, be easier owing to the fact that it is the accountion of a personal favorite idea of the Deichschanceller's. The "House of Comman Art" is, therefore, planned as a gift of the German occule to the Reichschanceller.

Farban's viewpoint abroad paralleled its outlook at home. Hitlor's brutal dictatorship, and in particular the anti-Semitic outrages of the Third Reich, caused sublic opinion to react violently in other countries, especially in the United States. Spontaneous bycotts of German goods injured Gaplan trade. Some of Farban's forch a sents endeavored to persuade the Vorstand to use its influence to soften the anti-Jewish policies, in the interests of Farban's export trade. But Farban was playing for eigher states, and its reaction to the American beyont was the launching of a vicerous and insidious propagates campaign which would have done credit to Garban's hisself. They retained a well-known public relations expert, Ivy Lie, to devise methods for countering the boycott and organizing pro-German propaganda. Farban's pharmateutical Sales

27 August-M-FL-9-6-Eschen (Int. Ramber)
Court No. I, Caso VI

Combine, headed by the resemblant Mann, had entensive connections in the United States, and at the und of 1933 it distributed a circular letter to its American representatives, which contained the following:

dotail the actual conditions as they provail under the new National Socialist Government in Contany; we wish to express the hope that this report will suckly you with important data, emailing you to continue to assist us in our struggle for the German conception of law, he ask you expressly, in connection with your collaborations and your personnel, to make use of these datas in a samer which appears accromished to you, to the end that all co-workers in our pharmacoutical business become familiar with these general, accommis, and political conceptions.

954,0000000.00046

Were intent upon bringing Germany again to the brink of the abyes. The Government stepped in and cleaned the country with an iron hand. Peace and goldt was established.....

des resolutions lider

Achievement, not connection and party autoratio, was the means of security office; proor and honory again become the guiding princt les in German public life."

1934

In January 1854, Hitler signed a natural non-aggression pact with Poland. Later that war, Mighaer Schackt submitted a report to Mitter which began with these words:

with the foundation of the Reich Defense Council and of its permanent consistee, the Reich Ministry of Economics

п

27 August-1-FL-9-7-Hooman (Int. Ramber) Court No. 1, Casu VI

has been charged with the job of economic preparation for war. The treatment importance of this task really ought not to require any further explanation. The terrible consequences of the lock of any economic war preparations in the World war are still vividly remembered.

Schacht went on to list a number of "urgent difficultion" and to explain what stops had been taken to overcome them. He explained that the plants which manufactured highly concentrated nitric said, a preruquisite for the pre-retion of assumition, were concentrated all along the Rhine near the essent border of Germany. He thought it imperative to set up another large whent in the mafer gone of central Germany. The construction of such a plant had been commend at Douberitz, and was to be finished by mext opring. The Douberitz plant was a secret plant, financed by the Relich, but it was built by Parbon and operated by Farbon.

Schacht expressed rigilar worries with respect to ferrous alloys necessary for the reduction of high grade stall. Again, Farbon had been only too obliding; part of its facilities for the production of ferrous wolfras, thich Schacht described as being "exclusively located in the danger zone" near Aachen, were transferred to central Germany.

Schacht then discussed the light metals situation. This problem

R.A

27 Aug 47-M-BJ-IC-1-Meehan-(Ramler) Court No. I, Case No. VI.

was not import by Farben's construction of a large plant in sentral Garmany for the manufacture of magnesium and alluminum. It was ouilt by Farben in close callaboration with the Garman Air Force, which carefully examined the site from the standpoint of security against air raids, and also absorbed the entire production.

Schacht was also concernin in the year 1934 about the lack of sufficient stocks of essential chemicals and critical war materials:

In view of the uncertein duration of a war, these stocks have to be saved most carefully right from the deginning. Pyrites are the casic raw material of sulphuric acid, which is an indispensable chamical intermediate product. In dermany, it can only be produced in the danger zone. The I.G. Farben-industrie A.G. has been asked to complete the stockpile of an additional amount of pyrites during this linter, Furthermore, the conversion of a large plant of this concern to the production of sulphuric adid from gypsum is going to oring considerable relief in this respect.

In 1934, Perben participated in this stock-piling program. Not only were pyrites stocked at the Wehrmacht's request, but also nuge enounts of stabilizers for explosives, and other chemicals. At one plant, the entire output of magnesium was stored in incendiary bomb tubes which were packed in ordinary boxes and labeled "textile cases" for the purposes of camouflage.

Schacht was also worried soout stocks of motor fuels, and wanted to build and full large underground storage tanks which would be insuns from air raids. But in this field Farban was chiefly interested in synthetic production which

would make Germany independent, once and for all, of imports. In 1934 a government-sponsored corporation known as Brabag (the letters tood for Brown Goal and Benzin A.G.) was established to derive synthetic gasoline from proven coal by means of the Parben Hydrogenation process. The technical head of Brabag was the defendant Buetefisch.

In 1934, Schacht was also making detailed plans for industrial mobilization, and established a series of "economic groups" to make plans in conjunction with the German army. Above these groups was the Reichsgruppe Industrie (Reich Group Industry), in which the defendents Schmitz, von Schnitzler, and Jachne were very active. Farben was also well represented in the underlying committees for particular industries, and in the Economic Group Chemical Industry, the defendants von Schnitzler, Ter Meer, Murster, Oster, and Ampros all played important parts.

Plans for the protection of Farcen Plants against air raids continued to be made, and were tried out in training, with the utmost energy and seriousness. All Fercen plants on aged in menauvers which were called "war games." On the map of a particular plant, an umpire would mark various points where bombs of a certain watght were assumed to have fallen. The works management devised plans for the speedy repair of the damage, and made estimates as to the length of time that various parts of the plant would be out of operation. For greater protection, for example at the Leuna plant, production was organized in independent units, so that it could continue despite como demage to individual units. High ranking officers of the Venrmecht attended these games and consulted with the Farnan officials. These precautions, it plant be added, peld good dividends during the recent war, at the conclusion of which only 15% of Farcen's productive

27 Aug 47-M-BJ-10-3-Meehan-(Remler) Court I, Case VI.

capacity had been eliminated by bombing.

Farben's davotion to the Nazi party and the Third Reich continued to be ironclad. The Farben directors at Leverkusen distributed mitler's speeches end other propaganda to thousends of foreign distributors. They withdrew their advertising from foreign newspapers that published articles critical of the Nazis. Farben egencies in Chila and ergentina supported financially and otherwise, the establishment of local chapters of the Wazi party and the dissemination of propagands through German champers of commerce.

1935

In 1936, the Wazi cacd quickened, and the Third Reich took the first open steps in violation of the Treaty of Varsailles. In March, Goering publicly ennounced that Germany was outlding a military air force. Let r that month, compulsory military service was instituted and the German army was established with a nescetime strength of 500,000 men. In May, on the same day that hitler recounced the disermament clauses of the Versailles Treaty, paying lin service to the territorisl limitations of that treaty and to the Locarno Pacts, the Secret Reich Defense Law was tasked, defining the powers and duties of the Reichschencellor and the other ministers in case Termeny should become involved in war. As the International Military Tribunal found "It is olear from this law that by May of 1935, Hitler and his overnment had arrived at the stage in the carrying out of their policies when it was necessary for them to have in existence the requisite machinery for the administration and government of Germany in the event of their policy leeding to war." Intransigence Abroad was accompanied by a tightening of the vise of doctatorship at home. September 1935 was the month of the infemous Nurnberg laws.

Farcen's concentration on armament matters was correspondingly intensified. The activities of the defendants their purchases, sales, production, expension, and research fell so exclusively in the military domain that the Vorstand
astablished in Barlin a Farcen military limited a ency, the
Vermittlundsstelle V. In September, the defendant Krauch
sint a confindatial letter to all Plant Directors explaining
this new agency:

The Central Committee of the Vorstand has determined to create an Apency (W) in our place of cusiness in Berlin NW 7, Unter den Linden 78, in order to provide systematic cooperation within the I.G. in the current development of military aconomy, and particularly to assure central treatment of all questions of military aconomy, military policy and military technique.....

From now on, all correspondence - even about matters still in the belance - with the Reion Ministry of War, the Newy Office..., the Maich Air Ministry..., and, for military economic questions, with the maich Ministry for Economy also, is to be addressed exclusively to the Vormittlungstells.....

The lisison agency is ready to give any information about and make arrangements in connection with military economic and military molicy questions, which are part of its sphere of work....

With the establishment of the Vermittlingsstelle V, Farben synchronized all its activities with the military plans of the German High Command. The extent to which the defendants committed their entire organization to military purposes is set forth in a report prepared by the defendant Krauch in December 1935. The report states, in part:

With the creation of the ormy, German industry was

given the task of bringing its plants and its organization in line with building up the delenses of the
country, which meant to reorganize its existing
plants and offices from the point of view of armament.

The newly founded Vermittlungsstells Wheers its

thak the simplifying and coordinating of these
metters inside the T.G. The sim of this work is

the building up of tight organization for armament in the T.G....

In case of war, I.G. will be treated by the nuthorities concerned with present questions as one big
plant which in its task for assument, as far as it
is possible to do so from the technical point of
view, will regulate itself without any organizational influence from putside.....

To the field of the work of Vermittlungsstells W belonge, besides the organizational set-up and long-range planning, continuous collectration with regard to armament and technical questions between the authorities of the Reich and the plants of the I.G.

The continual and detailed joint alamning between Farcen and the Webreacht which precipitated the entablishment of Vermittlungsstelle are examplified in the "strictly confidential" minutes of a conference held at Farcen plant in 1935 with officials of the Army Ordnance office the the Reich Air Ministry. The army was interested in the use of mathemal for automobile motors, and the Luftwoffs in the synthetic production of Iso-octame. The use of synthetic lubricating oil for airpline engines was then discussed in the light of the high cost of its production. The conference noted:

Rejection of these processes due to the fact that

they are unecommical countries a primary consideretion for the purpose of the asion Air Ministry, if production of the extremely nigh quality materials for a limited sphere of utilization can be achieved with their help.

The strategic nature of this developmental work is illustrated by enother extract:

The I.G. is bound by contract to an extensive exchange of experience with Standard Oil. This position seems untended as fer as developmental work
which is being a raind out for the Scien Air Ministry is concerned. Therefore, the Scien Air Ministry
will soon conduct an extensive exemination of applications for potents of I.G. Furthermore, the
I.G. will suggest the necessary security measures
to the Scien Air Ministry under spacial consideration of the situation.

In 1936, Formen's work with synthatic rumber was reaching the point of proofical application and strategic significance. In January, representatives of the Army Ordnence office visited Formen's Laverkusen plant to determine whether to concentivate on the synthatic origina or in the atockpiling of natural rumber. The pray representatives indicated that:

"The production of synthatic rumber is a longer a cusation of foreign exchange, but has become a cusation of military policy.... The requirements of the Wahrmacht will... be facisive." It was faciled that additional tests would be made, and that furing the summer Hitler would be shown articles made of synthetic rumber.

The report in this conference in January n tes that the peacetime requirements of the derman army were \$150 to 250 time per month. But in September, Forcen committed

itself to the construction of a factory with a capacity of 1,000 tons per month. This occurred as the result of a conference between the defendant Ter Meer and Wilhelm Keppler, Hitler's personal economic advisor. Ter Meer's report on this meeting states in part:

Reppler considers the immediate construction a large installation necessary. Through the fast progress in motorizing the Army, it will be necessary to deal with the problem of synthetic rubber most emphatically. This was a domand made by the Fuchrer, as well as by the military ruthorities, you Blomberg and Leise.... After a long discussion the following precedure is intended:

- II) The I.G. erects a factory, probably at Pleateritz, with capacity of 200 to 250 tons of rubber per month and planned for an expansion to 1,000 tons per month.
- (2) The installation must be made as fast as possible.

 The stated time of erection of one year given without obligation will be shortened if possible....
- (4) Keppler engages himself to negotiate with the military authorities and to obtain a guarantee for disposal for saveral years at a fixed price....
- (6) The construction is to be started immediately, as soon as the anticipated disposal guarantees from the military authorities are available.

Subsequeently, Keppler discussed this matter with Hitler, and then with the meich Finence M nister, in order to obtain for Ferben the necessary security to justify construction of the factory, which in fact was built the following year. Keppler's letter to Ter Meer's deputy, written 27 Aug 47-M-BJ-10-8-Me hen-(homler) Court I, Cosq VI.

efter his conforences with Hitler and the Finence Minister, included the following:

As you know, the Fuehrer is greatly interested in appeding up
the construction of the installation as much as possible. I, therefore, ask you to carry on with your planning work as before and to
start building as soon as an agreement between us concerning the
main questions is reached. This is also in accordance with the
suggestion of your Dr. Ter Meer, in any case.

The year 1935 else witnessed the development of very close relations between Ferben, through Carl Bosch and the defendant Krauch, and Hormann Goering, through General Erharat Milch and other high officials of the Reich Air Ministry. Milch and a party of his subordinates visited the Farben plants on the upper Rhine in July 1935, and upon his return to Berlin, wrote to Krauch, in part as follows:

.....I would like to thank you specially for the friendly reception you gave us at Oppau. All participants were deeply impressed by the informative talks and descentrations give them there. I, myself, have immediately informed General George, who is also particularly interested in questions of this nature. As seen as he has finished his summer vacation, he plans to ask you to come for a leisurely visit to Karinhall in order that he, too, can discuss with you the long-range viewpoints.

Erauch acknowledged this letter equally cordially, expressing pleasure that Milch's visit had furnished the "opportunity to discuss all questions pertaining to German eviation." A few months later, Carl Bosch and Erauch, with other Forben efficials, returned the visit as Milch's guest at the Air Ministry. The Forben thankyou note for this occasion stated that Bosch had made a report to the Central Committee of the Farben Verstand in which he "empahsized how strongly the many new things which we were privileged to see on our visit to you impressed him." The more significent results of these state visits and politonesses were manifested the following year.

27 August 1947 M. MSD.11 2 Leonard Court I. Case VI

MR. SPRECHER: May it please the Tribunal, D. 1936

Wisdom after the event is a far sore common human attribute than true foresight. In the retrospect of 1947, and with the information contained in these and other documents, it seems that any intelligent men should have realized, from the very mement of Hitler's science of power, that the Third Reich was headed inevitably toward war. And certainly there were many who did foresee and who did give warning. But, meking every allowance for human credulity and indifference, and for the natural if lazy optimies which always prompts the hope that things will turn out all right somehow, the conclusion is inescapable that, long before the attack on Poland and well in advance of the Austrian and Czochoslovakian invasions, all highly placed officials of the Third Roich, and influential men who did business with them and had access to official information and opinion, must have known that war wer bound to come, if they did not know just when or how it would first broak out. And there is such ovicence to succest that the latest year in which such a conclusion must have forced itself mon the minds of such men is the year 1936.

In March 1936, the Third Boich put its small but burgeoning military strength to practical use for the first time, when German troops occupied the demilitarised zone of the Rhineland. This did not change the territorial boundaries of the Roich, but it altered the military situation very fundamentally, and it was the first time since 1918 that German troops, on German initiative, had marched to settle and international political issue by force.

But other events, less sensationally described in the newspaper,
were probably of much deeper significance. Highwar Scharcht, as
Minister of Economics and Plenipotentiary General for War Economy, had
been the leading figure in organising the German economy for war. Many
decuments testify to the energy and determination with which Schacht had
corried out this task. But, as the International Military Tribunal found:

Judgment of the International Military Tribunal, Vol. I. Trial of the Major War Criminals, pp. 307-08.

Schacht, by April 1936, began to lose his influence as the central figure in the German represent effort when Georing was appointed Coordinator for Raw Muterials and Foreign Exchange.

Georing advocated a greatly expanded program for the production of synthetic raw materials which was opposed by Schacht on the ground that the resulting financial strain might involve inflation. The influence of Schacht suffered further when, on 16 October 1936, Georing was appointed Plenipotentiary for the Four Tear Flan with the task of putting "the entire economy in a state of readiness for war!" within four years. Schacht had opposed the announcement of this plan and the appointment of Georing to head it, and it is clear that Eitler's action represented a decision that Schacht's occordine policies were too conservative for the drastic rearmament policy which Hitler wanted to put into suffect.

After Georing's appointment, Schacht and Georing promptly became embroiled in a series of disputes...As a result of this dispute and of a bitter argument in which Hitler accused Schacht of upsetting his plans by his financial methods, Schacht went on leave of absence from the Ministry of Benemics on 5 September 1937, and resigned as Minister of Leonomics and as Plenipotentiary General for War Economy on 16 November 1937.

The importance of the issues involved in this clash between Goering and Schacht can hardly be overstated. The International Military Tribu-

Schaucht, as cerly as 1936, began to advocate a limintation of the rearmament program for financial reasons. Had the policies advocated by him been put into effect, Cermany would not have been prepared for a general European war.

As botwoon Gooring and Schacht, and on the issues at stake between them, there was no question where Farbon stood. Despite Schacht's

^{1.} Judgment of the International Militery Tribunal, Vol. 1, Trial of the Major War Criminals, p. 309.

position as Plenipotentiary General for War Economy, the contects between Farben and Schacht had been conspicuously few. On the other hand, Farben's relationships with Georing's Air Ministry and with his Deputy, Milch, began issociately after the seizure of power, and steadily grow closer. For years Farben had been staking its future on synthetic products, particularly gasoline and rubber, and Georing, abulliant, optimistic, and extravagant, was the great protagonist of "a greatly expanded armament program for the production of synthetic raw naturals which was apposed by Schacht."

It is he coincidence that Farben's contract of 1933 for synthetic casoline was arranged through the Air Ministry after discussions with Milch. It was no coincidence that, when the same problem presented itself in the case of synthetic rubber in 1935, Farben did not go to Schacht who, whatever his faults, was no fool, and whose shrewdness was acknowledged among industrialists and financiers not only in Germany but internationally. Indeed, they did go to Koppler, a fly-by-night Magi occnemist with little practical experience, who had Hitler's ear at the time, but who soon drifted off into shady diplomatic maneuverings. And, finally, it was no coincidence that the negotiations for the synthetic rubber contract in 1935 were contemporaneous with the exchange of visits between Farben and the Air Ministry upon which Goering, through Milch, expressed himself so benevelently.

In his new capacity as Coordinator for Res Materials and Foreign.
Exchange, Goering called a meeting, on 26 May 1936, of his principal
advisors on raw meterials questions. The defendant Schmitz attended this
meeting, together with representatives of the Ministries of Mar and
Air, and other high government officials. Supply questions vital to
"A.Fall" (the code name for "Case of War") were discussed. Goering
emphasized that, once at war, Germany would be cut off from all oil imports; that since a mechanized army and navy were dependent upon oil,

^{2. 1}d, p. 307

the waging of wer hinged entirely on the solution of the oil problem.

Goering also declared that "rubber is our weakest point." Every subject,

including oil and rubber, was discussed at the meeting in the light

of military requirements for waging wer.

On 8 September 1936, at the Mesi Perty relly in Murnberg, Mitter announced the establishment of the Four Year Flan and the appointment of Goering as the Plenipotentiary in charge, with the task of putting "the entire economy in a state of readiness for war" in four years. The Office of the Four Year Flan was charged with working out complete programs for the development of plant especity in all fields vital to wer mobilisation, including chemicals, rubber, gaseline, and explosives. In a memorandum to Goering explaining the objectives of the Four Year Plan, Mitter stated that the final solution of Gormany's problem lar in the acquisition of new territories; that such acquisition was the task of "the political leadership"; and in order for "the political leadership" to exercise its responsibilities, the Gorman economy had to be mobilized for the purpose of making Germany self-sufficient in critical war materials.

It was the voice of Hitler but the task of Ferben. For the first six months period, form Cotober 1936 to May 1937, the projects of the Four Year Plan envisaged investments of nearly one billion Reichsmarks, chiefly in power, minoral cils, iron and other metals, textiles, chemicals, and explosives. Approximately two thirds of this entire amount was to be used for I.G. Ferbenindustric products.

From these figures, it is easy to see how large a stake Ferben had in the Four Year Plan, which Couring, over Schacht's objections, persuaded Hitler to adopt. It is equally easy to see how completely dependent Hitler and Coering were on Ferben in the accomplishment of the Four Year Plan.

^{1.} Judgment of the International Military Tribunal, Vol. 1, Trial of the Major War Criminals, p. 308.

 [&]quot;Projects of General Planning", published by the Office for German Rew Materials and Synthetics, deted 27 May 1937.

Shortly after the astablishment of the Office of the Four Year Plan, and with full realization of the nature and purposes of that Office, as is apparent from the aforegoing speeches and mostings, Carl Boach recommended to Georing that he retain the defendant Krauch to advise in the planning and control of the chemical sector of the rearmement program. Krauch was appointed Chief of the Department for Research and Development in the Office of the Four Year Plan, the department responsible for making Germany self-sufficient for war.

Truly, there was no longer any doubt; Cornery was he ding for wer at express train speed. In Docember 1936, in Bitler's presence, Gooring ande a speech in the Proussonhaus in Berlin in which he explained to a large audience of government officials and industrialists the aims of the Four Year Plan. Boach and the defendents Erauch and von Schnitgler vere present. Goering made clost the intention and decision of the Mast government to wage war! "The battle which we are approaching demands a colossal measure of productive ability. No limit on the regressiont can be visuelized. The only alternative in this case is victory or destruction. If we win, business will be sufficiently commonsated." He ended his speech as follows: "Our whole nation is at stake. We live in a time when the final battle is in sight. We are already on the threshold of mobiligation and we are already at war. All that is lacking is the actual shooting. A few days later, von Schnitgler made a confidential report to the responsibilities of the German aconomy in the application of the Four Year Plan."

THE PRISIDENT: I think it is a convient time to take a recess right now.

The Tribunal will now rise until 1:30.

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The Tribunal reconvened at 1330 hours, 27 fuguat 1947)

THE HARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: The Prosecution may proceed with its statement.

IR. SPRECEER: May it please the Tribunal, at the recess we were ilsoussing developments in the year 1936.

Symbolically enough, Farben's first plant for the large scale oreduction of symthetic rubber was built in 1936 at Schlopau. The annual expecity was seventy thousand tens. - 'Se total investment over four hundred million marks.

Security resultaments, elrowder stringent, were drawn even tighter.
Forbea plant managers and to obtain prior emproved for all visits by
foreigners wishing to inspect envising pertaining to "emmenont manufacture." How clearly those security requirements foreshedowed war in
illustrated by a directive issued by Vermittlungsstelle " in December
1936, relating to war seterials, explosives, chamical warfare natorial,
fuels and lubricants of special types, and other strategic products.
The directive stated:

"For new process in the fields entioned, recreey is a requirement in every case where, by this new process, completely new materials heretofore unknown are taking produced on a process of process if the known can be produced in an essentially improved quality. Furthermore, sucreey may be maximizery for a new process if the strength in terms of economic colf-sufficiently in the avent of case "A" (Case of "Ar) will be empreciably increased thereby for a notential emponent, for instance, if in the respective country the shortest of raw materials moded for the production, of a war-assential product would be removed by the new process.

Farbon's outposts who d posts line singly a network for propegenda and espioners. In 1945, the defendant ligner made an extended
tour of inspection of the Farbon organizations in Letin Aperica, and reorganized the work of Farbon's Verbindungshases of (information men).

From them on, they were to subdit monthly reports pertaining to economic,
political and military matters. All imposts and interesting information's
received from abroad were given to the Tehrwirtschaftsstab (Nilitary
Sconomics Staff), the OKW/Abrehr (Intelligence Division of the Wehrmacht),

and the Auslands Organization (the foreign branch of the USDAP). These reports included political commentaries covering the composition of new governments, the effects of the Proclaimed List and the British Black List, political reactions within the respective countries to current events, pre-Aris and anti-Axis propagands, the purpose of special diplomatic missions, and changes with respect to diplomatic representatives. Matters of military interest contained in these reports included additions to the Aristot nevice in warlous latin ascrican con Price, reporting of ship mayonate, statistics of to make in ports, come, when of new highways and bridges, and shipments of war materials to the United States and Greet Britain.

1936 was indeed a fateful wear, According to the defendant won Schnitzler:

"...with the incremed tempe after 1935, the rehrmant became the predominant factor in the whole picture. After 1934, a strong sevement for inventments in our plants for commedities of decisive military importance became more and more pronounced with the main objective of increasing the military potential of Germany. At first sutarchic principles to make Germany independent of importation from abroad were one of the leading objectives. After 1936, the movement took on an entirely military character and military reasons stood in the foreground. Hend in-hand with this, the relations between I.G. and the Wehrmacht became more and more intimate and a continuous union between I.G. officials on the one side and the Wehrmacht representatives on the other side was the consequence of it."

The year 1937

For German industry, 1937 was the year of mobilization plane. The Vermittlungsstulle W was the classed cottons Farben and the ministries of War and Economics for the preparation of mobilization plane at Farbon plants. For example, the defendant Kuchne conducted so-called "tactical exercises" at his Leverkusen plant, following which the Vermittlungsstelle W wrote him:

"In connection with the tactical exercises, we had already discussed with you the formulation of plans for Leverkusen which are being commenced. In preparation for this, we should vary much like to receive a list in which the individual departments of Leverkusen are listed and clearly designated. This list should differentiate between the following three sections:

- (1) Flants which must be on a full production basis in a. Fall. (Case for Mar.)
- (2) Flants (intermediates and final processing) which will only charate on a limited nomine.
- (3) Plants for which it con slready be determined that they will not run during the war.

The Vernittlingsstolle V continued throughout the very to coordinate the plans for conversion of the various plants to a war economy. These plants covered such twestions as which processes and products, useful only in percetime, could be dispensed with under the strens of war, which would have to be repidly expended, and how to but the problems presented by drafting orployees into military service. By the middle of the vary, the enjority of the Parben plants had been fully advised by the Vermittlungsstelle is as to the nature and also of the mobilization tesks to be carried out. Arrangements were under way to furnish the plants with the accessary personnel and materials to carry out the mobilization plans.

The mobilization of the German economy was symbolized by a ritual which gave the principal Ferman industrialists semi-military status, though they were no uniform. In march, various high ranking Farbon efficials were informed by letter that the Beichsminister for War and Commader-in-Chief of the Armed Forces (you Bloaders) had ordered that:

"... leadership corps for military economy be set up immediately. The war economy leaders shall be responsible colliberators of the Wehrmacht in arguming and a raving out the mobilization of the armount industry and in the conduct of war. Their mignificance, their tasks, and duties in connection with armount economy, places them in a position corresponding expresimately to that of reserve officers on active duty."

The sutherity and functions of the "war economy loaders"
(Wehrwirtschaftsfuchrer) were described by Several Warlinent, of the
Military Economics Staff of the Wehrmacht, in part as follows:

"A. In Peace time:

- to adjust, to the greatest possible extent, the ermanents factories to eventual mobilization meeds, expecially to give assistance in the proparations to meet their Work Schedulo;
- 2. to do the compularry drill which would train and give thom

experience in the duties provided for them when mobilization takes place:

- 5. to prepore for, and carry out, the necessary measures to protect the factory during to mir raid and to train amployees in their trake during on air raid;
- 6. to prepare and administer security measures within the frotory.

"E. In War Time:

- 1. to convert existing "remont factories, and to organize new armement f ctories for warting menufacture, in accordance with the mobil. " to; schedule of the factory:
- 2. to manage the factors so as to assignand the interests of Mational Dofensa;
- 3. to strongthen the War Economic offices and the staffs of the Wehrascht (Aroud Forces)."

The defendants Zrouch and Schnite were appointed for Dognomy Leaders in 1938, and subsequently you Schnitzler, Gajewaki, Ter weer, Ambres, Biergia, Buotefisch, Ilgner, Jechne, Lautentchleeger, and Murater were design tod.

In 1937, Fernen's activities in the minitions field were intensified. Firben's Sitterfold plant was bury with the "techological development of the modern electron thermite incondity come. The army was worrled about " great short go in the glycerine constituted . and Farben constructed s secret "stondby" slant for the production of diglycol at Welfon. When 'nother chesical firm made inquiries with respect to this secret plant, orban replied that it "our occasionally playing werely as a standby plant in case of war" and that "we are bound to mirichest secreey and would need official approvel" in order to direuse the matter with other chanic I firms.

In September 1987, Irran's newly born Communcial Boumittee reexphrsized F_rben's politic=1 outlook. The Committee egreed that:

Under no circumst-nee should anyondy be assigned to I.G. Farbon ngencies abroad who is not a morber of the Cerman habor Front and w ose mositive attitude to the new era has not been astablished beyond any doubt. Gentlemen who are sent = broad should be ande to re-lize that it is their special duty to represent W tional Socialist Germony. They are porticularly 102

27 Aug-1-JP-13-14-5-Putty (Int. Renler)

reminded that as seen as they arrived they are to contact the local or regional group of formans abread and are expected to attend regularly their meetings as well as those of the labor Front.

In the summer of 1937, the defendant Krauck, with other high government officials, not in Goering's office to discuss the scarcity of iron and steel. Goering stated:

"At a time like this, we cannot export one-third of our total from production. ... On a ton of soul-manufactured goods we sell abroad, we realize just about 110 marks in foreign exchange. On the other hand, if we take a ten in from and use it up in construction plents of the Four land, a, then in most cases I have found the saving in foreign ... change to be four or five times, even six or ten times as great The Four Year Plan will do its share to create a foundation upon which preparation for war may be accelerated In the armed forces, those undertakings must receive first consideration which unnufacture arterials requiring a long period of construction. Warships by all means must get their sumply of iron. Guns for bottleships and other big guns fell in the same class We connot give moutherstorn Durone who more seni-manufactured goods for their wheat ... Without compelling economic or political reasons, I shall not export any more sunf-menufactured goods The export (of iron and steel) may load easily to the facilitation of the area ent of the enemy. I am thinking for instance, of henvy plates which are needed abroad by the ermanent industry."

The response, by a representative of the iron and steel industry, reflected the tense atmosphere of the meetings

"In regard to the shipment of iron to the no-called enemy countries like England, France, Selgium, Russia, and Czechoslovskia, only six percent of our export goes there. That does not help the British to keep up their areasent."

The reference to the so-called "enery countries" was no empty figure of speech. The weapons of war were being forged with terrigying rapidity, and the sement for whire was close at hand. Late in Jovenber, Hitler summoned his foreign ministers and the four chiefs of the armed services including fermann Spering, the Genrander-in-Chief of the Inftwaffe. What was said is set forth at length in the Judgment of the International Military Tribunal. Hitler assounced his "irrevocable decision to solve the Derman space problem", and indicated that the first

Judgment of the International Military Tribunal, Vol.I. Trial of the Major Var Criminals, pp. 189-193.

stops would be the conquest of Austria and Czechoslovekia. Thereafter so the International Military Tribunal found; "This decision to selze austria and Czechoslovekia was discussed in some detail; the action was to be taken as soon as a favorable opertunity presented itself". Such an opportunity was found within four months in the case of Austria and tem months in the case of Czechoslovakia. The Shedow of the Third Reich was durkening; the German was machine, built by Farben and other German industrialists and assembled by the Tchroacht, was about to be set in motion.

PLANS, PREPARATIONS, INITIATION OF INVASIONS AND INITIATION AND VACUUS OF AGGRESSIVE WARS.

As we approach the time of arms and conquest, it will be useful to take a close look at Farbon's mosition in the Third Seich. We have seen the defendants establish relations with hitler in 1932, help him to power in 1935, and bend their energies thereafter to the armament program. The decreents have shown their great success in winning the support of Doering and other high officials, and the enviable position that attained through the decline of Schacht's power and the adoption of the four Year Plan.

by the platform, there were year shedes of opinion in the Masi party.

This was true amont both the londers and the rank-and-file. The party had wen many recruits from these who had no use for capitalists and big corporations. Among this no-called "radical wing" of the party the terms "capitalist" and "Jes" were often coupled. And the Masi party press often gave empression - nometimes very emphatically - to such views.

This Pari form of anti-capitalism was a continuing source of concern to these defendants. It was not very prevalent emont the men with whom they had chiefly dealt, such as Goering, Wilch, and the Wehrmacht officers. But new situations erose, beginning in 1938, as the result of territorial expansion. There were valuable chemical and other properties

37 mg-inJF-13-16-7-Futty (Int. Renter) Court I Come 6

in the countries about to be occupied, and Parken had its own private war to wage for the acquisition of those properties and the apprandizement of the Farcen empire. From the owners of these concerns. Farben had little to fear, but it was bound to face competition and opposition from other powers in Germany. Voices were bound to be heard in equasition to the "claims" of big corporations like Farben, and on behalf of state expectable, or of other claimants. In this respect, Parken learned such and Quickly from the occupation of Aug 1

The year 1938.

An the course of campusat unfolds, so will the tactics adopted by Ferben to most those new problems. In 1938, the defendants had already become moutely conscious of the need for "acceptance" of Ferben, despite its size and wealth, on a broader besis within the Feri party. On 31 July, an article on Ferben acceptant in the official Mari organ, the Voolkischer Bookschter. It was written by Dr. Fritz Monosabruch, the chief editor, and its contents illustrated, at one and the same time, the with role of Ferben in the German war economy, and the exceptance of lestility in certain party circles which Farban was scoking to overcome. It read, in part:

"Charletry, in these years, is exerting on influence on national production to an extent which, in spite of the importance which the charlest industry already had previously, could not have been foreseen. What the charlest ladustry is today is evident from the fact that it, above all, has succeeded in securing national independence with regard to raw materials, an accomplishment which, proviously, had frequently been considered impossible. One of the piers of the bridge across this "impossible" is I.G. Farben. One could judge this caterorise as one wished and in a manner which formerly was perhaps justified; now this enterorise is a bastion in Germany's struggle for independence with regard to raw materials.

"It is sufficient to Quote the terms synthetic gasoline and synthetic rubber. The value of these two processes alone to German national economy cannot be expressed in terms of money any more than the price of a glass of water to a person who needs this water urgently for the preservation of his life. The fact that we have synthetic gasoline and synthetic rubber not only enviches our production, but it is more, it is a contribution to the safeguarding of our liberty. Synthetic gasoline and synthetic rubber are not the only products the I.G. Farban has given us, following the supply in former years of synthetic nitrogen to the former mation by the plants of this enterprise......

"It is important, however, that I.G. Farben, had it not been the empor co bine that it is, would not have been able to develop its chamical processes. What could we have done furing the World War without artificial nitrogen? What would we do now without synthetic gasoline and synthetic rubber? And how restricted would be our hopes if we had not positive knowledge of new synthetic processes which will follow? These achievements confirm the necessity of major combines."

Court I, Case S.

Written "after Dr. Nonnenbruch had visited Leverkusen" and "after personal relations between him and myself had been improved at every available opportunity." The writer of the letter was chief of the press section of Ferben's NW 7 office, and an immediate subordinate of the defendant Theorem. The defendants were resourceful men.

1. Invasion and Occupation of Austria.

On 12 March, 1938, Germany invided Austric. This move was, as the International Military Tr: nal round, I a spremaditated aggressive step*, but its timing was not planned in advance. On the contrary it was precipitated, unexpectedly even to Hitler, by Schusnigg's announcement on 9 March there would be a plobiscite on the question of austrian independence.

For yours Farben had coveted - and coveted in win astria's biggest chemical enterprise, the gunpowder factory Skodawerko-Watzler a.D., the mejority interest in which was firmly held by one of austria's principal benks, the Oustarreichische Kreditanstelt. Farben had contacted the general menager of Skodowerke-Motzler A.G. Mr. Pollak, and had also sounded out the leading mon of Kreditanstalt. But in spite of the pressure under which Austria was living ofte: the murder of Dollfus, and in spite of the fact that Pollak was a Jow and had good receon to be apprehensive, all the astempts Farbon and made to acquire the Skodewerks-Wetzler A.G. met with Tailurs. As late as February, 1938, Follak wrote to a Farbon Vorstand Diliver that "for reasons which are beyond our influence, it is not possible to relinquish our standpoint that the Kreditanstalt unconditionally must keep 51% of the shares (of Shodawerka-Wetzler A.G) in its strict control

1. Judgment of the International Military Tribunal, Vol. 1, Irial of the Major War Criminals, n. 192.

A month later, the invasion of Austria presented Formon with its golden opportunity. Goering promptly gave directions for the extension of the four Year Plan to Austria, and Farben equally promptly expressed its willingness to "participate in the reconstruction of austria" and "placed its cooperation at the disposal of the authorities. " As early as 9 April, Farban had propared recommendations entitled the They Order of the Major Chemical Industries of Austria was signed by the defendants Haefliger and Kugher. This document was distributed to, among others, Hitler's former economic advisor, Wilhelm Keppler, with whom Farben had had dealings on synthotic rubber metture, and who by now was a high official of the Goran Foreign Office in Vienna, So bold was Ferben's domand that the austrian chomical industries be allocated lock, stock, and terrel to Farban, that Koppler first reaction was to inquire screastically whether the I.G. was intending to awallow the whole of the sustrian chesical industry.

But Farban's tactics were it much more varied and far less delectable. Whatever appealed to the Mazi mind was shamelessly used as an inducement. In its reports to the German government, Farban repeatedly pointed to the fact that there were many Jaws in the austrian chemical industry who should be replaced by people of aryan lineage, particularly by Farben people. At a meeting of Farben's Commercial Committee in June 1938 it was agreed that: "In order to safejuard uniform Farben interests, all non-aryans employed by the Austrian organizations, in accordance with the directives issued by Geheimret Schmitz, should be given leave of absence, i.e., should be dismissed at the carliest possible date. Likewise, the members of Aufsichtsrat

27 August 47-A-PM-15-3-Schwab. Court 1, Case 6.

to be essed to give up their mandates. And in the "New Order" report of "aefliger and Kugler, anti-Semitism was neetly coupled with the familiar ap and to the necessities of the four Year Plan, and the two tegether were nevenced at the reasons why Ferben's proposals should be brought to a speedy conclusion":

- a) The leading officials it to true have been in the meantime replaced by aryons. . equally necessary reorganization of personnel in subordinate positions can be completed appropriately and with a long-range view only when a clear picture of the future situation exists...
- o) The groundwork should be laid immediately to prepers assignments to be carried out by the chowseal lacustry of Austria within the framework of the Four Year Plan.

Ay such tactics, and after intervention by a number of Farben directors, including the defendant Illaner, Kurler, Haefliger, and Gattineas with Nazi bilwigs such as Seyss-Inquert and Kepplor, Farben carried the day. Farben's contacts with the Nazi leaders in Austria was greatly sided by the fact that Farben had given a timely retainer to a notorious austrian "zi, Dr. Newbacher, who was possenally appointed Mayor of Vienna by Goering soon after the "Anschluss" and who proved extremely helpful to Farben's austrian activities. Polick, the Jewish manager of the Skedawerke-Wetzler, called in the Farben representative in Vienna and surrendered his desk. The Kreditanstalt bowed to force. The Skedawerke became part of the Farben empire.

This acquisition was but one step in Farben's sub-

follow in which similar tactics were employed. The full story of Farbon's industrial plunder in Austria will be set forth when the prosecution presents its evidence under Count Two of the indictment.

But while the acts which we have just described constitute a separate crime under Count Two of the indictment, they are equally criminal under Count One, and the charges with respect to plunder and spoliction are incorporated in Count One by virtue of paragraph 8.1 . ; indigtment.

These acts were an intrinsic part of the invasion of Austria, and the invasion clearly constituted a "crime against peace" with the meaning of Control Council Law No. 10. And the occupation of Austria, including the conversion of Austrian industry in conformity with the meads of the four Year Plan, was part of Germany's preparation for the aggressive wars which were to be launched in the near future. In the conversion of the Austrian chemical industry, Farbon, of course, played the leading role.

2. More Properations.

1938 witnessed an intensified development of the industrial mobilization plans which and been prepared at all Farben plants the provious year. In May 1938, a conference of all Farben's plant managers was called by the Vermittlungsstelle W to perfect the mobilization plans and to discuss personnel problems which would arise "in case of mobilization" and the calling of all able-bodied men in the Third Reich for military service. The minutes of this conference were distributed to the army officials and heads of draft boards in the various military districts. Throughout the untire year, in every important committee of I.G. Farben including the Technical Committee, the Commercial Committee and the Dyestuffs and Chemicals Committees, "Mob-Plans were

27 August 27-A-PM-15-5-Schweb Court 1, Case 6.

intensively discussed with a view to perfecting them for 1 modiate execution upon the outbrock of war.

In the summer of 1938, with the invasion of Czechoslovakia imminent, Farbon took the initiative in reorganisin, the showical program outlined by the Four Year Plan in line with the requirements for wilns wer. Goering took measures to speed up the program for chemical warf ro and orplosives after the defendant Kenuch had pointed out to him that the figures being relied on by Gooring in property in for mer were incorrect, and the denger of making war plans on an inaccurate basis. On 12 July 1938, Krauch and Gooring worked out the so-celled "Kerin Hell Plan" (Also called the "Krauch Plan"), which contribut a new program for dicalcal warfare meants (poison gas), explosives, public and granling. The administrative basis of the olen are been propored by the defendant ambros a few days prior thereto. Thereafter, Krauen was appointed by Goering as Flonioutentlary General of the Four Year Plan for Special questions of Chemical Production, and was vistor with the administrative powers su ested by ambros. With the nesistance of hoy tochnical mon of Farben, Krauch propered special mobilization plans for the chemical industry, including an ellocation my priority system for labor ran building metorials.

In the summer of 1938, Farben's war activities become almost fronzied. Additional "War Doliveries Contracts" were conalugad with the Wenrmacht. All of these controls sectified the emount and terms of deliverise which would be undertaken of Forsen "in the event of mobilization". The problem of Storego facilities for future deliveries was investigated by Vensittlangastable W. The army Ordnance Office proceed the Vermittlungestella to increase production capacity at the Wolfen plant "to the gree test capacity sessible at

the present time." Leverkusen shipped out numerous cases of war gases.

In July, with the international situation growing tonsor, the Reich air Ministry became alarmed because Ferben's output of tetracthyl load, assential in the Leaurneture of high octane aviation gasoline, was not being produced in sufficient quantities for wer purposes. Farben and producing sufficient tetracthyl load for Garmany's peace needs and was expending its plant to a war requirements, but the danger of immediate war was thought great enough so the the Air Ministry urgently requested Farben to obtain from absord and store five mindred tons of tetractayl lead to tide the Luftwoffe over until Farben's plant could make to the deficiency.

Sunredi, immediately got in touch with the London representative of the Ethyl Export Corporation, an american concorn. Forben stronged to "borrow" five hundred tens of tetractical lead, and agreed to return it to the Ethyl Export Verporation by the end of 1939. The loss was accured by the deposit of approximately one million delices as colleteral. Forben explained to the Ethyl Export Corporation that:

"The addition of totracthyl lead to dutomobile gasoline depends on a sufficient reserve of tetracthyl lead in Germany."

Having secured the lead by this misrepresentation, Ferban, of course, failed to return it at the end of 1939, and the colleteral was forfeited.

With the approach of the Munion crists, matters reached such a pitch that it was impossible for Farben officials, or indeed any other responsible officials or industrialists, to believe that the enormous production of armaments,

112

reaching unbelievable proportions in 1938, could have any other meaning but that the Third Reich intended to wage war. It was quite impossible to believe that such enormous armaments were for defensive purposes. Immediately prior to the Munich conference of September 1938, and in order to provent the seizure of Ferben's foreign assets, special procedures were worked out by Farban, in consultation with overnment officials, sutherising Ferm to camoufleguer falock, its foreign assets through transfers to neutral trustoes.

3. Invasion and Occupation of the Sudetenland.

During the seizure of Austria, it was Germany's policy to quiet the understandable fears of Czechoslovakia with southing words. Goering and other Masi officials reportedly resured the Czech representatives that their country had no in jon to be apprehensive. But late in March, Conrad Manlein, larder of the Sudeten German party in Czechoslovakia, mot with Hitler in Berlin, and thereafter conferences between

Hitler and his military leaders culminated, late in May, in a secret order to prepare for military action against the Czechs by 1 October, 1 1938. As the International Military Tribunal found; "These facts deconstrate that the invasion of Czechoslovakia had been planned in detail long before the Munich conference."

Farben was keenly alive to the prospect of amnexation of the colentenland, and irredictely "tackled the problem of what would happen if Sudeten-Czechoslovakia, and therewith the plants Aussig, Falkonau, and possibly Bruschau, should be incorporated into Germany". The Aussig and Falkonau plants, both located in the Sudetenland, belonged to the largest chemical concern in Czechoslovakia, the Prager Verein, which was in sharp competition with Farben, particularly in southeastern Europe. As early as 2 April, the defendant Bactliger scanded cut Keppler with respect to acquiring the Aussig plant, and reperced: "Evidently he is very much interested in the idea".

on 23 May 1938, four nonths before the invasion of Czechcslovakia, and one week before Hitler's secret order to prepare for military action, a conference took place at Farbon's Berlin NW 7 office, attended by the defendant Kugler. The conference discussed the climination of the only two persons of non-Aryan descent in Farban's sales agencies in Prague, and the dismissal of Czech mationals from executive positions. The secting decided that:

Our ain should be to revent authorized sellers of I. G. products about from having to be in any way dependent upon Jewish banks, since we would otherwise be branded with the stigms of cooperating with Jewish firms, Furthermore, such conditions of ownership could possibly deprive us of the liberty of directing our agencies at will, as our experience in Austria had shown, it such Jewish banks should come under the direction of trustees appointed by the Government (Kormissars),"

^{1.} Judgment of the International Military Tribunal, Vol. I, Trial of the Major War Criminals, p. 196.

October, German troops entered the Sudentenland. On 3 October, Falkennu was occupied, and on 9 October, Aussig. After various forms of Churchs had been applied by German officials, at the instigation of Parben, to force the Frager Verein, against its will, to "sell" its Sudeten plants, formal "negotiations" started at a meeting in Berlin in November at which the defendants Schmitz, von Schnitzler, Ilgner, Kuehne, and Kugler were present. A series of conferences culminated in a mosting in December at which the defendant won Schultzler presided. He told the representatives of the Frager Verein that in that they were trying to sabotage the deal and that he was, therefore, wing to report to the German government that because of the attitude of the Frager Verein, secial peace in the Sudeton area was being menaced, that unrest could be expected at any moment, and that the responsibility would full upon the Pragur Verein. The representatives of the Pragur Verein thereupon sought advice from the Ozechoslovalian government and were advised to d the best they could. The next day the agreement for the sale of the property was signed.

The defendants had good reason to conduct these "negotiations" with arrogant confidence. Hitler had been able to provail at Munich on the basis of solumn public assurances that, after the Suleten problem was a solved: "There will be no more territorial problems for Gormany in Europe....I will be no longer interested in the Czech State, and as far as I am concerned I will guarantee it, we don't want any Czechs".

These assurances prompted Chamberlain's hope for "peace in our time."

But the defendants knew better and every responsible official in Germany knew better. On 21 October, another secret directive from Hitler to the armed forces specified, as one of the tasks for which the Webrancht must be prepared, the "liquidation of the remainder of 2 Czechoslovakia."

Judgment of the International Military Tribunal, Vol. I, Trial
of the Major War Criminals, p. 197.

The meeting also decimed it expedient: "to begin immediately and with the greatest possible speed to employ Suncten Germans for the purpose of training them with I. S. in order to build up reserves to be employed later in Czechoslovakia."

In July 1938, a report on the chemical industries of Czechoslovekia that prepared for the Commercial Committee of Parben, and thereafter parben initiated discussions with the interested German authorities and recommended that its representatives to appointed commissars to take over the operation and management of the four Year Plan. The more German pressure against Czechoslovakia increased, the more urgent became Farben's attempts to convince the Government that as soon as the Sudetenland was annowed by Germany, it was Parben which should manage the plants. On 23 September, 1938, the defendent Kuchne was pleased to learn from Ter Moor and you Schmitzler "the pleasant news that you have succeeded in making the competent authorities appreciate our interest in Aussig, and that you have already suggested commissars to the authorities, viz. Dr. Wurster and Kupler."

Again, on 29 September, 1938, the may that the bunder Pact was signed, the defendant von Schmitzler reported on a meeting with Keppler:
"The negotiations have been successful insofar as all parties acknowledge that as soon as the Gorman Suletenland comes under German jurisdiction, all the works situated in the mone and belonging to the Aussig Union, irrespective of the future scittlement of accounts with the head office in Prague, must be managed by trustoos 'for account of whom it may concern'." The defendant Nugler (together with a Sudeten German angineer) was appointed "commissar for the maintenance of the plants". The next day the defendant Schmitz wired Hitler that he was "profoundly impressed by the return of Sudeten-Germany to the Reich, which you, My Fuebrer, have achieved", and that Farben "puts an amount of half a million reichsmarks at your disposal for use in the Sudeten-German territory". On 1

116

The meeting also deemed it expedient: "to begin immediately and with the greatest possible speed to employ Sudoten Germans for the purpose of training them with I. G. in order to build up reserves to be employed later in Czechoolovskia."

In July 1938, a report on the chamical industries of Czechoslovakia was prepared for the Commercial Committee of Farben, and thereafter Farben initiated discussions with the interested German authorities and recommended that its representatives be appointed commissars to take over the operation and management of a described imbustries of the Sudetenland and integrate their production to the Four Year Plan. The more German pressure against Czechoslovakia increased, the more urgent became Farben's attempts to convince the Government that as soon as the Sudetenland was annowed by Germany, it was Farben which should manage the plants. On 23 Saptember, 1938, the defendant Kuelme was pleased to learn from Ter Meer and you Schmitzler "the pleasant news that you have succeeded in making the competent authorities appreciate our interest in Aussig, and that you have already suggested commissars to the authorities, viz. Dr. Murster and Kuyler."

Again, on 29 September, 1938, the 'ay that the larich Poot was signed, the defendant von Schmitzler reported on a meeting with Keppler; "The negotiations have been successful insofar as all parties acknowledge that as soon as the German Sudetenland comes under German jurisdiction, all the works situated in this more and belonging to the Aussig Union, irrespective of the future settlement of accounts with the head office in Prague, must be managed by trustees for account of whom it may concern." The defendant Rugler (together with a Sudeten German engineer) was appointed "commissar for the maintenance of the plants". The next day the defendant Schmitz wired Hitler that he was "profoundly impressed by the return of Sudeten-Germany to the Reich, which you, My Fuehrer, have achieved", and that Farben "puts an anount of half a million reichsmarks at your disposal for use in the Sudeten-German territory". On 1

116

officials, at which the defendant around was present, Gooring announced that Hitler had ordered him "to carry out a gigantic program compared to which previous achievements are insignificant", He stated that within the shortest possible time "the Air Force is to be increased five fold", that muval arrangent should be expedited, and that "the Army should procure large amounts of defensive weapons at the fastest rate, particularly heavy artillery pieces and heavy tanks." The notes of this conference also state:

"The Sudeterland has to be exploited with all means. General Field Marshal Goaring counts upon willing occurring collamboration by the Slovaks, Canche and Slovaks would form German dominions. They must be exploited to the utmestere. Searches for all and ore must be confucted in Slovakia by State Secretary Keppler."

The defendant krauch may tell us that he hid not helieve what he heard; but the bross-beating of the Busch businessmen by the defendants, which occurred during these name weeks, is a more reliable guide to the Farben attitude. And by March of the following year, the march of events amply confirmed Georing's words.

The Chief of Counsel will new continue GENERAL TAYLOR: B. 1939 and After

As the decisive year of 1939 began, Rjolmur Schacht made a last bid to revive his influence in the Third Reich. He had been replaced by Goering as the central figure in the armount effort in 1937, and in that year had resigned both from his position as Minister of Reenemics and as Plenipotentiary General for War Economy. But he had stayed on as president of the Reichebark, which continued to Function as the financial agent of the Reichebark, which continued to Function as the financial agent of the Reichebark, which continued to Function as the financial agent of the Reichebark, which continued to Function as the financial agent of the Reichebark, with the Reich treasury nearly empty, Schacht seized upon the issue presented by the fiscal crisis, and in January 1939, both orally and in a report signed by the Erectors of the Reichebank, he urged a drastic curtailment of armount expenditures

in order to balance the budget and prevent inflation, Hitler's answer was to dismiss Schacht as president of the Reichsbank. Schacht retained the compty title of Reichsminister without Fortfolio until 1943, but he had lost all influence with the Maxis, and coased to play any effective part in government affairs.

In fact, events were moving rapidly in the opposite direction. In the middle of March 1939, broken by Goering's threat to Jestray Prague from the air, the Caech president signed the agreement for the occupation of Behemia and Moravia by German & ____, These two provinces became a protectorate of the Reich, and Slevakia a minor "satellite" power. The conquest of all of Gaechoslovakia apanel up new fields of industrial plumier for Fartan, width were promptly exploited by the defendants.

Farben's mobilization for war was by now virtually completes A report written to the defendant von Emierica in June 1939 and circulate! to most of the defendants read: "Male three or four years ago, only isolated sections within I. G. were engaged on work concerning Wehrswicht problems, at present there is experimental work in progress in almost all major I. G. plants, which is being carried on either directly at the order of the Webrancht or in which the Webrancht is taking an active part of ther he to the particular mature of the raid as in question or through providing experts to participate in the work." In February, 1939, Wehrmacht officers from the Or hanco Office made an extended inspection tour of Farban's explosives plants. A new production plan for explosives, known as the "Rapid Plan", was developed, so that the more important explosives products were given ir justion priority, and other changes were made to meet the needs of the emergency. The same month, a general conference of the pobilization managers of the larger Farben plants was called, and in the spring the final mobilization plans were approved by the Raich Economic Group for Chemistry.

In March, the defendant von Knicriem and his Legal Committee recommended that drastic measures be taken to plan the camouflage of Farbon's foreign holdings in order to protect them from seizure by the onemy. These measures not only served the interests of Farben, but enabled its foreign empire to promote the government's foreign activities. The Committee's report, which was circulated to the defendants von Schnitzler, Ter Meer, and others, stated:

in the event of war is minimized the belders of shares or similar interests are not a residing in neutral countries. Such a distribute of holdings of shares or other interests has the further advantage of forestalling may conflicts troubling the conscience of an enemy antional who till inevitably be caught between his patriotic feelings and his legalty to I. G. A further advantage is that the neutral, in case of war, generally retains his freedes of neverent, while energy antionals are frequently called into the service of their country, in various especities, and, therefore, can no longer take care of business matters.

Nevertheless, it is obvious that transfers of shares or similar interests in our sales companies to neutrals residing in neutral countries cannot be handled uniformly in all cases and without consideration of other aspects. To mention just two of those, an accumulation of such shareholdings in the few countries that will presumably remain neutral would arouse suspiction, and the number of trustworthy persons the can be considered assuitable holders of such shares or similar interests is limited. In addition, it is necessary that protective measures to be taken by I. G.

for the eventuality of wer should not substantially interfore with the conduct of business in normal times. For a variety of reasons, it is of the greatest importance for the normal conduct of business that the officials heading the agent firms who are particularly well qualified to serve as clocks, should be citizens of the countries wherein they reside.

1. The Invasion and Occupation of Foland.

On 25 key 1939, Hitler called a meeting of the londers of the Cohemateht. Georing was present as Commanded. Thief of the Luftweffe, and the group also included Milch and other high ranking officers from the Luftweffe and Air Ministry, with which Forban had doubt so closely. The military leaders heard Mitler say, among other things:

"A mass of 80,000,000 people have solved the ideological problems.

So, too, must the economic problems be solved...This is impossible without invasion of foreign states or sitteds upon foreign property...Further successes orange be obtained without the shedding of blood. Denzig is not the subject of the dispute of all. It is a question of expending our living space in the East and of securing our food supplies, of a settlement of the Baltic problem....The population of non-German areas will perform no military service, but will be available as a source of labor...

There is, therefore, no question of sparing Poland, and we are luft with the decision to attack Folend at the first suitable opportunity. To cannot expect a repetition of the Grecheslovekian affair. There will be war....."

Hitler's decision can hardly have come as a surprise to any of those present. The occupation of Austria, the Sudstanland crisis, and the breach of the Munich Agreement by the occupation of Bohomia and Moravia, were fresh in their minds. Time after time Hitler had proclaimed Germany's perceful intentions, and just as often Germany's acts had belied these protestations.

Nor word the conferees the only ones who knew what terrible events were in the offing. The frenzied pace of the German armament effort, the

the Maxi party ands the future only too clear. If one may concede room
for doubt before 1939, iffer the Johnsteht's entry into Prague no and
could longer mount that the Third Reich was roody for wer. The tension
which lay over Aurope became nearly intelerable, and Gormany was in a
constant condition of emergency mobilization. Industrial mobilization
had been planned down to the last dotail. During the summer, incidents
begun to "occur" along the Gorman-Polism to "are, and riots "broke out"
in Danzig. Those achoes of the oudetanized case a ward well understood
by intelligent, influential, and well informed can such as the defendants.
They know that Gormany would attack Poland, if that underppy country refused to give in without a struggle.

The defendant Kraveh was at Gooring's right hand, and several others were working closely with Aracch. Iny of the defendants were in key positions in the government or the semi-official Response Group Chamierl Industry. All major Parbon projects and policies within the armoment offort had been approved in mostings of the Vorstand. It seems superfluous to cention portiouler meetings of which high government officials informed Perban that war was bound to come; cortainly many of those defendents know the truth for better then these who prosund to whisper state secrets in their agra. But it is porhaps worth continuing that, in July 1939, the defendent you Schnitzler conferred in Berlin, is was his monthly custom. with a high official of the Later Zeanomies Ministry named Ungowitter, and Ungowitter, specking on babilf of the Four Year Plan, told won Schnitzler that Hitler was determined to invade Poland and that, in view of the gurrantees which had been extended to Poland by England and France, Germany must be propored for an attack on its western frontier. Ungawitter had also made similar statements to others among the defendants, such as Tor Meer, Turster, and Ambros.

On 2 August, Ungowitter, as Reich Commissioner for Chemistry, gave Farben the clart for war. Through the Vermittlungsstelle T, instructions to Forben plants were given as follows: "The basic principles should be that the raw and suxiliary materials necessary for the execution of the mobilization orders issued to you...will be held in stock by you for a certain period. In agreement with the Reich Ministry of Economics, I accordingly direct you to stockpile that amount of the raw and auxiliary materials indicated by you as necessary for the execution of the mobilization order, which would cover the requirements for three months....It is incumbent upon you to register as priority transports the quantities of these materials required for the first four weeks from the beginning of mobilization with the military economic department concernso....Flesse inform are as soon as possible that the directives issued to you for stockpiling have been carried out."

The Vermittlungsstelle W immediately passed those instructions to the Parben plants, and was soon able to inform Ungewitter that they were prepared. The time had come for putting into effect the "closking" plan for Parben's foreign assets which the defendant you Enteriem had devised As this program, known among the defendants as "ternung" (camouflage), was put into effect, Farben explained to the Reich Minister of Entmomica:

To declare that we shell have unrestricted influence upon the
foreign comapnies, even after the carrying out of the measures aimed at,
and that we are preparing and in a position to insure that all foreign
values on hand will be delivered to the Reichabank either directly by
way of the export proceeds, or vis Stockholm as indicated in our proposal...
The declare, moreover, that the declare real influence we shall have on the
foreign sales companies, even after the carrying out of the new requirements, will be sufficient in ever, respect to enswer the requirements, of
the German governmental and party sutherities with regard to performed
and political questions. We shall always be able to eliminate from our
sales business those individuals who are unsuitable or suspect because of
their political position and to insure that no conflicts arise between the
staff of our foreign economic sales organization and the general German
violepoint and government and sconomic policy."

122

But, as we have seen before, it is from the defendants' graed for power and plunder that we derive the clearest evidence of their browledge and intentions. While von Rundstadt and von Bock were deploying the German Lagions on the Polish Frontier in accordance with their plan of attack, Farben was carefully surveying the Polish chemical industries in anticipation of the banefits to be derived from conquest. On 28 July 1939, a comprehensive report was prepared under the direction of the defendant Ilgner, which was entitled attack important Chemical Plants in Polenda, and which set forth a detail description of the physical structure of these plants, the products they manufactured, their adaptability to the German wer becomeny, and the masse of their owners and directors.

On 28 August, the Vermittlungsstells i notified the Ferban plants that it could, for the time being, bureached day and night by telephone and teletype. That day or the next, the defendant Schneider, head of So.

I, called a meeting of the department chiefs of the Leuns plant and informed them that the order for the mobilization of the plants had been given.

Schneider closed the disquasion with the words: "This is wer,"

On 1 Soptember 1939, the Webrascht invaded Poland. As the International Military Tribunal found, the war initiated by Gormany against
Poland "was most plainly an aggressive war which was to devalop in due
course into a war which unbraced almost the whole world and resulted in
the commission of courtless manage, both against the laws and customs of
war, and against humanity."

On the day of the Polish immasion, the famous German steel magnate, Pritz Thyssen, who had been one of Hitler's corliest supporters among the industrialists, fled from Germany, and, as a member of the Raichstag, directed that his vote be cast against the declaration of war. One wask after the declaration of war, von Schnitzler and others among the defendants started to carry out their program for the seizure of the Polish chamical industries for Farban's benefit.

2. The War Years

Farben's plans for war had been so carefully laid that the actual outbroak of war created no major problems. By a telegram from the Vermittlungsstelle % sent at the orders of the Raich Economy Minister, all Parbon plants were ordered "to switch at once to the production outlined in the mobilization program."

The war which Firben had done so much to make possible had finally come to pass, and Parben continued to function as a vital source of materials and weapons for the Gorman military machine. New and enormous plants were constructed for the production of nitrogen, methanel, and systhetic fuels. Two buns rubber plants had been built before the war, and two more were added in 1941 of which one was located outside the Reich at Auschwitz, and of which we will hear much more shortly. In anticipation of the possibility that poison gra would be used again, Farben built several large installations for its production. It is interesting to note that Farben went to seem lengths to conceal its poison gas acitivies by the formation of subsidiary corporations with inrevealing names such as "luranils and "Anorgana", and the contracts with the Wehrmacht for poison gas production were made in the names of such dumpy subsidiaries.

Parbe, in short, having planned, propered, and initiated invasions and aggressive wars, proceeded to devote all its energies to the waging of war. Within Germany, It was the arsenal of the Third Reich. In the occupied countries, it was heavily engaged in its plans for industrial spolintion which will be outlined under Count Two. Both within the Reich and in the occupied territories, it was heavily involved in the murderous slave labor program of the Third Reich, as will be set forth under Count Three.

But the evidence under Count One does not close with the year 1939. Two years clapsed before the tide of war reached the western homisphere, and during these years farben continued to be an energetic and resourceful partner of the Nezi government in the fields of propegands and intelligence, and was particularly effective in delaying the

arming of the western countries to meet the German menace.

Farben's foreign intelligence activities were conducted chiefly through its foreign representatives under the degis of the defendant Ilgner and the Barlin NW 7 office, and with the cooperation of the Bayer Sales Agencies under the desendant Marm. The information network which Ferban had built up proved valuable so that in many cases Ferban's agents (the so-called Verbindungsmeanner) were taken into the intelligence divisions of the Cohrmscht and of the SS. In Brazil, Peru, Chila, Venezuela, Equador, Mexico, and generally throughout Latin America, Farbon representatives were the mainsprings of the local branches of the Nexi party, furnished propagands services, and were a constant source of welcable information.

In addition, Farbon assisted the German intelligence services by placing on its payroll, and sending abroad under its auspices, the regular efficers and agents of the intelligence services. This device was frequently reserved to in the Balkan countries, Turkey, Spain and Portugal.

For more important than those intelligence activities was Farbon's deliberate use of international cartel and syndicate arrangements to delude businessmen in other countries and thereby delay foreign research in the field of armaments. Activities of this type were of particular importance in the United States, which was the most highly industriclized and potentially powerful country in the world. Examples of Ferbon's use of cartels and trade agreements for aggressive purposes may be found in several fields. But the most significant instance was Ferbon's agreement with the Standard Oil Company of Nor. Jersey.

Farben's contractual history with Standard Oil is an excellent illustration of the manner in which Farben, in close cooperation with we.

Next government, utilized international cartel arrangements in the interests of the German war sconomy. In 1929, shortly after Farben had developed its processes for the manufacture of synthetic fuels, an agreement was concluded between Farben and the Standard Oil, the general purport of which

125

was that throughout the entire world, including the United States,
Standard Oil recognized Farben's priority in the "chemical" business
and that, except within Germany, Farben recognized Standard Oil's
priority in the "cil" business. New "chemical" processes discovered
by Standard Oil were to be turned over to Farben unless they bore a
close relation to the "cil" business, and Farben entered into a reciprocal obligation with respect to developments related to the "cil or _
natural gas" business, except within Germany.

In 1930, Farbon and Standard Dil entered into a further agreement, the purpose of which was stated to be "the desire and intention of the parties to day lop and exploit their new chamical processes jointly on a basis of equality (50-50)". For this purpose, a jointly-owned corporation called Jasco was set up to test and develop new processes turned over to it by either Standard or Farbon.

South parties to the agreement reclised that there was considerable overlap between oil and chemical fields and that borderline cases would constantly arise. The nature of the "treaty" between Farbon and

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-18-1-A-AEH-Lounced (Von Schon)

Stanfare Oil was well sweetised in a letter written in 1936 by Frank A. Haure, president of the Stanfard Oil Development Ownpany:

"The I.G. may be said to be our general partner in the charlest business as to developments orising during the period beginning in 1929 and expiring in 1967. The desire and intention of both parties is to avoid a repeting with one enother and by these seems to persit their technical or unitations to experate the period to their suburi advantage.

"The resumble is that the I.G. are going to

utar out of the oil business proper, and we are joing

to stay out of the chorical business insofar as that

has no business on the oil business.

no have lived under the I.O. relationship for the ut no-last of the total term, a naidering the fact that the polationship noturally began about two years before the a intracts were noturally executed.

The chemical side of the arrangement has been satisfactor to both sides and profitable to us at least through this parent. The arrangement is one which necessarily requires really of till on both sides. The personnel of the I.O. with the we shall have to feel in this restact has changed a mouthant furing this ten-year year of but there is no indication that the new executives will not be able on willing to write with us in the same spirit of good will in which the corlier group worker."

Despire the one of lenguage of the Jases agreement, it apparently was agreed on both sides that the levelopment of synthetic rubber processes fell

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-18-2-A-AEH-Loonerd (Von Schon)

within its torms, and that now developments in the synthetic rubber field at ulf be turned over to Jaseo. I letter of Mr. Howard's written in 1940 states:

"The Bune synthetic rebber development (to the extent the product was not from all one natural gas rew meterials) was recomized by both parties as a wing within the field of this expertion."

La Mr. Howard put it, the arrangements between Ferbon on' Sten'er' 011 were such as to require "real good will a but sides". But the subsequent history of the portion' acts as under the a atract may best be su porize by steting that me of the parties was entirely trustworthy, on' perhaps too trusting, cloreds the other was not to be truste of all. The Stendard 011 Cropany becave the greement noticulously an', as Mr. Houne's letter shire, was impressed with the "spirit of good will" on the part of Farbon. Perbon, on the controry, through at the person of the o reprent, behave ith enlouinter secitfulness, and its overy move was and in consultation with the Mazi government on' and "irect to atrongthen Germany's technical position and al . In research in the United Status. As early as Parch 1934, Ferbon instructed its subsidiary in Nov Trk, Chargeo, which was neg tirting with the Du Part Company on mitrogen matters, not to indicate to Du Font that the Wazi government right interest itself in the internet; nel interchen; o of technol giral processes. The letter states that: " c "ust not all w forcin industry to gain the impressi n that, in this respect, we are not free to negatiote". And in 1935, a negation of a conference

27 Aug 47-18-3-A-AEH-Lo mare (F m Schon)

be twoon Ferben representatives and Wehrmacht officers stated:

"The I.G. is boun" by contract to an extensive exchange of experience with Standard. This position seems untenable as for as developmental work which is being corride out for the Reich Air Ministry is concerned."

In July, 1937, enother such emforance to k place. The necessity was stressed of keeping Farbon processes for the production of fuels and cirplane possiting secret except to the extent olready known by foreigners and authorized by the schremable. The test agreed upon was whether there was in edict to ager that foreigners would develop the processes in the near future without benefit of the Farbon "know-how". It was also agreed that false impressions would also impressions would be given by Farbon to its foreign processes as a standard Oil as to the scale of experiments being confucted by Farbon.

Farbon's cartel rolley is stated bluntly in a memoran un which the Tufon'ant Buctofisch wrote in January, 1940, after the outbreak of war. After reciting that "in the field of minoral als" there were agreements for the exchange of technical experience between Terban and Standard Oil, it stated:

of the neutral countries still handle in the usual form and which is transmitted to us by way of Holland and Italy respectively, lives us, on the one hand, insight into the development work and into the intentions of the ampanies and their respective countries with regard to projection, and informs us

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-18-4-A-AEH-Loonard (Von Schon)

development in the field of oils. In these reports on experiences, blueprints and technical details of the various experiences are given. The contractual obligation states that we, too, have to examine to abroad within the contractual limitations of our experiences in the field of oils. Up to now, we handled this exchange of experiences in such a say that we have given only toports which; after consultation with the OKE and the ROM, that being the Lir Ministry, seemed to us unabjectionable and a machine, only such technical data as a machine larger of a state of a such things as were technically outside by the latest progress. By handling the agreements in this say to succeede, viewed as a while, in obtaining an afventage for Bernan convery.

In keep up the contact with the neutral countries and their will ampenies respectively, we a mainer it experiences in the indicated form whoreby it must remain decisive for us that under no circumstances experiences of military or defense-political importance get in this way abroad. In all doubtful cases, consultation with the empetent agencies of the Reich must, therefore, be taken up under all circumstances."

On this momeran un appears a hondwritten note reading: "Agreed. Director, Dr. Buctofisch, is responsible that nothing of military or defense-political importance gets abroad. This note was initialed by European Greeing.

The above fescription of Ferben's treties in the field of oil is equally valid for synthetic rubber.

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-18-5-A-ABH-Lounerd (V n Schun)

In 1937 began a long course of negotiations between Ferben and Stanfard with respect to Farbon's making available, for empercial development in the United States, the pitents and, what was much more important, the "know-how" forthe manufacture of bune rubber.

The thore or not there was a technical breach of the Jason a reconent by Farbon is quite irrelevant. The significant fact is that Farbon's carefully planned conduct was such as the load Standard Oil and the big American rubber a superiors to believe that they would get the know-how from Farbon under the agreement, and thereby discourage independent reservable in America.

Forbon did not attempt to model the fact that the Roich government might not look with favor on a turning over of Perbon's bune processes, but it succeeded in conveying the impression that Perbon itself was only too willing to oblige, and that it mould surely secure government approved in the near future. Impressed with Perbon's protestations of good will, the Standard Oil Company turned by r to Ferbon their own butyl (copolymer) rubber process. On 15 March 1938, three days after the occupation of Austria, Mr. Howard wrote:

The Bunc question, it developed that very rapid strides were being made in all phases of the Bunc development...

Gertain difficulties still exist which provent our I.G.

friends from giving us full technical information and proceeding in the named manner with the commercial development in the United States. It is to be hoped that these difficulties will be surmounted in the near future.

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-18-6-A-AEH-Loonard (Von Schon)

"In view of the very genuine spirit of emperation with Dr. Ter Meer displayed, I am convinced that it is not only the right thing to do, but the best thing from every standarded to pass on to them full information on the empolymentation that time. I do not believe we have anothing to 1 so by this which is emparable with the possible benefit to all of our interests."

Three tays later, a sunference was held at the Rotch Economies Ministry which as attended, on behalf of Parbon, my the defendant for Moor. A memorantum of this conference states in part:

"Conforences which, up to now, had the sole object If onsing the min's of American interested parties, and possibly to prevent an initiative in their win part within thefrene of butaliene m ber, were held with Stanfard, Goodrich, and Go dyour. We are under the impressi n that and connet atom things in the U.S.A. meh langer without taking the risk of being faced all of a succent by an unaleasant situation, and lost we be unable to rear the full value of our work one our rights The American Patent La- does not make licensing mendetory. It would nevertheless be e medivable that because of the extraordinarily great importance of the rubber problem for the U.S.A. and because tendencies for restoring military power are vory strong there too, considering the decrease in unomployment, etc., a bill for a corresponding law might be submitted to Fshington. We, therefore, trent the license requests of the American firms in a dilatory way so as not to push thom into taking unploasant monsures."

The conference then discussed the possiblity of

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-18-7-4-ASH-Lornerd (Von Schon)

folding Turther Favelepments in the Uniter States by maintaining sacreey. It was pointed out that independent fovelopment in the Unit of States had afvenced on for that it would be impossible to necomplish this result, and that a grate find night be obtained in negotiating with the Americans of me; I improve trade relations botwoon the U.S. and Ger any. The averment officials indicator that they int consider approving the i itirti a of normatinti as in the U.S. .. . for the foll f 1938, provider such negotiati we would in no way affect the e matruction of Perbon's bune plants then'y unformer. ... of Cotober 1938, ofter the Munich crisis, the Roich Ministry of Leanwice cir in fact wive permision forth etalization of Farbon's bune notonta an' tochalori al mittin obra, subject to the empition that the government comment could have t be btained before the finel consumwtion of any guely termingement.

The following worth, the defendant for Near poid a visit to the United States, in an 28 November 1938, he discussed a marchided in the United States with the Executive Conmittee of the Standard Cil Company car, subsequently with the big increase rubber a manife. But for feer did not enter into any final a nitratual errengements, and in the spring of 1939, we still insulant this line came to an one. As for Foor succinctly put it in a letter to the defendant Krouch in January, 1942: "I should like to state that, except for the license present conclude with any ally, Italy, processes and experiences on the production of butchione and

27 .u- 47-13-8-1 - TE-La-mere (V-n Sehan)

the remainstance of hims S and M more never park national about the

After the utbreak of the mar in September, 1939, the Ferben make was the asive. Hr. Hours' care to Wallan' on benferr " with the Perben representatives to The Harme late in September. As a result of this conference, Ferben transferred the interest in Jacob to the Stan are Oil September on transferr of the man patents to Jacob, but the vital " or what" necessary. For species explaint in force patents was not transferred. A letter to the force and waller on Majorice on 39 September stands.

"Dr. Tor hour thinks it is nucessary to point out specifically that there will be no exchange of experience with respect to bure."

The issimment of the man price the tenselver of the norm of a colliertime. It is not explain the norm of the norm of the processes, they were of little searching white. The only reason that further assigned the process to be a little of the searching of the search of

In the present electristances, it will be able to bransmit to the Unite' States experiences for the present of burn. He hamself a maidere' this unlikely since in the event of mar, the Unite' States would be 'pendent upon the importation of crude rubber. He have be ensured this inquiry. However

27 Aug 47-18-9-1-ABH-Lo mord (Von Sehon)

himself enticipates a refusel to transmit technical experience. In any event, he has not conditioned the readjustment of Jases up a our furnishing experience for bung."

From 1959 m, it was quite in-resible to obtain further technical information from Garreny on the burn process. In October, Mr. Hound stated in a letter:

"Of all the sinthetic rubber developments in the world, soly the Bung-S evolution of the I.G. is, up to this project. "construct" to be a pracible inscribed reliance for projection of synthetic rubber f quality suitable for automobile times, at a price, and in quantities, which muld be precised. To have not somplete technical information on the Sung-S construct in this suntry, an earnest obtain any more of rection from Germany. To have complete control of the potents, a revery on with the potents and the information we also "The e, it would be possible to profuse the Bung-S project in the U.S. A minimum of two years would be required, however, to a mplete and not into operation the first imporpheness."

All offerts to obtain technical information from Perben not with pointblank refusel. Thus, in April 1940, it was suggested to Dr. Howard that he try to discover "just what emulsifying agents and promoters the I.G. uses in making bunn". Howard conferred with the defendants for floor and you Knieriem at Basol, Switzerland, in May 1940, and endeavored to secure this information, but was informal by the Ferben representatives that:

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-18-10-A-ABS-Lo water (Van Schan)

"It was, however, not able to infor Josep what amileifiers are use". The "compation of the complaifiers use" by I.G. communts" to the transmitted or "know-how" which it was a time obsite a to dive...."

In other war's, Freben and Mass government, in a natural second of mountains, much as the amountain Stanfart Oil, as a to be forman policy. The objective was to secure the regions of mount of recharged information for Corpor in order to provide Corporate or effort, and to withhold, so for as possible, and information of willtary value on thorough weather to provide a contract of without the provide Corporate or effort, and to withhold, so for as possible, and information of willtary value on thorough weather the without the without the without of a countries.

THE PRESIDENT: I t ink, Squarel, it is time for our near 1 recess.

The Tribunel will pise.
(A recess was taken)



27 August 47-A-ATD-20-1-Seehan (Int. Ramler) Court 6, Case 6

(Following rocess)

TIE MAGRILL: The Tribunal is again in session.

CHARRIE TAYLOR: Your Honors, I have only a few more words in conclusion on this natter of cartels.

A most enlightening description of Farben's policy is contained in a memorandum submitted by Farben scientists to the defendant von Enteriem in May 19th. An article by 'r. t. T. Baslam of the Standard Oil Company, had appeared in the <u>Tetrology rimes</u> for becomber 19th, which stated, among other things, that "the secrets brought to knowled from Germany fifteen years ago by asserious scientists have been turned into mighty weapons against Gornany". The Farben asserantum of 19th is a studied technical answer to the Maslam article. Whether the Baslam article or the Farben asserantum is nearer to the truth is quite irrelevant. The significant point, abundantly supported by the documents we have quoted, is that throughout the late thirties and the early part of the war, Farben and the Maxi government unfor took to use the agreement with Standard Oil as an instrument of aggressive wer. In the Farben memorandum of 19th, it is set forth, among other things, that:

The conditions in the Buna field are such that we never gave tochnical interaction to the Americans, nor did technical cooperation in the Americans had place. On the basis of the contractual agree and, the Americans had only the right to reach a technical cooperation with I. G. at some undetermined date. Even the agreement reached in September 1939 and mentioned by Mr. Haslan fid not give the Americans any technical information, but only that which was contractually their due, i.e., a share in the patent possession. Moreover, at that time a different division of the patent possession was decided upon, which seemed to be in the interest of both partners. The Americans did not at that time receive anything important to war economy, besides, they could have precured the patents without our agree-

27 August 47-A-ATD-202-deedan (Int. Ramber)

ments in wartims, for during war a State will never be kept from production by enemy patents.

A further fact must be taken into account, which for obvious reasons did not appear in Marlam's article. As a consequence of our contracts with the Americans, we received from them above and beyond the agreement many very valuable contributions for the synthesis and improvement of motor fuels and lubrication oils, which just now during the war are most useful to us, and we also received other adventages from them.

Primarily, the following may be mentioned:

(1) Above all, improvement of fuels through the addition of Loadtetracthyl and the manufacture of this product. It need not be especially contioned that without load-totracthyl the present nothed of warfare would be unthinkable. The fact that since the beginning of the war we could produce lead-tetracthyl is entirely due to the circumstances that shortly before, the associans had presented as with the production plants complete with experimental knowledge. Thus the difficult work of development (one need only recall the pulsaness property of lead-tetracthyl, which caused many deaths in the USA) was a sared us, since we could take up the manufacture of this product together with all the experience that the Americans had gathered over long years."

In survey, as wasfound in an official American government study of Germany's use of international cartels:

Germany used the cartel device as a medium for strongthening Germany's position to make wer and, in turn, to weaken the defensive position of its potential enomies.

And the identical attitude was revealed in a memorandum which was prepared by Farben's Legal Committee in February 1961, which looked forward to Germany's use of cartels after a German victory had been achieved, and stated: 27 August 47-A-ATD-20-3 Muchan (Int. Renler)

The essential task of the international chemical cartels, after the war, will be to support the German leadership in a European economy composed of one large territorial and economic unit, These cartels.....will be particularly suitable to subjugate recalcitrant manufacturers and to combine all forces against the overseas competition.

3. Surmary

comprehended in Count One of the Indictary of looking back over the last fifteen years, the word "why" forces itseld into the mind. Why did the defendants help Mitler to Power? Why did Krupp von Schlentell Sitler in 1933 that the Nazi regime was in line with the wishes of German industry, and why il Carl Beach tell the Du Pont officials in Ally of that year that "industry must support the present government"? Why did Krauch and Schritz and Schritzler and Ter Keer and the other leading defendants awing their empire solidly into line with Mitler and Cowring, and keep it in line even after the future became so clear to them? There are those who will say that it was all form for money, and no doubt the profit motive played its part, But it is fard to believe that greed alone could drive men to the decisions which these men took. Surely there were other purposes, some of them were even more deeply rooted.

Surveying the entire history of the gigantic and proteen enterprise which these men and their prodecessors controlled, it is hard to
avoid the conclusion their these men were governed by the same
unquenchable thirst for power that for years has gripped and distorted
the minds of the military caste and many other leading Germans. The
words of the deceased Carl Duisborg are not, of course, binding on these
defendants, but Duisberg must have been a powerful influence on these
nen, and what he said illuminates the ethes of the enterprise to which

^{1. &}quot;Study of the FEL Brafting Cormittee on the Treatment of German Participation in International Cartels from the Stan point of International Security," 10 October 1945, p. 2.

27 August 47-A-ATD-20-4-Mechan (Int. Ramlor) Court 6, Case 6

they dedicated their lives. From Duisberg's speeches to the Reich
Association of German Industry, between 1925 to 1930, we have selected
a few excerpts:

In 1925 -

se united, united, united. This should be the uninterrupted call to the parties in the big house, the Reichstag, as well as in the small one, the landtag. We hope that our words of today will work, and will find the strong ran - for he is always necessary for we Germans, as we have to - in the case of Bismarck...

Again in 1925 -

Gentlemen! You may believe we when I say that nobedy willingly admits the weekness of his country. Yet nevertheless I consider myself dut bound to tell everyone at all times, at home and abroad: Let us admit it, war is impossible for Germany, we are disarmed......But back to Apole. Contlemen! Germany was made great and mighty by her deeds in peace. The whole world know her, and the whole world must come to know her again. We must reconstruct on the basis of existing conditions, hard as this is, Let us get rid of the very German "if". Let us work!

1926 -

If Germany is again to be great, all classes of our people must come to the realization that leaders, the German word is (Fuebrer) are necessary who can act mithout concern for the caprices of the masses....It is to be heled that there will be found in Germany the necessary number of such personalities, who will be the leaders of their nation. Only then will she rise from deepest misery to her former greatness.

1928:-

One thing is cortain: No matter what the decision may be, we will not be spared heavy payments since our fatherland's lack of might makes all resistance appear hopeless. Here, too, the words

of the great Prussian King are true: "Policy without might is a concert without instruments".

The revolution put in the place of the constitutional form of government, with its permanent representative character. In which a well-trained and expert official commutation attempted to solve the problems of the State from the point of view of the common good, a people's State characterized by an apphatic party rule.

and finally in 1930 -

While previously for the most the parties concay experienced strictly objective treatment of its office, and thus had no occasion to undertuke active intervention in pulitics itself, this circumstance was greatly changed after the uphoaval. And referring to the "binar Republic and its ereation the final decision in econorde rattors was, as in all modern democracies of the world, placed in the hands of the masses, which were neither expert nor able to become expert in economic matters, and furthermore are not prepared to bear the responsibility for those decisions whose results they most at first band, The everwhelming and determining influence of worker easses trganised in undens, mostly with a socialistic and class war ideology, drive economic decision which are to be made by the State or its organs out of the sphere of objective ju boant onto the pulitical platform I am more than ever convinced that business must commonce with alliits power to make its influence felt in these circles which belong to German enterprise, namely in the great creation and regrouping of the modern working citizenship with a positive attitude towards the State, It is necessary to fight through active political work for the realization and consideration of aconomic necessities in political decisions.

27 August 47-A-ATD-20-6-Mochan (Int. Romler)

These words were spoken before most of us had even heard of Hitler. It is certainly not in Hitler's style, but, almost without exception, the thoughts are exceedingly parallel to those of Hitler's speech to the industrialists three years later. Hitler was the "strong onn" who would take economic affairs out of "the hands of the masses", restore Germany's "might", and rid her of the shame of "admitting" that "war is impossible for Germany".

Nearly a decade after the last of the above ,aragraphs was written by Duisberg, in April 1939, the Co. 1 int Krauch submitted a "work report" as "the Plenipotentiary Comural of Minister President Deneral Fieldmarshal Georing for Special Questions of Chemical Production". Behands and Moravia had just been conquered by threats and occupied by armed force; the Sudetenland and Austria had passed into recent history. German "wight had been restored; war was not only possible for Germany, but all of Europe

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-1-Putty. Court No. VI, Case VI.

Inching in "concern for the deprices of the passes" or for the principles of common decempy and humanity has arisen and the principles of common decempy and humanity has arisen and the "worker masses" no longer and the slightest voice in the solution of "economic matters." The conclusion to impuch's report is nothing more than the logical extension of the ideas which Duisberg has given voice to a decode earlier; Krauch is less philosophical. but terribly practical:

when on 30 June 1938, the objective of the increased production in the spheres of work discussed here was given by the Field Mershal, it seemed as if the political lender-ship could determine independently the timing and extent of the political revolution in Europe and could avoid a rupture with a group of powers under the landership of Great Brith: Since Merch of this year, there is no longer any doubt that this hypothesis does not exist any sore. The occupate wor national the rait-komintern powers under the lundership of Great Britain, France, and the USA, which has already been conducted secretly for a long time, has now been finelly opened; as time passes, it will become more and more severs.

"I am of the opinion that from this decision the necessary conclusions will have to be drawn without delay for the economic sphere of the chemical industry as well. The following is a general outline:

Formation of a uniform acjor comosic loc of the four_ European anti-comintern partners, which Jugoslavia and Bulgaria will soon have to join. 27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-2-Putty. Court Nol VI, Case VI.

"Mithin this bloc there must be a building up and direction of the military economic system from the point of view of defensive warfare by the committee.

The bloc must extend its influence to Roumania, Turkey and Iran. The German-Roumanian political treaty will serve as a suitable example of the methods to be apolied for the Scining of influence.

"The great importance of extenting commercial relations with Russia is stressed by ... gradual relocation of the German economic and export centers to the East and by the compelling necessity of utilizing the Ukraine for iron in case of war.

"By the polley of oncirclement meniforted by the enemy, a now situation is ererted:

war potential as well as that of its allies to such an extent that the condition is equal to the efforts of practically the rost of the world. This can be conjuved only by new, attent and combined efforts by all of the collies, and by expanding and improving the practer occanonic domain corresponding to the improved raw material basis of the condition, pencently at first, to the Balkans and Sprin.

"If action does not follow upon those thoughts with the greatest possible speed, all sacrifices of blood in the next wer will not spare us the bitter and which already once before we have broughtakeen Torogles owing to lace of foresight and fixed responses."

Norwey, Belgium, and the Netherlands have been conquered and occupied, It is a few weeks after pantire, and France is about to capitulate. At about this time, the defendant

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-3-Putty Court No. VI, Case VI.

Committee to agree upon the principles underlying what the defendants called the "New Order" (Newordnung) for the chemical industry. Early in august, Farben presented this document to the Reich Minister of Economics. The report caplained that a "mejor economic sphere" would soon be shaped in Europe which:

organizing the exchange of goods with the manjor spheros in competitive merkets -- a task which includes word particularly the recovery and securing of world respect for the German chemical industry."

The immediate objective of the "New Order" was to integrate European production with the German was machine. The long range objective was the incorporation of the chemical industry of Europe, including Great Britain, within the framework of German he erony, and ultimately Farbon's domination of the chemical industry of the world, The "New Order" was a careful plan for the use of Farbon's economic weapons, cartels, investments and technical members to combat the last remaining challenge to its sugremacy, the Western Hemisphere.

While Great Britain was not covered in detail in the "New Creer", the Commercial Committee decided at a meeting on 12 November 1940, attended by the defendants von Behnitzler, Haefliger, Ilmer, Kuchne, von Knieriem, Kugler, Mean, Ter Mear, and Oster that, in anticipation of the conquest of Great Britain, immediate attention must be liven to that coutry. They agreed:

should work out the matter sufficiently in advance so that

I.G. can express a comprehensive attitude as quickly as postible

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-4-Putty gourt No. VI, Case VI.

at the proper time.

"The lists of the chemical industries of Great Britain now being prepared in the Economic Research Department should be given to Dr. Tov Meer and Dr. von Schnitzler for their opinion before being passed on."

The "New Order" was not hastily propered; it was a complete exposition of projects which Errben had developed since World War I and hoped to acce. I through German aggrendizement. The "New Order" contains thousands of project of specific programs for the chemical industries of Europe, including Great Britain. These matriled along outlined the existing structure of the chemical industries of the suropean countries and set forth their future organization and direction. In many instances Farcon planted to liquidat, completely exemical companion and production in contain countries, making those countries wholly dependent upon the delon and through scouring.

Bernard's military supremacy.

It was, in summary, a plan for an a reshelling of the commissed industry of the continent of Europe, including Front Britain, to wage wer against the world. It is a plan for the realization of the lide s of Duisberg and the gradications of Krauci. We need seek no farther for the lative in this case; it is all written fown in those documents. They are written in the dispessionate language of science and compare, but between the lines the smouldering rate and boundless ambition is easily discornable. These non wanted to make the world their own, and they were prepared to seash it is they could not have thier way.

Your Honor, that concludes the presentation of evidence under Count 1, and Mr. Spreeder will continue with

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-5-Putty. Court No. VI, Case VI

Sourt It of the Indiatment.

IN. SPRECHER: COUNT TWO: PLUNDER AND SPOLIATION

The charges under Count Two of the indictment are brack upon familiar and wall-established principles of international criminal law, which are ambodied in the Hague Consentions and other authoritative sources. Article II of Control Council Law No. 10 prescribes, under the addination of wer crimes, the Aplun: - if public or private property", and in the definite. It crimes against humanity it recognizes the criminality of inhumance acts and other offenses committed a plant civilian populations.

Gormany's invasions and agreesive were were destined to load, and in fact resulted in, the complete rain of actional economy in the ecompled countries. The Masi government left no doubt about its ultimate goal, and the ferman industrialists, outstanding among them thuse defendants, furthered this policy and used it for their own ands. The sufferings connected with the war were thereby deliberately and criminally as anywated. The losses starvation of the population multiplied the number of passables brought about by warfare. The Interactional Chitary Tribunal, summing up its findings on plunder and appointion, soud in its cutision:

The evidence in this cost has established that the territories occupied by Germany wer expected for the German war effort in the most retaless way, without consideration of the local economy, and in consequence of realizerate design and policy. There was, in truth, a systematic "plundar of private and public property", which was criminal under article 6 (b) of the Charter."

In the planning and execution of these crimes, Farben

Judgment of the International Wilitary Tribunal, Vol. 1. Trial of the Mejor Mar Criminals, p. 239.

played an important part. In approaching its special role in dealing with the chemical industry of the occupied countries, we will do well to underline spain its prestility, its expecity to adjust itself to provailing directed and to determine upon a skillful course which was antisfectory to the Nazi authorities and, at the state time, kept Farban in a position to hold and to expand its private industrial ampire. The course of events shows

that the Ferbon loaders know when to street at once and ruthlessly, as in the case of Poland, as well as when to wait until the totality of circumstances bucche more adventagoous to Farben, as in the case of France. However, is onch onse we will find Ferbon propered with a herough analysis of the political, economic, and military situation of the personalities involved, with a staff of experts remay for all eventualities, with conperative Mexis in high places who could properly be approveded at the granitions moment, and with dummies and ligison officers who could well appropriates on its behalf when Ferson itself, for the compart, desired to remain in the brekground. No movel or Local consideration of any kind wor pllowed to enter the picture. In Farban's wind the purpose of both imr and plunder was to enrich Farbon and to agound the Pevilla abainion and its own at perant mundus, Garmany's aggressive were were not supported and participated in by Farbon just to swind idly by when the distribution of the spoils was Dade.

In outlining the evidence under Count One of the indictment, we have already described, in suggesty Resision, Farbon's seizure and exploitation of the chemical industries of Austria and Czechoslovakia. These acts were port and

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-7-Putty. Court No. VI, Case VI.

derect of the invasion and occupation of Austria and Czochoslovakia and, as such, fall within the ambit of Count One. The same acts constituted war origins and crimes against humanity, as is charged and set forth in Count Owe.

For brovity's sake, we will confine ourselves at this time to a brief summary of the evidence in connection with plunder and spoliation in three other countries: Polend, France, and the Soviet Union. As is a forth in the indictment, Forben's criminal activities under Count Two were by no means confined to those three countries; Herway, Greece, and Yugoslavia, among others, were equally its victims. But the evidence with respect to these other countries may await its actual presentation during the trial.

A: Polend.

Polend had berely been subjugated when the German Reich created the "legal" besis for taking "title" to her public and private property by issuing, to this effect, a number of decrees. The "authority" of German agencies to "sequester" Polish property under these decrees had virtually no limits. Poland was called, by both the Nazi legislature and Farbon officials, the "former Polish State". Is to its property, the German Decree Concerning Sequestresion of January 15, 1940, following other decrees similar in scope, encoted:

"The entire property of the former Polish State, tengible or intengible (together with all appurtuances), including all claims, participations, rights, and interests of the standard whatsoever, is put under sequestration."

And a modest second paragraph provided:
"Property so sequestrated is hereby solved."

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-8-Putty Court No. VI, Case VI

Another decred, dated September 17, 1940, reporting and supplementing other similar decrees, dealt with Polish Property in the Incorporated Territory (so-called Verthegau). It provided for the "sequestration" of property, and annoted:

"Acoustrated property may be confiscated by Mic competent agency for the benefit of the German Reich if the public welfare, particularly the defined of the Reich or the strengthening of Germanisa, so three."

The agency referred to in Secreta . this kind was the Main Trusted Office East (Ecupttroundestelle Ost). The general policy under which this agency operated was described by the notorious Hans Frank, the Governor Ocneral of Boland, in the following words:

"On the 15th of September 1939, I received instructions to take over the administration of the Occupied Eastern Territories..., accompanied by special orders to exploit this field ruthlessly as a war territory and a lead of booty, to turn it, so to speak, into a heap of ruins from the point of view of economic, social, cultural, and political structure..."

Those prectices flagrantly violated all known standards where the laws and customs of war limiting the permissible use of the resources of decaded countries. In this undisquised pillage, Farben was a full-fledged partner.

We have already mentioned and in July 1939, two mentis before the outbrook of war, the defendant Ilgner's Serlin IN 7 office prepared a comprehensive report entitled;

"The Most Important Chemical Plants in Poland", which formed the basis for Ferban's plundering activities in Poland.

The three outstanding Polish enterprises in the chemical field were known as "Boruta", near Lodz, and "Wola" cad "Winnies", both situated near Warsaw. All three produced

syestures and other chemicals, and Borute menufactured employings as well. Boruta and Wolf were old, well-established firms, and all three were members of the international dyestures errtol.

Even before Lodg, let along Warson was concurred, the defendent von Schnitzler on September 7, 1939, only six days efter the attack on Polend, colled a Ferben director nemed Schueb and asked him to propers to in the rollish dyostury factories which, in all probablity, would rall into Gornen arada. The sem day he requested Farben's Borlin office to controt the Reich Ministry of Economics. The Gorondent Heafligge at once visited the Ministry, informed it rhout the Polish factories, and ested for Frrben's trustcochip. On September 14, 1939, the Eefendant von Jehnitzlor, together with Schweb, called on Dr. Mulort of the Midstry of Boonomics, and urged that Farbon, and Forban alone, would be a proper "trustee" or Borute, Wolte, am Winnieg. He argued that Borute was most important to the war affort since 85% of Farbon's production of colling dynatuffs of their intermediates was being produced by Farbon's western plants which were emosed to enemy tir attacks; he clse stressed that the Wole fretery was owned by Jows, and that is should be closed, "since the plent nee no importance to speck of as en independent enterprise". Operation of Borute, however, should be constaude on the law est nossible secla.

On Subtember 21, 1939, the two Ferben directors, Schwell the 'Schooler, were elected trustees, there appointment providing that: "the anterpräses have to be adapted to the Tequirements of the German war economy, and German experis to negitial countries." All this was just a first step.

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-10-Putty Court No. VI, Case VI.

Mat Forben was mining at was the natural ownership of Boruta.

In Novembor 1939, the defendant Murster made on inspection trip through conquered Poland. His compressive report, sadressed to the defendant Buergin, deals with ten Polish factories, among them Boruta. Wolm, and Wilniam. In most cases, the author reached the conclusion that the equipment and installations should be dismantled and brought to German Ferban plants will but delay. Lust for plunder went so for that the mare fact of a factory's existence dufficed for coveting it, even if it was not get intown to Ferban by nome or otherwise. At a meeting of Farsen's Commercial Committee in October 1930, it was stated that a Ferban lawyer named Deissmann:

"On his way back to Warsaw, will call at Posnan
on the chief of the civil administration, in order to
clarify the appointment of a trustee for the "biggest
chemical industrial plant" located there - which plant
is involved is not yet known."

To obtain their objectives in Folence, Forben had to
win over the SS men who had descended like a plague on the
wrotched country. An SS colonel name: Elchonbauer, who was
a member of the Nitrogon Syndicate hooded by the defendant
Oster and thereby known to the Farbon people, received
a "particularly friendly" invitation from Farbon and was
Instrumental in introducing the defendant von Schnitzlor
to SS- Brighdefuehrer Greifelt, of the Main Trustee
Office East. After discussing the situation with Breifelt, van
Schnitzlor thanked him warmly "for his benevolence" and
indicated that "if difficulties should arise, Farbon, with
confidence, would apply to him." Greifelt was a particularly
wassaver a gracter, whose loctings in Poland totalled

part in the kidnapping of Polish children to be brought up to Kazis under special SS tutcless. With Greifelt's assistance Ferbon got its way. Boruta, with all its movables and immobiles, supplies, plants, premises, and buildings, was transferred to a corporation organized by Farbon. Everything was taken over odth one exception:

month for which Sorate is remonsible to end similar prymonth for which Sorate is remonsible to enthing to enthier
contracts with employees or other a recommand dating from
Polis: times, especially or yearts out of the so-called
sevings fund, will not be taken over by the parchaser. The
archeser is, newser, preserved to my out any acception or
other sums which may be due to persons of Wormen race."

Contrary to the mexim of ancient "ome, Farben's notto

we "combine and rulo". In each conquered country, Farben
enderwored to amalgament the more velucate asyments of its
enomical industries into a single large combine, Cominated
by Ferban, and to close down the rost altogether. In Folend,
Farben recommended that Wols and "innier should be attripped
of such equipment as could be used for the German war
effort, for removal to either Berute or Farben plants
in Bermany. The factories themselves should thereafter
lie ield. The Mari sutherities agreed. Minnien's installations and machinery were dismentled and part of them
whisped to Ludwigshofen, and parts of Mole were also
transferred to Farben plants in Germany.

The defendant Warster, in his papert to Ferben in Movem or 1939, stressed the fact that the owners of 'oldwers three "gentlemen" (the quotation marks are Marster's) named Szpilfogel, Goldfisch, and Augenblick, all three of whom word Jewish. So far, we have talked about spoliation

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-12-Putty Court No. VI Case VI.

in torms of factories and machines, but we must not overlook the fact that these factories were owned and operated by human beings. What happened to Mr. Szpilfogol when Farbon chosed down his factory, and how was he treated?

Mr. Szpilfogol has been described by a Forban director as: "e men of calibra who, for the farst time, introduced nepthel dyestuffs in Poland. He was, no doubt, a highly respected personality". Soon ofte the capture of Mersew, the two Farbon "trustees", Schweb and Theodor, called on him and sequestored all his dyestuffs. They also informed him that his house in Warsew and his country estates at Wols and Otwock were included in the sequestration, and from now on were under the control of Farbon. They confiscated his sutembiles and forbade his to use chything in any of his houses.

Schweb and Schoener forced Sapilforel to move, with his family, into a small apartment in one of his own houses and pay a monthly rout to Ferben. For a smart time, They allowed him a trifling allowance from the Mola properties, but eventually even this was stopped.

In 1940, the Germans established the infamous Warsaw ghette, and in November Sepilfogel and his family were forced to move to the greate. Sepilfogel, through the international dyscurffs cartel of which his firm and occur a member, was personally acquainted with the defendant von Schnitzler and other Farben officials. In January, 1941, from the ghette he sent a despairing letter to von Schnitzler, in part as follows:

"Your kindness, with which I am familiar, encourages to contact you with the request that I be parailted to move with my family to an appropriate apartment at my residence and place of birth, Yole, near Peprikau, and to

obtain permission to work in the industrial plant Wole, of smich I am a part owner, in order to be able to exist.

As my son is ill in a hospital, I respectfully request that it he rendered possible for him to receive rejular contrally payments on his credit account with the chemical factory Wole. The same for my daughter Hanne, who has a substantial credit with the chemical factor; wole. Expanse that you, door sir, will conform to an wishes,

The defendant von Schnitzler roomed Szpilfagel's plen to Schund, commenting:

Anted 16 January. I am sending you the original text.

It goes without saying that nothing can be done from here in this matter. What you can do in your expectity as invested of Wolf, I don't know. This constitutes a part of the duties which fall to you as a result of your appointment as trusted. I must therefore leave it entirely to you to do what you see fit in this matter; I refrom from taking any position on my part. You will be good enough to mivise Dr. Sepilfogol directly of your decision. With hind regards, and Well Hitler,"

(Signed("Schnitzler"

Seplifogel never recoived may ensure to his letter.

En remained in the hette until the end of July 1942. In
the meantime, the Germans had begun the liquidation of the
Jews in the photte; they would order the inhabitants of houses
or blocks to assemple in the street, where they were loaded
into trucks and cerried off to Trablinko, or one of the
other extermination camps. Seplifogel's turn came in
July 1942, but he managed to stip away and hid himself.

By the kindness and courage of his former conclorge, he
ultimately escaped from the ghette and survived the German

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-14-Putty Court VI, Case VI.

occupation. His son, his son-in-law, his sister, two of his brothers with their entire femilies, and all four of his play's brothers and sisters, were murdered in the photos.

B. The Soviet Union

One of the "guiding Principles for Economic Operations in the Newly Occupied Eastern Territories", promulgated as soon as the attack on the Soviet Union started, provided that "in accordance with the commend given by the Fuebrar all measur a are to be to a which are accessary to bring about the immediate and highest possible exploitation of the Earlieries in favor of Germany". The regulations of the Earlier Convention of 1907, to which Germany was a part, were openly disregarded "since the U.S.S.R. is to be considered dissolved". Soviet resources were to be exploited most rutalessly even "if many millions of people are started to death".

The defendents were active members of, and garticipants in the plan to strip Bussia of her resources on a sonle naprocendented in modern history. Farana sceeptill at a methor of course that the Gorner Tolch is "successor to former Bovict State property", and it directed its offerts from the very start towards shoring the spoils to the greatest possible extent. This win was not easily attribed. The West government was resolved, at longt in the beginning to reserve the goodle for 33 and cambet veterans. Contrary to the onse of Folend, thereofe, it did not give the "trusteeship" of Russian enterprises to Gorman industriclists; instead, it organized so-called "Ho opply" or "East" corporations which were charged with "protecting" the Esseign plants. Forben, therefore, tried to get as big a share in those Monopoly corporations as possible, and to place its amployees in key positions.

27 August 47-4-PM-21-22-15-Putty Court VI, Case VI.

0

Of course, mere participation in the "Monopoly" corporntions was not satisfactory to Farbon. At least in its
orn field, particularly in the field of synthetic rubber,
farbon wanted to become the exclusive master. That the
sciesolved Saviet Union was to be entirely excluded from
its own bunn factories, its supplies, and installations,
was a foregone conclusion. Circuist letters were solveted
to exploit the Sussian bunn plants. The first circular
letter, or July 1, 1941, said:

"It is intended that, when your assignment for Russia comes about, a commission consisting of Wulff, Biodonkopf and myself, will go to Aussia; there to astablish with you the question of using Russian plants for the production of certain types of buna or their originary products, in order to utilize also the Russian production for our purposes as seen as possible."

Forben employees who, vested with efficient numberity as "SenderTuchrer" (special landers) were to take over the Eussian burn plants first temporarily and then "definitely", were appointed by Ambros. Such was Parbon's real and precipitancy that their emissaries even surpassed the speed of the German army. Ferson's employee Eilers reported on the failure of a mission. We had to return from Verenesh "not having accomplished anything; it was impossible for hig to approach the factory at Verenesh since that plant are not yet fallen to the Germans". Farben propered lists of all Eussian plants for burn, plastics, and dyes, including plants in Georgia, ermonia, and Western Siberia. Farben Director Borgwardt sent these lists, on January 14, 19/2, to the selected Farben representatives, saying:

"I advise you to be on the alort when the places set

27 August 47 - A-PM-21-22-16-Putty Court TX, Case VI.

forth in the enclisure are occupied by German troops, so that we can then contact, at once, the German authorities having jurisdiction.

In October 1941, et a conference with Dr. Ungewitter, it was agreed that "protection" of the Russian bunn plants yould be bransferred by the Roich to Farben. It was wore difficult to get a preemptive right to the effect that, if the Gorann government should decide to sell the plants, Forbon would have the first refusel. _ in Docombor 1941, the Reich Ministry of Economics arread in principle to Ferbon's preemptive right. The mein difficulty was Forbon's request for the axclusive right of using Russian processes and know-how within Germany. In this respect the government was stubbern. Ferben, however, was not easily discouraged. Though usually diplomatic in its official Intercourse with the Mazi muthorities, Forben used Munt language in a latter to the Roich Ministry of Economics, signed by the defendent Ambros, setting forth the objections to the Reich's invasion of Farben's Commin:

Manufacturing of bunn at a very considerable expense of labor and money, and at the risk of private funds, in providing raditional facilities for the manufacture of bune, to such an extent that the total amount of rubber requested by the Comman army and German economic demands during the wer could be supplied by the company. In view of the services so rendered by Farben to the Reich, we do not think it fair for the Reich to now go into competition with Ferben in Germany over the utilization of menufacturating processes taken from Sowiet Russia, especially since these processes could not be of any use to the Reich unless Ferben experts are made aveilable to the Reich."

27 August 47-A-PM-21-22-17-Putty. Court VI, Case VI.

In this lotter Farben, with all clarity, revealed the trumps it hold. By taking the initiative and risking its own funds, it had rendered immeasurable service to the Sorarn war machine, and it could afford to remain adament since the Nazi government was completely dependent on Farben's cooperation. As Albert Speer explained in a letter to Timmler in July 1944:

I do, however, regret that in the course of the Four Year

Plan, no competitive firm to the I. G. Farben concern was established,
as in the case of the Hermann Gering Works. This would have been
easily possible at the time when the numberous new plants of the Four
Year Plan were established. Mewadays, we depend entirely upon the work
of I.G. Farben for chemical progress:

C. Frence

In 1940, envisaging the defeat of France, Farben's plans for enlarging its empire went beyond proparations——resping the species of each individual aggreesion, We have already described the "New Order" for the chemical industry, which Farben developed between June and Angust, 1940.

The "New Order" document embodied Farben's plans with respect to the French chemical industry. The three principal French enterprises in the dyestuffs field — Euhlmann, St. Clair du Bhone, and St. Donis — were to be consolidated. The semaller French factories were to be closed down. A new combins of the large firms was to be formed, which was later on called "Francolor".

The German spolistics in the West differed from the Eastern scheme in the sethods used but not in the ultimate goal. What both the Nazi covernment and Farben simed at was complete subjuration of French industry and the widest possible use of its facilities for the German was machine. In the case of F. wee, the protense of an orderly procedure was to be observed, nince the official catchword was "collaboration".

The identity of purpose, however, clearly appears from official German orders not destined for publication abroad. Under the heading "Systematic Exploitation of the Economy of the Occuptied Western Territories for the German War Economy", Georing decreed, on August 6, 1940:

"It is a necessity of high political importance that the capacities and raw materials in the occupied Wastern Territories shall be employed

production and to raise the war potential for the fulfilment of the demands to be made in the interest of further warfare. The High Command of the Armed Forces (CEN) and the Reich Minister of Neapons and Ammunition have already published the directives necessary in this cornection.

As far as German industry is concerned, its groud, even before France had signed the Armietice, was such that Gerring, on June 20, 1940, deemed it necessary to order:

"The endeaver of the German industry to inks over new enterprises in the occupied territory, must be rejected in the sharpest manner."

But when it was decided to plunder under the clock of "collaboration",

German industry was irvited - and gladly accepted the invitation
to play its part. Defendant Wurster reported on "very interesting"

directives given by Ministerial direktor Schlotterer, "one of the most

competent personalities in the Beich Ministry of Economics", before

the Council of the Reichsgruppe Industrie, according to which Schlotterer

was strongly in favor of Corman industry penetrating the industry of

France and other occupied countries:

"You may have any amount you desire... The ossential thing for us to that you so penetrate, and that, in this ray, we seemed our influence in the countries involved."

In consumating the France when real industry. Ferbon acted in almost cooperation with, but by no mosens under the leadership of, the Nazi revernment. The initiative was Ferben's. Ferben drafted the plan to eliminate French competition once and for all, to become master in the French house, to prohibit French exports, and, despite all that, to maintain the protence of a voluntary contract with mutual rights and duties. The Nazi government had favorably received Ferben's "New Order" plan, and from them on gave its support but no instructions. As defendant Eugler put it, Ferben regarded negotiations with the French.

of the German government was certainly desired, but in which we need,

Farber's school, trictly, was to they "historically" that its pro-Recessor firms wow unfairly damaged by both the Treaty of Toretailles. and the attitude taken by the French chemical industry. Those damages should now be fully repaired. Obviously, Farben felt that there "reason" with mor nound too complicing so the Fromes, and accordingly it accided to put thom in such a position then they to torresilvo bui to nocept One device was to delay the disconstruct as and a naken for by the French and to use the interim period to "starvi out" the French chemical. talmetrye Agree the exatedide. It becomes a vital necessity for the French nation and its industry that the acceptac part could acport to the unoccupied party was report tres to in turn. Depurts out imports of this kind depended on Licenses to be granted a: rejected by the Sermon Military Covernor in France. France was able to arrange that suclicenses would be refused. Bu such devices Farben hoped to make the French zero plantle of a the defendance of the tire cynically called it; "ripe for negrictions",

When this stage of "riponess" second so be reached, the defendants wen Schnitzler, Ter Meer, and Eugler met the French industrialists at Micsbaden on Movember 21, 1940. The stanographic report of the meeting shows that the French are treated in the most ruthless way, and that they were faced with the first tree of wither accepting Forben's diesete or not surviving as the The French did what they could under the circumstances. They protected acceptations, they applied to their government for support, and they tried to contact defendant von Schnitzler personally in order to obtain easier terms. It was all in vain. Their main objection was to Farben's 51% participation in and consequent control of the new combine. But the pressure exercised by Farben was such that the French government itself finally advised the

1 detre 19

4 49 1

40 4

40.4

J 7/2 3

Industrialists to give in. One year efter the Wiesbaden mosting, the Francolor agrooment was signed under which the French chemical industry lost its indipendence and became a subsidiary of Farbon. Terrorized though they were, the French industrialists insisted upon inserting a presemble to the Francolor agreement by which they made it clear that they did not sign the agreement of their own volition.

As to the results, the defondant Ter Meer could proudly reports
"In the field of dyestuffs and sugilizary periods, the French
will be confined, under the contract, to the "Portot, and
to experts to Belguin and Spain; but that they are climinated as
competitors in the dyestur's field in all other markets."

Or, as the defendent won Schnitzler put it in his letter to the French government, the "basic fundamental idea" was that "in principle, no expert of Francolor is allowed to take place". In return, Francolor was granted decisive assistance by giving it, in the field of intermediates, orders for the German army requirements.

While the defendants von Schnitzler, Ter Neer, Eugler and others were busy subjugating the French drestuffs industry, the defendant Mann, band of Farbon's phermacoutical sales department, coveted the French tharmacoutical combine, known as "Rhone-Poulenc",

One transparent device and crede threat after another was used.

We will not burden the "ribus" at this time with the details of the "negotiations". Ultimetely the majorizations for another was forced to conduct a substantial part of its marketing through a sales company in which Farben, in its own name, held a 49% intercet, and a French noming of Farben held another 2%, which gave Farben control. In this instance, as in the others which will be shown by the evidence under Count Two of the indictment, Farben was both versatile and ruthless in achieving its criminal ends.

The presentation will be continued by the Deputy Chief of Counsel Mr. Du Bois,

MR. DUBOIS: COURT THREE! SLAVERY AND WASS MURDER

Under Count Three of the indictment, the defendants are charged with crimes which are recognized as such not only under international law, but by the ordinary penal laws of all zivilized nations, The Hague and Geneva Conventions contain numerous applicable provisions with respect to the treatment of prisoners of war and the civilian population of occupied countries. The definitions of "war crimes" and "crimes against humanity" in Article II of Control Council Law No. 10, specifically prescribe "murder, ill treatment or deport the place labor or for eny other purpose, of sivilian populations from accepted territories murder or ill treatment of prisoners of war" and "extermination, enclavement, deportation, imprisonment" and "other inhumane nota committed against any civilian population, or persecution on political, racial, or religious grounds." The evidence under this Count relates primarily to the use and abuse of prisoners of war, the englevement and deportation to glave labor and mistrustment of many thousands of civilians in the countries occupied by Germany, the conducting of atrocious medical experiments upon englaved persons without their consent, and the extermination of alave workers who had been used up and were no longer of value as laborers.

A. Farbon and the Sinve Lobor Program

The slave labor program of the Toird Scich was the revolting offspring of the aggressive wars which it plauned and waged. It was
designed to keep the Cerman war machine rolling at the frightful expense
of the freedom and lives of millions of persons. The tyrang and brudtality of Mari conquest was folt by them not only in their own homelands of France, Belgium, Holland, Russia, Polsma, Czecheslovekia, Dendark, and elsewhere, Hundreds of thousands suffered the additional
misery of being term loose from homes and femilies and shipped to
Germany into slavery and more than often to a miserable and premature
death.

27 August 1947-A-LSD-23-6-Schwab Court I, Case 6

The story of the slave labor program was unfolded before the international Lilitary Tribunal, and its Judgement established its essential facts and deep criminality beyond question. In the Judgement, it is stated: 1

^{1.} Judgement of the International Military Tribunol Vol I Trial of the Lajor Tar Criminals, p. 205

practic and violent pathods. The "dist kes and blunders" were on a per large scale. Manhants took place in the streets, at notice picture houses, even at churches, and at night in private houses. Houses were must burnt down, and the families taken as houtages, practices which were described by the defendant Resemberg as having their origin the blackest periods of the slave trades. The notheds used in this ining forced labor from the Ukraine appear from an order issued to make which stated: "It will not be a first always to refresh from using force.....when searching villares, or scially when it has been accessary to burn down a village, the whole population will be put at the disposal of the Commissioner by force...... as a rule, as more children will be shot..... If we limit harsh measures through the above order for the time being, it is only some for the following reason.....

Fritz Sanckel, Hitlor's Labor Floripotentiary, stated that "out of the five million workers who arrived in Germany, not even 200,000 error voluntarily". The degrading manner in which the englaved victims were treated in well epitemized by Sanckel's instructions of 30 April 1983.

All the new must be fed, sheltered and treated in much a very no to exploit them to the highest possible extent, et the lowest conscivable layree of expenditure.

And Harder, in his notorious mooch at Posen in October, 1965, said:

"Whother ten thousand Russian females fell down from embassion while disging an anti-tank ditch interests no only insofar as the anti-tank ditch for Germany is finished..... We must realize that we have six or seven million foreigners in Germany..... They are none of them dangerous so long as we take severe measures at the nerest trifles."

The defendants, through the instrumentality of Farben and otherwise, not only knowingly participated in the employment of foreign slave lawer, but were aggressive in its procurement. For example, Sauckel, who

neguned office in March, 1942, said:

If had considerable difficulty when I assumed office in outling a stop to wild recruiting and the understandable independent necessive taken by individual large industries or individual large plants such as I. G. Farben to recruit foreign workers.

he carly as September, 1940 manorous forcigners and prisoners of our had already been amelayed in the Parbon plants. It Ludwigshaffen, for example, of the 10,000 additional workers taken on during the first year of the way, 5,000 were foreigners and somers of war. At Dormagen special regulations had already been issued a many the "conduct" of the Polish civilian workers.

Eight nonths prior to Sauckel's remark about "wild recruiting",

Farben's policy had been stated by the defendant Semitz, the chairman
of the Verstand:

Who Works must direct their enforts to obtaining the required workers; through foreign workers and prisoners of war, the requirement could in general be covered.

grun on 30 May 1942, three months after Smekel took office, Scientz

"The shortage of workers, particularly the skilled workers, had to be made up for by working long hours, the employment of woman, foreigners and prisoners of war."

Farben's notte was "production at any cost". Schmitz's order that the Works must endeavor to obtain the required workers among forcignors was aggressively pursued. Furben representatives were sent into all occupied countries to procure workers. As late as March 1945, the Reich Economic Minister was writing Farben for suggestions. Even in this slave traffic, Farben lived up to its traditional reputation for loodership.

The defendant Krauch, as General Plenipotentiary for Special Justtions of Chemical Production in the Four Year Plan, was the highest 167 including foreign labor, concentration camp labor, and prisoners of war greath, with the aid of the other defendants, prepared the organization and actuals of the plans of the chemical industry for war mobilization. These plans included prevision for the procurement and exploitation of extralsory labor of all types.

On the Policy level, the Parken Verst and "delegated" everall recommiddlity for the welfare of the laborers in all its plants to the defendant Christian Schneider as Chief of ______ Confers. In formulating policy decisions, Schneider consulted with the regions plant leaders and reported to the Verstand. Not a single case has been found in which the Verstand disagreed with Schneider's recommendations.

In the countries of Western Burope, an effort was note to keep up the protence of voluntary recruitment, through the subterfuge of having a person selected for work in Germany eight a contract. Forsons who refused to sign were forced to come to Germany anyhow through the Impariance to sign were forced to come to Germany anyhow through the Impariance lodge that their ration cards would be taken away, or that they would be conted work in their headland or, if they remember, that reprisals would be taken against nembers of their families. Those who care, whether or not they signed contracts, were in affect slaves in the Parben plants. They were not free to change jobs nor could they go home when they pleased, they did not have freedom of neverent, and if they escaped or did not return from leave they were reported by Farben to the Gestaps, which hunted then down and returned them to the Farben plants.

A conceraphed circular letter dated 9 August 1963, from the Defendant Krauch addressed to all plant construction and Deilding; offices, outlines necessares to bring back Preach workers who had been recruited and who had escaped. Another example is a letter from the Military Commander in Paris to Dynamit-Nobel, advising that a worker who had broken his contract and had not returned to the plant had been arrested and transferred by "special transports to the labor office.

These are samples of the so-called "voluntary" employment of western

Forben was ruthless, but it was successful. In 1941, it blroady had assigned to it 10,000 slave isberers. In 1942, according to Forben figures, the figure rose to 22,000 in 1943 to 58,000, in 1934 to 85,000, and by 1945 it had passed the 100,000 mark. These figures represent the number of slave workers in Farben plants at any given time and do not reflect the transhous turnover. The unfortunate victims who obtained their release through death or "o _________ are not considered in the above figures.

B. Furbon at amschwitz

Although Mari concentration camps had been noterious for some time, the civilized world was shocked enew when it became clear during 1962 that Hitler was actually carrying but his oft-repeated intention to enterwinete the Jewish people of Europe. In December, a joint-attitude was issued by the United States and the European numbers of the United Ections calling attention to and denouncing this blackest of all crimes. In March 1943, a concurrent resolution of the Secate and House of Representatives of the United States Congress condensed the almoster of the Jove in Europe and premised punishment to those directly or indirectly responsible for those criminal acts.

Various international conferences were hold to decide upon ways and nome to stop the elementar. Interpresentant Committees were created to take action and in the United States, the President created a special Cabinet Board, the War Refugee Board, charged with the responsibility of taking all action consistent with the successful presecution of the war to rescue the victims of Maxi apprecians. By short-wave breakcasts, by paraphlets dropped over Germany from the mir, and by many other means, the determination of the civilized world to punish all participants in these acts of savagery was made clear to the German mation.

The terrible story of anschwitz, in great detail, including reforence to the "buna" plant and the Birkenau gas chambers and giving,
figures concerning the size of Jewish conveys and the numbers of inmates who died at anschwitz, was received by the United States War
defugee Doard and made public in November, 1964. This was not the first
time, of course, that the world had heard of anschwitz. What was
appearing there had been known for some time. But this was the first
time that so much detail, including particularly the involvement of
inclusival firms in the anschwitz program.

The main comp of anschwitz (.machwitz I) was small towards the end of 1900 in a suburb of Cawledia, Poland, for 26,000 people. Carr II medicate Linkonea, built in 1941, held 86,000. It was divided into two carrs, one for male immates designed to house 46,000, and one for 00,000 women, anschwitz Carr III, called Henovitz, which will be described in detail at a later point, was built on the I. G. Forces pite and held 10,000 impates. Thus the concentration camps were built to house 136,000 concentration camp immates, actually 500,000 were boused there.

In the latter part of 1940, the defendants assided to build a fourth bana factory, and thought it wise to locate the new plant in the east.

It was a digentic project; the plans called for an investment of nearly a quarter of a billion dellars, which was a let of member even for Farben.

The defendants for Moor an' mores, in consultation with the Reich Dottmics Ministry, agreed to 'evelop the plane, and in Jarmary 1911, the defendant ambres, who had room commissioned to survey Poland for a suitable site, was shown another to. In February 1911, ambres described in detail, to Brouch and for Meer, the results of his investigations, and suggested anschwitz as a location for the plant. The notes of the mosting state:

"It is being considered to get in touch with Reichgruchrur-SS

on the initial planning for the bune factory has been clarified.

In his report to Kranch and for Meer, Ambros had made special nestion that the projected plant would be adjacent to the amenities concentration camp, and had pointed out that the availability of incates of the camp of laborers would be adventageous. Thereafter, the makes we taken up at a meeting of the Farber Technical Committee of 19 March 1961 at which ambros described the program. On 25 april 1961, the machine project was approved by the Farber Verstand, after reports the defendants for Neer, abbros, and December.

In the neantine, Parben officials had been - revise on accordations with Gooring and Rimmior with respect to the supply of the workers from the muchwitz comcontaction carp. The defendant Kraner dealt with Georgia, and the defendent Susterisch with the SS. The relection of Duckerisch is of special interper; he was neither a buna specialist ner an export in labor relations, but no held the rand of Oborstmenorum-Predirer (Lt. Col.) in the SS, and it was the SS which would control the furnishing of labor from the suschwitz carp. Buctefisch was also a no bor of a small group of incustrial leaders one SS officials, known as the "Friends of Himsler" or "Circle of Friends", threach which a member of industrial leaders worker closely with the SS, not frequently, and regularly with its loaders, and furnished mil, savice, and financial support to the SS. The industrialist umbers of the Circle were drawn from a mander of the largest (men business enterprises, including the Priorrich Flick accoine, the "erwiniste Stahlewerke, the Brogener Jani:, and others. The group was speciment by Himler and included, among other high efficials of the SS. Obergrappenfuchrer (Lt. Gan.) Oswald Johl, the Chief of the Main Economic and Administrative Dopart ont of the SS, which operated and controlled the concentration compa, including Aschwitz. In 1941, Farbon made a contribution of 100,000 Roichsmarks to the Winnler Circles of which Buotefisch was an active newbor, and which contributions in this about wore regularly made thereafter.

171

The result of the negotiations was a letter, dated 18 February 1003
from Hermann Goering to Heinrich Himmler entitled "Measures of Popularia";
policies for the amechanitz Buna Plant in East Upper Silesin";

property of laborers and the billeting of these laborers meeted for the construction of the mischwitz Buna Plant in Bast Upper Silenia, which will consence in the beginning of spril and which has be be carried.

- 1. The Jows at anscharts and in the a training area must be quickly sepolled especially for the purpose of Lauring their location is order to billet the construction workers of the Duna Plant.
- 2. Prolininary permission for the Poles in saschwitz and the ourse rounding area who may be used as construction workers, to stry in their prosent lodgings until the tempination of the consumum ind weren.
- E. Availability of the largest possible mader of skilled and and and and all skilled construction workers from the adjaining concentration camp for the construction of the Juna Plant. The total requirement for construction and fitting workers will be 8,000 to 18,000 ton, on the construction lot, according to the speed of work which has a reached.

I request you to inform no as soon as possible about the erders which you will issued jointly in this matter with the GV-Chenic.

The word "GD-Chamie" at the end of the letter is the German Corigmation for the office hell to the definition. In the mention,
hepoticions with the SE for other cupply from the camp were projecting
here then satisfactorily. The informant mearifuld, the Director and
Construction Manager of the amendmits plant, reported to above and
other Parben officials late in March that he had talked to SS-Construppenfuchror Earl Wolff, Himmler's personal adjutant, who had promised a
first installment of 700 immates, as well as an exhibance of lemited
mentit the several SS concentration camps so that the skilled reported on ex-

Court I Case VI 27 Aug 07 A-24-8-GG-Schwab

tensive discussions with the SS camp leader at Anachwitz, the naturing.

who had shown himself "vary willing to be of assistance to the best of his ability" and who had promised 1,500 workers during 1941 and one than double that amount for 1942.

On 7 inpril, a meeting took place at Kattowice, a page Polish industrial divy near Auschwitz, and the page Polish industrial divy near Auschwitz, and the page formally "founded". The defendants Ambros, Bustefisch and Duerrfold were prosess where a cated as master of a remonica and convented that: "By reason of an order of the Reschafushrer-SS, for-reading support is being furnished dur as the duilding period by the concentration camp of Auschwitz. The amp commander, Sturmbanufushrer House, has alreedy started preparations for the utilization of his laborers". Ambros forwarded to the defendants for Near various notes on these meetings with a letter stating:

"Our newfriendship with the " show gratifying offects. On the occasion of supper given for us, "to with the commandant of the concentration of up and our hout, we established all accourse concerning the use of the really excellent argumention of the concentration of the benefit of the one plant.

Later on, Himmler behalf visited the Parken plant
"t suscewitz and gave a special order to Hoose to make
ten thousand immetes available and to give Parken priority
over all other industrial organizations in the region.
Consturction of the plant proceeded, hampered by the
lack of accommodations and facilities in the region and
the low work output of the starved and terrorized
insertes of the camp. The SS herded them to and from
the plant, and Parken and the SS for their "services".

27 Aug 47-25-2-A-APH-Schilab

In October 1941, at a meeting attended by the defendants imbres and Buetefisch, Duerrfeld reported that: "under gresent conditions, the utilization of prisoners can not be increased. The fending-in of the plant area has been started and its completion is the prerequisite for increased utilization of prisoners....The prisoners arrive too 1 to at the construction site and they are going back too carly. In case of for unading them causes difficulty. The norm autput amounts to about two motors of soil moved per into per day."

Parbon's sense of difficiency was outraged, and
it decided to build its man emechtration comp close to
the plant site to house the impressioned to its
construction. Parken, through the Technical Committee
and the Verstand, approved approximately 5,000,000
Reshaurks for the construction of the Concentration
Crap Penewits - Parben's was concentration come built
on its own factory grounds. In the true tradition of
concentration comps, honorate was surrounded with
electrically charges berbed wires, watch towers, SS
guards, etc. The insertes living at Concentration Comp
leading worked solely not a rich in the construction
and operation of T. C. Assubsite. The s ving of three
lost in transportation of impates to and from works
was a good investment.

The significance of these events on be better appreciated after a brief planer at the Ausehvitz concentration camp itself furing this period. Auselwitz was no longer just another concentration camp where viets a wer starved and abused. It had become a very special type of camp. In June 1941, about two months

corot I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-25-3-A-AEH-Schunb

efter the "founding" of the Forbon .. uschwitz bunc plant, Finaler hirself directed the comp e merender, House, to commonce the extermination of the Jows confined at inschwitz. In August 1941, the use of a lethel eas more as Zyclon B was tried experimentally on a group of Russian Officers at .. usehwitz; the method proved lighty successful and House proce did to exploit it. This decision made Mooss formus no - nost monstrous was murdored in history. Special gra se miore were proof of the Birkeneu and " seri a of promotoria word constructed to take orre of the corpore. Rossa hivself ostimuted that at 1 ast 2,500,000 wasdwitz inmates were expanted in the one o'velore red exterminated in the crowstorin, and that another half million immated eved from storyation or discose. He reded that the total I three million represented - bout 70 or 80 percent of all the portions wire come to huseholder, and that the revoludor were picked out arrused as slove where for the dustries located more tim car. Other estimates of the tital conths at assolvate run as high as four million porsons; it is sloor that the rate of extermination furing the years 1941 to 1864 was between 75,000 and 100,000 persons every with.

The will some the Tytheres and markers which were in suggests at the Austervitz crap of to the Parber huntpirat was being built. The defendant Duerrfeld was at the construction site throughout most of this period, be reported regularly to his superiors Ambres and Duetefisch, and a number of the defendants visited the exactivity project on very or several occasions. What

was soing on at the same was a common topic of conversation in .. wschwitz and at the factory, was well-known to everyone in the vicinity, and could not help coming ato the knowledge of any man of normal perception who gialted the place. Quite sport from the extermination program, the physical a perrona of the inmetus was mestly beyond belief. wrong the tomarnes of were me the word brought to Luschwitz to brit in instruction lebor on the Farben plant was a group of bout twelve hendred British pris nors, the tore held at - small prisoner-of-wor come more the Auschwitz plant. Several of those British soldiers will tell the Tribunch, in due course, what they say and learned at muschwitz, and fr m their testim my and ther evidence it will clearly common that the story of auschwitz, as we now know it, was known townny, if not mil, of these defendants much orrlior, and that the sights and odors of Luschests tore re-dily perceptible at the Parben plant.

Sorrey, Holland, Hungary, Prance, Poland and graces were horded into Auschwitz, were then sixty resent were determined to be want for work and were "selected" for immediate grassing. From the remaining forty percent, the best labor was given to I.G. Auschwitz. In spite of the enroful "selection", the life span of an immate coming to I.G. Farbon Auschwitz was approximately three within. A group of Herwegians, each weighing between 150 and 190 pounds, were assigned to I.G. Auschwitz in 1942. After six weeks only 10% of this group were still alive. The rost of them had died of exhaustion and the mass that remained alive weighed less than 90 pounds.

177

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-25-5-A-AEM-Schutch

in two months oil word doed.

Inmetes who had never perfermed any hast physical labor were forced to carry in double time hundred pound count sacks and when they broke down, they were borton or kicked by kapps and I.G. forement Those who could no longer get up were left lying on the ground there they foll, and only in the evening for the working dry no finished, were their follow workers, were their follow workers, were the court them book to kennelte. Heny if these being exceed were no longer alive, but even surpass had to be returned for roll call, such death corporations were a double sight at I.G. ... mach state.

The mortality was extremely high. From the evidence, it can be estimated a manifestally that Perbon's can place that the crap formalis and Fromen's can place that the lives of 25,000 persons. The appriling eightfornes of this figure can only be and retood then it is known that Perbon's employment of immetes never exceeded 10,000 and the average was a mechanic between 5,000 and 7,000. In other cards, Ferbon immetes died at the rate of fore than 100% arch year.

Exhaustian, malnutation, freezing for lack of clothing during open sir word, and bectings were the principal causes of dorth. Of course there were other ambrituding causes. For example, at Monowitz there were only three hospital words helding about three bundred base in 1942 - sometimes with two and three patients in one bod. Conditions were so bad that the SS suggested that additional hospital words be built. But the SS did not have the Ferben problem of turning at the most work at the least cost, and Ferben turned

the request down in the grands that I.G. Auschwitz did not have space in Forwitz for sick impates, but only for healthy made who were able to work. Later two additional marks were installed, but those were completely insdequate since by then there were 10,000 impates at impate. Because if For any policy the haspital mode in Monowitz were used only the case to those impates to a suld be cared and thee fit for ask waln very quickly.

There were - mamber of interesting rules such as the "Five Percent" rule. In order than five except of the total importes were permitted to be sick at one time. If that percentage was encouded, "selections" could take place to climinate the excess. The excess were sent to Birkeneu for gassing. Another rule was the "Fourteen Dry" rule. Importe were admitted to the excess they evaluate the entering to the fourteen drys.

Thus, these who were torn out or otherwise unable to work because of spress, fractures or other slow-healing unexpectices, were "selected" for massing. These who were "selected" were three on the truck and driven through I.G. Auschwitz on their way to extermination at Sirken u. Insertes who were det I.G. Auschwitz worked and or the constant throat, often reported by the I.G. fracen and kapps, that if they did not work hard enough and well enough they would be gassed.

In addition to the keps and foremen and guards
whilded by the SS, arben had its own espionage system
within the camp for those immtes who didn't emply with

the rules. These who violated the rules, such a being orught sanding or purning their herds during wrking hours, were reported to the SS for appropriate manishment. This punishment often emaisted of bestings of the twenty-five double strakes. Full punishment was rearranged in the strakes for a majored of the full twenty-five strakes and a majored. The Ferben management acceived reports oring the marbor of immates working at the emp, the number of immates working at the emp, the number of immates the majored of a majored in the hapital words in Wanneltz, and the number of immates to be fed daily.

. hospital brok fro the SS records at the Monovitz e mountration orms contains a record of Manusitz mertes who were along a through the Firbon himpital at Emwitz during the year 1943. Lee white to those monds, 15,000 inputes at the kin witz concentration erup entered the Farbon haseitel during this jour. The book shows the none at creb instate, the date he entered the date he departed - dead or -live. These the and in the haspitel -re identified by a cross in the o lumn horded "remrite". 750 retients died in 11 menths I 1943. Those who were dispetened from the hospital to ... usehwitz or Birkeneu are identified by the mode "such Auschwitz" and "nach Birkeneu". This want douth by extermination in practically every ease. "Nach Luschwitz" ment that they work sont to the win own at .uschwitz because they were unfit to work at the tuna factory, it be ng notorious that any such immetes

27 .ug 47-25-8-A-LEH-Schrieb

two gos chambers. "Mach Elrkennu" morntthat the lamates
the gos chambers. "Mach Elrkennu" morntthat the lamates
the gos chambers. Over 2,500
metes were dispatched from the hospital for extermination.

The true meaning of the foregoing figures one not be fully appreciated which it is realized that and; we have the true that presed through huseholds were necessarily at however and that the west majority of "solections" for extermination were not and on the hospital but at the gates of the plant and in the barracks. These latter selections would be those in important for work, so that only those who had an excellent chance for a colection were even normitted to get into the hospital.

The seme general attatues are displayed by Porton t words its wen f roign slove laborers. In the minutes f the mooting of officious f I.G. Auschritz, the ind a situati n is Jeseribe os fallwa: "The Or ata "Hierted noom adominto ... P lish forced fabrors word utilized only r for dra r vo t, theref re, judgment not presible Ukranach : un very qual fied for lifting in e-rth ... " order to come meh to the or blon I steknoop -w me its v r are reveals its fundamental s neept of the earliers as more tools. Even in the same I British pris more of try, who were trotted for botter The may others, Farbon's attitude was arbitrary and Mgirnus. Forben laid d wn the rule that aly 3% d' the tal strongth yord porm tod to be sick. For and other "Daularly visited the British pris nor of mar carp Poylow the docisi ns mee by the British enum doctor at these who were unfit to work. In perfunctory

COURT I CASE VI 27 Aug 47-25-9-A-ARH-Schuck

they would decide that men the were placedy declared unfit by the British physician, were in fact fit. To the red its order to be the Fritish physician, were in fact fit. To the red its order to be the Fritish or the line on the same that the physician of the unit be when the order to the declared fit, including one that the whole the still confined to before the track off to the units when the under a still confined to before the track off to the under a still confined to before the track off to the under a still confined to before the track off to the under a still confined to before the track off to the under a still confined to before the track off to the under a still confined to before the track off to the track of th

We think that any further/escription at this time would be unnecessary, an any offert at emphasis would be superfluous. The facts will be only to pinin. In anolusion, we need only nor not the Tribunal that in July 1843, then these things had been going on for ever two years, the defendant breach sustained at Himsler's hast that the Samight "aid the expension of another synthetic fact my...in a carrier way as was done at another another fact my...in a carrier way as was done at another to have the work of Himsler's came. Those who were "grateful" for the appropriation and assistance of Himsler are i stuncts that they are salled to account in a great of law; their "someon" has account and a sector of their their them.

C. Criminal Midical Eperiments

In the field of scientific research, Parben's desires for desirence and control showed the sear disregard for human life and human values as in other fields. With the advent of orld or II, Parben recognized the great apportunity of expanding the used of exemicals in the treatment of disease. The sesses of Russian prisoners of var, and countless insets of Nazi consentration cases, were trained as human subjects for exempentation ich verious Parben chester. It was not particularly important to Parben that many of their dames had not previously been tested and that others were only in the laboratory stage. The supply of victims was endices.

In the field of the acceptions, the defendant location had overall supervision and control as well as first responsibility. All nottwittes of the Parken elasts involving pharmaceuticals were under his
control, and it was Recricin who reported to the Verstand. Apart from
this, feerbein was correct with direct responsibility for the Pharmacontrols Department of I.G. Parken, Leverkus at the production of phartenesticals Department of I.G. Parken, Leverkus at the production of phartenesticals fell rithin the about of Sporte II, headed by Tarmer, and
many of the plants recoming drops were in the looks Combine Main Valley,
beded by Lautenschlauber.

Then, is Decree 1541, the IS and normal decided to enhank upon a strict of typhus experients in order to develop typhus vaccines,
Forther was represented at the leating by Professor Descrit, the decimdent tentonschlanger's subtriding at Earbon's Earburg plant. Before
this meeting, which univered in the whole series of now neterious Suchenwald experiments, Forther was already involved in experimentation on
concentration cosp involve and Russian prisoners of wer. In August, 1941,
in a letter addressed to his "chief" at Leverlosson, Dr. Vetter, a member
of the SS who had joined Ferben's Leverlosson staff in 1938 and who went
on active duty with the SS in 1941, wrote that he is now "in one of the

27 August-A-FL-26-2-3chrab

proportion. The Person reply from Leverkusen, signed by the flor malent more proportion's immediate proportion. From Leverkusen, signed by the flor malent more limited as bordinate, for Martens, states: "As are more important part quantities of the reputated imperation to you...... Anything you may need in the future will be delivered to you through the Sales Organization Barer, Comich. If they cannot formula the required amount, a will take core of it directly, " buby...... prospondence between Dr. Vetter and Dr. errors remains that V the contents to Levertusen.

For the weaming - "ill confine surselves to illustrations taken from the field of the assertants in the presented Concentration Corp. Pollowing the Ammeion of Papels In 1941, Lyphus buccom a scripe. throat to the individuals. The use of typhus wordings was one of the bricary motheds in account that threat. Hardleser, Chief of the Army Undient Inspectorate and later Chief of the Langel Services of the lulaments, wrote in November 1941 to Donal, the Salestory of State for platte, suggestion that the production of typhus vertices be placed in the hands of the large selfe planacounical investming. Fortun was propered to ensur this call by the production it wastines and therepute tie druge. Farbon he already producing, in its boaring torks at the burg, the so-called dos-come and interior typhus vaccine, which was produced from angualty. The protective qualities of this vection, nonwar, were not regime as hewing han mufficiently or and in the therefore considered recessary, before increases production, to establish its officers. On 29 Ducaster 1941, a conference was held in conpostion with this grobles in which Handlesor, Conti and Dragoweky, of !! Pygione Institute of the leffer SS, pertiniented, At this conference it was decided that the typhus vaccine from any yolks was to be toked

27 August-A-FL-26-3-Ecomes

on human buings to colorain. Its officery. On the same date, smother conference was held thick discussed the same woolen. This conference was attended by collected of the Reich Ministry of Interior and the army Medical Institution and by Zahn, neumann, and Dr. Desmitz of Farbon's Sehring or 1s. The sinutus of this conference state that:

"The vaccine which is presently being produced from chicken oggs shall be tooked for its effectiveness in an experiment.

For this Dr. Desnite will contest Good thanbanduchror Dr. bragowsky."

"If this 3 ring wis vector is proved to be iffective,
the production of colly of the 3 bring ords in Unrhung
shell be assembledly increased."

As a result of the conferences, the merderous typhus ward at the Suchenweld concentration masp was not no under the direction of frugowsky, of the hydron Institute of the bellen SS, and his mibordinate Dr. Ding who correctly performed the experiments there. On January f, 1942 the experiments regarded the experiments there. On January camps insules with four different vaccines, the of which were precised by the Schring Jords of Perban. Early in Erral 1942, all of these persons, also an all Lindard ten invates who had not been proviously protected by vaccination, the artifically infected with a virulent typhus virus. The accordantal scrips was concluded in April 1942 with five deaths, two of which were from the group vaccinated with the Schring vaccines. A reject on this experimental perior was sent to Dr. Demitz of the Vaccing was larger, reconstituted.

For further criminal experiments to test other (raps. In September 1942, the defendants Hourlain and Lautenschlauser were urging Erugowsky to test the therepeutic effect of Preparation 3562 "Akrisia" and Elatenschlauser also die and Elatenschlauser and September and Elatenschlauser and September and Elatenschlauser and September and Sep

27 August-A-FL-25-4-Schweb Court No. I, Case VI

the inception of the discusse, and seven of them were to be used as controls without one treatment. This experient was regarded as a railore because the virus used for artifical inflection had become attenuated and did not cross typical typhus among the experimental smolets. In write of that, one of the subjects fied.

In order to perform the experiments remested by Ferben, ding opplied a sure author of crtifical infection by means of the intravenous injection of typhus-infected in shellow. This method of infection was to all successful and in April 1. , experiments more again performed with minimizer resonal which mane and evaluable by Ferben. Of thirty-mine layeles used an experimental subjects, twenty-one close. It is significant to note that perfore this experiment was finally expensed, for bing conferred literate defendant Leutenschlauger and Dr. Vober and Fususpacenger on I.D. Ferben Hospitat. I. . Ferben Monchet procedued

of full report on those experiments.

distinctly has Firben fully approised of what was seding on at Buchanwald, but every division of Parben which and the interest was
informed of the nativities and the experiences of other divisions of
Forben. The close approint and integration of information in the
stant Parben fire is nothern better illustrated U on in the pharmateur
tical field. But happened at I.G. Forben Postant was immediately
transmitted to I.G. Forben Elberfeld, I.G. Forben terburg, and I.G.
Forben Leverkunen; similarly, the experiences of I.G. Forben Levertuan want the rounds via Laters and interedifice sensoreds to the
remainder of the Forben empire.

The prosecution will show beyond any responsible doubt that the responsible officials of 1.G. Farbon, particularly the defendants Hourlain and Leutenschlauger who were directly in charge of pharmaculticals for I.G. Ferben, consistently promoted and encouraged the testing of Ferben chanicals on the helpless victims of concentration.

27 August-A-FI-26-5-Schmab Court No. I, Case VI

remas elthough Foll; mare of the conditions water which these experients were some arrivand.

The prosecution is cognizent of the feet that it is permission to charmant an newer beings under circumstrates which are notified illigal nor immorphis. But the one fundamental requirement without which all such experiments acome not only illust but completely unjustifiable and increased is the requirement that where to consent on the part of the present that where the performed. This principle has been also the experiment are to be performed. This principle has been also recently constant in this court room. The presention in this case will seem that the percentation camp inserted need in the experiments were given no choice whether. Forbut particulated in the experiments were given no choice whether. Forbut particulation the experiments in suchem also and other concentration could not volunteered for its auredrous experiments to which they were subjected.

GENERAL TAYLOR:

SOMETHISTO,

We have concluded the cuttine of the employed which the procession will offer under the several counts of the indictment, and I have only a few more words by may of conclusion. Such of these defendants is charged with crimical responsibility for the primes charged in the indictment because of his individual participation in such crimes. If may of the defendants are to be found guilty, it must be because, in the words of the Judgment which was rendered on Adjust 19, 1947, by different Such defendant, which was rendered on Adjust 19, 1947, by different Such defendant, which was rendered on Adjust 19, or senable doubt that such defendant, whose as a principal in, accessory to, ordered, whitely took a consenting wint in, or was somected with plans or enterprises involving the columnsion of (the crimes)..., which are the subject and the of these counts. Index no other circumstance may be be convicted."

27 August-A-FL-26-6- Schweb Court Ho. 1, Cas. VI

The defendants were all responsible and highly placed officials of the I.G. Farbonindustrie. But the corporate entity, the legal warson, I.G.Farbon, did not counit crimes. It was merely the instrumentality of the men who juiced and directed it. In determining who were the men who furnished this griffine, and direction, we are driven inevitably to the numbers of the Verstend and to other leading officials of the corporation.

In outlining the evidence today, we have respectedly directed the Tribunal's attention to documents or other and not to be offered, from which the direct pertispection of particular defendants in the entire complex of criminal cots is to be asserthined. In the course of the trial, these and other documents will give the Tribunal ample begin for determining the scape of direct petivity by such a fundant, and the principal fields in soleh each of them took indicative and exercised direct management.

But, over and above the responsibility of such defendant in his was primary appear of relivity, the over-all responsibility of the twenty defendants who are memours of the Verstand is indeceptable. To doubt the Tribural will mish further discussion of this point after the evidence has been taken, and when the documents which establish the responsibility of the Verstand and show what retirn it took have been spread upon the response of the moment, we suck only to remind the Tribural that the members of the Verstand were the members of the notated sampling board, the opening charged with responsibility for determination of policy and the response to the responsibility for determination of policy and the response to the covern, and the regular which in fact old only policy and which in their did arrange the concern. The Verstand was composed of individuals who saw, beard, planted, and noted. Although as a matter of administrative exerction, individuals members were delegated broad authority in designated fields, they are required by the hy-laws to subsit all important matters coming within

27 August-A-FL-26-7-Schwab Court No. I, Cas. VI

their own spheres to the entire Vorstand for decision. The evidence clearly establishes that this practice was followed as a matter of course, and although there was an area of discretion which authorized an individual Vorstand to the to get on his own responsibility where trainey required, then makes nevertheless was required to report at the next session of the full Vorstand about the artter in which he look independent action.

Encelledge of the same details involve. In amountion of all matters of general Ferban policy was sertiful at two n to every or, in fact, to may individual Verseand mader. The field of play was far too wast. But the interest we have set forth as the principal strands in this net-work of crack were known to the Gelindents of the Verstand with because they are injected in policy asking, in planning, in execution, or because they toproved and ratifies upon learning of the execution, or because they toproved and ratifies upon learning of the ests of other Verstand as bors or of other Perben officials.

Moreover, even if a defendant may slain look of natural knowledge of sortain details, there can be no doubt that he would have found out had he, in the words of illitery Tribunal No. 1 and "the alightest investigation." Each of the defendants, with the possible exception of the four who were not forstend medoers, we in much a position that he either knew wint Forben was doing at each, literfold, Burlin, Auschwitz, and elsewhere, if, if he had no natural knowledge of some particular activity, again in the words of literary Tribunal So. 1, "concurring the position that he side, the duty rested upon him to make some adoque to investigation." One can not accept the preparations of authority without shouldoring responsibility.

The four definitions about not Verstend members were named in the indictment because they played a particularly crucial role in the crimes charged in the indictment. The definition Duerrield, as Director and Construction Conserve of the Auserwitz plant, is heavily implicated

in the use and abuse of slave labor, in spoliation activities in Polane, and consequently in the triing of aggressive war. The defendant Cattinute was, smong other things, the conduit through which other Ferbon officials were placed in appropriate contact with important Reich and Masi party Loders to facilitate the execution of the original program. For six erusial years. He directed Ferben's Political-Aconomic Policy Departant, which was officially charged with maintaining limiton with the swich and Party approiss and alread on ispaint rol in Ferban's areperations for aggressive war. After 1935, as member of one of Freben's irgust explosive plants in occapied territory, he cortisipated in the procurement and abuse of slave labor and in evolution activities. The defendent von der bevie, mert from his menogramie in the SS, on orgeniantion declared or incl by the International filitary Tribunel, and implicated in the same amoral activities as Sectionary. The defendant Kugler was one of Farban's most emport agents in the planning and comsupertion of application in numerous occupied countries, and thus played e sajor role in the marine of aggregative war and in the unlawful alumbering of occupied territories. The participation of these four defendants in the activities lesern but in the indistant is so direct as to require no further ulaboration.

I have compressed the responsibility of the defendants as officials of I.G. Forben because the proter part of the origins charged in the indictment were consisted by the defendants in the exercises of their functions as Forben officials. But the defendants are not charged only as Forben officials, and they are responsible for their actions in whatever expectly such action was taken by the senior of the defendants held highly responsible governmental or quesi-governmental positions. The outstanding example, but by no means the only one, is the defendant frauch. At least as early as 1936, Krauch was a lightly important Reich official and, after 1935, when he became one of lowring's chief deputies,

27 Aurust-A-FL-25-9-Schueb

to assumed principal responsibility as a governmental official for massauling the character inscharge in propertion for invasions and aggressive wars. Trunca's responsibility for his actions an government official is independent of, and not derivative from, his responsibility to member of the Torsonse and, later, the Aufsichtsrat, of I.G. Forman.

Indeed, the is smithted duel status as unactors of the engineering powerful private enterprise and as officials of the Third Reich underlines a question Which inswitchly shapes in a first the mind when vicusing this case as a timble. There did the layering of these due lie, and
that ideal, if any, did they adenosed by?

Some light in size on this question by an insertabling series of satings which took about in 1944 and 1945, in the course of which the defendants enderword to forward to plans "in one, the wor was look" in order "to cooke a selecte of recitable assects of I.M." and "to keep for its solling courseles running during the pariod in which the constraintions with them would probably be broken." The defendant light regarded a plan to "sell" all Ferban's important a tents in Germany to consults in one of the mental countries, in order to account altern of the about to Allied authorities if Germany were occupied. At the same time, the defendant von Schmitzler was consumed about yestable confidential of the various Ferban sales agencies throughout larger, he was worreed that they might have to the for lack of authorities, since if Germany look the war common rations until the interrupted case, we have for a ten, for some would not be take to deliver my goods to locatin communicate.

for there, but one can not avoid the impression that their field of vision is a monomorally marrow. In 1945, after Germany's defeat, the defendant is a senitaler at gratered biaself as being contain that the French changes! Industry would be only too glad to resume its certain relationship with

he descended principal responsibility as a governmental official for massalling the character inscribing in responsibility for his actions are government official is independent of, and not derivative from, his responsibility as a number of the Torsean and, later, the Aufsichtsrat, of I.G. Percen.

Indeed, the is smarts! duri status as manyers of an enormounty neverful private enterprise and as officials of the Third Roben up articles a question which inevitably stapes in the bind when view-ing this case as a thole. There did the legality and those men lie, and that ideal, if any, did they retained adopt

Some light is shed on this question by me interceding series of sectings which took sizes in 1944 and 1965, in the course of which the followings underweall to formulate plans "in one the str was lest" in order "to escape a select of mailable assets of I.G." and "to keep foreign selling committee running during the period in which the committeeins with them would probably be broken." The defendant light suggested a plan to "sell" all ferben's important priords in Germany to coupling, committee in the of the neutral courteins, in order to or yout minure of the attents by Allies authorities if Germany were occupied. It he are time, the defendant won Schmitzler are expressed about passible confiscation of the stocks of perchantise of the various former to the first for the of mercaness, since if Germany lost the surface the relies for lack of mercanesses, since if Germany lost the surface committees and interrupted and, "times for a time, Ferban would not be relied to deliver any goods to foreign numbers.

Containly one can not say of these defeats that they do not look in the of the first one can not evoid the invession that their field of victor is tenomenally nervow. In 1945, after Cormany's defeat, the defeatout of Schmitzler expressed himself as being cortain that the French charles have yould be only too glad to resume its cortain relationship with

27 August-A-FL-26-10-Seineb Court No. I, Case VI

perbon and, apparently uncouraged by the manifestations of natorials and which this observation evolute, and taking them for indications of real interest in the idea, he promptly volunteered to elaborate more fully the "propositions which maps recepted to the present situation". And at result the same time, the defendant Ilguer, in a letter to his former esseciates, stated:

"In any case, he should try immediately to mke all oneparctions so that the entire alt-up is to operate again as soon as the American authorities dealer for and to what extent the I.S. is to work in the future."

It is indeed a strong, lens through which the deferiments view the world. One might correct as such sublime inscriptivity were it not joined to such substituted purposes, brilliant crossity, and ruthless containst for the world and its irms. A touch of power, and they were world the reduced to the reduced to the restrict their strong to the restrict their strong to the formal there are not to science because an ligarity there is no loyalty in these sun - not to science, nor to Carreny, nor to any discoverable local, and Garmany can only be the better for matting their actions be the test of less and truth.

THE PRESIDENT: The Priburel will be in recess until nin, thirty stelock temorrow corning.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribers will be in recens until nine thirty of clock teamerow merning.

(Tou Tribunci to journe ortil 25 August 1947, et 0930 hours.)

Court VI - Case VI 28 Aug 47-W-1-1-KHK-Weehan (Von Schon)

> Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal VI in the matter of the United States of America against CARL ERAUCH et al, defendants, sitting at Nuernberg, Germany, on 28 August 1947, 0930, Justice SHAKE presiding.

THE MARSHAL: The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal VI.
Military Tribunal VI is now in session. God save the United States of
America and this Honorable Tribunal. There will be order in the court.

THE PRESIDENT: Military Tribunal VI is now in session.

May we ask if there are any preliminary matters, any preliminary matters that need attention before we engage in hearing the evidence of the prosecution?

Pardon me. May I inquire, Mr. Marshal, if the defendants are in the dock?

THE MARSHAL: May it places Your Honors, the defendants are present with the exception of the defendants Warster and Brueggemann who are absent due to illness.

DR. SOFF AND (For the defendant von der Heyde): Your Honors, on the 2nd of June 1947 in the prescribed form, I requested the following witnesses for the defendant von der Heyde: (1) Dr. Edward Schaumburg, (2) Earl von Heyde, and (3) Erich Mueller. The Tribunal must have these applications. I heard nothing for quite some time and then I assumed that contrary to the practice in Military Tribunals 1 and 2, before which I have appeared up to now, the calling of these persons as witnesses would be denied because of the importance of the case.

On 25 July 1947, through the Defendants' Information Center, I received a report saying that the approval of the witnesses whom I had requested would be postponed until this court met.

I should like to remark that all of the three witnesses whom I have requested are at liberty and that I gave their home addresses in m application. In the meantime I have also learned that the projection is interested in Erich hueller, whom I consider as especially portant.

After I handed in my application, the projection called has a witness and interrogated him for weeks. I learned that he refused any contact

Court VI - Case VI 28 Aug 47-M-1-2-EHN-Mechan (Von Schon)

with me since there is a proclamation in the I.G. Farben House at Frankfurt prohibiting contact with defense counsel.

Now, Mr. President, on 25 August 1947 I received a statement from the prosecution refusing to give permission to call the witnesses whom I requested, citing several points. The most important of which seemed to me to be (1) that these witnesses whom I called are prosecution witnesses, (2) not enough grounds were given for calling these witnesses, and (3) at the proper time they asked me to call these witnesses again.

I should like to remark as far as point 1 is concerned, in the trial before Military Tribunal I the representatives of the prosecution said that all witnesses who are at liberty are the witnesses of the side that calls them first. I cannot imagine that this statement made by the representative of the Chief of Counsel is no longer valid today. Therefore, these witnesses whomol requested on 2 June 1947 would have to be approved.

As for the second point, after the opening speech I know no more about the charges against my client than before. If I am to explain my request for these witnesses, I must keep this explanation limited to the activities of my client. I cannot refer to documents or instances because I do not have this information.

As for point 3, the prosecution might say that at the end of the prosecution's case I should call my witnesses, but according to experience that is too late. Up to now every one of the military tribunals has considered it important for the defense counsel to begin to obtain their evidence in time to avoid unnecessary postponements and delays. I see no reason therefore why my application for the calling of these three witnesses, (1) Dr. Edward Schaumburg, (2) Karl von Beyde, and (3) Erich Muellar, should not be approved, or why I should make this application later and I ask for a ruling of the Tribunal on this matter.

THE PHESIDENT: Does the prosecution wish to make any comment with respect to this matter?

MR. SPEECHER: May it please the Tribunal, with respect to the

applications for subpoens, the prosecution has taken a very uniform position during all these weeks from the time of the signing of the indictment until the present time. We have objected in each case to the actual subpoens of a witness on the grounds we feel it is quite immature.

I think it should also be very plain to defense counsel, when the ruling which the Presiding Executive Judge made before this Tribunal was constituted and by the ruling you have made since that time, that the motions were denied by the Tribunal merely as being premature and that they could be renewed at a proper time.

Now, with respect to the question of interrogating these witnesses, the prosecution in this case has taken one position and only one position, namely that if these witnesses are at liberty we have absolutely no objection to defense occursel seeing them. I do not want to burden you with examples, but I could give you some instances where we have taken the initiative of making witnesses available or letting defense know where they could be found. Therefore, with respect to the witnesses for which applications have been made on behalf of the defendant von der hayde, we have nothing further to say; our position has been very clear throughout.

If there are some technical difficulties, I think it is something which defense counsel and the prosecution can discuss outside of court. Then, if there is any necessity to discuss it with Your Honor, we will take it up then.

THE TRIBUNAL: I may say, on behalf of the Tribunal, that when the files of this case were delivered to us there was some accumulation of applications of this character. In going through the files we discovered that the presiding judges had, asindicated by the prosecution, entered some orders which we took were not a denial of the application but merely a postponement of the mechanics, if I may use that word, with reference to the physical production of the witness before the Tribunal. Knowing there would be considerable time before the defense witnesses would be needed in the courtroom, it was only our purpose to obviate the necessity

Court VI- Case VI 28 Aug 47-W-1-4-EHM-Meehan (Von Schon)

of their constant attendance and certainly not to deny the defense counsels the privileges which we take it they are entitled to with reference to having the witnesses they desire at the proper time.

Now, I am not just sure as to where we stand with reference to these applications; there may be a number of them which have not even been submitted to us. I would suggest, on behalf of the Tribunal, that if you will survey the situation and re-group again your applications, discuss them with counsel for the prosecution and undertake to reach an understanding, perhaps that will solve the problem. If not, you will be at liberty to call it to our attention again and we will undertake to protect the defendants' rights with reference to witnesses.

28 Jug 47-2-1-M-LEH-Meehrn (Von Schon)

DR. BOETTCHER: (Counsel for defendent Krauch) Mr.

President, I should like to mention three very brief

points and explain them briefly. First, from a letter

of the prosecution for the 21st of August, it can be seen

that the prosecution has one to cument look, "Basic

Information on I.G.," which has been given to the Tribunal.

The defense reserves the right at the proper time to

somment on this document book, "Basic Information on I.G.,"

which has been given to the Tribunal. The defense reserves

the right at the present is a to comment on this document

hook and the carecet may inaccurreius that may be in it

and we offer the presention to do this jointly.

Second, in this becoment book there are some charts, they have been enlarged and displayed in this room.

Locarding to the processing itself, this is information.

Locarding to imerican trial procedure, as for as we are informed, it is permissible to use only this information, if the defense agrees with the contents of this information.

These documents, broker, contain certain inaccuracies and with course misunderstanding. Therefore, the defense should like to wrke applicable that the procession be asked not to display these abarts until the appartunity is given to the defense; the correctory inaccuracies that the procession to the defense that the procession to the defense; the correctory inaccuracies that the procession to the defense; the correctory inaccuracies that the procession to the defense that the procession to the defense that the procession to the defense that the procession that the procession and then the offer them about again to the Tribunel.

Third, the forenees in all like to make the following peneral statement. If in the course of this trial, coplicate as of any defence coursel are rejected, we should like now once and for all to object to this rejection and in reserve all rights in this respect. Lecording to are information, such a blanket exception is permissable and

customers in order to simplify the course of the trial.

THE PRESIDENT: Under the tractise with which the Cribunal is meat familiar, there is a clear distinction between an objection and an extention. An objection abould be made at the time the reason for the objection is stated to the Cribunal, because it might influence the ruling of the Cribunal. But so far as exceptions are concerned, it will not be necessary for counsel for either cide to remeat exceptions, whom objections have been over-ruled or mustained, so the case may be. It will be taken for granted that an exception is given to over adverse ruling made by the Cribunal without the formality of request for an approneeding.

MR. DINCISE: New it classe the Dribunal, the presention will be only too glad to sit down with the defence counsel and take up any questions relating to the book entitled, "Basic Information" at any time. As indicated in that book, the basic information was submitted primarily at that time as reference material and was not intended to be considered as evidence.

ow, so far as chart; and most are concerned, we fully agree that
ever cop or clost which is not to be offered for the basis on which
it is areen is not to be offered in evidence and should not be displayed
on the well unless there has been prior agreement with defence counsel
as to its contents. Certainly, we den't want senseling displayed here
which night in any way give an impression unless it has either been
agreed usen or can be backed up by syldence which is duly admissable.

Now this particular emorals is the world map here.

reason that is displayed here is that the basic information on which that map is bases will be offered in evidence on we expect possibly the focusent on which this map is bases will be offered in evidence that is that is they we take that this map be put up.

THE PRESIDENT: Woll, the Tribunel is in hearty secret with the policy of counsel for the presention on defense undertaking to agree upon the correctness furthilits, charts and meeters of that hind. So for as the new on the well is concerned, if any inaccuracies are pointed at, I is sure the Tribunal will keep them in mind and no received in the contract triby so for as its physical presence in the curtrons is a meeters.

If that is agreeable to counsel for the defense.

Now, and there may ther preliminary matters which the defense or princentian which the suggest but we open education of the evidence in the ense?

The Tribunel would like to make an abservation.

This is an first experience in a Tribunal of this admirator. We have imposed had the opportunity of visiting a me of the other Tribunals on some associations with the members of the other Tribunals. It has been added to us that a great frequentary evidence. Every exhibit that is admitted in visioned thereby becomes a part of the record for all purposes and for all time. Now to fill we what we have observed to be the practice, of reading in evidence long excerpts from the exhibits at the trial, is more or loss of a suplication and we would like to suggest to counsel that perhaps your through well as ours might be anserved if it would be possible.

28 Aug 47-2-4-M-LEM-Ruchen (Von Schon)

and a request is offered in evidence, for counsel to conto vory briefly the purpose of the Commont, or in gan rel may the sectionts of it and abviate in many eases the necessity free ling the formally payi ones. We think we can assure all of you atlanua that "communes that are introduced in evidence are will be per by wich marker of this Tribunal and we ubt if thething moli he accomplished by having the demants - p long parts of the freuments - twice in the record. We are not run uneing any formal rule, perhaps in a me drace it might be a m macryation of time to ren" n shirt "housent rather than to state its automiz, but we in the time being at longt, leave it to your good jurgicult to to how time een best be e iseryo', borring in min' that when the exhibit is 'atro'seor' as ovi and, thather it is re- then or not, it is buf so the Tri semil.

In the remodular renty to proceed with its proof?

The DUBOISE: He is placed the Tribural, before the coling with the proportation of evidence in this case, to would like to make a proliminary statement as to the order of prof which the prosecution will follow. As to the general nature of the focusentary evidence, which are from the bulk of the proof of the Prosecution's case in general, we expect that the presentation of grouf will follow the order of the infletment unless exceptional already that for a formation of continual

The shill begin with Count 1 and in large measure present all evidence in the order of the allegations in that count. The presental model and any are to present all avidance portinent to any particular subject at one time, so that the record will be as orderly as possible. There will undoubtedly be some becase as, however, due to the uneveilability of witnesses, or for some other reasons, when we may have to return to a subject proviously discussed.

I should like to explain briefly to the Tribunel the memor in which our disamentary evidence is prepared for presentation. Each of your Honors should have before you a manile folder, which is marked willtary Tribunel No. 5, Cose 6, Prescention Decement Book No. 1. If you look at the first page you will find an index of the contents of this book. The book contains English translation of the documents which will be introduced into evidence. The documents are arranged in the order in which we expect in general to offer them in evidence. Decommend in which we expect in general to offer them in evidence.

Decommends or adding others, but for the most part we expect that this index will serve as a guide for the presentation of our evidence.

Under rule 17 of the uniform rules of procedure the prosecution is required to file with the Defendants Information Contor one copy, in the German language for such defense esumed of any axhibit to be introduced, at least the hours before the offer is made. This is accomplished by filing with the Defense Enformation Center copies of those document books in German. These copies are sineagraphed and arranged in the same order as in the English book. In addition, the presocution has previously filed with the Defense Information Center in

is onse of vence copies of many documents to be used, stations in advance of the 24 h ur rule. Those are Livitual capies. I think there are well aver eight cun rod of them filed already. In addition to the thousand backs in mineggraph form, which are so to speak whing copies, a Cortilia photostatic copy of the piginal of the exhibits will be presented to the court nt the tire the exhibit is offere! in evidence. These comits are arranged in separate folders, one folder for anch exhibit and this be k will be hen's to a representative f the Secretory General as the offer is made. These arrive become the Afficial exhibit and of ourse are subject to inspection by offence e whach at the time the iffer is rade, if they ar testro. The thit statte any if onen exhibit in his to be me'd aveilable to the Defense information Center on well. Now the purpose of this is as the t forense a unsel may study from the thotoatet various bond written morks, initials and the Itko.

I woul' like to say at this point that if photostatic order are not always available, which may be the ease, what is not always evailable in time, there is abviously to indication that defence a musch should be projudiced because of that, for when they receive the photostate place and they later discover any marks on the photostate after they study them, they can of course, as for as the prosecution is concerned, bring them up later at an appropriate time.

26 Aug 17-M-AJ-3-1-Purty-School

I don't believe there will be many questions of this nature, but I do suggest that if they should arise that in the interests of expediting the trial they be discursed first with the Proceetion and then, of course, if necessary they can be raised with the Tribunal.

I would also like to comment at this time on the problem of translation. The Garman documents have been translated into English and suclish documents into German. Now there may be instances where accounted will take exception to some particular translation, and here again in the interacts of expediting this trial, I would suggest that Delians Counsel first discuss mose quistions of translation with the freedomtion before raising them in open court. The practice adopted in other tribunals and which I gather will also be adopted here is that an entire document can be accepted into evidence whether it is only read in part or whether it is read at all.

The type of documentary evidence which is far the greater part of of the proof in this case will consist of captured documents and records. When the United States army first entered Gersen territory, it had appeals military personn I above duties were to capture and preserve entry documents, records and archiever. These documents were assembled in temperary document centers. Later each document center established - there were six document centers cotablished in the United States some of occupation. "Den these documents were assembled, then the processing of bedaxing and cataloging was done. Gertainly these document centers have since been closed and the documents assembled there have been sent to other document centers.

Upon the completion of the International Military Tribunal, field terms under the direction of Enjor William Googan were organized and sent to the various document centers then in existence. Great masses of German documents and records were screened and examined. Most of the records were sent here to Nurmberg to be processed. These original documents were then given trial identification numbers in various

28 Aug 47-M-AJ-3-2-Putty-von Schon

siries, the series being designated by letters PS, L, R, EC, C indicating the sons of acquisition of the document, and within each of these series the documents are listed numerically. The Prosecution in this case will have occasion to introduce in evidence documents which were processed under the direction of Major Cocgan. Some of these documents were introduced in evidence before the international Military Tribunal, and some of them have been introduced before other Military Tribunals. This Tribunal is required by the provisions of article 9 of Ordinance VII to take judicial notice of the documents which were introduced cither orders the International Military Tribunal or before other Military Tribunals. Newsore, in order to simplify the procedure, we will introduce photostatic acpits of these documents to which will be attached the certificate indicating that such documents were introduced in evidence before either of the other courts and that this is a true copy of the exhibit.

As we the documents processed under the direction of Anjor Coopen which have not been used in provious cases, they are authenticated by the affidavit of Major Coopen. This affidavit was used by the International Military Tribunal. It is detect to wooder 19, 1945. It was introduced in the International Military Tribunal and explains the manner and means by which German documents were processed. I would like to now affer as Prosecution Exhibit No. 1 the Coopen affidavit.

THE RESIDENT: It may be assumed that caes and every document befored in evidence was it too time received and filed as an exhibit unless there is an objection at the time. This will be by the the secessity of the Tribunal formally announcing the admission of a document.

FR. DUBOIS: I come now to the authentication of documents which stre processed not for the International Military Tribunci but for subsequent trials. All documents processed for subsequent trials but yet introduced in any provious case before a military Tribunal are

25 Aug 57-M-AJ-3-3-Putty-von Schen

athenticated by an affidivit of Fred Neibergall which is found on page of this eccessent book, and I would like to offer as fresecution which the No. 2 the Niebergall affidavit. This affidavit recites the same and means by which a large part of the decements which we will after in evidence were processed and made roady for presentation here. I have in view of the fact that this is the bests for much of our documentary evidence thought that the Tribunel Light like me to read area of this, but I will leave that up to the Tribunel.

4- 5-

THE FRESHEET: If you think it important, you may calloour attention to mything specials that you think should have our notice at this time.

Mr. EUEDIS: I thick we can dispense probable with the reading of this.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

AR. DOWGES: A certain type of documentary evidence will be affidavies which more obtained by the *resecution. I would like to point out at this point that affidavite are generally admissible under Article 7 of Ordinance VII and the practice developed by other Malitary Pribunals, and I would now like to offer in evidence a certificate by General Taylor authorizing certain members of the Prosecution staff to administer caths in connection with the attecting of affidavits which will be introduced in this case. This certificate appears in Document Book I at page 9 and will be prosecution Exhibit No. 3.

The starting point in this case is certain determination and finding of the international bilitary Tribunal, article 10 of Ordinance VII provides that the date minations of the International Military Tribunal in the Jusquents in Case do. I that invasions, aggressive acts, aggressive wars, orimes, surceities or immumane agts were planned or occurred shall be bindings on the Tribunals established here under and shall not be questioned except insofar as the participation there in or knowledge thereof by any particular person may be concorned.

statements of the atternational kilitary Fribunal in the Judgment

28 Aug h7-N-AJ-3-4-Putty-ven Schon Court 6 Caso 6

in Case No. I constitute proof of the facts stated in the absence of extential new evidence to the contrary. The invasions and wars of aggression covered by the Judgment of the International Military Pribur 1 are listed in paragraph 2 of the indictment in this case. The only question is issue on Count I, therefore, is the extent if any to which the defendants willingly participated in the preparation for and carrying out of the invasions and were of aggression which were planned and which defendants.

Now the program of the last Party which we will show was supported by Farber and which we will show could not have been carried out without Farban's support is custorized in the Judgment of the International williamy are bundle which will be taken judicial notice of by this Iribanal. We especially call attention to pages 17h and 175 of the afficial version put out by the Wedge tary demonst in which the International Editary Tribanal a kes certain findings and certain determinations with respect to the wazi Party 'regram and what it meant.

As 'res curion Exhibit to. 4 I now effer in evidence a document which was offered in evidence in the International Bilitary Tribunal as USA E-hibit 255 are USA Eshibit 32h which is an excerpt from the untional Socialist For Book of 1961 giving the points of the program of the WSEAP. The document tumber is 1708-PS.

DR. SIRBERS: Dr. Siesers, may it please the Tribunal. I object to the introduction of this document for the following reason. I have not been able to determine from that ditien of Hitler's book "kein kampf" the excorpt has been taken. One can only see that it comes from a edition later than 1933. I have tried to determine what year. I have discovered that the text and the page numbers correspond exactly to the legal to heard edition in the year 1939. Your Honors, hitler wrote that book in the years 1923 to 1925. It is generally known that the text of this book was changed.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel, parden an interruption. I am wondering if

26 aug 47-M-AJ-3-5-Putty-von Schon Sourt BCise 6

rether than the case which Counsel for the Prosecution is referring to.

The is from your observations that you maybe referring to the excerpt

from "Media Rampi" rether than from the National Socialist Year Book

of 19hl. If we are incorrect in that regard, we would be very happy to

DR. SDRES: I am speaking about Document 2760, Exhibit L, the proorpt from Hitler's "Lin Aumpf" which was just offered by the presecution.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you pardon so again. The Tribunal understands that the Prosecution is now offering Exhibit b which is the program of the Mari farty extracted from the Mational Socialistic Year Book of 1961.

DR. SIEMERS: I bog your pardon, Mr. President, I thought that the Presecutor was already offering "Mein Kampf." I thought he was on the next document.

THE PRESIDENT: Vory woll.

DR. SIEMERS: I beg your parden.

THE PRESIDENT: I think we well understand each other.

MR. DUBOTS: The Prosecution propents PS-1708
as Prosecution Anhibit No. 4. New as Prosecution
Exhibit No. 5 the Prosecution offer 2760-PS which
is from "Main Empf" by adolf Hitler, the 39th addition,
page 563 and 4, datad in 1933. The document was
offered in evidence in the International Military
Tribungh as USL 256. The Prosecution notices for
the first time that the cartificate says the 39th
colition and that the document itself says the 41st
edition.

med PRESIDENT: Paraton mo. Can you now ascortain from the exhibit which saition it is.

Mi. Dumois: It is the 41st clitical, 1933.

Here is a book by Adolf Hitler, "Main Kampf", and I suggest we might be able to expedite this by efforing this particular book new in evidence, and we will make arrangements to get photostats from this particular book of the parts which we are referring to. This particular book is a 1934 edition. It will have the same exampts although they won't be on the same pages, so that we now offer this book in evidence and we will make arrangements to photostat and translate if assessary the parts that we want to call the particular ettention of the Court and Defense Councel to.

DR. SIEMERS: Your Honors, it has been determined

that the quotations come from the edition of 1939. Whither the Prosecution nor I are in the position of owning the original book, that is, the first edition of the years 192401925. I bog your pardon, 1925 and 1927. On the first page of the book there is a notation that the first edition appeared in 1925 and the second volume in 1927. The Prosecutor is right. He could offer the book, but that is not what my objection is about. The edition of 1934 would not help us oither. It is generally known that 1932 or 1933, when Hitler came to power, the book was changed and the 314 editions were recalled. If it is to be proper evilance, it same to me to be necessary, and I ask the Presecution to in this, to obtain the first saition from the year 1925 or 1927. know that it is difficult, but I consider it possible that this volume can be found in same library perhaps mere easily abreat than in Germany since the book was in many acres read with more interest abroad than in Gordenny.

But I have another reason for my objection. I should like to tell you briefly the following. In the big trial before the International Military Tribunal I offered a document, just as in this case, which was based in a book. That was a book by Winston Churchill. The first edition of this book by Winston Churchill had been published in 1935 at a time when Churchill was not in the Government. The Prosecution through the British Prosecutor, Sir David Maxwell Fyfe, objected to this document explaining that it expressed the opinion of a private citizen, although a private

became a member of the Government; but at the time when the first edition of the book was published, he was simply a private citizen.

I would like to point out that in 1923 to 1925 when he wrote the book, 1925 and 1927 when the book was published, Hitler was simply a private citizen just like churchill. Eight years after his book appeared, he became the most important member of the Government, one in Angland, the other in Germany. I believe the cases are parallel. Therefore, I ask the Tribunal to consider this point. It is not only a fernal reason, if I say that.

In the big trial I saw that the Prosecution greatly exaggered the importance of this book "Main Kampf" simply because Mitter later came to power. Before 1933 the German lid not know this book and afterwards they know it not such. There is a practical importance if I raise this objection. I ask this exhibit be denied because it is the statement of a private citizen. If it is admitted, I should like to ask the Pressecution to get the text from the first edition which in very important points was changed later.

Finally I have a minor matter to say about this document. Page 153 is copied concerning Hitlor's political ideas. I should like to ask the Prosecution if they copy three long paragraphs also to copy the next short paragraph which reads as follows:

"For such a policy, however, there was only me ally in Europe and that was Angland." I believe that this sentence should be included in this excerpt.

THE PRESIDENT: Manifestly the Tribunal cannot at this time determine the value or the pertinency of ver such of the evidence as offered. It is early in the trial and the theories of the Prosecution and the Defense are not yet clear to us. The Tribunal is inclined to think that if the book is competent evilance, not such significance could be attached to the perticular edition as offered. Manifestly, if there is any departure in text between the various additions, that is a matter which Counsel for the Defense if they see fit would be privileged to go into.

The Tribunal of this time is going to overrule the objection with this observation that if it
later develops that this exhibit has no probative value
and is not entitled to be considered by the Tribunal,
and Counsel for the Defense wish to make a subsequent
mation to strike it from the evidence, we will hear
you on that matter.

28 August 47-M-PM-5-1-Schwab (Int. von Schon)

MR. DUBOIS: The next document the Prosecution would like to offer is Prosecution Exhibit No. 6. It will be NI 5531, on page 32 of the document book, which is excerpts from the I.G. Farbon newspaper "Von Werk zu Werk," reprinting cortain excerpts from Hitler's Hein "Kampf.

The next document the Prosecution would him to offer is PS-2-33, on page 35 of the document book. This document was offered as U.S.a. Exhibit 596 before the International Military Tribunal. The document is an article by Alfred Rosenberg who, in the words of the International Military Tribunal, was "recognized as the Party's ideologist" and who, as the International Military Tribunal stated, "developed and spread Nazi doctrines in the Woolkischer Boobachter, the Nazi's official organ. This merticular document explains in some detail the meaning and various points of the Nazi program.

DR. SIEMERS (Counsel for defendant von Schnitzler):
Why it passes the Tribunel, for the second I should like to say that my objection to Exhibit No. 5 also applies to the next document, Exhibit No. 5. It is the second excerpt from Mein Rampf.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, I believe we are confused a bit again. I think that Exhibit 5 referred to PS-2780-A, and Exhibit 6 has, as we understood it, referred to Document NI-6531, if our Description is correct. Counsel for the Presecution sade no separate offer of Document NI-9358.

MR. DUBOIS: If I may coment, if it please the Tribunal, our Exhibit 5 is the book which we just presented to the Secretary General from which we will make appropriate translations. (Exhibit) 6 is this NI-5631.

DR. SIEMERS: I beg your paraon. This is correct.

28 August 47-M-PM-5-2-Schwab (Int. von Schon)
Court 6, Case 6.

Concerning Document 7, Exhibit No. 7, the Rosenburg document, I should also like to object; again because this is the opinion of a private citizen. I should like to point out that — as well known as because later — at the time when he wrote this book he was quire unknown, and simply a private citizen. The book was written in 1922, which shows the nature, principles, and also of the lational socialist Party. I don't think I am saying too much if I say that probably none of the defendants know this book at that time, and I doubt whether many people know of it before 1939.

as the besis for a trial which is supposed to prove that I.G. Farban supported Hitler and knew his aims, one cannot use this document.

formally I rely on the principle, which was used in the I.K.T., that opinions, statements, books by private eitizens, have no significance and cannot be exhibited as documents. I shall quite agree if any other legal opinion is represented, but I believe that this position is the correct one. In any case, it is the one held by the IM.T.

I should like to add that three days ago, in a parallel trial, in another industrial trial against the industrialist Flick; a similar situation arose. I am informed about it because I am defense counsel in that trial too. A document was offered by my colleague, Dr. Kranzbuchler there. This was a diarry of Captain Butcher, the adjutant of Eisenhower. The document was not accepted on the groupds that it was not the opinion of an important member of the government or member of the occupying authorities in Germany.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal would observe again that we are on the outset of this case. It has already

28 August 47-M-PM-5-3-Schwab (Int. von Schon). Court 6, Case 6.

been indicated that there are a great many decements
that will be offered. There are many defendents in the
case. Manifestly, the Prosecution cannot be required to
disclose its entire theory so early in the trial. We cannot
tell at this time whether or not one or more, or any of
the defendants, will be connected with this offered exhibit,
and we are inclined to addit it, with this observation: that
if it subsequently becomes apparent that it has no probative
value, and counsel for the defendants have any information
that this Tribunal might consider evidence of no probative
value, we shall cortainly entertain your motion to strike
it from the record and have it out of the case.

On that basis, the objection will at this time be overruled.

Court to take judicial notice of the following particular paragraph on the International Military Tribunal in connection with this. "Resemberg is indicted on all four counts. He joined the Nazi Party in 1919, participated in the Munich Putsch of 19 November, 1923, tried to keep the illegal Nazi Party together while Hitler was in Jail; regognized as the Party's ideologist, he developed and spread Nazi doctrines in the newspaper Vowelkischer Boobachter, which he edited and in numerous books he wrote. His book "Might of the 20th Century" had a circulation of over a cillion copies."

JUDGE MORRIS: May I inquire what you just read, what page of the volume?

MR. DUBOISH I am awfully sorry. Page 293 of the International Military Tribunal.

JUDGE MORRIS: Which volume?

MR. DUBOIS: That is Volume one.

JUDGE MORRIS: Thank you.

MR. DUBOIS: as Exhibit No. 8, Prosecution offers NL-8656, which appears on page 41 of the document book, which is rn issue of the Voelkischer Beobachter, the Nazis official organ, of Monday, 4 September, 1933, containing adolf Eitler's final address at the Congress of National Bocialist Loadership.

DR. SIEMERS: I bog your pardon, Mr. President, but I must object again. I would be grateful for a basic ruling of the Tribunal in this case again, of course, with special consideration of the difficult situation of the reservation made by the Tribunal. I should like to point out that this is an extract from a newspaper, and I should also like to point out that the opinions of the various tribunals in the Nurmbers trials have been different. In some cases, extracts from newspapers have been accepted as documents both from the Prosecution and from the Defense. In some cases, they have been rejected.

In the Flick trial recently the Tribunal held the point of view that newspaper extracts are not admissible evidence. I should like to rarrek, however, that this decision is in contrast to the decision of other courts, especially that of the International Military Tribunal.

Since the situation is not clear I am forced to object, and I should be preteful for a ruling of the Tribunch.

THE PRESIDENT: As the Tributal has heretofore indicated, we cannot know to what extent, if any, the offered exhibit may be connected with one or more of the defendants. It is apparant that besically the objection that is made to the offered Exhibit 8 is the same as that applied to No. 5 and No. 6 — and perhaps (No.) 7. The Tribunal does not intend to consider incompetent avidance, but we cannot at this time determine to what extent, if any, these exhibits may be competent.

26 Aug 17-M-AJ-6-1-Schmeb-von Schon Court 6 Case €

If later, during the progress of the trial, it is apparent that this exhibit, or any of the preceeding exhibits to which objections who made, are not of probative value, the motion can be made to reject them, and the entire subject matter can be surveyed and the Court will man make a final ruling on the subject.

The objection is at this time overruled, with those reservations.

DR. SIEMERS: Your Honors, in order to avoid waiting the Tribunal's time, I should like to make a suggestion. If I have understood the Tribunal correctly, this suggestion will agree with what you just said, er. President. By suggestion is that in individual cases where there are the same, or similar, objections, I should be paralited in individual cases to refrain it meaning the objection and to decide later whether it is necessary to object to the specific document.

in this way I could avoid having to interrupt the Prosecution each time.

THE PRIMITE : and will be made agreeable with the Tribunal; whether the objection is made at this size as the individual exhibits an offered or subsequently, the Tribunal will at the proper time underenke to seems. The responsibility of maying what evidence may or may not have properly, value, and to gote you the benefit of being hard on this subject at the upper time.

EH. SELMENS: I thank you.

Dr. CINTICIS (Assistant Der no. Counsel of Dr. Kramsbuchler, for the Sefendant Uncimret Schmitz): Er. Freedomt, before the recess I have to make a brief technical application. I have just been informed that the defense Schmitz, from aleven o'clock this marning, is to be given a minical examination. Therefore, I should like to ask that he be excessed from appearing in court for the duration of this examination.

TP: PRESIDENT: Cortainly, that request will be granted, and the Gefundiant Schmitz will be excused for the time nucuasary for him to report for the examination.

28 Aug 1:7-4:-AJ-6- 2-Schwab-von-Schon Court 6 Case 5

During the absonce of the defendant Schmitz willishis counsel be present?

DR. GILRICHS: Yes, indeed.

HE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. DUBGIS: Prospection offers as Exhibit No. 9, PS-3308, which is an affidavit of Paul Otto Schmidt who sas an interpreter in the Gorm in Voreign Office from 1923 to 1945, and who acted as an interpreter for Hitler on various occasions. This affidavit relates to the objectives of the Maxi leadership. It was offered as Exhibit 288 in the International Military Tribunal. The book says 596 — which is incorrect.

As Exhibit No. 10, Proscention offers NI-7765 which appears on page AS of the document book, which is an affidavit also of Paul Otto Schmidt and an 3 July 1947 concurring the question of the knowledge throughout Germany of the aggressive war size of the Naxi Party.

DR. ACHENIACK (Goursel for Defondant Grjowski): Your Honors,
I should like to bring up one basic question here. An affidavit is
being offered by a witness who lives in Sunich. That is not far from
Normberg. I am of the opinion that "the best evidence" should be
always presented to the Tribunal, and that it is possible to have this
witness examined before the Tribunal so that the Defonse will go given
an opportunity to or an examine him immediately afterwards. The statements of the witness are so far reaching that it seems to me that we
thould adhere to the principle of "best evidence" and that the witness
should a pair on the witness stand here. For this reason I object to
the submission of this affidavit.

MR. DUROIS: May it please the Tribunal, I suggest that the procodure adopted in other Tribunals with respect to affidavits be adopted
here. As I understand the procedure which has been adopted, it is that
then affidavits of witnesses are offered in evidence, that they are
accepted with the condition that the Defense say have cross-interrogatories of the witness and in cases of this character may ask that the

28 Aug 47-M-AJ-6-3-Schwab-v nSchon Court 6 Case '6

withouse be brought in at a later time. And obviously in anycases in which affidavits are offered with respect to withesses who are readily accessible, the Prosecution will take the necessary steps to have withouses brought in at a later time whom theDefense may desure to cross-examine in the presence of the Court.

DR. MELTE (Counsel for Moorloin): Mr. Fres dent, what the Prosucutor just said is not the practice in all trials here. If it was difficult to obtain a witness because he was sick or because he was abroad, it was permitted that the affidavit be read first, and then the witness produced later. In the Doctor's Trial and especially in the Big Trial this practice was, on principle, such that when the witness was in Burnhorg or nearby he had to be produced in person to avoid the reading of theaffidayly giving an impression which might later not be completely done away with. The reading of the offidavit can maver give the impression of a personality, and there is a great danger that if, between the reading of the affidavit and the appearance of the witness, there is a considerable paried of them, no unified picture is given. We cannot judge unter what circumstances the various affidavits were grown up, although I do not make any concrete charge coulset the interrogator. But it seems important to us that the witness, if at all possible, should give his entire testimony; that is, everything that he had to testify fr cly before this Tribunal. Therefore, the best evidence is always the witness himself, and since it is easy in this case to produce the witness, I cak in this case especially, which is of vital importance, that this affidavit not be read and that the Presecution by asked to produce the witness before the Tribunal.

DR. ACHENBACH: May it please the Tribunal, since the Defense in this case will not dispense with its right to cross-examine the witness in any case, I believe that it will not in anyway delay the trial if the witness is given a direct examination as well, and that will give a more vivid picture if the Proscution and the Defense

28 Aug 47-1:-AJ-6-4-Schwab-von ⊃chon Court **5** Case **6**

examine the witness immediately after one another. This will eliminate the danger of an affidavit giving an impression to the Tribunal.

MR. DH BOIS: The Prosecution has stated before, is more than agreeable that any witness whose affidavit is offered and who is available to be brought here should be brought here for cross-examination, if the Defense counsel so desires. The only question, as I see here, is a question of timing; whether this affidavit should now be affered or whether it should wait, the question of his being brought here. If the Defense couns 1, by referring to the reading of affidavits, is speaking of the reading aloud of these affidavits in open court, certainly, in cases like this, the Prosecution is perfectly willing to dispense with the reading aloud of the affidavit. All we are suggesting now is that this now be accepted in avidence subject to the with as being brought at the appropriate time for cross-examination.

The PRESIDENT: It is time for our morning recess, and the Tribunal will rule on this objection impediately after we reconvene.

(A r cors was taken.)

23 August 47-M-PM-7-8-1-Burns (Astz)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: Does counsel wish to say more on the subject?

DR. ACHENBACH: I should like to avoid a misunderstanding arising from the last explanations which the prosecution has just given and I should like to formulate clearly that I object against having this affidavit submitted and be a part of the record because I am of the opinion that the principle is violated that the best evidence is to be submitted to the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: It has been stated by counsel for the defendent that the witness, the author of the affidavit which has been offered is readily available as a witness. That statement we accept as a feet coming from a recognized counsel in this trial. It has not been denied by the presecution and under the discussioness the objection will be sustained subject, however, to the right of the presecution to subsequently show it if is or develops to be a feet that the witness is not and cannot be undervailable.

DR. BOETTCHER: Mr. President, during the recess we need an apportunity to discuss the suggestion of the high Tribunch not to read the exhibits of the presecution, that is, not even in their assential points. The defendants have pointed out the following misgivings to us; first, the defense so far, because of the last of time between the submission of the document book and its treating in the courtroom, they have had not enough time to discuss the contents of the documents with the defendants. Furthermore, since defense counsel have only received one copy each of the document books, the defendant themselves, ther fore, have not a copy of the documents before them so that they

28 August 47-7-8-2-Burns (Katz) Court 1, Case 6.

had to inform their flefense counsel they don't even know what is going to be discussed and what is to be dealt with in the courtroom. The defense, thorofore, is confronted with those difficulties in the trial and is also confronted with the desire of the Tribunal and so they thought perhaps they could make suggestion to comply with the request of the Tribunal. I should like to make two suggestions; withor as it was the practice in other Tribunals in Nurnberg, to read only the essential excerpts from the exhibits so that the defendants thomselves can hear what is discussed in court, or, if this method is not acceptable to the Tribunal to treat me matter in the following way: that they forego re-ding it, however, that the defense and the prosecution should have time in the afternoon jointly to confor on the documents to have the afternoon available for this purpose, because only in this way can we guarantee that the defendants are properly informed, In conclusion I should like to make the request, a request which I have throady submitted in writing to the prosecution, to give to such defense counsel, a second copy of each document book in German for the defendant.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal does not wish to be understood as having prescribed positive and invariable rule of practice with respect to the randing of these documents. It only occurred to us that in most instances or at least in many instances time could be conserved by a very brief statement of the contents rather than to read a lengthy document in its entirety. As we tried to suggest, perhaps in many instances it would be a conserved vation of time to read the document rather than undertake to summarize it. We are only undertaking to conserve

28 August 47-7-8-5-M-PM- (Int. Katz) Court 1, Case 6.

your time and our own in the orderly trial of this case and we would really suggest to counsel that you confer with the prosecution about that matter of furnishing additional copies for the use of your client and if something along that line can be worked out I am sure each member of the Tribunal will appreciate any efforts that you gentlemen that you make or calculate to speed the trial as rapidly ne it can be done with due regard to the rights of the defendants. That was the purpose of our suggestion and I may say if it's suggested to the Tribunal by counsel for the dronse that any particular document ought to be read because of some particular unusual interest in it. if that isn't calculated to take to much time we certainly will grant the request. It isn't intended in any means to circumscribe the rights of the defendants or to keep the individual in the dock from knowing what's going on but we are just asking your co-operation insofar as possible not to burden the record and to conserve the time of the Tribungl with respect to metters in oral evidence that tailed is already in evidence as an exhibit.

DR. SCHUBERT: Yos. Mr. President, I do believe that in quite a number of cases we shall have to read the essential content of the document. At the present time the defendants do not know prectically mything of these documents.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, We will neet these problems as they arise and the Tribuani will appreciate it if counsel for the defense end the prosecution will confor informally and undertake to work out some method that will conserve as much time as possible. The prosecution may proceed.

DR. SCHUBERT (For the defendant Buergin): May it

28 August 47-M-PM-7-8-4-Burns (Int. Katz) Court 1, Case 6.

please the Tribunal, I should like to permit myself to discuss a fundamental question which as already been discussed in other tricls. The first affidevite hav been submitted here. The question has arisen to avoid the difficulties having to only the witness in question in each case. Therefore, I should like to not for clarification. Does the defense have the right when an affidavist is submitted to demand that the witness in question is brought here for cross examination, the fundamental right independent of the fact whether the witness is available easily or not. If it should be found in the future that the witness in question can be brought agre only with difficulty then there is still plenty of time to docide whether this particular affidavit should be recopted if an opportunity can be afforded to submit an interrogatory to this witness. Therefore, I ask for a decisive statement from the Tribunal or from the presecution that the defense has in every case the right to call a witness if his affidavit is submitted here for the purpose of cross examination.

THE PRESIDENT: Quite manifestly, the facts will differ in meny if not most cases so to the availability of the witness, his location, whether it's going to require delay in the progress of this trial. We have only ruled on the specific objection and the particular case to which the objection was directed, and we'll meet other cases if, we and when they arise. The Tribunal is not disposed to lay down any rule that will be inflexible in such instances because of the variations that may be anticipated with reference to the factual background.

VA. DUBOIS: May I inquire of the Tribunal, there were two
efficients of Paul Otto Schmidt which we actually offered. Just
in the interest of keeping our exhibit numbers orderly, the first
affidevit was an affidevit which had been offered and accepted in
the IMT and which we were furnishing a copy of here and asking
that you take judicial notice of that. The second was an affidevit
which we recently obtained which has been excluded, as I understand,
temporarily.

THE PRESIDENT: As the Tribunal understands the record, there was no objection to your Exhibit #9 which has reference to the efficient found in the document book on page 43 of the English translation. Our ruling only applied to your offered Exhibit 10 which applies to NI 7765.

MR. DUBOIS: The documents which we have just offered were designed to show the nature of the program of the Nazi Party which we charge that Parbon allied itself with. Now, before we submit the documents conderning this allience, we would first like to submit some documents which will give a general picture of the other partner, that is, Farbon.

The first document, which is Document #NI 5196, which appears on page 54 of the document book and which the prosecution offers as Exhibit #11, is an affidavit of the defendant won Schnitzler made

on 18 March 1947. Now, this affidavit, and other affidavits of the defendant von Schritzler which will be offered in evidence, embody cortain statements made by the defendant, before American and Allied invertigators, in 1945. The defendant von Schnitzler was then given an opportunity to reread all such statements a few months ago and to make such qualifications to such statements as he might then desire. So that, each of these affidavits contains a moital of his previous statement and, toward the end, the qualifications, which he desired to make a few months ago, appear. I might also point out that the affidavita of the defendant von Schnitzler, including this one, cover many subjects and will be referred to several times throughout the trial. Although this whole affidavit is now offered in evidence. I will call the special attention of the Tribunal and the defense counsel to those of it which we are particularly interested in at this time. Pages 1 and 2 particularly show - of the affidavit which is on page 54 and 55 of the document book .. the power and force of Farbon.

IR. SIMERS (Defense Council for Defendant von Schnitzler): May
it please the Tribunal, as defense counsel of Mr. von Schnitzler I should
like to object to the submission of this affidevit which contains twenty—
four pages. First of all, I should like to point out a formal matter.

As stated by the prosecutor, in this effidevit from 1947, certain
and numerous affidevits are incorporated from 1945. Such a proceed—
ing is considered inachissible by me. I must in this case know
the complete contents of the affidevits of 1945 and not just parts
therefor.

But now I am dealing with a fundamental question. My objection is founded with the following three aspects:

First, I believe, that according to Angle. Sexon law, the effidavit of a defendant should not be admitted in the course of a trial against him. May I point out, and excuse myself, that, as a German counsel, I do not know the law as well as the presecution

and the Tribunel but, as fer as I understand the Anglo-Sexon trial procedure, and as far as I have become familiar with it during the Murnberg trials, the possibility is given that a defense counsel may call the defendant as witness on his cwn behalf; however, we may forego calling him. If the prosocution brings the affidavit of a defondant, then the defendant, who is really a witness of the defense, becoins a witness of the prosecution. The presecution is quite capable to examine Herr von Schnitzler when he is called into the witness box by me as his defense counsel. I do not believe that the prosecution is ontitled at this time to submit statements by Mr. von Schnitzler without having heard his himself and without having given him on opportunity to make at temente about these former declarations. This question has already been discussed in other trials. May I mention that one of the presiding judges pointed out to the prosecution that this case -had, so far, not arisen and that, according to his opinion, it was not usual to submit such nffidavits.

May it please the Tribunal, I now come to the second point which is decisive, I believe. We are concerned with an affidavit here in which the prosecution or the former interrogators have coused Mr. von Schnitzler to make statements against himself. I believe that this is inadmissable. I refer to the American Constitution, that is, Amendment to the Constitution, Article V. I have given the English text of this article to the English interpreter and I myself shall read it in the German translation. Article V reads:

"No porson shall be held to answer for a capital or otherwise infemous crime unless, on a presentment or indictment of a grand jury, excepting a case arising in the land or naval forces, or in the militia when in actual service, or in time of war, or public danger; nor shall any presente subject, for the same offense, to be twice put in jeopardy of life or limb; nor shall be be compelled,

in any criminal case, to be a witness against himself; nor be deprived of life, liberty or property without due process of law; nor shall private property be taken for public use without just compensation."

The decisive sentence is that nobody shall be compelled to make statements against himself.

In the book, "Federal Criminal Law", by William Atwell, it is stated to this point, on paye 56, under paragraph 7, which bears the title "Witness against Self", I quote:

"That clause of Amendment V which declares that no persons shall be compolled, in any criminal case, to be a witness against himself, is not limited to the defendant. It is a privilege that can be claimed by any vitness. There is nothing nore berbarous than to compel disclosures which will degrade and convict the person so compelled."

We are here concerned with an affidavit or, in reality, a number of affidavits which are intended to have Mr. von Schnitzler testify against binself. I therefore ask the Tribunal to reject this affidavit because of my objection and reference to the American Constitution.

As a third point, I should like to complete my second point and point out the following. I take exception to the form in which these affiliavits are compounded. I know that this is not the time to bring the proof; that this will have to be some later. However, in order to understand my train of thought, I should like to give you a fewshort details. On the 7th of May, 1945, the time at which these affidavits were propounded, Mr. Nixon, the marker of the competent commission, said to Mr. von Schnitzler: "You shall be subject to any third degree measures, except physical terture." It was pointed out to him that he would be purished and that the interrogators had already complained that the interrogations were not sufficiently adequate. The treatment in the penitentiary at Ponteshein wasof a nature to increase the physical and mental prossure on my client and it was such as to violate the sentence of the Constitution of the United States of America, and that we have to speak about such prossure in the sense of the Constitution.

May I insort here that I also make this objection on behalf of the defense counsel for the Defendant Ilgner at the same time? Ilgner has given a joint affidavit with von Schnitzler, as can be seen from page 17 of this affidavit, and this was done on the 15th of August, 1945. Ilgner was also in Pontosheim and the same details are applicable to him which I just mentioned. With Ilgner you have to take additionally into consideration that he was actually beaten. The treatment was so severe that one-commot speak of a free testimony.

I do not wish to give you all the details. For instance, the regrettable arrost of Herr von Schmitzlar's wife, which influenced him mentally to such an extent that he was mentally no longer able to withstand such interrogations.

I should like to point out, furthermore, that in the interrogations here in Nurnberg too it wasnot pointed out to the person concerned that Herr von Schnitzler did not have to testify against himself, but that, on the other hand, it was pointed out to him that from former interrogations he could be held liable for perjury and that he might no longer be protected by the law. It was also pointed out to him that he was compolled to make statusents by reason of the Rule #1 of the American Military Government, paragraph 33. This indication I consider inadmissible and wrong. This rule only talks about the duty to inform of each German, but these not deal with the testimony in trial procedure. Therefore, I am of the opdition that this affiliavit must be rejected by reason of my objection.

This is not the time, and it is useless now, to point out all these spots in the affidavit which show already in the way they are worded how insecure and how mentally Mr. was Schmitzler was under pressure. I do not consider it correct that, in such a great proceedings, such an affidavit should be substitted as the foundation of the trial against which I cannot object at this time as far as the contents are concerned. The prosecution can later interrogate or examine Mr. you Schmitzler, but not introduce an incorrect, incomplete impression about the facts and the statements which Mr. you Schmitzler has under

MN. DUBOIS: May it please the Tribunal, I first would like to make a few comments on the legal aspects raised here, and then have Mr. Sprecher explain to the Tribunal the manner in which the affidavits of the Defendant was Schnitzler were recently taken.

Now, first, I think there is considerable confusion in the minds of the defense counsel on the relationship between the American rule relating to self-discrimination and the question of the admissibility of an affidavit. Quite apart from the question as to whether the American Constitution and the American rules apply here, even assuming that certain American rules were to be applied here in the interest of the objectives for which they were designed, it's clear, I believe, that no statement of this character would be imadmissible in the courts of the United States. Professor Thitmore gives a good summary of this distinction between statements given before a trial and the question of whether or not a witness can be called at the trial to testify against himself.

the application of the American principles, so far as the legal objection to the admission of this affidavit in concerned that you are discussing. In other words, this is not requiring the defendant to give evidence against bimself. He may, throughout this trial and to the adjournment, sit mute in the box if he sees fit and the prosecution cannot call him to the stand, but this is in the nature of an admission which is an entirely different category and I fell, on behalf of the Tribunal, that you would help us most if you would discuss the factual situation here as to whether or not there was any oteraion on this defendant in the taking of this affidavit.

MR. DUBOIS: I would like Mr. Sprecher to explain, in detail, just the manner and mothed of the taking of this affiliavit.

MH. SPRECHER: May it please the Triburni, Document #NI 5191, which appears on pages 107 and following in Document Book #2. I'm sorry. I didn't realize we had a new locument book here. In Document Book #2......

DR. PELCKHANN (Defense Counsel for the Defendant Kmieriem): I object formally to reference to a document from Document Book #2, since the entire defense counsel only received Document Book #2 yesterday in the afternoon and the twenty-four hour rule has not been observed.

THE FRESHDENT: Ferhaus we can expedite matters a bit if the Tribunal may address an observation to counsel for the defendant who first spoke.

We have a very high regard for the integrity of counsel, and, on most matters, we take your word incidentally, but there certain features of practice that here must be observed. There is nothing before this Tribunal at this time challenging the circumstances under which this affidavit was obtained. I may say that, assuming what counsel said about the defendant having been under restraint, there would have to be a formal showing to the Tribunal on that issue, before the Tribunal would feel free to interrupt the proceeding to go into what might be termed a collateral issue. If counsel can say to the Tribunal that he does, in good faith, wish to make a showing to this Tribunal, by a formal pleading, that this affidavit, now offered in evidence, was obtained under durass and coercion to such an extent that it is not the free and voluntary act of the defendant, the Tribunal will accord him an expertantly to make that showing in the protection of the fundamental rights of this defendant. Otherwise, we are clearly of the epinden that, as an advisation, it is admissible, notwith-standing the fact that the defendant could not be called to testify against idenals.

before Dr. Pelekrann made his objection in the middle of a sentence I was making, was a statusent of the Defendant von Schmitzler himself concerning the very circumstances under which the affidavita were taken in the year 1945, and the prosecution would particularly appreciate it, after it has been asked by the Tribural to do screening, to have a little looway to try to comply as an officer of this court, so that we can really comply with your wishes without interruption.

Now, the affidavit which is embedded in MI 5191 does state to the Tribunal some of the circumstances under which the Defendant von Schnitzler was treated in 1965, from his point of view, and I do not see anything in that statement which would indicate that, at that time, there was any duress whatsoever upon the Defendant von Schnitzler. He wasinterrogated by representatives of the Ailies over a long period of time. He claims that, on occasion, for a man of his age a number of things were done which were

not becoming, by American soldiers or by other persons in the jail. We do not wish to make this a forum for testing whether or not the Defendant von Schmitzler, at one time or other, had to scrub floors on his knees and skinned his knees, which is one of his claims, but the general statement as to how he worked at that time and the friendliness that he established between himself and the actual interrogators will appear in this statement, and I, unless you request it, do not intend to go into the details of it. But the main point that I wish to make is that, at the time this affidavit was made -- III 5191 -- which was in March of this year, all these prior statements and affidavits - many of them in the handwriting of the defendant von Schnitzler Muself - which he semetimes volunteered, which he sometimes was asked to make, concerning many topics - these were all laid before the Defendant woo Schniteler again, in my presence, and he read from them as long as he chose and when he was done he made his further comments in an interrogation and, thereafter, from the record of the interrogation, which he also initialed, either the Defendant von Schnitzler or myself from up some statements concerring further qualifications which the Defendant you Schmiteler had, concerring the statements he had made in 1945.

Now, Dr. Sieners dil not honor us with any statements concorning any duress at that time, and I don't think that it is quite fair to say that the affidavit which we are introducing here which is an affidavit for March 1947 which merely incorporates by reference some statements and in the year of 1945, were obtained under duress. I think it is something of an uncalled for reference upon the American authorities as a whole, and we sincerely feel injured in that respect. Now we have really tried here to get before Your Honors the truth in this whole matter in the best way we know how. and we have this corning, I think, had perhaps arou tone half hour out of the total norming during which we could start to get before you cortain facts which hi ht to towards the truth. I have hesitated aysolf to rise before that cortain statements have been cals this corning concerning the prior notice in the INT. I had the very rest henor of toing here also. No references were made to the netual rulings and I cortainly had some dien recomm to with some of the statewents which were male. But I is not think it would be helpful to try to make this a fore for rout debate concerning these conoral principles which allo odly had a cortain fixed and final purpose in the 1. M.T. and Your Honors, I have made myself available to mil these defense counsel on all tipec matters whenever they have asked se my quostions during the jast several months; and I continuo to do so in the very reat home that we would be able to save a lit of dilatory practise so that we can get forward with the evidence, which I trust is the purpose of all of us in this court room.

28 August 47-M-PM-11 & 12-2-Mochan (Int. Kntz) Court VI, Gase VI.

The President: With due regard and due respect to the observation of the counsel for the prosecution, the Tribunal can be by classify as lilatory the statement of reputable counsel that his elect was correct into signing an affidavit of or of in avidence against him. The situation would not be also by the fact that the fillavit might recite that it was freely liven. For instance, if a new should sign an affidavit before Your Henors here, preciting that he freely and voluntarily and it and yet it was shown that he signed it at the point of a gun under threat of death if he did not sign it, the situation would not be aided by the fact that the affiliavit recited that it was freely made.

I think we can bring this natter to focus by asking counsel for the lefeminat, as an officer of this court, if he fouls that there is such a serious question with reference to his client havin been coursed into siming this afridavit, that he would be justified in asking time to present it by written notion, verified by his client and raising an issue of fact as to whether or not the efficient was freely executed.

DR. SIEMERS: Nay it please the Tribunal, the matter
is not quite signed. Mr. Spreacher is unloubtedly right when
he says that one must make a distinction between those
interrogations in 1945 and those in 1947. As far as I am
able to everlock the complex state of affairs in 1945
as I already mentioned doubtful measures have been used.
I emphasize that, as far as I can see, in 1947 in the
interrogations here by the presecution, all this is not
applicable at all, that is to say such loubtful methods were
not used, a fact of which I know quite clearly and which I
did not expect in any different way from the presecution.

28 hugust 47-M-PM-11- & 12-3-Mechan (Int. Katz)

However, I should like to object here to the fact that this is an afficavit which constitutes the confirmation between forcer affidavit and present affidavit and here I do not even overlock the cetails of the contents. It is extremely difficult, for the extent of this subject in Itself is already very large. It is all submitted to be in German and the original is in English. If I believe that also the present procedings of the interrogation do not agree with article 5 about the fundamental rights of by defendant, then I have this on the well know fact that in such nature an extreme mental pressure may exist and that what I am reciting here — which is only a part of the affidavit — refers to 1942 and only to the fact that the defendant has not been told: "You to me have to testify against yourself".

THE PRESIDENT: If counsel will perdon the interruption, the only enter new before the Tribunal is the admission of an attidavit dated 18 March 1947; and unless counsel is in a position to say that in good faith he sishes to mise an issue as to whether or not his client was unler operation and restraint when he operated the affiliavit of 18 March 1947, there is nothing before the Tribunal.

DR. SIEMERS: Mr. President, then I would like to ask you to be kind enough to see that the presocution make available to de the original which is made in English and I be afforded the opportunity to look over the original and those originals from 1945, which I do not know and to discuss them with my client and to ask him about them. These are documents which have not yet been submitted here and then I would be able to inform the Tribunal definitely about my point of view.

THE TRESIDENT: The Tribunal doors that a reasonable

request and the prosecution will be asked for the time being to withdraw the offer of Exhibit 11 to afford for the time being to withdraw the offer of Exhibit 11 to afford counsel for the defense the opportunity to see original and to discuss the matter with his client to determine whether or not he wishes to raise an issued of fact as to whother the affiant was under coercion or restraint on 18 March 1947 when he executed the document.

MR. SPRECHER: Thank you, Dr. Sieners. Your Honor, I only want to talk a little about the procedural matter here, because we have ende the German of these available and quite for at that the critical in this particular case was executed and did not take the special means necessary to see that an English copy was sent to the defense before the twenty-four-hour rule. New, Your Honor, newever, I did want to point out that most of the documents have been before defense in the German language for a long time and the tany time the defense counsel would have wanted to see for an English copy, I should have been vary lai to have liver him one, if this point was consilered particularly important by Dr. Sieners when he received that or if his client was interested, we would have been very glai to live him copies, and I hope he will raise these matters at an earlier time after this.

DR. SIEMERS: Mr. of recher, please forgive no. I should have liked to do that, but according to my experience in the other trials, I could not expect that Mr. Schnitzler's affidavits would be submitted on the first day, but I had expected that in case affidavits would be submitted, this would be done when he was examined as it was lone in other trials. Therefore, I did not believe speedy activities

would be necessary for getting an English cory, which I would have done otherwise and not here before the Tribunal.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal deens the explanation of counsel sufficient. Let us pass on.

MR. DUBOIS: The Prosecution temporary withdraw the offer of NI-5196 and offers as prosecution exhibit 11....

THE PRESIDENT: I beg your partien, but you have no exhibit 10. I don't know, whether you meant to jump.

MR. DUBOIS: I am very sorry, I am very sorry, that
is prosecution exhibit 10, NI-1184, which am cars on page
80 of document book 1. This is an article which was
written by Nonnen ruch, the occordic expert of the
'Voolkischer Beobachter', which was the official Nazi
organ, with a covering letter, sent to the Defendant von
Behnitzlor under the signature of Dr. Passar c. The
letter being dated 8 au ust 1938, written at Berlin NW 7
office. Passage being wheat of the let legartment of the
Berlin NW 7 office. The letter to the Defendant von Schnitzler
points out that the article was produced after Dr. Nomenbruch had visited Lever usen. "after pers and relations
between Konnenbruch and myself had been improved at every
available opportunity."

I will read a few excerpts from this document:

"What the chemical industry is today is evidence from
the fact t at it, above all, has succeeded in securing
mational independence with re-ard to raw materials, an
accomplishment which, proviously had frequently been
considered impossible. One of the piers of the bridge across
this 'impossible' is I.G. Farben. One could judge this
enterprise as one wished and in a manner which formally
was perhaps justified; now this enterprise is a bastian in

28 /August 47-M-PM-11 & 12-6-Mochan (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case VI.

Germany's struggle for independence with regard to raw actorials."

On page 3 of this document:

not been the major combine that it is, would not have been able to leveler its chemical processes. What could we have done during the World war without artificial mitrogen? What would we plo not without synthetic masoline and synthetic rubber? And how restricted would be our hopes if we had not positive knowledge of new synthetic processes which will follow? These mehicoments confirm the necessity of Lajor combines.

The prosecution offers this as exhibit 11.

good time for us to a journ for our lunch. The Tribunal will now rise.

(a recose was taken until 1330 hours).

28 August 47-13-1-A-AEH-Putty (Int. won Schon) (ATD)

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The Tribunal reconvened at 1330 hours)

THE MARSHAL! The Tribunal is again in session,

THE PRESIDENT: The Prosecution may proceed,

Before you start, Mr. Busois, I think I am personally responsible for getting a bit of confusion of the number of your exhibits. You have a document identified as MI-7765 at the bottom of your first page of your index to which an objection was made and sustained. That had a number 10, and I suspect for a future identify ation it should continue to bear 10; otherwise it would be impossible to identify it in the record, and with your permission I would suggest that we change what I led you into error this meridag by denominating that No. 10, and on the next page of the index change the exhibit number that now stands 10 to 11.

MR. DU BOIS: Well; it is purfectly agreeable to me. I am just wondering whether or not it might not be that you would want the numbers according to the documents as they are actually received. That was what I thought you probably originally had in minds

THE PRESIDENT: Well, I think there is this distinction: If an exhibit is withdrawn temperarily, then the number would likewise go, but if the exhibit is offered and an objection sustained to it, it seems to me that it ought to be identified so that in the future it may be located in the record:

MR. DU BOIS: All rights

THE PRESIDENT: The record will so show with reference to the numbers of the exhibits now referred to.

which appears on page 85 of the document book. This is the text of a speech made by the defendant von Schnitzler, on the occasion of the reception of the Spanish Ambassador, and I would like to read from a portion of it.

DR. HOFFMAN: Hoffman for the defendant, won der Heyde,

Mr. President, I have one basic question to ask on this document. The Prosecution does not say against whom they intend to use this document. It might be offered against all defendants, a sinst my client or against others. Many hundreds of documents will be offered in this trial. If the Prosecution does not decide to amplain in detail spainst whom each document is intended, the work of the Defense will be enormous. We experience this in the modical trial where six bundred documents were offered and afterwards it could not be determined against whom these various documents were to be used. In the case against the Generals, the Tribunal ruled that the Prosecution when offering a document should always state against whom the document was directed.

Military Tribunal II when this quantion was brought up said that that wasan excellent idea to specify against whom each individual document was intended, and I ask that the Prosecution be asked to do so in this case as well, that is to say, in respect to each individual document whether this document to intended against all the defendants or against individual defendants and which ones.

MR. DU BOIS: May it please the Court, we are offering

in evidence in this pase many hundreds of decuments and backs as just through we believe that the pattern of all these documents will elserly indicate which defendants are and which defendants are not implicated by some particular document. To attempt to state that at this time with respect to each individual document is sempthing that obviously could not be undertaken except to say that in most — perhaps not all — cases these documents will be used in evidence against all defendants. If obviously on the other hand at the and of the presentation of our case it is clear that contain pocuments does a reply to contain particular defendants, that will be an appropriate the for Defense Counsel to raise the issue.

THE PRESIDENT: Woll, the follost opportunity will be efforded
Counsel for the Defense and Counsel for the Prosecution for that matter
to summarize by way of argument, by brief, the application of these many
documents that may be expected to be introduced. It would probably just
further encumber the record which we are trying to simplify as for as
possible to take the time and impose the abligation upon Counsel for
wither side to go into detail as to which particular defendant the
exhibit applies, and as has been suggested, it may not apply to any one
and thereby is subject to be stricken.

I believe that we would complicate rather than to simplify our procedure by imposing that obligation on the Counsel for the Prosecution in view of the fact that the Fribunal has in mind affording both sides an apportunity at the canclesian of the evidence to show the application of the various exhibits to the respective defendants.

The objection will be everraled.

*5 Lugust- 17-13-6-A-A !-Futty-(von Schoon)-(4J)-

of 2 of this document.

"hat not until . These case was the derman hemistry able to stand the great test of its excellence. It is no examination to say that without the accomplishments affected by German charletry under the cour lear Plan, modern surface could not at all be responsible."

As Exhibit No. 13 the Prosecution (Fors 41-552b a posting on page 15 of the document book in which is on a 750 vit of the defendant, trauch, of 29 April 1557 on nitroyen in order of 1 and synthetic resoling production.

Ct. W. TC .: Lr. Fresident --

The ANSILLER Parson we, Counsel, may be remine you of the secondity of identification of yoursel. for the sake of the record. Other wise the record and the round tree, will not disclose who is addressing an Court.

Da. 30777Ch. Fr Dr. pettener.

hether the affiduvity of the defendant, von Schritzler, ar to be accepted or not, if I understood this discussion correctly, basic objections were ruised at ar afficurity of deren ants are to be accepted at all. Ther fore, quite aside from the contents of this affinite of the content, I take the liberty of making the basic objection which are Signers also made.

afficients of the Defendants. As I understood the ruling of the Tribunal it was that those off devite were admissible as admissions unless it could be shown that they were taken under out; circumstances of duress

as might load to question as to their validity. Accordingly it some to so that any objections which are made to the efficients of those defendents should be solely on that basis, and if the Perense Crunsel has any question on any of those affidavits as to the circumstances under which they were taken, the Prosecution Seels it entitled that the Lefende Counsel make very clear its position in this matter.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal did not undertake to say that affidavito in all cases were edmissible. There might be many objections, but as against the objection that to admit the affidavit would but the defendant in the position of testifying against bimself in violation of fundamental rights, we simply said that admissions are not within the category of fundamental rights to the extent that a defendant may not be called to testify against bimself. Receptizing the fact that a defendant may not be compelled to differ evidence against bimself, it is very generally held that adviscion of his voluntary statements does not violate that principle. That is as far as we intended to go, and I telieve perhaps that that is an antwer to the question that Counted for the defendant has promounded to up at this time.

DR. BCETTC ER: Then from this point of view I have no objection to thin Erauch affidavit.

IR. PU BOIS: as Prosecution Exhibit So. 14, I sifer WI-4043. It uppears on page 98 of the document book which is correspondence between Minuter on the one hant and Foor, the Reich Minister for Armsment and War Production, on the other in which there is a discussion

of the question of undertaking tests of what was referred to as "N...

Product" which was a material for incendiary bombs, and initially the question was as to whether or not the "N. Product" should be tested by the Walfer. SS; and in the letter to Himmler, which appears on page 1, of 26 July 1944 we call special attention to the paragraph on page 29 in which it is stated:

"I do, however, regret that in the course of the Four Year

Plan no competitive firm of the I. G. Farben concern was established,
as with the Hermann Coering Works. This would have been easily

possible at the time when the numerous new plants of the Four Year

Plan were established.

"Nowadays we depend entirly upon the work of I. G. Ferben for chemical progress."

As Exhibit No. 15 we offer BL_4971 which appears on page 101 of the document book which is a letter to the Beich Minister of Economics under date of 3 July 1942 signed by the defendant, Ambros and Heintzeler, and we call special attention to the paragraph starting at the bottom of 101 which relates to the discussion of the question of taking over of certain Bunaprocesses that they came scross, in their words, in Seviet Russia. This statement reads in part:

"During the discussion of experts that followed, the representatives of your Ministry thought they were unable to give their full consent to the exclusive surrender of Seviet Bussian manufacturing methods for our utilization within the territory of the Greater German Reich as desired by us, requesting that westate our reasons in a special memorandum why we considered it best

26 Aug 47-A-FjC-13-7-Putty (Von Schon) Court VI Case VI

that the Reich renounce its claim to utilize these menufacturing methods and experiences within Germany. Our reasons are as follows:

"As you know, I. G. Farben at considerable cost in labor and money has started to develop Buna in such good time and at the risk of private funds has established large plants for the manufacture of Buna to such an extent that the amount of rubber requested for the war by the German Army and German according can be set. In view of the services rendered by I. G. Farben to the Reich, we do not think it fair if the Reich were now to enter into competition with I. G. Farben in Germany over the utilitation of manufacturing methods by using those methods found in Soviet Buns!... "more so since these methods can be made workable for the R ich through the intermediary of those experts only whom I. G. Farben has put at the disposal of the Reich for that purpose."

We come now to Document Book No. 11.

IR. BORTTCHER: Mr. Prosident: Dr. Polekanna this serminy hen the Prosecution referred to a document from Document Book II poir 1 onto that this Document Book II was sent to us only yesterday afternoon after the sension at five thirty. The twenty-four hour time period has not passed which has to be observed, and I believe I am appearing in the name of my colleagues when I say that we have not had an opportunity to look at this document book yet and certainly have not had any opportunity to discuss it with the defendants. I therefore believe that it cannot be discussed today.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, Document Book II was delivered to the Defense Information Canter posterday merning at 11:00 hours. Now it does complly with the eventy-four hour rule, elthough we must admit that we would much profer had the document book as such been there before that time, becasue it would have sade it easier for the Defense and all concerned.

I do want to point out that almost all of the documents in Document

Book II have as individual documents been in the hands of the defendants
for periods varying from one week to more than one month, and I think

that the rule generally runs toward an individual document which has been made available to them rather than this particular order of documents. We are hoping that with the mechanical problems that we have with this many courts funning and with the administrative people attempting to maintain all these documents books for the various courts that we can get the document books to the Defense Center before a bare meeting of the twenty-four hour rule which is all that we can claim in this circumstance.

THE PRESIDENT: Key we inquire, Dr. 2-16 Lor, if it is true that Counsel for the defendants have had the ind. Eal documents for some time and an opportunity to examine them, or are you standing on the proposition that only the book of documents was not delivered to you until yesterday afternoon?

IR. BOETCHER: I common answer this question, Mr. Promident; since I have not yet had any opportunity to compare and to determine which documents are in Document Book II and which of these documents I have had in my possession before. In order to determine this, I have to compare the numbers from the index with the documents which were given to me earlier, and since the session lasted until five thirty preterday and I saw the defendant at six—excess me for describing all this—but at eight or eight—thirty I had to eat my moisly suppor, and at ten elect I discussed important questions with Professor Wahl, it was physically impossible for me to compare this list of document numbers. Please don't consider this as evidence of bad intention, but I believe I am describing the situation which all the defendants and all the Document face. We all have the best of intentions of hastening the proceedings as such as possible, but often it is physically impossible.

MR. DuBOIS: If Your Honors please, there are quite a few documents in this document book which were not delivered before. So fer as the Presecution is concerned, if the Defense feel they are prejudiced

by those fow documents, we would consent to a temporary adjourned to

THE PRESIDENT: Will, the tray not reach the problem that
Counsel for the Defense has stated. I am wondering if they had any
notice of the fact that the documents that are about to be introduced
were in or to be in Decement Book II so that they had timely advice that
this was the occasion for the offering of those exhibits in order to have
their objections in hand.

MR. DuBOIS: No. No. 10; they and note That is right, and, as I may, I am pointing out the additional for there were a few documents in here which they had not even gottom before, and that in view of the eigenmetances, we would consent to an adjournment until temorrow morning.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal regrets very such the necessity of adjourning, but it is impressed with the thought that there is much merit in the position taken by Counsel for the defense, and we are going to adjourn at this time until temerrow morning at mino-thirty. However, may we inquire if steps have been taken to avoid insofar an possible a repetition of this situation?

MR. DaBols: I understands Your Honor; that Document Books In III,
IV and V have been delivered to the Defence Information Center, and
I would like to inquire how whether all Defence Counsel have
received those document books?

IR. ROETTCHER (Counsel for defendant Brauch): Mr. Fresidents I can answe that question; they have received them, but if I may take up your expression, Mr. President, this does not reach the preblem because we need time to work through these four document books. We need time to discuss them with the defendants, and I do not belt we that if we are given three of four document books on one day th t twenty-four hous later we will be able to make any statement on them. And I do not believe, Mr. President if you will p-rmit me to corry out the problem a little further ... that if we a session here in the morning and in the afternoon, and have on from six to eight in the evening I don't believe that we can guarantee being able to discuss even one document book in an evening with the defendants. Mont of these defendants are elderly men-they are about sixty; they are suffering the consequences of imprisonment, I don't bolieve that after a session which 1-sts ril day they will be able to explain the documents to their counsel from six to eight in the evening so that en the next morning we will be able to comment on the documents.

This is imporvised, but I cak my friends among the Dofonge connect whether or not I am right,

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal fools that there is much moral in what counsel has said, with respect to the fact that the defendants might be much handicapped in continuing the session today. But we does it a sufficient answer to the application for any further delay that by the undisputed facts the requirements of the 24-hour rule have been met, if we do adjourn until tomerrow.

I think under the circumstances we shall have to take the position that council observation is well taken so far as further proceedings today are concerned. But we sast not along in this case. The requirements of the rule have been met with reference to the five books tomorrow, and we will now adjourn until mine, thirty tomorrow morning and expect to continue on through the

25 August 1947 4 15 2 School - von chon Court 6, case 5

-day.

Then I must say, while we are on that subject, that Menday is a generally observed heliday with American people, and we do have in mind adjourning over until Tuesday morning, which will give you so bit more time to prepare for the exhibits that are not offered townsorw.

In view of the situation the Tribunal will now rice until ninothirty o'clock tomorrow morning.

(The Tribural adjourned until 29 Aug. 1547, at 0930 hours.)

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal VI in the motter of the United States of America against KARL KRAUCH, et al, defendants, sitting at Murnberg, Germany, on 29 August 1947, 0930, Justice Shake presiding.

THE W.ASH.L: The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal VI.
Military Tribunal VI is now in session. God Savethe United States
of America and this Honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in he court.

THE PRESIDENT: Marshal, are the defendants in the dock?

THE M.RSH.L: May it please Your Honor, all the defendants are present says the defendants Schmitz, Wurster, and Brueggmann who are absent due to illness.

THE PRESIDENT: Is counsel for the defendant Schmitz present?

OR. BOETICHER: I om his counsel, yes sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well. The Presecution may proceed with the presentation of its case.

MR. DUBOIS: Before proceeding with the introduction of the decuments contained in Document Book 2, Prosecution would like to call the attention of the Tribunal to the feet that several of these documents in this book are affidavits of persons who could be brought to Numberg within a few days to testify, if that were deemed necessary. In view of the ruling which was made by the Tribunal yesterday concerning the affidavit of Paul OttoSchmidt, Prosecution would like to point out the following at this time.

In obtaining the evidence to be off-red before this Tribunal,
a number of former employees of T.C. Farrin have submitted many affidevits covering different subsect matters. For instance, Ernst Struss,
who was formerly chief of the office of the tocknical committee and
secretary of the technical committee of the Vorstand, has furnished
the Prosecution with a minimum of wirty applied its on various phases
of Farben's activity, which affidavits are based primarily on the books

and records of Farben. Weskott, chief of the office of the central committee, has furnished probably twenty affidavits on positions held by various defendants as set forth in the personnel records of Farben. Faul Dencker, who was chief manager of the central bookkeeping department since 1931, has also furnished the Presecution with a number of affidavite based on Farben's records.

At least fifteen former employees of Farben have furnished effidavits and certificates based on Farben's records which were within their field of activity, and also, in some cases, based on personal knowledge of matters falling peculiarly within their sphere of activity. These persons, by virtue of their long associations with Farben and with these particular defendants, are naturally, potentially, hostile witnesses. The affidavits submitted by this perticular category of persons cover a great variety of subjects and all phases of the charges contained in this indictment, particularly under Count One.

For the Prosecution to be required to put these affiants on the stand with respect to the contents of each affidavit is, we submit, not only unnecessary to guarantee the defendants protection of their fundamental rights but also, we submit, would unduly prolong this trial.

In our opinion, the interests of the defendants would be amply protected if the efficients were admitted subject to the right of the Defense counsel to call the efficient at an appropriate time — at least effor the submission of all the evidence relating primarily to Count one, or at such other time as the Tribunal may deem appropriate. We believe we understand the reasons underlying the Tribunal's ruling in the case of Paul Otto Schmidt, and along with the reason, as we understand it, we do not propose tooffer the affidevit of Werner Hagert contained in Document Book 2, numbered NI-9052, without calling

him as a witness. He was deputy in the account group chamical industry, and we expect to call him as a witness early next week.

The Prosecution recognizes that it is difficult to draw a hard and fast line. However, we submit that the efficients and certificates of people such as Hagert are one extreme, whereas the affidavits of Farben employees are at the other extreme.

We suggest to the Tribural, therefore, that it announce the general rule that affidevite of non-defendants be admitted subject to the right of Defense counsel to submit written interrogatories or to summon them later on the particular subject matter of the particular affidavit.

Unless, in the opinion of the Tribunal, the afficient is of such a nature that its remission in a perticular case without the presence of the officent in court would projudice a fundamental right of the defendant, we believe that such a ruling would be in line with the provisions of Ordinance VII which specifically refer to the admission of affidavite; and with the provision of that same ordinance relating to the expediting of trials, and the fact that these Tribunals should not be bound by technical rules of evidence. It is a familiar practice, as I unterstand it, before administrative tribunals to apply non-technical rules of evidence, the purpose of which, at least under administrative laws, is to expedite the procedure.

By virtue of the fact that no jury is sitting and because an administrative board is composed of experts, it is felt that matters of evidence will be given their due weight by such experts; and we respectfully suggest that this be a guide to this Tribunal and I believe that an examination of the rulings of the various other military tribunals on this point would indicate that such a position by this tribunal would be in line with a position which has generally been taken by these other tribunals.

DR. BOETTCHER (Counsel for defendant Krauch): Lr. President, may I first of all point out that, according to my opinion, the translation has not been quite understandable to us. We are concerned here with fundamental questions of the forthcoming procedure; how affiliavits by employees of Farben are to be translation. The translation, as far as I understood it, confounded at various times the terms defendants and employees, and so I must state at the present time that I did not understand the sames of what the Procedurer has said.

The PRESIDENT: May the Tribunal inquire of the Presecution if you have in ban' sofficient material to take up the foreneen session today without possing up a this matter, in order that counsel for the Defense may have the benefit of the translation of the record and an apportunity to enswer?

MR. DU BOIS: Well, we will be perfectly satisfied to go forward with whatever documents are in this book.

THE PRISIDENT: If that may be done, in the meanwhile counsel for the Defense will have an opportunity to familiarize themselves with the remarks of the Prosecution, and at some subsequent session we can com-

MR. DU BOIS: Our suggestion is that we discuss this possibly sometime today with the Defense counsel. We did not understand you to mean that we should wait for the record.

THE PRESIDENT: Non no; I somm, wait until they have an opportunity to familiarize themselves with what your observations were,

MR. DU BOIS: I will offer then first, we Exhibit No. 16, MI-5234, which appears on proc 52 of Document Book 2, which is an effidevit by the defendant Bustofisch relating to the control and ownership by Forben of the Dynamit-Mobel explosives concern in Germany.

As Exhibit No. 17 we offer NI_5827 which appears on page five of the document book and which is a contract of 24 September, 1936, between Farbon and DAC.

THE BOXITCHER (Counsel for defendant Krauch): Mr. Prosident, the submission of Bustefisch's affidavit again touches upon the fundamental question of whether affidavits of the defendants can be accepted or not. May I remind you respectfully that I have asked this quest already when Erauch's affidavit we submitted, the Tribunal did not make a decision at the time, and I did not object to the contents of this affidavit. However, this is the state of affairs;

The Prosocution submits these affidavite as incriminating material and therefore the decisive quantion arises: should these affidavits which incriminate the defendant be accepted or not?

Mr. Prosident, last night we have thought about this question in detail and I was delegated to give you the following fundamental

explanation so that they can be incorporated into the record as the legal concept of the Defense. As I have already stated, one must distinguish between those effidavits which incriminate the defendant himself and those which incriminate other defendants. This difference is also drawn by Article 5 of Ordinance No. VII, which, in sub-paragraph be gives authority to the Tribunal to "interrogate any defendant who takes the stand to testify in his own behalf, or who is called to testify reparding enother defendant."

One must be clear in this, however. A statement of one defendant which at the same time incriminates himself as well as other defendants will have to be classified as self-incriminatory evidence. For such self-incrimination American trial procedure contains in amendment No. 5, of the constitution that they can "by those means not be compelled nor shall be compelled in any criminal case to be a witness against himself."

That prohibition, it is evident, has been violated if the defendent's will to testify has been influenced in such a way as procludes a truly voluntary testimony. The more fundamental question, however, is this question: whether, in view of the significance of the trial "voluntariness" should not also be required for the trial itself according to American law.

The ordinance No. 7, which I have quoted, is in the sense of such an interpretation. It entitles the Tribunal to interregate any defendant who takes the stand to testify and it does not grant the right to a defendant to testify who has proviously been ready to make a statement about the subject of incrimination. The right of the defendant to refuse to make any statements during the trial about the subject of the trial is illusory, therefore, if, with the aid of former affidavits, the same evidence could be produced as if the defendant would now be ready to testify in the course of the trial and testify to the subject of the affidavit.

It is the principle of Anglo-Saxon procedure that the defendant may refuse to make any statements about the matter concerned in the trial. It is against this principle if evidence in the form of afficavits and interrogations are used against the objection of the defendants, if it is used as a substitute for a testimony which the defendants have made.

Any other concept would violate the principle of the constitution of the United S ates that pobody shall be compelled to incriminate himself.

The following must be additionally considered. I am grateful to the Tribunal for the decision it has rendered in the case of Ambassador Faul Schmidt. It cannot be reconciled with the rules of evidence that "the host evidence" is to be applied if, in case of a witness which can be produced without any difficulty, his affidavit were to be submitted. And even loss can an affidavit of a defendant present in the courtroom replace his testimeny in the witness box.

If an efficient of a defendant is concerned which incriminates his co-defendants, then this point of view which has been recognized for the case of Schmidt is even more decisive here; for then the defendant is an incriminatory with a spainst the co-defendants. The co-defendants can ask, therefore, just as the constitution assumes, in Article 6, that they be confronted with the witness against themselves. That is to say, it is even less possible in this case to introduce as evidence the material which is submitted in the form of an affidavit.

All those statements are applicable even if the affidavite themselves have originated from the uninfluenced and voluntary will of the
defendants. Whether this prorequisite is necessary or has been meant
in all cases is another question which is not important, however,
in view of the previously stated matter.

every German with the duty that, if the occupying authority desires, to give information; if they refuse such information they are liable to severe punishment. If somebody has given information under the influence of such punishment without knowing that such information may be used in the trial against bimself, one can certainly not speak of a voluntary introduction of witnesses in the trial procedure which he had later conducted against bimself.

MR. DUBOIS: The Prosecution understood the Tribunal had ruled on this question yesterday and that the only issue in this type of situation was the question of whether or not duress had been used.

THE PHISIDENT: The Tribunal regress that it did not make its observations clear yesterday. To state means: It is the view of the Tribunal that proof of the admissions of a defendant, whether made in the form of an affidavit or otherwise, do not violate the rule against self-incrimination which protects a defendant from being obligated to testify against his own interest on the trial.

The objection is overruled.

MR. DUBOIS: The prosecution has just offered NI-5827 as Exhibit 17.

As Exhibit No. 18 prosecution offers NI-5197 appearing on page 67 of

Document Book 2, which is an affidavit of the defendant von Schnitzler

of 37 Merch 1947 and shows generally the importance of I.G. Farben in

its position in the German economic life. I would like to read a part

from this affidavit, page 72:

"All the German industries were mobilized in summer 1939 and in summer 1939 the Wirtschaftsgruppe Chemie issued an order that the plans for war were in action. In June or July 1939 I.G. and all heavy industries as well---

IR. BORTTCHER: Dr. Boettcher. I am extremely sorry and I am distressed to say that we cannot follow the proceedings that way. First of all, the numbers do not seem to be in accordance with each other and then please put yourself into the place of the defendants. One cannot expect them to know the numbers by heart for if my respected colleague of the prosecution only quotes the numbers of these bases of evidence without giving any indication of their contents then the defendants who are concerned here for their lives — they cannot possibly see what's at stake. In addition, we must not lose sight of the principle of a public trial. Therefore—

THE FRESIDENT: It's apparent to the Tribunal there's quite some confusion with reference to the documents in this case. We shall be off the record momentarily until the staff locates these certain documents and then we will proceed. Does counsel for the defendant wish to be heard? Does counsel for the defendant wish to present something?

TR. HOFFMANN: Yes, sir. Mr. Presidint, Dr. Hoffmann, counsel for the defendant von der Heyde. If I understood you correctly, then an affidavit of the defendant von Schnitzler is to be read. In this affidavit general statements are contained also about a position and an agency in which my defendant was active in Berlin. The statements which von Schnitzler has made in this affidavit are false, in my opinion. Yesterday we already discussed von Schnitzler's affidavits and if I understood the Court VI - Case VI 29 Aug 47-W-3-2-EHM-Burns (Kats)

First of all, up to the point when the defense counsel of von Schnitzler can give reasons why the defendant had to give his affidavit under duress, it would be appreciated if the prosecution would not offer this affidavit now, which is in connection with the others. After all, until a decision has been rendered about the admissibility or inadmissibility of the affidavits, if the prosecution should not be ready to forego reading this document, then I should like to ask the Tribunal to make a decision and to forbid reading this effidavit uptil the motion of admissibility or inadmissibility or inadmissibility or

MR. DUBOIS: This affidavit of the defendant von Schnitzler has been offered in svidence by the prosecution. I believe the ruling of the Tribunal as to the nature of the objections to an affidavit has been made clear and if Dr. Siemers has any objection to this affidavit in line with the ruling of the Tribunal he can make it now. Otherwise the prosecution offers this and asks that it be accepted as Exhibit No. 18, and we also request if Dr. Siemers wants to object he should make his position very clear.

DR. SIZMERS: May it please the Tribunal-

THE PRESIDENT: State your name, Doctor, for the record, please,

DR. SINGERS: Dr. Siemers. Nay it please the Tribunal, may I make this suggestion — to read this affidavit in the same manner as yesterday's affidavit was read and so permit me to discuss the two together in the written motion which I shall make to the Tribunal? I only should like to request as I did yesterday for the prosecution to let me have this affidavit also in the English original since a few spots have to be compared and I should like to ask further to give me these affidavits which were the bases for the other affidavit from 1945. I only want to point out one more thing: One page 23 of this affidavit an interrogation is reproduced of the defendant von Schnitzler and of Dr. Ilgner where both gentlemen answered alternatively. I should like to ask that this document which was incorporated into this affidavit should also be submitted to

Court VI - Case VI 29 Aug 47-M-3-3-KHM-Burns (Katz)

me by Mr. Sprecher in its original form so that I shall be able to have those documents which I need to make my written application. I am sure that everybody will cooperate with me in this matter.

MR. DUBOIS: As I see it, the issue here is whether or not Dr.

Siemers is going to contend there was duress used in getting these affidavits. The prosecution would like to inquire now of Dr. Siemers whether
he has discussed this question with the defendant von Schnitzler as yet
and if he hasn't when he expects to discuss it with him and what at
present is his view with respect to that particular question.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps we can save a bit of time. The Tribunal was in the hope and the expectation that we might be advised by this time as to whether or not it would be the position of counsel for the defendant von Schnitzler that the affidavit offered yesterday, and the same now applies to the one presently offered, were or were not obtained by durese. Manifestly, if it is presented to the Tribunal that these are not the free and voluntary affidavite of the defendant, that raises an issue which would, of necessity, have to be inquired into. However, we recognize also that this is an interruption in the course of the presentation of the prosecution's case and I believe that it would be warranted to say to counsel for the defendant von Schnitzler that if there is to be an issue to the effect that the affidavit offered yesterday and the one now offered were obtained under coercion and duress and for that reason are not admissible we shall expect that a formal motion verified to that effect is presented at the next session of the Tribunal which will be next Tuesday morning. In the meantime we suggest to counsel for the prosecution that you pass your offered Exhibit 18 for the time being.

DR. NATH: Dr. Nath for the defendant Dr. Max Ilgner. Mr. President, before the prosecutor reads the affidavit, I should like to point out the following to the Tribunal-

THE PRESIDENT: Pardon me, counsel, but under the ruling of the Tribunal just made the prosecutor will not read the affidavit at this time and that may obviate the necessity of your remarks. We have just

indicated that we are asking the prosecution to pass this affidavit until
the next session of the Tribunal in order to afford the counsel for the
defendant von Schnitzler an opportunity to decide and to file, if he so
desires, his attack upon the affidavit upon the ground of duress and
coercion.

DR. NATH: Mr. President, I make a formal objection and must explain this briefly to the Tribunal. In this affidavit two gentlemen were interrogated—

THE PRESIDENT: Again it is necessary for the Tribunal to say to counsel that the affidavit is not presently before the Tribunal. It's in the possession of the prosecution. It has not yet been offered in evidence. It will not be offered until the next session of the Tribunal at the earliest.

DR. MATE: Thank you very much.

MR. DUBOIS: The Prosecution offers as Exhibit 18 NI-6529.

Appearing on page 119 of the Document Book 2, which is an address by the defendant von Schnitzler.

THE PRISILENT: Bardon me, Er. Prosecutor, you had design ted for the purpose of offering the decument marked NI-5197 as your number 18.

Would it not be more consistent to let it stand with that number until that matter is passed.

MR. DUBOIS: It can. This is the case you asked, if I recall, we withdraw it and is in the other Schnitzler affidavit. We did not give it a number, if I recall.

THE P SHIPE'T: It's correct but this document NI-5197 is held in abeyance and is not withdrawn so perhaps it would be less confusing if you would let it stend at 18.

MR. DUBOIS: As Exhibit No. 19 we offer NI-6529 which is a speech by the defendant von Schnitzler in the chemical industry of Germany appearing in the "Von "erk zu Werk" which was a monthly publication of I.G. Ferben's "orkers Community at Ludwigshafen, issue of August 1932. It appears in the English Hook at page 120 and in the German Hook at page 113. That's a part that I will read from:

"The importance of chemistry is to-day acknowledged all over the world. Synchos of chemical industry are, therefore, found in practically all countries. They more mostly to improve technical production carneity, to promote export and as a foundation for their war economy.

On top of all that chemicalindustry has become, for German political sconomy, an economic factor of positively fundamental importance. It is not confined to perfecting technical possibilities or making the best of existing resources, therefore making life more beautiful and more pleasant, but it creates so to speak the sources of supply for our people, thereby laying the four-ation of its very existence. This development was caused largely by the world war and its disastrous consequences for Germany. Important

through the loss of her colonies and other territories. Germany was cut off from the rew material resources of the world and left to her own home resources. Chemical science thereby became the sole and indispensable means of alleviating the abortage of raw materials. These tasks of the German chemical industry have received a transendous new impulse through the Four Years' Plan of our Fuehrer Adolf Mitter which is known to you. In the insufficiency of natural resources, it is up to chemical science to guarantee to our people their fruedom of economic action. It is its task to procure what nature does not give us in adequate quantity or in useable form. In the way of chemical conversion, thousands of valuable new materials are thus created from raw materials, of which there is an ample supply such as water, air and coal.

In the deficiency of natural resources lies the real reason for the unique development of the chemical industry in Germany, and it is by no means an accident that Germany should have given to the world as her special contribution in the field of chemical technology synthetic arterials so the from these very elaments which are common and of little value. It can indeed be said that it was precisely the prevailing deficiency of raw materials which has again and again spurred the chemical industry of Germany on to new discoveries and technical achievements. Therein lies, despite the narrow confines of our German Lebendraum, an undoubted asset, and it is becoming ever more apparent that certain advantages can be draived from this situation which are beyond the reach of a nation, which because of an abundance of natural resources is not contrained to make the same effort."

MR. DUPOIS: And a little further down on that page:

"It is only natural that the political revolution of Germany in 1933 should also have had some effect on Science. But the view,"

Socialist State underestimate the importance of Science and research, involves a complete misreading of the facts. Exactly the contrary is true."

MR. DUBOIS: As Exhibit No. 2D the prosecution offers NI-6528 which appears on page 123 of the English Document Book and 118 in the German Document Book It's an extract from an orticle by Dr. Pruno Kiesewetter, Professor Political Science entitled: "The New Tasks of German National Economy" and dealing with Germany's work in row mat rials.

As Exhibit No. 21 Prosecution offers NI-7276 which appears on page 125 of the English Decument Book, page 122 of the German Document Rook which is a speech by Adolf Hitler of 1 May 1937 appearing in the magazine of the Four Year Plan which is apprindical of the National Socialist Political Economy, the lesue of May 1937.

on page 126 of the English Document Book, page 123 of the German Document Book which is a speech by the defendant Krauch on the problem of training in science and engineering and which we will read from in.part.

Pirst on page 126 in the English Book and 123 in the German Book.

"The German people is forced to live in much too restricted a space. Exclusion from the possession of the world's sources of raw materials exapple us to produce the materials nucessary for her nutional accurity by chemical means from her own resources — from coal, salts, line and other materials, as well as from air and water. That is the purport of the Your-Year Plan, as described by the Fuehrer in the words: "I present this today as the new Four-Year-Program. In four years, Germany must be completely independent, as far as concerns all those materials from sbroad which it is in any way possible for German skill to produce through our chemical and engineering industries and throughour mining industry itself".

The economic progress schieved by the Matienal Socialist lendership, and rearmagent has absorbed for practical ands all that was available in the field of tachnical and chemical training. In order to junce the future development, let us start by considering how the young man, standing on the threshold of his career, today views his future: The high school senior, who belongs to the Mitleh Youth, the SS or the SA, acquires there, as in school, an earmest sence of responsibility which induces him to consider it his duty to devote his life and his work to the building up and the progress of his fatherland."

29 AUGUST A7-M-.K-5-1-Loon-ru-(Katz)

As Prosecution Exhibit 27, we offer NI-6815 which appears on page 171 of the English document book and 177 of the German document book, which is experpts from the "Frankfurter Zeitung" bearing Goering's speech on the Four Year Plan. It contains an editorial on Goering's speech stressing the importance of synthetic nitroge: and gasoline production.

Now, as Prosecution Exhibit 24, we ask that judicial notice be taken of an excerpt from an official United States Government report, United States Strategic Bombing Survey, Januar, 1947," of which the first paragraph resis:

"Vartime Germany was a chemical empire built on coal, air, and water. dighty-four and a half per cent of her aviation fuel, ab per cent of her motor gasoline, all but a fraction of 1 per cent of her rubb r, loo per cent of the concentrated nitric acid, basic component of all military explosives, and 99 per cent of ner equally important methanol were synthesized from these three fundamental ray materials."

I believe that, so far as Document Fook \$2 is concerned that covers all the documents, with the exception of the von Schmitzler affidavits and the documents which are based on affidavits of Farben suppleyees which we were discussing the first thing this morning.

I ask not that ir. ancham proceed with Document Book #7.
NA. AdCA: If Your Honors please, ir. Ascham appearing for the prosecution.

"Inth respect to Book % I'd like to call attention,
initially, to a mechanical error. On the second page of the
index to the accument book appears an afridavit of von
Schroeder dated 5 December 1945. It is identified as FS

29 August. 47-M-AK-5-2-Leonard-(Katz)

to the description in the index to the document book, however, of the affidavit is correct.

Just one other typographical error. On page " of the table of contents, Dock ent NI 5766 to indicated as being an affidavit of Wilhelm Keppler of 3 ay 1947. The correct identification number should be I 5766.

Now, of Your Honors please, the locuments which we intend to submit in evidence under Book * relate to paragraphs 6 through I of the indictment, and the documents will be offered in support of the charges made in those paragraphs. Generally, the paragraphs referred to indicate what the political situation was in persony at the time when the prosecution charges Farben and these defendants formed an alliance with Hitler. I believe it would also be nelpful to four Honors to refer to that portion of the opening address which leads with this subject matter, and that is page 20 through page *G of the prosecution's opening address relating the facts as to the beginning of the alliance between I.D. Farben and Wither.

As Prosecution Exhibit 25 we offer in evidence

Document PS 2514 which is an excerpt from the German statistical wear book concerning statistics on the results

of the Reichstag elections between 1914 and 1947, showing
the pol tical strength of the Hazi Party during the periods
involved.

If Your Honors, please, we think it would be helpful at this time to direct Your Honors' attention and as: Your Honors to take judicial notice of the opinion of the military Tribunal, the International Filitary Pripunal

29 August 47-M-AK-5- - Leonard- (Katz)
COURT VI CASE VI

and at page 176 of the official record in the printed blue book.

THE PRESIDENT: What volume?

"Seizure of Fover", the International dilitary Tribunal recites the facts which it found in connection with the potical situation existing at that the

DA. ASCHENAUER (Defence Counsel for defendant Gattineau): Ar. President,....

. A. A. CriAN: One moment, please, until I finish.

I might say that the next four documents, which I propose to offer, relate to the subject matter of the conference
between Gattineau and Sustefish and Hitler on behalf of I.G.
Now, we offer now the affidavit of Gattineau, NI 48%, in
evidence.

DR. ASC. Alakant Ir. President, my name is Aschenauer, Defense Counsel for Gattiness.

I deject to the sucmission of the affidavit of the defendant Gattineau. The affidavit was obtained under coercion and the following are the circumstances. In the beginning of March.....

The PausideNT: (Interrupting): Just a moment.

made under coercion, there should be a formal leading of executed by or in behalf of the defendant, filed in the cause, because it would raise an issue of fact which would

29 August 47-M-AK-5-4-Leonard-(Katz)

have to be tried independently of the major purpose of the trial. If counsel is in position to say that he will promptly file such an attack upon this pifered exhibit, we shall ask the prosecution to pass it and fix a time within the attack is to be filed.

Does counsel for the defense now may that such an attack will be made upon this affidavit? That is, that it was obtained under coercion or restraint of such a character to affect its admi. ibility?

made under duress. The defendant Gattineau was threatened and, for this reason, did not make it voluntarily. If the Tribunal wishes that I make a written statement, I shall do that later, but I should like to ask you to defer legision about the admissibility of the affidavit.

THE PRESIDENT: The document will be passed. The prosecution will be asked not to press it. Upon your statement, the Tribunal will give you until the next session to file a statement on behalf of your client raising the issue of fact or operation and duress.

IR. A.CHAN: May we ask, if Your Honors please, that it be marked as Exhibit 20, for identification?

THE PAUSIDENT: That may be done.

MR. AndHAN: I believe it would be helpful to mark, for identification. That is a statement of the defendant Gattineau with respect to Prosecution Exhibit 27, marked for identification.

ution is not a little disturbed by a number of the accusations which are at least implied or inhe ent in what at least two defense counsel have indicated before this

29 August 47-M-AX-5-5-Leonerd-(*atz)

Tribunal. The prosecution would like to face this issue very squarely with each and ever one of these gentlemen who wish to touch upon this issue, and we would like to have it done at the earliest possible moment and, therefore, we would like to request Your denors to instruct these gentlemen that, if there are any further cases where duress is claimed, that such duress be set forth in 'riting in ver clear terms in all cases in connection with all of these defendants and, Your Honors, we would like to have the defense counsel indicate, as much as they can, their view of the matter so that we will not be troubled by facetious remarks of any kind because this is a very serious matter, and the Bovernment of the United States, I don't think, should lightly be placed in a position where there has at least been some interference by some of. these defendants that there has been some wrong doing by rep sentatives of the United States Government, and I, therefore, formally request that, if there are any other such issues here, they be made openly, frankly, in writing, and quickly.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal had indicated that we cannot entertain aral nations made from the floor of the court room during the trail that raise issues of fact touching upon the admissibility of these affidavits. That is the reason that we have said that we will require that, where an issue of that kind is made it be made specifically, directly, in writing, and filed, because that will call for an independent inquiry. If coursel for the defendant or several defendants finds that issues of those kinds will be raised when the affidavits or other decements of which they have knowledge will be afford by the prosecution, it will certainly expedite the trial of the matter in an orderly way if you are prepared, at the time the document is offered by the prosecution, to file your attack or your charge to the effect that there was coercion or restraint or some other circumstances affecting the admissibility of the document.

Now, just one further observation along that line may not be out of place. In one of the documents which was offered yesterday, it appeared. I think, that the document was dated 1947 but there were references to other statement that had been made by this name defendant in 1945. The Tribunal would wish to make it clear that we're only concerned with the question as to whether there was coercion or sestraint or undue influonce or force or threats at the time of the execution of the particular document offered in evidence, and we would not be concerned with whether there may have been senothing f that kind or character previously, even though that incident might have been referred to in the document offered in evidence. Perasps I may illustrate what we have in mind. If an offidavit, dated January 1, 1947, was introduced in evidence, in which references were made to statements made in 1946, the portinent question would be, was the defendant under restraint or coercion at the time he executed the document offered in evidence, dated January, 1947, even though it might be true that he hight have been under a restraint when he made some previous statement. I say that because it may be helpful in

marrowing the issue the Tribunal may be required to pass upon which is simply and directly this, was the defendant under coercion or restraint or threats or intimidation at the time of the execution of the document presently offered in evidence.

MR. AMCHAN: May I inquire, under Your Honor's ruling whether I correctly understand that, in the event defense intends to raise the issue of coercion, that under Your Hon r's ruling, the defense in required to submit a formal writtern Opplication. verified by the defendant himself and not by his counsel?

THE PRESIDENT: I hardly think the Tribunal will go so far. We recognize those counsel, these gentlemen of the profession who represent them. We have.... A couple of defendant are absent here today and we certainly would not, if the defendant was absent for some reason and he didn't verify it and the attack was verified by his counsel, I think the Tribunal would take it, in any case.

MR. ANCHAN: I was murely a point of inquiry, Your Honor.

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 28, NI 8788 which, incidentally, is incorrectly listed as 7788, which is an affidavit of Gattlesau of 12 June 1947, and is set forth on page 9 of the English document book and on page 20 of the German document book. In this affidavit Cattineau states that he and Buetefisch, the defendant Buetefisch, visisted Hitler in 1932 in order to clarify the position of the Nazi Party regarding the German graciliae production.

I offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit #29, in evidence MI 8637 which is a signed interrogation by the defendant Buetefisch on 16 April 1947, relation to his visit with Hitler. This affidevit, or rather, interrogation is det forth in the English document book at page 18 and in the German document book at page 32.

MR. REINTRES (Assistant Defense Counsel for defendant Bustofisch):
May it please Your Honor, I object to the submission of this document.

marrowing the issue the Tribunal may be required to pass upon which is simply and directly this, was the defendant under coercion or restraint or threats or intimidation at the time of the execution of the document presently offered in evidence.

MR. AMCHAN: May I inquire, under Your Honor's ruling whether I correctly understand that, in the event defense intends to raise the issue of coercion, that under Your Hon r's ruling, the defense in required to submit a formal writtern application, verified by the defendant himself and not by his counsel?

THE PRESIDENT: I hardly think the Tribunal will go so far. We recognize those counsel, those gentlemen of the profession who represent them. We have.... a couple of defendant are absent here today and we certainly would not, if the defendant was absent for some reason and he didn't varify it and the attack was verified by his counsel, I think the Tribunal would take it, in any case.

MR. AFCHAN: I was morely a point of inquiry, Your Monor.

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 28, NI 8788 which, incidentally, is incorrectly listed as 7788, which is an affidavit of Gattineau of 12 June 1947, and is set forth on page 9 of the English document book and on page 20 of the German document book. In this affidavit Gattineau states that he and Buctefisch, the defendant Buctefisch, visiated Hitler in 1932 in order to citrify the position of the Nazi Party regarding the German gracline projection.

I offer next, as Proscention Exhibit #29, in evidence NI 8637 which is a signed interrogation by the defendant Buetefisch on 16 April 1947, relation to his visit with Hitler. This affidavit, or rather, interrogation is det forth in the English document book at page 18 and in the German document book at page 32.

MR. REINIGES (Assistant Defense Counsel for defendant Buetefisch):
May it please Your Honor, I object to the submission of this document.

marrowing the issue the Tribunal may be required to pass upon which is simply and directly this, was the defendant under coercion or restraint or threats or intimidation at the time of the execution of the document presently offered in evidence.

MR. AMCHAN: May I inquire, under Your Honor's ruling whether I correctly anderstand that, in the event defense intends to raise the issue of coercion, that under Your Hon r's ruling, the defense in required to submit a formal writtern application, verified by the defendant himself and not by his counsel?

THE FRESIDENT: I hardly think the Tribunal will go so far. We recognize these counsel, those gentlemen of the profession who represent them. We have.... A couple of defendant are absent here today and we certainly would not, if the defendant was absent for some reason and he didn't verify it and the attack was verified by his counsel, I think the Tribunal would take it, in any case.

MR. ANCHAN: I was morely a point of inquiry, Your Honor.

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 28, NI 8788 which, incidentally, is incorrectly listed as 7788, which is an affidavit of Cattineau of 12 June 1947, and is set forth on page 9 of the English document book and on page 20 of the German document book. In this affidavit Gattineau states that he and Buetefisch, the defendant Buetefisch, visisted Hitler in 1932 in order to clarify the position of the Nazi Party regarding the German graciing production.

I offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit #29, in evidence NI 8637 which is a signed interrogation by the defendant Buetefisch on 16 April 1947, relation to his visit with Hitler. This affidevit, or rather, interrogation is det forth in the inglish document book at page 18 and in the German document book at page 32.

MR. REINTGES (Assistant Defense Counsel for defendant Buetefisch): May it please Your Honor, I object to the submission of this document. This is a statement of a defendant. The reasons which Dr. Boettcher gave in his statement to day apply also fundamentally to this document, and for guarding the formal rights of my client I must, therefore object against submission of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Objection is overruled.

DR. REINTGES: In this case I should like to ask the prosecution to submit thise parts from the document which it wants to make a part of its evidence. In the table of contents it is said that the document is submitted in blow of the visit of the defendant Buotefisch and Gattineau with Nitler in 1932, pages 3 to 10. The document is vwry comprehensive, and it is not possible, without reading this document, to see what parts of it refer to this particular part of the evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: We'll regard the index as morely a reference to locate the document. The Tribunal will read the document in its entirety and consider that maich is pertinent to the question and ignore that which is separfluous, if there are any such parts. Your Objection is overruled.

MR. AMCHAN: With respect to

DR. FELCHARM (Defense Counsel for the defendant von Knieriem): I should like to say accepting about the reading of this document since the document are submitted against all defendants. The defense is convinced that the High Tribunal will read the document. That is quite meter of fact. However, the defense and the defendant must know what part, in a very large document, the prosecution wants to use to support their particular count of the indictment. Without such alear indication, the defendant cannot defend bigself against the charge and, therefore, in the name of my defendant, I should like to ask the prosecution to indicate the particular spot, it doesn't have to be read, perhaps only the pages have to be told, and this has nothing to do with the question the court and the defendant and the defense counsel will, of course,

read the entire document.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunch feels that that's hardly a proper request. This document, the English translation is some sixteen pages of the record. Counsel for any defendant, with respect to which the prosecution claims this document is competent evidence, will have an ample opportunity afforded at the end of this trail to met any issues of fact contained in the document. The prosecution may use its pleasure as to whether it reads the document, or points but any parts of it, or morely allows the document to go into the record.

MR. ANCHAN: We propose, if Your Honors please, in the interest of expediting the trail, to read such portions of the document which, in our judgment, we feel will be helpful to readily understand the nature of the document. Beyond that, we feel it would be an imposition upon the prosecution to go.

Now, with respect to Prosecution Exhbiti 29, being the interregation of the defendant Busteisch. On page 31 of the English document book appears this question:

".. What did Hitler promise at the tire?"

This, incidentally, is on page 46 of the German document book.

"A. He said only: "I shall see to it that such articles ... ! "

And they were referring to articles in the newspapers ciriticizing the synthetic fuel progres of I.G. Ferben, and Buetefushh answer in this interrogation about his conference with Hitler and be quotes Hitler as saying:

"I shall see to it that such articles do not appear in my press any mare."

The presecution next offers, in evidence, as its Exhibit 30, NI 6767, which is an interrogation of the defendant Krauch signed 16 April 1947, with respect to the visit of Gattineau and Buctefisch in 1932 to Hitler. It appear in the English document book at page 35 and in

the German document book at page 501 At page 42 of the English docunect book, which relates to the prosecution's exhibit 30, in evidence, a pears the following.:

- ".. Then in November 1932, Gattungu and Buetefisch went to Munich to see Hitler. Is that not so? What do you know about it?"
- "A. The winning over of the press was at stake. Strong attacks against synthetic gasoline were made in the press, which probably in some way was guided by the automobile industry, and now utilized all kinds of newspaper, including the Frankfurter Zaitung.
- "Q. Was that such a concentrated attack? I cannot imagine the Frankfurter Zeitung echoing the others.
- "A. Still, that was the case. Of course, they also contributed corresponding editorials.
 - ". But what has all this to do with Hitler?
- "A. The National Socialist press rose at that time in the same manner, and Hitler had very strongly supported the auto industry, so that
 acctacks resulted from there too, and attention was being drawn to chuap fuels. Gattians and Buetefisch discussed that with Hitler agreed
 and then gave directions accordingly to the press."

With Exhibit 30, if Your Honor please, we have offered the documents in support of the fact, as charged in the indictment, that the illiance with Hitler started, smang ather things. With this conference in 1932 with Hitler, for attention to be given to I.G. Farben with respect to further continuance of its synthetic gasoline program.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will, at this * re, rise for its morning rocess.

(A recess was taken.;

THE MARSHAL! The Tribunal is again in session,

DR. BORTICHER: We have acknowledged the fact that this high Tribunal with regard to the objection raised by the defendant to the submission of the affidavits of the Prosecution has turned this motion down. The attorney Dr. Reintges has repeated a formal objection against the expression of the affidavit of the defendant Buetefisch. In order to eliminate such formal objection in connection with the submission of every affidavit the defense would like to take the liberty of putting the question to the Tribunal whether this high Tribunal would be agreeable if once and for all it would accepted that the defense will object to the submission of every affidavit originating from a defendant, so that proceedings should not be disturbed by formal objections.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal is a bit in doubt as to what counsel refers to. Does coursel have reference to the objection based on the ground of the witness being required to give evidence against himself or does counsel have reference to the question of whether or not the affiliavits were obtained under duress.

DR. BOXITCHER: No. Mr. Fresident, they refer to such affidavits as lave been made by defendants though not under duress, and the question is whether the semewhat general objection which I have just raised, that is, against the submission of affidavits, could be regarded as a general overall objection and in that form be accepted.

THE PRESIDENT: It may be so considered.

DR. FELCKMANN: (Attorney for von Knierlen): Mr. President, in order to clear this up -

THE PRESIDENT: State your name for the record, please.

DR. FELCKMANN: Felckmann for the defendant von Knieriem. In order to make it completely clear, the defense desires to make an objection against the submission of affidavits made by defendants in every case and not only on behalf of the defendant who has actually made the affidavit, ment raised up to new regarding the over-ruling decision of this high Tribunal only indicates that this high Tribunal considers the submission of an affidavit permissible with regard to that defendant who has actually executed the affidavit. Now, I should like to state on behilf of a defendant who has not so far submitted an affidavit here, with emphasis, that I would like to take into consideration all the defendants, in making an objection, and my defendant who has not actually executed the affidavits we are snow dealing with.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be glad to treat the objections that have been made to the introduction of affidavits based upon the concept that thereby the authors of the affidavits who are defendants are required to give evidence against themselves as applying to each and every defendant and every instance in which such an affidavit is offered to the Tribunal.

MR. AMCHAN: Before the recess, if your Memors please, we concluded with the subject matter on the conference in 1932 between Gattineau and Buetefisch representing I. O. Farben and Hitler with respect to the synthetic gasoline program. Your Memors will recall that we have charged in the indictment, that so that time, there was an internal conflict within I. G. Farben as to whether the cost and expense for developing the synthetic gasoline program warranted further continuance of that particular line of production. We offer now as Prosecution Exhibit 31, NI 6765, being the statement of the defendant Jachne, dated 2 March 1947, it should be 1931 - Jachne's statement indicates that after 1931 the losses from the financial point of view with respect to the synthetic gasoline program was very substantial. That statement appears in the English document book at page 47, and then the German Document Book on page 67. It is a rather short statement and with your Honors permission I propose to read it:

"Subject; Leura gasolins. In the year of 1931 -----"

Counsel for defense has requested that we furnish them with the original.

DR. ASCHENAUER (Attorney for defendant Gattineau): I object on behalf of the defendant Gattineau and I wish to object against the presentation of this statement. It is not a sworn statement.

THE PRESIDENT: The object is over-ruled.

MR. AMCHAN: Reading from Document NI-6765, Prosecution Exhibit 31 in evidences

29 August-M-FL-9-1-Meehan (Int. Frank) Court No. VI, Case VI

"In the year of 1931, an assignment was given to me by the Administration Committee to prepare in conjunction with Dr. Moeg, of wolfer Farben, and de Gaus of Ludwigshefen, an expert's opinion on the situation as a whole of the production of gasoline. The finding was that at that time a minimum price of 23 Pfennigs per liter had been reached, which even further improvements could not help to reduce considerably. Up to then, approximately 400 million Reichsmarks had been spent for experiments and development of Oppau and Leuna."

Incidentally be is referring to the two big plants of I.G. Farbon where the synthetic canoline was produced.

"One therefore was of the opinion that such high expenditures which had to be ould for out of the profits from other products could not longer be justified.

"In my spionen one could not continue to mork without special subventions." I take it to mean subsidiaries. "On principle, I was, as a matter of fact, a sinst any kind of subsidiaries by the State because this would lead of necessity to influence by the state, One should rather close down the plant. I heard from others that though the production of pasoline was unprofitable, by-products were obtained on the basis of which a new chemistry could be built up, offering great prospects for the future."

Signed in the presence of an interrogator of the Office of Chief of Counsel by the delement Jachne.

The next four documents, which we propose to offer, if Your Honor please, relates to some political background which really is a matter in which Your Honors will take judicial notice. We are putting these matters formally in evidence to facilitate the matter.

DR. PELCKMANN: (Counsel for the defendant von Knieriem). It is only in regard to the previous document on which I wish to make a statement. Other Tribunals when they decided regarding the admissibility

29 August-M-FL-9-2-Machan (Int. Frank) Court No. VL, Case VI

of documents have indicated just as what the High Tribunal accepted
the document. That is, to say whether it was accepted as an affidavit
or as a document or what type of evidence it was considered to be. Then
if an objection was overruled, reasons were given and I fell the
defence would be greatly aided if this High Tribunal would adopt the
same procedure in this court room.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribural will be glad to do that. This is to be a statement of a decendant made in the considering of as affidavit of a witness or a deposition. It is the view of the Tribural that a statement of the defendant, if pertinent to the issues under inquiry, is admissable regardless of its being sworn to or verified. It might be a tetter, it might be a secondadua, it might be a more acrap of paper, even unsigned, if shows to be executed by the defendant and being pertinent, would be as issable. Of course, that rule would not apply to a method of bringing evidence of a witness other than a defendant.

Is that clear to comsel?

DR. PELCK MARIE YOU.

Exhibit 32, PS-3901, a document which was in evidence before the International Military Triancl. The document is a memorandum address to Hindenburg in Nevember of 1832, acking that Hitler be appointed Chancellor. It appears on page 48 of the document book and on page 68 of the German document book. The second paragraph of that document we believe would interest this Tributal. This putition is dated in November of 1932:

"The outcome of the Edichstan cleations of movember 6 of this
year has demonstrated that the former cabinet, whose since reintentions
no one among the German people doubted, did not find adequate support
within the German people for the pursuit of its course; it also demonstrated

29 August-M-FL-9-3-Mochen (Int. Frank) Court No. VI, Case VI

that the goal at which your Excellency is mining has the support of a full majority of the German people if we -- as we should -- exclude the Communist party whose attitude is negative to the State. Not only the Black-Thite-Red party and its related smaller groups, but the National Socialist German Workers Party as well are fundamentally opposed to the former parliamentary party regime; thereby they have agreed to the aim of your Excellency, he consider this result extremely gratifying and cannot imagine that the realization of the goal should now founder at the maintenance of ineffective methods."

We believe it would be helpful to point out to Your Honors that
this document is the concept of anti-parliament or democratic government, which was the basis of this potition. It also appears in a document
which we shall offer inter containing the speech of Hitler on 20 Fobruary 1939 at a necessary where the defendant Schnitzler was present.
This same concept of taking control of the government, although a
minority party with the floctrination of force and coercion to seize
control of the government is reflected in the focusent which we shall
offer later on!

We offer now in evidence, as Prosecution axhibit No. 33, NI-6522 being an excerpt from a Mary of Globbels. The excerpt quoting that portion, which is set forth in our indictment.....

DR. SIEERS: Your Money, I would like to add something with regard to the previous decount 3901-PS, which has been submitted as a memorandum. May I ask the presenting to present me with the original?

(The document is handed to counsel.)

Thank you so much. May I draw your attention to the following in connection with this document. I believe in its present form the document cannot be submitted. In the copies, which are in the German document book, the last words are followed by the words, "We great your Excellency with the most profound respect." (Signed) and there follows

29 August-M-FL-9-4-Mochan (Int. Frenk) Court No. VI, Caso VI

a long column of names, Helfferich, Krogmann, Schacht, etc. This would give reason to the impression that this is a document which those persons in question and signed. In fact, however, this letter, according to the photostat copies which I have before me, is not signed at all. It is merely a piece of paper which is connected with the photostatic copies, but which contains no further clue with regard to the dicument, where thuse nowed are found. That piece of paper has on it these names, but obviously not signed or written by the personalities listed in the document book. They have been apparently, as far as I as a Layman can judge, been alfimed in handwriting by one and the same person, at any rate it seems to me to be the same handwriting. Then there is another piece of paper, which as is shown by the copies in the document book, headed by the words, "copy from a more note" and again we only find those neses. It could be therefore that this is a note made by some man who might have approached those gentlemen, but it is not a signature. It is not a signature which we find under the letter which has just been read. That some to me to be the decisive point quite apart from the further fact that among the names there are two dozen names, I cannot find one of the names of the gentlemen of the I.G. Forben. Anyway, my client, won Schmitzlor, is not among them. If I am properly informed, in any case, none of the men from the I.G. who have been charged here are included. For that reason I object to the document is not signed and does not point out a real fact.

MR. AMCHAN: If Your Honors planso, I indicated at the time I offered the document in evidence that it was for the purpose of having the court take judicial notice of a finding of facts made by the International Military Tribunal. On page 177 of Volume I in the opinion of the International ational Tribunal that findings of fact appears in the following language:

"In November, 1932 a potition signed by loading industrialists and

29 August-15-FL-9-5-Mochan (Int. Frank) Court No. VI, Case VI

financiers was presented to President Hindenburg, calling upon him to entrust the chancellorship to Hitler, and in the conclusion and signatures to the petition Schecht took a presiment part."

I might say in addition to the fact that we offer this as asking your Honors to take judicial notice of the matter, ordinance 7 specially states that in the absence of substantial new evidence to the contrary the findings of fact made by the International Military Tribunal are to be accepted by this Tribunal. It is for that purpose that we believe the document is addisable.

DR. PEICK MANN: Your Honors, I do not believe that the prosecution is asserting that this document was actually accepted by the International Military Tribunal. Other defence counsel and myself from the first trial cannot at any rate recollect it any more nor do I know it as I have to study the official version of the findings, which we as defense counsel have not yet received and in order to shock whother these findings quote this document, which we are now talking about, which contrary to the assertions of the prosecution does not agreer to be the case to me. Whother the prosecution is using the findings of the International Wilitary Tribunal with respect to this point or not, that is a legal question, but I believe that the document cannot be introduced since the judgment and the files of the International Military Tribunal trial do not indicate that this document was and is, in fact, the basis of that judgment and considering that situation, I believe the defense has the opportunity now to state the Coupts which it has regarding the authenticity of this documents and these doubts should lead to it that the document is refused.

THE PRESIDENT: In the view of the Tribunel, the observation for counsel for the defendants might be suggested by simply saying that this document has no probative value as for as these defendants are concerned. If that is true then the objection which is made would only to the weight rather than its admissability. The Tribunel would be refuetant at this time to pass on whether or not it is certain, or whether it only becomes pertinent to subsequent avidance, which may be introluced by the presecution, or by chance by the defense.

if at the conclusion of the evidence it appears that no probative value is attached to the offered document, it will have no weight with this Tribunal.

The objection on the present state of the record is over-ruled.

Schnitzler.) Mr. President, I have corely me request which I would like to make to the prescution, namely, that they be kind an ugh to produce a corrected copy of this document for the book so that the locument at may rate, which this High Tribunal and which the defense and the defense counsel will receive should tally with the phot static copy which is submitted to the Secretary General. That is to say the copies ought to show that the letter is not signed, that it joes not bear the signatures that it would appear to bear according to the copies which I have before me. It should continue to state that the news are on a completely separate sheet of paper and not as it appears

on the focument to follow after the final statement of the letter. This undoubtedly creates a somewhat incorrect impression. Pemaps the prosecution would be so very kind as to correct this point and in correspondence with the fact cross the word "signed" which now appears.

MR. AMCHAN: I believe those matters of letail can readily be agreed to in conference between counsel for the prosecution and lafence and since they do receive the documents in advance, have received then before and have ample time to examine them, we would suggest they advise us in advance if there is any particular document to which they have objections as to form.

THE PRESIDENT: In any event the observations of counsel for the defense are in the record and the domaint will be considered by the Tribunal in the light of what the record liseless with respect to it.

MR. ANCHAN: I believe the prosecution has already offered in avidence as its exhibit No. 33, NI-6522, an excerpt from Grabbel's diery as of 8 December 1932, it is submitted in proof of the allegation contained in paragraph 8 of the Indictment, whereby Grabbels on that date stated in his diery as follows:

"Savere depression pravails in the organization.
The financial difficulties make purposeful work
impossible."

Still on the point of political background we offer in evidence as prosecution exhibit 44, NI-7990, being an affidavit of von Schroeder 27 July 1947, relating to the political situation in Germany before

Hitler's soizure of power. It appears in the dreudent book on page 55. I think the subject matter of that affidavit and the event it relates to is sufficiently set forth in the judgment of the Internation Military Tribunal and reading it at this point would serve no successful purpose.

We offer as presecution exhibit 35 in evidence
PS 3337, that Your Honors will recall is the document
I referred to carlier this arming, being an efficient
of was Schroeler of 5 December 1945 on the same
subject matter and with respect to that document, I
will make the same observations.

DR. REINTGES: (Counsel for the Josephant
Bugtafisch.) I object to the introduction of this
document since it has not been presented to us within
the 24 hour time limit. The Josephant Buetefisch is
quoted in the Josephant and I have not yet had an
opportunity to discuss the Josephant with the Josephant.

MR. ANCHAN: I might make this observation, if
the Court planse. The subject matter of this document,
the second affiliavit of von Schroeder, is precisely
the same as the provious affidavit in evidence,
prosecution exhibit 34. There can be no possible
surprise on the part of the defendants and if they do
claim surprise of a nature, which they claim to be
projudiced to their case, I suggest it remain in
evidence subject to any motion to strike.

THE PRESIDENT: Does counsel stand upon the fact that the requirement of the rules have not been not with reference to the delivery of the document

to him ?

DR. REINTGES: Your Honors, the reason why I shall have to insist on my objection is because I did not have an opportunity to ascertain to what extent the contents of the previous document and this document tally. Examining it superficially, I discover its beginning is similar, but then alterations follow, but I have not had an expertunity to examine the document in its entirety, but I am agreeable that the matter be held in abeyance until I can make a final statement on it temorrow.

THE PRESIDENT: Very woll, the notion for the time being will be sustained until such time as the rules can be corpliced with.

MR. AMCHAN: May I ask that this document be marked exhibit 35 for identification?

We are about to present, if Your Honors places, a series of documents in support of the charge that on 20 February 1933 at a conference in Goering's house where locating industrialists were present, including representatives of L.C. Ferben, Hitler in a confidential address to the gethering, to this select gethering, called upon them for financial support for the critical election of March 1933.

The first document, which we offer as prosecution exhibit 36 in evidence is document 20-439, which was in evidence before the International Military Tribunal as U.S... exhibit 618. That document is an affidavit of the defendant von Schnitzler executed on 16 November 1945 in which he relates what transpired at that

29 August-M-II-10-5-Machan (Int.Frank) Court VI Case VI

macting of 20February 1933, at which meeting he was present. That document appears in the English document book on page 62 and in the German document book at page 87.

29 August 47-M_PM-11-1-Schwab (Int. Rammler)

I shall very briefly read from this document; second paragraph;

Vorstand of I.G. Farben" — that is, their managing Board of Directors — "including Dr. Bosch, the head of the Vorstand, and myself were asked by the office of the president of the Reichstag" — that is, Goering — "to attend a meeting at his house, the purpose of which was not given. I do not remember the two other colleagues of mine who were also invited. I believe the invitation reached me during one of my business trips in Berlin. I went to the meeting which was attended by about twenty persons who, I believe, were mostly leading industrialists from the fuhr."

"Among those present, I remember:
"Dr. Schacht, who at that time was not yet head of the Reichsbank again and not yet Minister of Economics."

prosident of the Reichsverband der Deutschen Industrie" --which I understand to be the chamber of commerce or an
association of German industrialists -- "which later on
was changed into the semi-official organization "Reichsgruppe Industrie"."

"Dr. Albert Vogler, the leading man of the Vereinigte Stahlwerke" - the leading stool works.

"Von Lowenfeld, from an industrial work in Essen."

"Dr. Stein, head of the Gowerkschaft Auguste

Victoria, a mine which belonged to the I.G. Dr. Stein

was an active member of the Deutsche Volkspartei."

"I remember that Dr. Schacht acted as a kind of host."

"While I had expected the ar earance of Gooring, Hitler entered the room, shook hands with everybody, and took a scat at the top of the table. In a long speech, he talked

protented that he had just one a decisive victory.

"He thon talked about the ("Bundais") alliance into which his party and the Doutsch-Nationale Volkspartel had ontered. This latter party, in the meantime, had been roorganizaed by Horr von Faren. At the end he came to the point which seemed to me the purpose of the mooting. Hitler stressed the importance that the two aforementioned parties should cain the majority in the coming Reichstag election. Krupp von Bohlen thanked Hitler for his speech. After Hitler had left the roun, Dr. Schacht, proposed to the meeting the mising of an election fund of, as far as I remember, 3,000,000 Reichsmarks. The fund should be distri uted botween the two "allies" according to their relative stren th at the time bein . Dr. Stein suggested that the Deutsche Volks artel should be included which suggestion when I rightly remembered was accepted. The emounts which the individual firms had to contribute were not disquesed."

the natter the next lay or the overnext to Dr. Bosch in
Frankfurt who, together with Geheiment Schmitz" — one
of our defendants — "had reserved exclusively for
themselves the handling of distribution of money to
political parties, the press, ote., and had made a special
point of secrecy in this respect."

"Dr. Bosch dil, as far as I remember, not make any remark to my report, but shrugged his shoulders."

"I never heard a ain of the whole matter but I bolieve that either the bureau of Goering or Schacht or the Rolchsverband der Deutschen Industrie had asked the office of Bosch or Schnitz for payment of I.G's share in the election fund. As I did not take the matter up a min, I, not even at that time, knew whether and which amount had been paid by the I.G. According to the volume of the I.G. I should estimate I.G.'s share being something like log of the election fund, but as far as I know there is no evidence that I.G. Farben participated in the payments."

That is von Schmitzler's reporting to Bosch and the defendant Schmitz on what occurred at that meeting on twenty February.

We next offer in evidence, as Prosecution's Exhibit 37, Document D-203, which incument also was in evidence before the Intermational Military Tribunal as U.S.A. Exhibit 767. This document is a report of the address which Mitter delivered at that meetin, that you Schnitzler attended. It appears in the document book on page 64 — that is, the English document book; and in the German document book at page 89.

Now, if Your Honors please, in the indictment and in the opening address of the prosecution we have laid some stress on the nature of the speech of Hitler. He particularly pointed out, in our opinion, exactly the program that he proposed to undertake. We mention that and we offer this document to demonstrate that when the defendants through instrumentality I.G. Farben and this substantial contribution to place his into power they know exactly what were supporting because Hitler, at this select meeting, plainly and listinctly told them what this program was. I do not believe it would serve any useful jurpose to read

excerpts of this at this point, in view of the fact that General Taylor, in his opening address, has at some length gone into this document and quoted the appropriate excerpts. It is sufficient to pint out that this speech was as near as any speech ever cases to a call to join in a treasonable and activity and to take control of the State, although, in minority, by force, and to seize such control illegally and contrary to the legal government then in power.

THE PRESIDENT: Might the Tribunal suggest to counsel that quite a bit of what is being said is quite argumentative. It might be most appropriate after this evidence is in as to just what it does establish. We won't lay down any hersh rule, but a little more succinct statements of the contents, I think, of the locuments would suffice, I think, for our present purposes.

MR. AMCHAN: We next offer in evidence, if Your Henors
please, as Presecution's Exhibit No. 39, being Document
D-204 -

DR. SIEMERS (Counsel for lefendant von Schnitzler):
Gentlemen of the Tribunal, with reference to the last
document, I should like to make the following statement.
Actually, I do not object to Hitler's speeches being submitted here in the courtroom; but as to the form in which that document is submitted to us I have some misgivings.
No date is recorded on the locument, and it cannot be recognized wherefrom this document originates. We cannot see who was present durin that meeting.

These are the reasons why I have to object against the submission of this incument formally, and I have to ask the Prosecution, quite independently of the argumentation

29 August 47-M-PM-11-5-Schwab (Int.Rammler)

to which I will not refer, to demonstrate the origin of that document.

MR. AMCHAN: The document is a captured document from the files of Krupp von Schlen and as a captured document it was offered in evidence before the I.M.T. and then under Ordinance VII we respectfully suggest that it is admissible as such.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has no information as to what the German copy may indicate, but the caption of the English copy indicates that it is an address by Hitler to German industrialists, under date of 20 February, 1933.

Is that right?

DR. PELCKNANN (Counsel for lefendant won Knieriem):

Mr. Fresident, this accument hose not show in the least what

it is to represent and from where it originates. Only

the inicx, which is in the English language, shows that it

is a report about a speech of Hitler before German

incustrialists, and it says thereto that a speech of

Gooring is contained therein of which excerpts are nontioned

in the indictment. Other than that, there is no designation of

that document. Forhals the Prosecution could present to

the Defense a photostatic copy of the original of this
captured document.

MR. AMCHAN: Counsel is correct in that there does not appear on the face of the photostat of the original any indication as to its source. What appears in Your Honors' document book on page 64 is a translator's note as to its origin. Our information is as I indicated: this came to us from the documents which were introduced before the I.M.T. and their information is that it is a captured document coming from the private correspondence of Krupp von Bohlen.

29 August 47-M-PM-11-6-Schwab (Int.Rammler) Court VI, Case VI.

Now, if Your Honors feel that there should be any additional affidavits to connect this document up as to its source, then we would respectfully suggest that the document stands in evidence subject to that connection, although in our of inion the captured document version in fact is sufficient unfor the rules to warrant its admission.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal would hardly feel justified in refusing to admit the document on the showing made, but it might be entitled to more weight if something of its history and source was disclosed, and we offer that as a suggestion to the Presecution, if you can supply it. It might result in the document receiving more consideration than it would on the very men or showing that is now before the Tribunal.

MR. AMCHAN: We will enleavor to fernish the Tribunal with the additional information.

DR. SIEMERS: Your Honors, may I make a general request to the Prosecution in that connection? It is difficult for us if documents are presented here originating from the I.M.T. or any other trial because these documents which at that time were submitted to the Secretary General are not at our disposal, and for that remain we cannot overlook in every individual case whether these documents at that time were actually accepted or refused. I believe that since we have no means of checking that situation, since we don't have the material at our disposal, the matter would be considerably alleviated if the Prosecution was kind enough to add in such cases a certification accompanying the document, telling exactly how this document was submitted in that former trial. This would mean that that locument would be

29 August 47-M-PM-11-7-Schwab (Int. Rammler). Court VI, Case VI.

14

sufficiently identified, but in a case like this document we cannot learn anything from it without any accompanying certification. As far as I remember, the trial before I.M.T.. The Tribunal at that time did not receive one single document in that form but always insisted on an accompanying notation which sometimes extended to a number of pages. But that tribunal always wanted some sort of identification.

This document is a photostatic copy which actually bears no signature, no date, and it shows nothing at all about its origin. Therefore, we don't know which it is a speech, a report; we don't know who exactly was present and who knows about it.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal has already said that we are going to overrule this objection upon the throny that it may have some probative value of that it may be otherwise connected with the case in later developments. The Tribunal would be reluctant to impose upon the counsel for the Prosecution or the brendants any particular ritual with reference to the certification of these documents. We feel that you gentlemen in most instances ought to be able to reach a common understanding with reference to such matters without consuming the time of our formal sessions, and we are quite hopoful that we will not have too many situations of this kind. We will just let the future take care of itself in that, and deal with them if and when the objections arise.

The objection, in the present instance, is overruled.

MR. AMCHAN: We offer in evidence, if Your Honors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 39, NL-406 --

THE PRESIDENT: This would appear to be an appropriate

29 August 47-M-PM-11-8-Schwab (Int. Rammler)

time for our adjournment, and when we do adjourn it will be until nine-thirty next Tuesday morning. For the information of Defense counsel, we may say that we are informed that you will have the privilege of interviewing your clients on Monday, no twithstanding it is a holiday, during the forenoon. We will reconvene Tuesday norning at our usual time.

The Tribunal would once more like to suggest to counsel for the defendants that you undertake to have prepared by that time, if at all possible, the formal objections that you will wish to urge upon the consideration of the Tribuuch with reference to the inadmissibility of any affidavits that have been offered, or that you may anticipate may be offered by the Prosecution in instances where it is claimed there has been duress, force, or coorcion to such an extent as to affect their inadmissibility before the Tribunal.

The Tribunal will not rise until Tuesday morning at nine-thirty.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal will be in recess until 0930 o'clock Tuesday morning.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 2 September 1947, at 0930 hours).

V 605

11

150 L

Occided Transcript of the American Military Tribunal 6 in the Matter of the United States of America against Karl Krouch, etl at, defendants, sitting at Muraberg, Germany, on 2 September 1947, 0930, Justice Shake, presiding.

THE I RSHLL: The Henorable, the Judges of Hilitary
Tribunal IV. Military Tribunal IV is now in session. God
save the United States of America and this Henorable
Tribunal.

There will be order in the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Gontlowen, are there any proliminary matters to be presented to the Tribunel at this time?

IR. DUBOIS: I am not sure, your Monor, what you meant by proliminary. We do have this question of the affidavits of the defendant von Schnitzler and also the question of the introduction of affidavits by witnesses who are evailable. A copy of the statement which I made on the worning of the 29th was furnished to defense counsel and I believe that they are ready to make some comments on that.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunel will be glad to hear it.

DR. SEINERS: Dr. Soimers. If it please your Monor, in accordance with the wish of the Tribunal I should like to submit the written votion regarding the objection I reised against the submission of the affidavits of the defendant von Schnitzler. I beg to excuse my written motion only being everlable in the Scorpes language but not yet having been missegre and and translated into the English. This has not been possible since the offices in the Palace of Justice were not working for the lest three days. However, the defense has made a translation which was placed at the disposal of the interpretors. With the permission of the high Tribunal I should like to read this

2 Sept 47-1-2-makin-girns (canler)

gotion into the Secord:

"Lay it please your Hon crs, in my capacity as defense counsel for the defendant George von Schnityler I objected on 28 August 1947 against the presentation of the affigavits of the defendant von Schnitzler which was document to. NI-5196. by objection was based on art. 5, menument of the Constitution of the United States of america Which provides that nobody shall be commelled to be a witness against himself. I pointed out that in contradiction to the constitutional regulation von Schnitz r had been induced to give testimeny against himself and I explained the conditions prevailing in 1945 which lead to the affidavit of 1945 which in turn became part of the affidavit of 18 arch 1947 submitted here. Furthermore, I drew the attention to the fact that the defendant during his interrogation in 1947 was no. Warned that he need not be a witness against himself but on the contrary, had been told that he was obliged to testify to the full extent and that according to his description he was threatened by being liable to prosecution for per,ury, thirdly, I pointed out that an affidavit of that sort should not be presented in Court. Un the basis of the American Constitution I felt it my duty to raise t is objection in view of my client's highly depressed and desolate condition at the time of his interrogation and in view of the occurrences in 1945 and 1947 as they were reported to me. In the meantime, on 29 anguet 10 7 the Cribunal has given us judicial information that the aforementioned amendment of the Constitution of the United States of america does not oppose the presentation of an affidavit of a defendant by the presecution. The Tribunal acded, if I a derateon correctly, _ the official transcript of the two sessions are not yet in my possession -- that the objection could only be sustained with the assertion and proof of pressure being exercised or intimidation in the year of 1947. The Tribunal furthermoreinformed me that considering these discussions . should ledge a final

2 Sept 47-1-3-N-ASH-Burns' (Resign)

statement in writing on Tuesday, 2 September 1947. As the occurrences in 1945, according to the ruling of the ribunal, are to be left out of consideration in this connection I only have to present avidence concerning the occurrence in 1947. support of my objection against the affidavit 51-5196 and against further affidavits of the defendant yon Schnitzler which the presecution has either meanwhile submitted or intends to submit I therefore produce the first five and a half pages of the first Muraberg interrogation re-ord dated 18 February 1947. according to the information given to me by the defendant won Schnitzler he was brought from Lachau to Jurnberg in a jeep on 18 Jeoruary 1947 and after a long journey arrived here tired, all frozen and entirely exhausted. according to his sescription he was subjected to interrogation on the same afternoon. The manner in which the interrogation started can be seen from the inclosure. The defendant von Schnitzler stated to me that because of the remarks made to him during this interrogation and in view of his previous experiences he was entirely intinidated. As a consequence he felt kinself unfor the most severe psychological pressure. . lee during the clowing interrogation, especially as the presentation of his affidavit of 1945 always tended to remind him of the treatment meted out to him at that time and at the attendant circumstances.

In view of the ruling of the Tribunal to the effect that art. 5 of the amondment of the Constitution of the United States does not apply and that the occurrence of 1945 is immaterial and in view of the that I have no american lawyer versed in American legal conceptions at my disposal and as the american legal adviser appointed by the Secretary seneral is not available to me at the present I can only submit the aforementioned facts without giving the proper legal consideration. Therefore, I respectfully request the Tribunal for a secision on my objection on the basis of the facts as shown in the enclosure.

Jay it please the Tribunal, the enclosure is a certified copy

of the original copy of the interrogation which took place on 18 February 1947.

This interrogation was handed in its original to the defendant von

Schnitzlor. With the permission of your Honer I should like to read

this enclosure to you which is in the English and the original letter

has been unclosed in English and which forms part of my objection.

The interpreter has the English original text at his disposal which

insures this text being entered into the record correctly. The

warman translation which I read now does not originate from the

official translation department but has a defense translation.

INTERROCATION OF ME. GEORG vonSCHNITELER by Mr. Drexel A. Sprecher, 18 February 1947, 1550 - 1715, Room 166, Palace of Justice, Murnberg, Germany

Also present: Mr. Albert G. D. Levy, Mr. Julius Busolph, Mr. Tenon de Chetnik; Miss Bita M. Gaylord, Court Reporter.

TO THE WITHESS BY MR. DEEXEL A. SPRECHER:

- Q Your name is Geory von Schnitzler!
- A Tong
- Q Do you understand the meaning of giving testimony under oath?
- A You.
- Q Do you understand that failure to disclose the full truth concerning a subject which you are questioned is as such perjury as deliberately telling a falsehood?
 - A I know, sir.
- Q The last of the occupying powe a concerning failure to tell the truth is very storn to falsifiers. The last concerning the failure to disclose the tivil a very storn to perjurers or falsifiers persons who toll inheboods.
 - A You.
- involvements in Corne militarisation. Now, there have been some allogations that you and we want of the persons with whom you have recently taken coursel have laid aside your scruples concerning the truth in some of your dealings with the occupation forces and that you have either made understatements or overstatements.
 - A In Personally -
 - W Mor, Mr, von Schnitsler-
 - A No.
- Q When you have believed that your personal position could be improved by such falsification; further, that you have been quite

willing to conceal the truth behind the fine phrase and diplomatic langrage of a very learned gentlemen, and that you, personally, acquired
this art through years of negotiations, both in Germany and abroad.
The allegations even run to the extent of asserting that you, on occasion, swore felsely or that you have been willing to tell untruths
before representatives of the occupying powers. If this is so, I
suppose I need not inform you that action will be taken accordingly.

A But I can't understand you, sir, I did my best to tell
the truth. I was under a very serious depression at the time. I
was very hershly treated. I den't knew if you knew that, I was
treated in the prison so harsh that my knees were eponed with
bloody holes, because I had to do — to rub the floods. Then I was
put under such a bard pressure by some of the intercognetors, and
under the high depression under all a was with the breakdown
of my entire position — of my existence, of everything — I mixed
up many things not takes become unclear for me partly — and I must
get back. It was all to me, "You must tell the truth. You
know anything. To all the know anything. Tou such know enything"—
and I tried on: all and tried. Then there was this enormous
compound of things and then I mixed up, and I saw situations such more
difficult are normal than they in reality were. But it was
always my best fault to no averything possible to got to the truth.

A low, if original documents should demonstrate that you have given followed as if the testimony of credibul witnesses should prove that you intentionally concealed the truth, any punishment meted out to you will account separately for your perjury—for your faluifications. For fury, you understand, is the legal word for not telling the truth.

A I said the truth, I said the truth to the best of my knowledge all the time, and that was even testified to mo. I have a paper from Mr. Duval......

UR. SIEMERS: May I intorrupt Here? I think the name should be probably road "Dowell". This seems to be a typographical error.

I continue to quote:

..... "who said that I did all I could, and when I was released for a certain time he gave me certificates that my rearrest was not due to any past failure but on general reasons. I did all which was in my power, but I said too much. I am perfectly clear I said too much. But under this terrible depression which I felt and because of the things one had to go through which one couldn't disguise any more—what one heard now and before—there are things which might be wrong but never intentionally wrong. I always believed to tell the truth.

- Q When you say you told too much, it seems rather strange. That is one of the things I am concerned about that perhaps you haven't told enough.
- A No. I have told too much, in that sense that the I. G.
 Ferbenindustrie, to a greater extent, was organged in the so-called
 Aufruestung them it really was.
 - Q Which moons rearmement.
 - A Which means rearmement.
- Q. Now, it is one of our mesignments here to find out these matters and to make appropriate final determinations. You are nout to be given full opportunity to answer clearly and not ambiguously.
 - A No. I will enswer clearly to everything; yes.
- Q Four simple questions. How, I will read all four of these questions over to you before asking you to snewer any one of them, so that you will have before you clearly each of these four questions.

First question: Have you always disclosed the full truth, to the best of your knowledge and belief, to American or Allied representatives

during investigations conducted since the unconditional surrender of Germany? Second questions Do you intend to disclose the full truth, to the best of your knowledge and belief, to American or Allied representatives from now on? Third questions Have you stated, written, or subscribed to any falsehoods in connection with the investigation of American or Allied authorities since the unconditional surrender of Germany? Fourth questions If you have stated or written any untruths in connection with the investigations of American or Allied authorities in the past, will you now declare the nature of these untruths and fully disclose the truth concerning them.

If you now disclose any falsehoods which you have made, such disclosure at the present time may be considered in mitigation of any punishment. In charges of any kind are later brought against you, you will then be allowed the privilege of having legal counsel, which is the custom of the occupying powers,

- A What is legel coursel?
- Q An attorney.
- A An attorney, yes. (Rechternwalt.)
- Until such charges are brought or unless such charges are brought, occupation procedure as applied here gives you no right to counsel. Furthermore, under occupational law, after the sessation of hostilities, you as a citizen of the occupied country are required to tooperate with the occupying authorities according to proper requirements demanded of you. Now you will first be sworn and I will put then the questions to you.
 - A Yes.
 - Q Will you stand.

(Witness stands)

Will you say, "I" and then repeat your name.

A I. Goorg von Schnitzler.

Q Hereby swear that I will tell the truth, the full truth and nothing but the truth, ag help me God,

(Witness repeats the path)

You may sit down.

I will repeat the first question to your Have you always disclosed the full truth, to the best of your knowledge and belief, to American or allied representatives during investigations conducted since the unconditional surrender of Germany?

A So I dide sire

Q Second question: Do you intend to disclose the full truth, to the best of your knowledge and belief, to American or allied representatives from now on?

A Yose

Q Third quostion: Howe you stated or written or subscribed to any falsohoods in connection with the investigations of American or Allied representatives since the unconditional surrender of Germany?

A Mo, sir, I haven't done that; but I can repeat what I said before that and that is very heavily pending over me that under the depression under which I was, I described to Mr. Ritchin, Mr. Devine, and to Mr. Weissbrodt, certain cases in the light too unfavorable for my company. If you will do me the favor we can go over those points because I had in the meantime the time to think that over and over again, that we can discuss the matters in detail.

Word, which I think will account for which you just said, namely,
the word "intentionally." Have you stated, written or subscribed to
rny intentionally in connection with the investigations of American
or Allich representatives since the unconditional surrender of Germany?

A No, I always tried to say the full truth.

Q The fourth questions (In view of what you have just said,
it is perhaps unnecessary, but I will put it to you just the same.)

If you have stated or written any untruths intentionally in connection with the investigations of American or Allied representatives in the past, will you now declare the nature of these untruths and fully disclose the truth concerning them.

A Yes, I will do that. That means I will go over it with you, if you give no the possibility, the points in which I was erroneous. You must excuse my English, sir. Eventually, I make foults. I have not much practice in English and forgotten a lot apart from that,

the bench that on the besis of the best record which Dr. Siemers has been able to make and after his rather lengthy explanation of that record that you rule on the basis of this record that there is plainly no showing of any duress or intimidation which possibly reaches to the question of admissibility. However, the presecution does wish to make a further statement and indicate to the Court a number of things which the Court may or may not wish to go into.

May I go back to the year 1947, the lest part of February and in the month of Merch? At that time, as a representative of the Office of Chief of Counsel, I have an number of statements of the statements of the defendat von Schnitzler. A number of people including a number of Germans had indicated that the defendant von Schnitzler at times might not have told the full truth in his dealings with the occupational authorities. There was some indication, as a matter of fact, that he had changed some of his statements he had made in 1945 on the basis of certain statements and on the basis of certain conduct of other defendants who had been with him in the Krangberg Prison in the year 1946. Therefore, with this record before us we decided that we should put to the defendant

Q The fourth questions (In view of what you have just said,
it is perhaps unnecessary, but I will put it to you just the same.)

If you have stated or written any untruths intentionally in connection with the investigations of American or Allied representatives in the past, will you now declars the nature of these untruths and fully disclose the truth concerning them.

A Yes, I will do that. That means I will go over it with you, if you give me the possibility, the points in which I was erroneous. You must excuse my English, sir. Eventually, I make faults. I have not such practice in English and forgotten a lot apart from that,

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, we shall sak a little later from
the beach that on the basis of the best record which Dr. Siemers
has been able to make and after his rather lengthy explanation
of that record that you rule on the basis of this record that there is
plainly no showing of any curous or intimidation which possibly reaches
to the question of admissibility. However, the presecution
does wish to make a further statement and indicate to the Court a
mumber of things which the Court may or may not wish to go into.

May I go back to the year 1947, the last part of February and in the month of Merch? At that time, as a representative of the Office of Chief of Counsel, I have read a number of statements of the statements of the defendat von Schnitzler. A number of people including a number of Germans had indicated that the defendant von Schnitzler at times might not have told the full truth in his dealings with the occupational authorities. There was some indication, as a matter of fact, that he had changed some of his statements he had made in 1945 on the basis of certain statements and on the basis of certain conduct of other defendants who had been with him in the Kranzberg Prison in the year 1946. Therefore, with this record before us we decided that we should put to the defendant

2 September 1947 M.MSR 2-7- Burns - Ramler Court 6, case 6

von Schnitzler very clearly the whole question of his ability to

tell the truth and whether or not he had teld the truth. You

have heard the record concerning the introduction to that

interrogation. It was quite correctly transcribed so far as I could

hear.

Now, the record of the interrogations which I had with the defendant von Schnitzler total many numbered of pages. Each of those records of interrogation was submitted to the defendant von Schnitzler, after the record had been made, and he initialed any corrections which were to be made and, on the last page, in each case, stated that he had proof read the record of the interrogation. Those are available for Your Honors if you would like to see them. The defendant von Schnitzler was always given a copy of those records of interrogations which either he, or one of my assistants, on the spot corrected as the defendant von Schnitzler corrected the original, and those copies have been in his possession and I presume, therefore, at the hands of Dr. Siemers, ever since.

Now, what happened was this, and the record will show this too —
the record of these interrogations to the following the photostats of the
records of these interrogations to the following two Schmitzler and he
read them through at his leisure. Then he was ready, he made oral
statements for the record in which he either said that the statements
still appeared to him to be entirely correct, or that he had no
corrections, or also he proceeded to discuss certain amondments that he
had to make to the statements he made in 1945. In many cases, he took the
photostatic copies of the 1945 interrogations, statements or affidavits,
back to his cell and, the next day, he would come to the interrogations
with handwritten notes concerning each one of these interrogations.

Now, after a period of time had passed so that we have covered a number of these statements, we would reduce these 1945 statements, together with any amendments which the defendant von Schnitzler had, to paper in the form of a proposed new affidevit. That would then be typed up and submitted to the defendant von Schnitzler again. He then read, and read it very carefully, each of these reposed affidavits. Where he had additional changes, he made them and indicated it in the margin. I think,

2 September 47-M-ATD-3-2-Leonard (Int. Rauler)

if you look at some of the statements, you will see how frequently typographical errors and, sometimes, additions of substance were made, oven at that late stage.

Now, I wish only to indicate the spirit in which these interrogations were carried on by reference to three little notes which the
defendant von Schnitzler passed to me when we came together for interrogations. The originals, in the handwriting of the defendant himself,
are here. Sometimesthoy are in Gorman, sometimes they are in English.

On the 11th of March, 1947, he substitted to me a note which began as follows:

interrogation of 27 February 1947, 1010 hours to 1200 pours. In the details, the up and down of questions and answers gives a true picture, but for my opinion, the main point has not come to a proper expression."

And then he proceeds to dve so what he considers to be the main point which he fult had been idesed in the record of the interrogations.

In another case, the 17th of March, 19h7, another handwritten note, in the handwriting of the defer out won Schmitzler, written in his cell and handed to me:

August 11 and September 2h, the following correction are to be made. These corrections originate from information about matters in the technical field which I only received from my technical colleagues while being confined with them together in Kranzberg in 1946. At the time of the interrogations — Summer, 1945 — the entire statements represented my true belief and full conviction.

One more statement, on the 16th of March, 1947:

one the different statements about Vermittlungsstelle-W, I would like to point out the following. If I had been in seclusion during these entire one and a half years and would have to make the same statement today. I would put it exactly in the same words as I did in the Summer,

1945, but having since been together with my technical colleagues, having had so many discussions about the problem, and having Ter Heer's statement at hand...."

Mich the defendant Tor Meer, with the assistance of some other Vorstand members, worked out in Kranzberg Prison in 1946 and which will later be submitted to Your Honors since it was made in connection with idea of submitting it to the authorities.

"I cannot pass on those informations. On the other hand, correcting myself accordingly, I expect your questions 'And now do you explain, if you really think that Vermittlungostelle-N was so unimportant, that I.G. put its first man, Professor Karl Krauch, on top of this section and, when Krauch became Gebechem, you put another man on that job, Gustav von Bruening, whom again you considered as being one of your future factory leaders?', and thun, of course, I have no answer because I, in my domain, would never have put one of my first men on an unimportant job."

Now, Your Honors, this lad to a cortain uneasiness on my part as to what the present mood of the defendant von Schmitzler was, because he kept submitting handwritten statements to me, indicating that many of the corrections he had previously made, in effect, should not have been made at all, on the basis of his own recollection, but that he had, in effect, during the course of our interrogations, been making corrections simply on the basis of what some of his technical colleagues in Kranzberg had suggested to him in the course of attempting to build what was there called "a united front" of the defense.

Now, finally, the defendant von Schmitzler talked to Mr. Welffson, an assistant of the prosecution, who had been correcting the interrogation with him, that he wished to talk to me, and I would like to road from that interrogation because it helps a great deal to show where, if any where, there was pressure and intimidation upon the defendant von Schmitzler. This

1945, but having since been together with my technical colleagues, having had so many discussions about the problem, and having Ter Meer's statement at hard...."

May I interrupt the quotation to say that that refers to a statement which the defendant Tor Meer, with the assistance of some other Verstand numbers, worked out in Kranzberg Prison in 1946 and which will later be submitted to Your Henors since it was made in connection with idea of submitting it to the authorities.

"I cannot pass on those informations, On the other hand, correcting myself accordingly, I expect your question: "And now do you explain, if you really think that Vermittlungsstelle-" was so unimportant, that I.G. put its first man, Professor Karl Krauch, on top of this section and, when Krauch became Gebeches, you put another man on that job, Gustav von Bruening, whom again you considered as being one of your future factory leaders?", and then, of course, I have no answer because I, in my domain, would never have put one of my first men on an unimportant job."

Now, Your Honors, this led to a certain unnasiness on my part as to what the present mood of the defendant von Schnitzler was, because he kept submitting hamburitien statements to me, indicating that many of the corrections he had previously made, in effect, should not have been made at all, on the basis of his own recollection, but that he had, in effect, thering the course of our interrogations, been making corrections simply on the basis of what some of his technical colleagues in Kranzberg had suggested to him in the course of attempting to build what was there called "a united front" of the defense.

Now, finally, the defendant von Schmitzler talked to Mr. Wolffson, an assistant of the prosecution, who had been correcting the interrogation with him, that he wished to talk to me, and I would like to read from that interrogation because it helps a great deal to show where, if any where, there was pressure and intimidation upon the defendant von Schmitzler. This

will crosp up in other parts of this record, if Your Honors would like soo the whole roomd, but I will read but a part of it which I think may holp you a little bit. This is the interrogation record, the original with you Schultzler's imitials on the margin, at the bottom of each page, and his signature at the late was the 2bth of March, 1947.

Q This is a continuing interrogation. Dr. von Schmitzler has been sworn since the first day.

"Now, Boctor, Mr. Allfron told me this norming you said you had something you wanted to bring to my attention. I don't know precisely that you have in mind, As I told you before, that is your privilego, so will you tell me, in your own way, what you have in mind?

A Yes. During the weekend, I have reread all the records again and I have reread just as well the statements of Dr. Ter Heer and the notes I have received from Erausters. After a long and serious consideration, I Yeal colliged to the you now nerratives on all the happenings in Kranaberg because that cannot be separated from the entire problem.

When I came to Kraisborg on 26th Documber, 1946

I think that should be 1945 -- to not quite sure -- but, in any event, neither the defendant won Schnitzler or I made that correction at the time.

".....I found there must of my former technical colleagues and also Mr. Hermann Echnica. Max Ilgner was also there, but still in mechasion. My instinct, at first, was not to speak at all about the interrogations of the summer and autumn of 1945 as I was not sure whether I was still under secrecy or not. Now, of course, from time to time, a word slipped through, as I shared my room with far Moor, so, for instance, I once said to him that Edelmann, the C.I.C. Chief for Finance, said to Mr. Westreich that they, the Frankfurt people, that they thought I, Schmitzler, would not be responsible in case of an eventual trial, but

2 Marticoler 17-M-ATO-3-5-Loomard (Int. Remailer)

eventually, Schmitz and Higner. It was always only the question of these three men and then of Krauch, but new r another man had been mentioned in such an order of ideas - Krauch, Schmitzler, Higner and Schmitz. So Mr. Westreich has reported it to me because the matter, later on, may come up. Of course, one word or another might have been said from which one could deduce that I had testified that I. G. had been largely engaged in the rearmament program. This greated the first flash.

2 Sept.-M-FL-4-1-Leonard (Int. Raumler)
Court No. VI, Case VI

"Shortly afterward, in Pebruary, 1947, Schmitz explained to Gajewski, voluntarily, that, under the influence of von Schmitzler, he had written the last oath, the statement which he apparently considered as wrong on detrimental for I.O. Then, later on, he became conscious of the contents of that statement. He did not show the statement, but gave the details to Gajewski.

and Ilgner - Max Ilgner than had been freed from seclusion - it was discussed that, in the course of interrogations, the question had arisen whether I.C. had worked out so-called Mob. Plans (Mobilization Plans) in the chemical domain. It was stated that such reports were entirely unfounded and could be easily contradicted because I.C. - that means the competent men, Ambros, Murster, Ter Meer and Strauss - not even had known that at the beginning of the war, the pleasure of the plants in Ludwigshafen and Oppau had been planned. This order, on the contrary, had been given, but only on the day of the English declaration of war. Knieriem told that to Schmitz on the same day and pointed out that this fact, which had been unknown to him up until now, means a striking proof for the fact that I.C. has not worked on any Mob. Plans or Mob. Planung in the chemical domain.

"Schmitz answers 'And what says Schmitzler to that?' Schmitz added that this news was not in accord with the statement of September, 1945, which he had given under the influence of Schmitzler and which he, at the time, also had spoken about to von Knieriem. At the same time, Schmitz gave Knieriem a copy of the statement of September, 1945. I do not have this copy. I could not find the document in my papers."

May I break the quotation to say that we will also furnish Your Honors later on with a copy of that statement.

"That is the only one which is lacking. Knieriem made it known, in a

2 Sept.-M-FL-4-2-Leonard (Int. Rasel 7) Court No. VI, Case VI

discussion of 12 March 1946, to Ter Meer, Schmitzler, Buetefisch and Ilgner. Consequently, Ter Meer started his memorandum which finally resulted in the statement that is in the statement of 30 March 1946.

Now, I would like to skip page 3 and 4 for the time being in order to get down to the most.

"A. Now a mes my ameliasion. I said before that only the statement of Schmitz was not among my papers, but from the conclusion Ter Meer makes at the end of his statement, the most important points of that statement can be deduced, and studying them again after the present interrogations of five weeks, I am of the opinion that those points which have been corrected were largely correct.

"Q .- That is, that you now agree with the corrections which Tor Meer made?

"A .- No, on the contrary, no.

"Q .- In other words, you believe the corrections which Ter Meer made?

"A .- I believe, I think they don't touch the point.

"Q .- Well, let's take them sepr "ely just so we are cortain what is mentioned"

Now, Your Honors.....

Your Honors, I'd like to make only two or three more sentences if it is agreable. Sorry.

"Q .- Do you have anything further?

"A .- No, thank you. I thought it was necessary to explain it as I have been involved in that matter."

And then I'll skip three or four lines.

"Q.- And you also muntion that there was a certain moral pressure?
"A.- Yes, sir.

"Q .- Would you develop that a little bit? I don't quite get the

2 Sept.-M-FL-4-3-Loonard (Int. Rammler) Court No. VI, Case VI

connection between those two matters.

"A.- As I said before, I was the center of that attack because they took Schmitz as not being entirely responsible owing to his mental and physical state. They give me — Ter Meer, in the first instance, to understand here I must repeat his own statements toward me such as "weakness! 'superficiality! 'presumptuousness!, he said he didn't want me to have a judgment over things which I didn't understand, such as giving to the authorities wrong "Yacts which would be detrimental for the company and for all of them."

I don't think it's mecessary to go on.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will once again reiterate what it has already said, that it is its mature conclusion that the voluntary admission of the statement of a defendant, made before trial, does not violate the legal concept that a defendant, in a criminal prosecution, may not be required to give evidence against himself. We trust that it will not be necessary to again state that during the course of this hearing.

We are presently concerned only with the question as to whether the affidavit offered in evidence by the prosecution was executed under such circumstances as to force the conclusion that it was not freely and voluntarily made. Counsel will recall, that when the objection was offered, it was stated from this bench that the charge would have to be directly asserted and established in order to obtain a ruling to the effect that the affidavit was inadmissible. On the basis of the record before us, we must, and do hold that, as a matter of law, no showing of duress has been charged - sufficiently charged, much have has one been established. That is to say, taking and accepting the facts asserted as true, they do not disabout that this defendant was under duress or suffering from coercion at the time of the execution of the affidavit offered by the prosecution.

2 Sept.-M-FL-4-Leonard (Int. Ramaler)
Court No. VI, Case VI

We should like to take advantage of the opportunity to say to counsel that a charge of fraud and duress is a serious charge and ought not be made ill advisedly. A considerable part of the time of the Tribunal has been consumed in what now appears to eve been an idle inquiry. We cannot believe that counsel for the def. Ant would have asserted this charge if he had been in full possession of the facts or if he had inquired of his client the surrounding circumstances before he made the objection, and we offer the further observation that, so far as the record before as now stands, there is no basis whatever in law or in fact for the conclusion that the presecution was guilty of any improper conduct in the obtaining and the offering of the affidavit.

The objections to the introduction of the affidavit are now overruled and the record may show the affil vit in syldence.

DR. NATH (Defense Counsel for defendant Mex Ilgner): Mr. President, in regard to this affidavit which was just the subject of our discussion, I find myself in some difficulties. May I point out to Your Honors that, in that very seme affidavit which was just now discussed, there is contained a statement made by my client, Dr. Ham Ilgner. This concurns an interrogation of the 4th of August, 1' , during which Dr. Max Ilgrer and Mr. von Schnitzker were interrogated together. This affidavit, which is before us now, was si med and certified again by Mr. von Schnitzler in the year of 1947, but was not cortified so by my client. He made no certifying statement to that affidavit in the year of 1947. The question now arises for me, with regard to this document which, as far as my client is concerned, only contains statements of my client and also contains evidence which incriminates my client, and if I have to assume that, let me point out the following to the Tribuel. The interrogation took place on one morning of the 4th of August and was continued during the afternoon. The first interrogation, during the morning, was signed by my client, under oath. From the document which I have here, it becomes apparent that

2 Sept.-M-FL-4-5-Leonard (Int. Rammler) Court No. VI, Case VI

Dr. Max Ilgner - you will find that on page 23 - did not sign the record of the afternoon session. Here you only find the signature of Herr you Schnitzler. Dr. Max Ilgner, however, did not conclude the record and did not sign it. It is my opinion that any such record can, therefore, not be called a complete document as an essential part of that document is missing, which is the certifying signature of the man who was interrogated. For that reason, regarding this part of the document which originates from the year of 1945 at he afternoon of the 4th of August, I have to raise an objection. I would be grateful if the Tribunal would rule on this objection.

COURT VI CASE VI

2 Sept 47-5-1-h-all-Love (Rammler)

the interrogator in 1945 merely neglected to have the defendant flaner to sign the afterneon session although the defendant von Schnitzler did sign. Now in 1947 the defendant von Schnitzler cortified to the correctness of the entire record of the transcript of the interregation of 1945 but he only swore to those parts of it which he made. Now, that leaves us with a situation where the defendant Ilgner has merely not sworn to a particular series of questions and answers which have been transcribed. It seems tome at most that is a question which would run to the weight and that it is not a question to be brught up at this time concerning the admissibility of the document as far as the defendant Ilgner is concerned.

matter new before us is the admissibility in evidence of the affidavit bearing date of 1947. Although we are well conscious of the fact that references are therein made to prior statements and affidavite, on the state of the record the objection is overruled. Dounsel will have full opportunity before the conclusion of this trial to offer whatever explanations he may see fit to offer with reference to the connection of the exhibit with his client.

As a Schollaudh: (atterney for defendant Cattineau):

Ar. Prosident, during the session of the 29th of august 1947 I

have been requested to make a report as to what extent a duress can
be seen in the affidavit which was made by my client. I have done
that according to the request which was made during that session
of 29 august 1947. I should like to quote this statement as found
as follows: "At the beginning of earch 1947 in. Cattineau was
called to an interrogation by the Interrogator Verber. As soon
as Cattineau could not answer a question to the interrogator's
eatisfaction the interrogator became excited and asked the guard
in that excited condition, 'take him out.'" A few days later

2 Sept 47-5-2-b-ASE-Love (Rammler)

.r. Sattingen was recalled to an interrogation which started at 1830 hours and lasted until 2230. Fresent was a Commission of three men to which ar. Verber also belonged. One of these gentlemen belonging to that circle threatened, intimidated, and deceived the defendant. On the one hand the am carance was raised that Dr. Gattineau was being interrogated as a witness. On the other side he told the defendent with the following words, and I quote: "You often contradicted yourself during : . se interregations. You know what in in store for you. Think of your family; you are parried." Those words had to be heard by the defendant who had been arrested. for 17 months under the most difficult conditions, suffering hunger andcold. his state of health had been weakened on account of this; at that time he had lost approximately 50 pounds; he had to accept these remarks in the sense that he or his family had terrible conditions in store for them. a few ays later, on the 13th of earch 1947, ar. Gettinesu was lead before the interrogetor Varber; this Verber subsitted to the defendent a five page affidavit of which he himself was the author and asked for the signature of the definuant. battineau asked for the copy and asked to be given an opportunity to study it in his cell, since complicated events were zentioned therein which partly took place 15 years ago. This was refused strictly by the interrogator further corrections regarding the correct ion of this accusent were also refused. The defendent only succeeded in making a few corrections. Finally, ir. Gattineau signed this affidavit under impression he got, namely, that he himself and his family would got into difficulties otherwise. After the defendant freed himself from this pressure and durage he asked ropeatedly, verbally and in writing that he be given an opportunity to correct that affidavit. He pointed out mistakes, errors, and erroneous formulations. That also happened on the 17th of april 1947 in writing. all these presentations were denied. Then on the 31st of key 1947 the defendant withdraw his signature. The

CLURT VI CARE VI 2 Sept 47-5-3-1-AEH-Love (Raumler)

following shows the correctness of these facts. The defendant immediately, after his interrogation, made notes. These notes, on the occasion of his first meeting with me after I was appointed as his defense counsel, he handed over to me. In these notes it is stated: and I quote:

Preparation 17 months in captivity, suffering, hunger and cold.

Lost 50 pounds weight. Well withdrawn from me. Sometimes I didn't

get wail for three or four weeks. I was threatened with force being

used, decoution Three interrogators, Thrown out, Verber said, "take

him out;" Content not formulated by no; formulated by Verber, a

cunning mixture of what was true and what was false. Several corrections

requested. That was denied. In writing applied for three corrections,

asked for counsel twice; this was denied."

It is shown as a result of another statement which was make to me during my first discussion with him that I should like to quot o the following:

"I was in captivity, suffering bad nourishment and cold during the months of winter; I have lost 50 gounds of weight. Before bis affinavit wer submitted to be, and I as referring to the affidavit of the 13 of earch 1945, the interrogators during a number of new tings have tried to intimidate no through psychological prossure. They asked me to make testimony in a sonse that they wented me to make it. The interrogator further, for instance, when I was not able to make testimony as he wished me to, threw me out saying that my statements did no t correspond with the truth. A short time thereafter interrogations took place in the evening through three interrogators and one of them was Verber. The President stated that I had contradicted myself during those interrogati as to such an extent that they had sufficient evidence against me. They said that I knew very well what was in store for me. They asked no to think of my family. " It can be seen from further notes, and I quote, "I asked for permission to see the counsel Aschenavet for legal advice."

COURT VI CASE VI 2 Sept 47-5-4-M-AEE-Love (Rambler)

14i

2.

....

de.s

The following note is contained under that and I quote, "denied," because, it was stated, I was a witness. That was on the 2nd of april 47.

to appraise the morit of counsel's argument to briefly glance at the affidavits which are in book Inf. 71 4833, Prosecution's Exhibit 26 for identification, on page 4 of the Document Book in English, and Prosecution's Exhibit foridentification 27, 31 5170 which appears on page 8 of the English Document Book. Your Honors will please note that affidavit of Cattinosu of 13 Earth 1947 is the affidavit as to which we understand counsel for defense is asserting that it was obtained under duress. If 5170 which appears on page 8 are the first facts al leged by the defendant and his counsel indicating the extent and manner of duress. I think it would be helpful to read from that statement, on page 8, 21 5170, Exhibit 27 for identification. It is noted June 4, 1947, and it would be well to noint out to your Honors tals is one month after the

2 September 47-V-AK-6-1-Love- (Rammler)
CCURT VI CASE VI

indictment was served on the defendant. The affidavit of Farch 31, 1947 is more than a month before the indictment was served. The statement of the defendant Cattineau states:

"The affidavit of 15 March 1947 which was presented to me by
Mr. Verber, contains a number of mistakes and incorrect and erroneous
formulations. I mentioned them verbally and afterwards requested a
written rectification of these points which seemed particularly important to me. 'n close of exacanition, however, for which I had no
time then, I found that the statement is also incorrect in other
respects. I therefore withdraw my signature from the affidavit of
13 March 1947. Nurmberg 31 May 1947 (Signed) Heinrich Gattineau."

Now, if your Honors please on the face of that statement appears nothing more than an attempt to argue different facts. There isn't a word of anything suggesting coercion or durose. It simply amounts to that now when this defendant has retained counsel he is desirous of telling a different story. That we respectfully submit has nothing to do with the admissibility of this affidavit; it is something that the defendant can very well present in connection with his case in chief. The argument of Cattineau's counsel is along the same line. He seems to suggest that the statements in the affidavit are serewhat different than from what appeared to be set forth. His feetual recitals do not, we submit, constitute, either as a matter of fact, as a matter at law, coordion or durasa. We think no issue of fact has been raised but for the record I should state very briefly some facts in connection with the interrogation of the defendant Gattineau. In the Interrogation Division of the Office of Chief of Counsel, a docket is kept by each interrogator, indicating the day when and whom he interrogates and the hours at which the interrogation takes place. That record, which is one kept in the regular course of

2 September 47-M-AK-n-2-Love-(Remmler) COURT VI CASE VI

business, indicates that as to the defendant Gattineau he was interrogated 33 times. Since this affidavit of March, 13, 1947 he has executed other affidavits and I think your Honors would be interested in noting the Prosecution Exhibit 28 which is an affidavit of Gattinoau June 1947. It was admitted into the record without any objection of course. Other affidavits have been signed by this defendant after the particular one of 31 Warch 1947. Now, we do not believe it would be helpful to cally the interrogator on the stand b cocuse ever a series of 33 interrogations we cannot tell which interrogation was particularly relevant to Farch 13, the date of the execution of the affilerit, On the date of the execution of the affidavit the Interrogators spent two hours with the defendant Cattineau at mich time he read the affidavit, made corrections in his own handwriting, and signed it. We think there is no showing factually, from the allegations made by defense counsel, which are enough to raise a triable issue and we ask your Honors, therefore, to over-rule their motion.

JUDGE MORRIS: Before you sit down I would ask you if you have the original or the photostatic copy of the affidavit of March 13, 1947.

MR. AMCHAN: To have your Honor; it is in German and I hand it to the Secretary for your Poners inspection.

JUDGE MORRIS: Fay I see it, please.

DR. ASCHENAUER: Fr. President, I deduce from what the Prosecution said that the relevant words are not being contested in these affidevits. "You contradicted yourself often during these interrogations; you know what is in store for you; think of your family; you are a married man,"

It is quite obvious that these sentences created a situation of duress. For that reason it is certainly not important what

2 September 47-1'-AK-6-3-Love-(Rammler)
COURT VI CASE VI

seen from the evidence that these are corrections which are far from being sufficient. Counsel for the Prosecution has quoted my letter dated 4 June 1947. I have written this letter to the Prosecution intending that this case be dealt with in a better and more suitable manner than the manner in which it is being dealt with now. At any rate the counsel for the Prosecution did not mention the written application of 17th of April 1947. As the defendant Cattingou has sent this letter to the Prosecution as well, it certainly cannot be said that there was no time between the 13th of March 1947 and the 4th of June 1947.

There were verbal objections made repeatedly and throughout written objections. It was asked that a supplementation be made and that was dated 17th of April 1947. For these reasons I ask you to sustain my objection.

I ask you that this effidavit, dated 13 of Yarah 1947, be not admitted into evidence. The Prosecution mertioned affidavit of the 12th of June 1947. That was signed in my presence and under different methods.

MR. ANSCHAN: The Prospection does not want to be understood as saying or agreeing that the defense counsel's version of the facts is correct. On the contrary we take very strong issue as to their version as to what transpired when this affidavit was executed and we are prepared, if your Honors think it is necessary, to put Mr. Verher on the stand, and tell you precisely as to what occurred. When I say I don't think they present the triable issue of fact we mean, of course, as a legal proposition on its face even if we believe the fact there is no legal issue raised. But of course, as matter of fact we dony what they say is true.

2 September 47-M-AK-6-4-Love-(Rammler)
COURT VI CASE VI

THE PRESIDENT: Do Tribunal will at this time take its morning recess.

(A recess was taken.)

THE MARSHAL: Parsons in the courtroom will please be seated. The Tribunal is again in session.

TEX PRESIDENT: Considering all of the circumstances surrounding the interrogation of this defendant, the execution of the affidavit offered in evidence and the subsequent facts relating to it, including the fact that the defendant did make corrections in the affidavit, the fact that he has, according to the record, made subsequent affidavits about which no question has been raised of coercion or duress, the tribunal is inclined to the view that the statement of counsel, predicated upon the theory that there was coercion and duress, does not amount as a matter of law, even if accepted as true to a showing sufficient to ber the admission of the affidavit.

The defendent will, of course, be accorded full proportunity to make such further explanations with reference to the facts contained in this affidavit as he may does to be proper. The objection to the admission of the exhibit are now overruled by the Tribunal.

Was con dispose of this morning and which will. I hope, enable us to proceed much more expeditiously with the introduction of documents.

That relates to the Question of the introduction of affidavits by witnesses who could be called to Furnberg within a few days, if necessary to testify.

The defence have been furnished with a copy of the remarks which I made on the subject. I believe they have a statement to make.

DR. DIX: (Counsel for defendent Schneider): I should like to
set not to agree to this motion for the General admission of all affidavits, and the prosecutor has already said that they were negotiating
with us about the principles which we are to apply here. I should like
to make a short statement. Undoubtedly, the question, whether it is
better to submit an affidavit and later to call the witness for crossexamination or to call him for direct examination, is a matter which must

must be governed by the circumstances of the individual case. The prosecutor must be accorded that limits hard to draw. Therefore, it is not advisable to lay down hard and fest rules. It is quite evident, and it is the concept of the defense, that when statements containing figures and other records are to be given, it is better furst to submit an affidavit and then to call the witness and this is why the defense have not objected to various affidavits which have been introduced.

The case of delegate Schridt is different. There we are concerned with different political and psychic statements of affairs and it is quite evident that this witness is better interrogated than by examining him in the witness box. Therefore, it would be better to stick to the rules which were made when the prosecutor referred to this procedure than is to be governed by individual cases and this is the same of our negotiations with the prosecution, and the following statement has been formulated by us, and I now read the draft to you. The English translation has been given to the interpreters. This is only draft and a final agreement has not yet been reached.

"Statement of defense concerning the calling of afficate during the course of Cese No. VI. The defense aleads that the prosecution and the defense can agree in most instances on when the witness must be called, in those cases in which there must be a direct examination with the exclusion of the affidavits, as well as in those cases in which the affidavit is introduced and the cross examination of the affigure is desired.

"Secondly, the defense agrees that with respect to many witnesses, the witness can better be called when all or most of the effidavits given by this witness have been affored in evidence by the presecution. However, the defense would like to have the Tribunal indicate that it is not necessary, therefore, for the defense to indicate in each case it's desire to cross examine an affiant at the time of an affidavit by this efficant."

I have been informed with regard to this sentence by my colleagues

who have had experience in procedure, that it is not necessary to submit the affidavit, but that the cross examination does not necessarily have to be conducted and applied for in the beginning but can be spolied for later.

I believe that it will be possible to achieve smoot, cooperation with the presecution in this matter.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal wishes to take adventage of his opportunity to express it's appreciation of the cooperative attitude of counsel for the defense and the prosecution in dealing with this matter. Manifestly where, for example, an affidavit contains a summary of record or a statistical matter, the time of the Tribunal would be very much conversed by admitting an affidavit with, of course, corresponding expertunity for the production of the witness when it appears to be necessary for the purposes of cross examination.

On the other hand, there may be instances where the affidavit relates affect or less to personal conduct, personal observations, where it would be better if the author of the affidavit, if available, could be produced in lieu of the use of the affidavit for examination before the Tribunal and for an opportunity for cross examination.

The Cribunal says a main that it much appreciates the offerts that you gentlemen have made to be of help in solving this problem. We have no doubt that in most instances you will be able to excee. If perchance there may be some that you cannot, in the interests of your clients and you causes, agree upon a course of conduct, we shall try to help you to work it out in a manner that is calculated to most the requirements of a fair and impartial trial of the defendants.

Schnitzler in Document Books I and II which I would now like to have accepted in evidence and mark as follows: I believe that in document book II, on page 67, HI-5197 has already been identified as Exhibit 18 and I ask that it now be accepted as Prosecution Exhibit 18.

THE PRESIDENT: It may be so ordered.

MR. DU BOIS: I ask that NI-5191; which is an affidavit of the Defendant von Schnitzler of 4 March 1947, which appears on page 107 of the English document book and page 97 of the German document book, be accepted as Prosecution Exhibit 40. In the affidavit in Document Book I, appearing on page 54 of the English document book and page 64 of the German document book, being an affidavit of the Defendant von Schnitzler of 18 March 1947, be accepted as Prosecution Exhibit No. 41. It is numbered NI-5196.

I would like at this point to read certain excerpts from these affidavits. The first affidavit that I would like to read from is NI-5191, which begins on page 107 of the English document book and page 97 of the German. There are a few paragraphs in the beginning of this affidavit which are incorporated in the other affidavits which I would like to read at this time.

"I was living near Frankfurt, Germany in late March 1945 when the American armed forces occupied the area. I was taken into custody on 7 May 1945 by the American authorities and I have remained in detention ever since that time, except for three periods when I was granted authority to be with my wife under house arrest. During the period from May until late Fall of 1945, I was regularly questioned by American and Allied investigators, particularly by the American investigators, Mr. Ritchin, Mr. Weisbrodt, Mr. Glaser, Mr. Linville, Mr. Hollander and Mr. Devine. During this period of investigation I was ordinarily brought from Preungesheim prison in Frankfurt, Germany to the Reichsbank building in Frankfurt nearly every day along with a number of other former officials of the I. G. Farbenindustrie. There, I and the other officials were asked to give information concerning the history of the I.G. Farbenindustrie and other matters either through interrogations or by writing up or dictating various statements concerning topics which were given to us by one or more of the investigators.

"It has always been my intention to give the truth according to the best of my knowledge and belief to the Allied investigators. I never intentionally gave false information of any kind. The relationship between the investigators and me in Frankfurt during 1945 was very free and open and very cordial. In Freungesheim American soldierprisoners were also detained. On a number of occasions I felt that I was mistreated in the jail because of the dislike which certain of the soldiers had for me. When I reported some of these incidents to the investigators, they intercoded on my behalf with some success and sometimes without success. The investigators generally stated that they wanted me to give my best recollection of events without access to documents. Except for a few occasions when my recollection was refreshed by being shown a document, the statements I made and the interrogations to which I subscribed were based entirely upon my recollection and belief as of the time I was interrogated and made the particular statements. At no time during the investigations of 1945 was I prevented from correcting any statement which I made if thereafter I thought I had made an error. The typowritten statements which I signed were made in the following menner: I would first be asked to give information on a cortain topic. Thereafter I would go to a room where I could be alone and write up the matter in longhand or else made longhand notes which I later used as a basis for dictating a statement to a German or American stenographer who took English stenography. Sometimes it took me less than a day to write up some of the statements. With some of the longer statements, however, it took me as long as three days to complete them. After the stemographer or typist reduced the statement to writing I went over the statement to make any corrections and then certified to the truth of the statement. The records of the interrogetions were made as follows: Sometimes the questions and answers were immediately reduced to writing as originally made. Sometimes, after a pariod of discussion between the interrogator and me, a question or answer would be written down for the record which summarized the results of the matters discussed. Thichever of those methods was followed in these interrogations, I thereafter went over the written record of the interrogation to correct any

errors and thereafter certified to the truth of the matters stated in the interrogation. In October I was removed from prison and placed under house arrest and thereafter re-arrested again. Mr. Edelman, CIC Finance Chief, informed me this was done on orders of higher headquarters because I was in the category of mandetory arrest. At that time I was informed in writing by Mr. Devine that the reason for my further imprisonment was not because of any failure to cooperate with the American authorities. This same letter states that my assistance from an information gathering standpoint has been invaluable.

"After these interrogetions in 1945 were concluded, I was detained in a number of prisons or detention camps along with leading officials of the I.G. Ferbenindustrie. After discussing a number of metters concorning which I had given information with my colleagues, I came to the conclusion that I gave some erroneous information to the investigators in 1945. In no instance do I feel that I gave erroncous information intentionally. What I stated and concluded in the statements and interrogations in 1945 represented the truth as I saw it then to the best of my recollection and belief. I account for any errors which I made entirely for the following reasons: After the collapse of Germany I was in a state of great contal depression. I felt clearly that the Hitler regime had caused the ruin of Germany and of a great deal of Europe. Under these circumstances I associated some events together which I do not now feel should have been associated together in making some of the conclusions which I made. Moreover, I ams asked to give my recollection and my conclusions concerning a number of events which were connected with I.G. Farben in the tochnical or in other fields where my duties had not given me a full insight into the true facts and concerning which I could then, in 1945, obtain no assistance by reference to the I.G. files. What I stated to the investigators in 1945 was true to the best of my recollection and belief at that time. However, since then I have telked to some of my colleagues who were better informed of these matters than I was and I must conclude I made certain orrors which I

2 Sep 17-11-FjC-9-1-Schweb (Lata) Court VI Case VI

cortified as the truth to the Allied investigators:

"Between 18 February 1947 and the present time, representatives of the Office of the Chief of Counsel for Wer Crimes showed me many of the statements and interrogations to which I had subscribed in 1945. I have been asked to point out any falsehoods or errors which I made in these statements or interrogations. I have stated to them under oath that I had made no false statements, because the statements I made were true to the best of my knowledge and belief at the time that I made them in 1945. However, where I unintentionally made errors in these statements I have pointed out these errors. The errors I new find after re-reading the statements or interrogations mentioned below are set forth below:"

And then in this offidavit and in following offidavita there appears a reference to the various statements made in '45, and then toward the latter part of the offidavit appear certain qualifications.

I will reed a few excorpts from those statements, and after I read the statement that was made in 145 I will follow with the qualification.

On page 115 of English Document Book No. 2, which is page 108 in the German document book:

corned remained unchanged, and every month added semething new to the existing potential. The industrial position of I.G. in general had no parallel with any other enterprise. Versinists Stahlwarks employed more people and the State-owned Hermann Georing Works had collected so many different enterprises that their capital had their turnover might even have been higher than that of I.G., but both their domains of activity, their serning capacity and scientific performance, was incomparably smaller than what I.G. could show in these domains. Compared with the great companies abroad, even in the United States, he single individual company had so large a field of progressive scientific enterprise as I.G..."

And then on page 109 appears this - pardon we, it is 116 of the English document book. It is 109 of the Gorman document book. "This

statement still appears to be entirely true and correct to the best of my knowledge and belief, except that..." I do not believe that any of the exceptions relate to what I just read.

And, reading from the affidavit which appears in Document Book No.

1, page 54 of the English document book and 64 of the German document book, the first paragraph makes reference to the paragraphs which introduce the previous effidavit, and the first paragraph concludes with the statement:

"When I subscribed to these statements or interrogations in 1965, the matters to which I subscribed were true to the best of my know-ledge and belief at that time. The errors I new find after re-reading the statements or interrogations mentioned below are set forth below after the text of each of the statements or interrogations."

Continuing on the arms page:

"The I.G. by force had to follow the general development in Germany. Whetever government ruled Germany, the key position of the I.G. in the whole chemical field, undisputed simes I.G.'s foundation in 1925, had to play and always played the decisive role in averything which was connected with chemistry. I remember a remark of Stressmenn's made to me in 1927: What have I as a trump in my hands sport of you, the I.G., and the coal people?"

"That I.G. would follow a line which was not in conformity with
the line of the government was simply unimeginable, and that I.G. could
refrect from an order given by the government or take the attitude of an
open or clandestine opposition was out of the question -- even at a
time when Garmany was a democratic state and government measures could
be openly criticized.

"Thus, I.G. was 'governmental' under Stressmann as well as under Bruoning, 2nd under Papon." Court VI - Case VI 2 Sep 47-M-10-1-EHM-Schwab (Katz)

And a little further down, on page 55 of the English book and 65 of the Germans

"The money was being taken but the result was more or less null, and up to the last time of the war I.G. was always suspected of being 'a state in the state' and the dismemberment of I.G. never left the clandestime Farty program. On the other hand, the Farty, in the form of 'Adolf Hitler Spende' and 'Winterhilfswork' (MHW), and many other ways, converted the subventions given formerly as a sort of bribary into a legal claim."

Later on in this same excerpt, same statement, in '45, on page 56 of the English book and 66 of the German:

"The whole development of I.G. in the years beginning with 1934 and accelerated since the end of 1936 is entirely due to the close teamwork with the government and the Wehrmacht."

A little further down: "Practically the whole sugmentation in the turnover of I.G. from a little over one billion marks to three billions in 1943 is a one hundred percent result of the rearmament and the war policy of the German government."

Again on page 57 of the English book, at the bottom — also at the bottom of page 57 of the German document book: "Apart of this technical situation, the development of I.G. during the lest twelve years can't be separated from the government's foreign policy." It should be page 57 (of the English document book).

And on page 64 of the English book, 74 of the German book, the middle of the page:

"As I stated in the beginning of this report, since 1934 the Wehrmacht, first being only an additional factor to the relations having
always existed between I.G. and the different Ministerian, more and more
developed to be the decisive, or at least the most important, factor."

And now reading from this same affidavit the qualifications — I am sorry, there is one more from that same statement — one more excerpt I would like to read. Page 66 of the English book, 76 of the German book:

"With the growing of the military-" It starts back on the previous

Court VI - Case VI 2 Sep 47-k-10-2-EHM-Schwab (Katz)

page with a brief statement! "To summarize, the following must be stated: 1) With the growing of the military interest in the government's program, I.G.'s relations to the Wehrmacht personally became an additional factor in I.G.'s official relations to the different other ministries. By and by, the Wehrmacht became by far the most important one, and with the beginning of the Four Year Plan the investment policy of the I.G. was not to be separated any more from the policy of the Wehrmacht. Nearly all investments were made directly or indirectly for the Wehrmacht.

I.G. was on the whole a loyal and complainant partner of the Wehrmacht.

That the Wehrmacht was not a typical Maxi institution and only followed itself in material the line prescribed by the Party is well known. The higher officers doing their duty as law-abiding officers were not Nazis by conviction — they have proved it on July 20th, 1944.

"2) I.G. followed the 'Grossraum-Politik' of the government because there was no other economic policy to be made.

"That I.G. itself would have preferred a policy of 'most favored nation treatment' and 'world-wide trade' became a pure theory and could not lead to any real consequences.

- "3) In the handling with Party matters, I.G. acted after the policy 'muddling through' with the result that in the last it always had to yield.
- "4) Thus, in acting as it had done, the I.G. contracted a great responsibility and constituted a substantial and in the chemical domain decisive help to Hitler's foreign policy which led to war and the ruin of Germany.
- "5) Thus I must conclude that I.G. is largely responsible for Hitler's policy."

The qualifications to the statements which I have just read begin on this same page, page 66 of the English book and 77 of the German book. I will now read I believe all the qualifications which the defendant von Schnitzler made to these statements:

"This statement-" referring to the statement from which I have

Court VI - Case VI 2 Sep 47-M-10-3-EHM-Schwab (Katz)

been reading excerpts - "still appears to me to be entirely true to the best of my knowledge and belief, except that:

"a. Generally speaking, it does not sufficiently separate the prewar from the war period--"

THE PRESIDENT: Pardon me, counsel. We have this statement directly in front of us and, unless you think it is highly important to repeat it for the record. I can assure you that the Tribunal will read it thoroughly. We have its connection with the statement. Perhaps we can save a bit of time — unless there is something in particular that you want to emphasize.

MR. DUBOIS: I would just like to read — I will just read one statement which appears later, on page 70 of the English book and page 80 of the German book, which begins:

"On page 11, paragraph 3, sub-headings 4 and 5" — which were part of the summaries which I read — "I must now make the following qualifications. I believe that I and I.G. Farben, as a whole have contracted a great responsibility before God in that our acts constituted a substantial help to Hitler's foreign policy. However, I did not mean that I accept any responsibility in the legal sense. To the best of my conviction, we never did anything unlawful against humanity. But we were in this terrible boat with Hitler, and since we have participated in handling this boat, we have a responsibility before God — and consequently before mankind."

The last affidavit, NI-5197, appearing on page 67-

THE PRESIDENT: Of which book, please?

MR. DUBCIS: On the second document book -- I am sorry. It begins on page 58 of the second German document book.

THE PRESIDENT: We understand counsel to say 67 of the English book.

MR. DUBOIS: Sixty-seven of the English Document Book No. 2. The affidavit begins — I will just read one excerpt from this affidavit which appears on page 89 of the English book and page 80 of the German book, entitled "Summary by von Schnitzler":

Court VI - Case VI 2 Sep. 47-M-10-4-EFM-Schwab (Katz)

"In 1934 the Vehrmacht became important and, with increased tempo after 1936, the Wehrmacht became the prominent factor in the whole picture. Since 1934, a strong movement for investments in our plants for commodities of decisive military importance became more and more pronounced with the main objective of increasing the military potential of Germany. At first, autarchic principles to make Germany independent of importation from abroad was one of the leading objectives. Since the declaration of the Four Year Plan in 1935, this movement took an entirely military character and military reasons stood in the foreground. Hand-in-hand with this, the relations between I.G. and the Wehrmacht became more and more intimate, and a continuous union between I.G. officials on the one side and the Wehrmacht representatives on the other side was the consequence of it."

The qualification to that is rather short and I would like to read that. It appears a little later:

"In the summary by von Schnitzler, beginning at the bottom of page
4, I over-exaggerated the degree of I.O.'s relations with the Wehrmacht
before the outbreak of the war. In the beginning it was not the Wehrmacht but rather the REM and the Four Year Plan which acted as representatives of the Reich in the different dealings with synthetic oil, buna,
synthetic fibers, a.s.c., and the Wehrmacht was primarily not directly
engaged in these so-called 'autorchy dealings'. Of course, the Wehrmacht
was highly interested in them and made tests with all the products contained in that program."

Some question has been raised on the numbering of these exhibits. I had numbered the von Schnitzler affidavita NI-5191 in Book 2 and NI-5196 in Book I as 40 and 41 with the understanding that 38 and 39 had been used at least for identification purposes in Book III.

THE PRESIDENT: We have the exhibits so numbered, except that I have not checked to see whether what you say with reference to Book III is correct. The last number in Book III that was used was 38, as we have

HH. DUBOIS: The Secretary General's last number is apparently 37.

THE PHESIMENT: In Book III, Document D-204, which is further identified as USA-758, is identified as Exhibit 38.

Secretary General has an indication that there was some objection made there and that that had not been finally accepted.

THE PRESIDENT: That may be true as we are presently advised, but the exhibit does bear that number and I think we are in harmony on that.

NR. DUBDIS: Fine. Well, then I ask that the document in Book 2, NI-5191, be numbered 39 and that the document in Book I, NI-5196, be mumbered 40.

Now, proceeding with a few documents in Document Book 2 which I had not offered before pending the clarification with defense counsel of this question of the introduction of documents which have been sworn to or certified by witnesses who could be called to Muernberg. The map on the wall, a copy of which is in this document book, indicates the number of subsidiaries or lesser participations which I.G. Ferben held in various countries throughout the world. These figures are based upon two lists of participations: I.G. Ferben's participations in Germany and I.G. Ferben's foreign participations.

THE PRESIDENT: Pardon me, in order that there may be no confusion, are you speaking of the map on the wall as being document NI-9765?

MR. DU BOIS: NC-9763.

THE PRESIDENT: Yos, 63 is correct.

MR. DU BOIS: Now these figures which are contained on those maps are based on these perticipations and we offer first a listing of I.G. Farban demostic participations together with the affidavit of fermer amployees of Farban, cortifying as to the source of this list. I ask that the document NI-9286 be numbered as presecution exhibit 42 and NI-9503 as presecution exhibit, I am sorry, it should be 41 and NI-9503 as presecution exhibit 42. And then the list of I.G. Farban foreign perticipations, which have affidevits and cortificates at the end, I ask that it be accepted as presecution exhibit h3.

THE FRESIDENT: Do you refer to

MR. DU BOIS: NI-95hD apporring on page 22 of the English document book. As prosecution exhibits MA, MS and M6. I offer NI-10033 on page 49-A of this document book and NI-10034 appearing on page 49-B, NI-9445 appearing on page 49-C. The last document being an affidavit by Ernst Struss giving the source of the information for the provious document.

As prosecution exhibits 47 and 48 I offer NI-16029 and NI-10030 appearing on pages 50 and 51 respectively, which are charts of plants in one case ewood and operated by Ferben in Germany as of 1944 and in the other case ewood and operated by Dynamit Nobel, A.G. Both of these charts have been certified to by Dr. Struss. I would like to make one statement with respect to this last chart. Dr. Struss was somewhat troubled that in that chart the way in which he showed the Wasag plant as being connected with DAG — might load to some misimpression. He had sent me, which I get this morning, an affidavit in which he points out that he has learned that I.G. had a 52% interest in Wasag, through Worsburg GmbH. and 25% in Doneuchemie, consequently a majority interest in the Wasag was held by I.G. and not DAG. I will have copies of this affidavit made in English and German and distribute them as supplements

2 Sep 47-M-FjC-11-2-Msehan (Katz) Court VI Caso VI

to this document to the court and defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Now counsel, going back to your document book 2, you have not yet given the map of which a reproduction is on the wall and which is identified as NI-9763 a number; is that correct?

MR. DUBOIS: That is right. For convenience sake, it is based on documents already in the book, but I would like to ask that that be numbered pros cution exhibit 49.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. DUBOIS: And I offer NI-7239, which appears on page 53 of the English document book, which is an affidavit of Paul Denicker concerning a 1926 agreement between Farbon and DAG, as prosecution exhibit 50.

I believe that concludes the document book 2, except for the affidevit of Werner Hagert whom we can put on the stand at the appropriate time. We will discuss with defense counsel whether they would like him put on when this is introduced or be put on leter as we have several affidavits of his to be offered.

THE PRESIDENT: Very woll.

MR. DUBOIS: Mr. Amehon will procoed with further documents in document book 3.

MR. AMCHAN: On book 3, if your Honors please, first some purely formal metters, I think the record should show that defendant Schmitz! counsel is present in court.

THE PRESIDENT: That may be assumed unless there is a showing to the contrary.

MR. AMCHAN: In document book 3, NI-4833 is before your Honors as prosecution exhibit 26 for identification and NI-5170 is before your Honors as prosecution exhibit 27 for identification. We ask that they both be marked in evidence as prosecution exhibits 26 and 27 respectively.

THE RESIDENT: So ordered.

MR. AMCHAN: With respect to presecution exhibit 37 being D-203, which appears on page 64, we understand that is in evidence.

Document No. D-204 we now offer in evidence, which appears on page 74.

That document is a memorandum by the defendant Krupp von Bohlen with respect to the meeting of 20 February 1933 where Hitler addressed the select group of industrialists.

Now we indicated at the other session that with respect to documents 203 and 204 that these documents were before the IMT. Counsel for the defense seemed to have raised some question on the facts. We have a certificate which we will give the secretary, by the custodian of the IMT records, certifying to the effect that the two documents just identified were efficially before the Tribunal.

In addition for the record, we should like to mad from the official record of the International Wilitery Tribunal, which is contained in volume 2 of the Blue book, page 226 being the afternoon session of 23 November 1945. This is a statement of the prosecution and I quote:

In a mamorandum dated 22 February 1933, and that refere to document D-204, Gustav Krupp describes this meeting briefly and in the memorandum wrote that he had expressed to Mitler the gratitude of the twenty five industrialists present at the meeting of February 20 1933.

Again reading from the record, "I might point out to the Gourt, that this memorandum together with the report of the speech of Hitler, which is Decument D-203, were found by the British and the United States Armies in the personal files of the defendant Krupp." Now the original of these documents, we have escertained, are in the possession of the British and they are not located in Germany. We shall endeavor to get a certificate from the British custodien and the appropriate affidevits of the effect that those two documents appeared from the personal files of Krupp. In the meantime for the record, we are laying the foundation to indicate that they were introduced in the International Military Tribunal without objection on the statement and apparently on the certificate made that these documents came as captured documents from Krupp.

I suggest, your Honors, this might be an appropriate time for recess.

2 Sep 47-M-FjC-11-4-Meshan (Lata) Court VI Case VI

THE PRESIDENT! It is only two minutes until time for lunch.
The Tribunal will rise at this time.

(A rocess was taken until 1330 hours.)

2 Sept 47 13-1- -- All-hocken (Runler)

Afternoon Session

(The irminal reconvened at 1330 hours, /

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

ira PhasiDady: are the defendants in the dockt

present save the defendants preggerann, Warete and Schritz absort due to illness.

DR BLETICEER: (Counsel for the defendar t Krauch.) Dr.
Bosttcher. Ar. President, the presecution has intended to call
the witness hagert today. We have ascertained that an affidavt of the
witness Hagert is not only contained in volume 2, but also in values
5. These two affidavits will probably be submitted by the presecution.
In view of this fact, we have reached an agreement with the presecution
to the effect that the witness hagert will only be heard after all
affidavits, which are to be submitted from him are available to
the Tribunal and are submitted as evidence. We would be grateful
if the Tribunal would agree to that sethed.

The FASSIDE T: The Tribunal has no objection to that procedure if it is natisfactory to counsel.

prosecution exhibit 51 the K L-9052 appearing on page 61.

Tro Phiblider: of witch volume, please?

iR MEDIS: Volume 2, document book 2.

The FRESIDET: The Tribunel is not clear as to what number you wish to assign to this affidavit?

IR Maula: I understood it would be 51.

1:3 PRESIDE T: Thank from.

A side Continuing, if Your honors please, with document book 3 with respect to the certificate of the custodian of the records of the International idlitary Tribunal which we referred to earlier this morning, I ask that it be larked for identification as prosecution exhibits 52 for identification.

COURT VI CASE VI

2 Sept 47-13-2-waEB-Neehan (Banler)

Then identify the following six documents as being in his custody as official records of the L.M.T.; Document D-151, Document D-203, D-204, D-317 and P.S.-2828.

The documents which we are about to offer relate to the charges sot forth in paragraphs 10 to 13 of the indictment and it relates to the charges of the financial support given by L.G. Farben to Hiller at the beginning of 1933. We offer in evidence as prosecution exhibit 53, K.L. 406 which appears on page 75 of the document book being an interrogation of Hyalmar Schacht on 20 July 1945 with respect to the occurances at the meeting of 20 February 1933. I should like very briefly to read excerpts from his interrogation for a the document book at page 87.

AND PRESIDENT: Will you give the German reference please?

AR ALCHAR: Shirt I don't have it just now but I will time it accordingly Page 18 of the German:

March 1933. I have been told by Goering and by Funk and by Paron von Schnizler and slao by Thyseon, that there was a meeting held in thehouse of Goering of certain prominent German industrialists at which you were also prosent in 1933. This was after Hitler became chancellor, but before the elections of that spring. Hitler came into the meeting and made a short speech and left. Then, according to the testimony of Funk, you passed the hat. This is Schacht testifying: "You asked the industrialists to support the Maxi Party financially to the tune of approximately seven, eight, nine or can million marks. Do you recall that?

answor: "I recall that meeting very well, and I have answered the same question to bajor Tilley. It must be in one of my former memorandums or in the hearings done by Major Tilley, as far as I remember, the meeting was not in Goering's house, but in some Motel room, I think, or some other more public room, after Hitler had made his speech the old Krupp von Bohlen answered Hitler and expressed

OURT VI CASE VI 2 Sept 47-11-3-wall-keeban (Renler)

the unanimous feeling of the industrialists to support Fitler. After that I spoke for the financial part only, not on political principles or intentions. And the abount which I collected was three million carss. The apportionment amongst the industrialists was made not by me, but by they themselves and the payments thereafter were made to the bank of belbrucek Schickler."

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 54, NI 9764, being an affidevit of Schacht, dated 18 August 1947, identifying the previous document book. There is a typographical error in the transcription and I should, therefore, like to read the corrected second paragraph into the record. The paragraph should read; "I made all of the statements appearing in this interrogation to Clifford H ymning, afinancial investigator of the American forces, of my own free will and without secretion. I have reread this interrogation today and can state that all of the facts contrined therei a are true to my best knowledge and belief."

And this now appears in Schnet's handwriting :

"...with the exception that the Golden Swastike
did not entail honorary numbership of the Party",

referring to page 34 of his interrogation.

JUDGE MORRIS: Er. Prosecutor, I believe that was paragraph 3 of the affidavit rather than paragraph 2.

MR. AMCHAN; You're ontirely correct, Your Honor.
Paragraph 3.

We offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit
55, NI 9550, being an affidavit of Schacht, of 12,
August 1947, concerning the collection and distibution
of the funds which were collected after the February
20 motting. It appears at pagelll and there are two
pertinent paragraphs which I believe it would be helpful
to read.

Paragraph 2:

"The amounts contributed by the participants in the meeting of 20 February 1933 at Georing's house 3 September A-RB-14-2 Leanard (Rammler) Court 6 Case 6

were paid by them to the Bankers Del week Schickler & Co., Berlin, to the cre dit of an ount 'Nationale Trouband' (which may be translated as National Trust-coship'). It was arranged that I was entitled to dispose of this account, which I administered as a trustee, and that in case of my death, or that in case the trusteeship should be terminated in any other way, Rudolf Hess should be entitled to dispose of the account.

"I disposed of the amounts of this account by writing out checks to Mr. Hess. I denot know what Mr. Hess actually did with the memory.

brucek Schicklor & Co. and had the balance transferred to the 'Account Is' with the Reichsbank which read in my name. Later on I was ordered directly by H itler, who was authorized by the assembly of 20 February 1933 to dipose of the amounts collected, or through Hoss, his deputy, to pay the balance of about 600,000 Marks to Ribbontrop."

We offer next in evidence, if Your Honors please, as
Prosecution Exhibit 55,NI 391, which is the file from the
Bankers Delbrucek Schickler & Company indicating the correspendence and the accounts with respect to the fund.
It appears at page 112 of the document book and I
should like to direct Your Honors' attention to page
114. It is the authorization by I. G. Farben to
Schickler and says:

"We are informing you herewith that we have authorized the Dresdner Bank in Frankfurt/M., to pay you temorrow RM 400,000 which you will use in favor of the

348

2 September-1-RB-14-3 Leonard (Rammler) Court 6 Case 6

Account 'Nationale Trouband' (National Trustcoship)."
On page 111.....

With respect to the letter I just read, the signature on behalf of I. G. Farbon is by Solek, who is a deceased Vorstand number.

On page 112, if Your H onors please, is the transcript of the account of the bank. I particularly direct Your Honors' attention to the entry marked 28 February 1933, stating..... The entry indicates under 28 February 1933;

"Fob. 28 I. G. Ferbenindustric A.G. Frankfurt/M
March 1, RM 400,000" and I respectfully call Your Honors'
attention to the fact that this is the largest single
contribution made by any single individual, as appears
from the account, and I would also ask Your Honors
to please notes the transfers of the monies at the
various times Rudolf H eas, particularly the transfer
on March 2 of \$300,000 which was three days before
the critical election of March 5.

We next offer in evidence, if Your Honors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 57, NI 9784, which appears at page 115 of the English document book. It is a photostatic copy of an exhibit which was before a United States Senate Investigating Committee. The document is a report from a foreagn representative of Dupont and Company to his home office in New York and the report refers to conversations which he had with Bosch, the chadrman of the I. G. Farben Verstand in July 1933. We offer that in support of the general allogations and charges in the indictment as to the alliance between industry and Hilter. We

Court 6 Case 6

should like, very briefly, to call Your Honors' attention, to page 117 of the document book. The third paragraph. It's under the heading of Mr. Crane, Dupont's representative, having soon Dr. Carl Bo sch at Heidelberg, July lo.

THE PRESIDENT; Would not it be possible for you to give us just the substance of that and save reading it since we are going to read it and have it before us? If you can, and conserve time, try and do so.

MR. AMCHAN: I'm trying to. It just occurred to me that short passages-two or three sentences-would be preferrable to a longer explanation. I'm trying to discretion, Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT; Vory well.

"There followed a general discussion of the oconomic situations in Germany and in the United States, and Prof. Bosch confirmed our opinion that times in Germany at present are very difficult, and for industry the last few weeks have been especially difficult. Whereas the Revolution of 1918 consisted of the middle and upper classes against the lower, the present revolution consists of the lower and middle classes against the upper class and industry. Just now it is a question of Fascism and Bolshovism...."

I'm reading page 131 of the Gorman Book.

"Just now it is a question of Fascism and Bolshevism, and industry must support the present
government to prevent further chaos. In the beginning, Hilter did not consult industrial leaders, but
in recent weeks he has shown his stability by curbing
the more extreme a lement of the Party and bringing

350

the industrial leaders into consultation with him. Dr.

Bosch has been in Berlin in direct contact with the
government, and in fact spends practically fall of his
time between his dwelling in Heidelberg and the
government offices in Berlin, thus leaving very
little, if any, time for the effairs of the I. O.

Farbenindustrie."

ns Prosecution Exhibit 58, Decument PS 2828, which was officially in the record tefore the IMT, which is an excerpt from an interrogation of Georing of 25 June 1945 and, at page 125 of the English decument book, Georing very plainly indicates that "we had the support of all industry in the 1933 elections."

We offer next in evidence, if Your Henor please, as Prosecution Exhibit 59, NI 6766, being an afficavet of Wilhelm Keppler, who was the economic advisor to Hilter. The affidavit is of 9 May 1947, stating that many leading industrialists, including Beach of I. G. Farben, rushed to call upon Hilter and resured him of their support. The affidavit is on page 127 of the document book and is self-explanatory.

We offer next in evidence, as Presecution Exhibit 60,NI 628 which appears at page 128 of the
English document book. It is a proclamation of a
General Economic Council made by Hilter on 15 July
1933, and we direct Your Honors' attention to the fact
that among the members of that Economic Council was
Carl Bosch of I. G. Farbon. The first paragraph on
page 128 indicates the purpose for which this Economic
Council was created. We believe it would be helpful to

2 Soptember A-RB-14-6 Loonard (Rammler) Court 6 Case 6

state to Your Honors that this document of the announcement of a General Council is on 15 July 1933, Preseaution Exhibit 57, being the Dupont Report, was on a conference with Bosch five dags earlier.

We next offer in evidence, if Your Honors please, as Presecution Exhibit 61,NI 9285, which is an excerpt from the Frankfurt Zeitung of 16 July 1933 explaining the purpose of this General Council. The purpose for which we offer this document is to indicate that this general public notice was given in Germany of the erestion and membership of this Council.

2 September 47-A-AK-15-1-Leonard-(Rammler)
COURT VI CASE VI

We offer next in evidence, if Your Honors please, as Presecution Exhibit 62, NI 1105, which appears at page 131 in the English document book and is an announcement of a meeting of the Council for Economic Propaganda, created by Goebbels, and on page 131 and 133 of that document, Your Honors will note that, as members of this Propaganda Council, appear the names of the defendants Schnitzler, Mann and Gattineau.

We next offer in evidence, if Your Honers please, as Prosecution Exhibit 63, Decument PS 1390, which appears on page 136 of the English document book. That is the decree of the 28 February 1933, the day after Farban's contribution, suspending the Goratitutional guarantees in Germany. At page 136, I believe it's of interest to point to Article 1 because it is not a statute which negatively suspends Constitutional guarantees; it affirmatively suspends the Constitution. It states: It appears on page 160 of the Gorman book.

"Sections 114, 115, 117, 118, 123, 124, and 153 of the Constitution of the German Neich are suspended until further notice. Thus, restrictions on personal liberty, on the right of free expression of opinion, including freedom of the press, on the right of assembly and the right of association, and violations of the privacy of postal, telegraphic, and telephonic communications, and warrants for house-searches, orders for confiscations as well as restrictions on property, are also permissible boyond the logal limits otherwise prescribed."

The significance of the focument, and the purpose for which we offer it, is that the program which these defendants supported was public and well known to them.

We offer next in syldence, if Your Honors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 64, NI 6903, which are excerpts from the Voelkiecher Beobachter of March, 1933, setting forth the measures taken by the new government which excluded the Communists and other Parties from the Reichstag.

353

2 September 47-A-AK-15-2- Leonard-(Rommler)
COURT VI CASE VI

Again, public notice of public events.

We offer next in evidence, if Your Honors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 55, being PS 2901, the statute of 1933 giving the new Hitler government legislative power and the power to deviate from the Constitution.

With respect to the next document, which is FS1947, we do not have the original of that document. It came to us from the records of the INT. We offer it as Prosecution Exhibit 66 for the purpose of showing that the program of the Ritler & varnment was known and public knowledge throughout. The battle against the working class, the battle against the Catholic Church, and the battle against the Jews and, in that connection, we respectfully ask Your Henors to take Judicial notice of the findings of the F'I which appear at page 179 of Volume I of the Blue Book which contains the judgment, under the title "Consolidation of Power". One so tence will be enough for present parpooner; at page 179 the INT stated:

"In their determination to remove all sources of opposition, the NSDAP leaders turned their attention to the trade unions, the churches and the Jews."

And the purpose of Document PS 1947, which was our last Exhibit 56, was to show that they succeeded substantially in that program.

Before leaving Document Book #3, if Your Honors please, may we go back to Prosecution Exhibit 35, for identification, which is on page 2 of the index of Document Book 3. That refers to Document PS 3337. When it was offered in evidence, deferse counsel invoked the twentty-four hour rule. That time has passed and we now offer that document as Prosecution Exhibit 35 in evidence.

2 September 47-A-AK-15-3-Leonari-(Rammler)
COURT VI CASE VI

With that we conclude the proof in conjection with paragraphs

10 to 13 of the locument book and Miss Vayor of the presecution staff
will proceed with Document Eack #4.

FISS WAYER: Way it please the Tribunal, I am Nise Mayer for the presecution.

The documents which the prosecution is about to offer are set forth in Document Book #4. They relate primarily to Sections 14 and 15 of the indictment which charge, in substance, that, immediately after the institution of the Next regime in Germany, German industry reorganized to support Hitler's political objectives and German: industry, generally, and 1.6. Ferces, in marticular, made immediately evailable the funds required by the Natis to accomplish their purposes. Before offering in evidence our first three documents, the prosecution respectfully requests that the Indical take judicial natice of certain findings of the International Military Induced which were based on two of these focuments. These findings are contained in Volume I of the Official Text of those proceedings at page 183. They read as follows:

"In this recognization of the economic life of Germany for military purposes the Mail government found the German armament industry quite willing to cooperate and to play its part in the rearmanest program. In April, 1935, Gustav Krupp von Bohlen submitted to Hitler, on behalf of the Reich Associatio: of German Industry, a plan for the reorganization of German industry which he stated was characterized by the desire to coordinate economic measures and political accessity. In the plan itself, Krupp stated that the turn of political events is in line with the wishes which I myself and the Beard of Directors have charished for a long time.

"What Krupp meant by this statement is fully shown by the draft text of a speech which he planted to deliver in the University of Berlin 2 September 47-A-AK-15-4-Leonard-(Rammler) C OURT VI CASE VI

ir January, 1944, although the speech was, in fact, never delivered.
Referring to the years 1919 to 1933, Krupp wrote:

"It is the one great merit of the crtire Germanwar economy
that it did not remain idle during those bad years, even though
its activity could not be brought to light for obvious reasons.
Through years of secret work, scientific and basic ground work was
laid in order to be ready again to work for the German armed forces
at the appointed hour without loss of time or experience.

"Only through the secret activity of German enterprise, together with the experience gained meanwhile through the production of peace time goods, wasit possible, after 1933, to fell into step with the new tasks arrived at metoring Germany's military power."

2 Sent-A-Fi-16-1-love (Int. Rangler)

Court No. VI, Case VI

The Prosecution of ors as its Schibit 67, D-157 which appears at page 60 of the English soccurent Book and at page 70 of the German. This document was the Critic States Exhibit 765 in the proceedings before the International filtrary Tribunal, was read into the record of those proceedings on 23 overbor 1545 but was not formally introduced in evidence and a witter entil 27 February 1546. These facts are certified by the Prosecution of the hit ho. 52 already in evidence. This document is a latter from Gustav Trull to Ritlor of 25 April 1533 in his capacity as Chairman of the such Association of German Industry, which was the largest association of or an industrialists in Carmany. With his latter Krupp transmitted to below the plan of the spich Association for the re-organization of Strum industry. The purpose of the re-organization is stated in the latter of transmittal in the second paragraph, page 50 of the anglish upon out Sook, sage 78 of the Carman. That paragraph states, in part - 1 rook the livel three managements:

The stant with terms by the actichs Association of German Industry concerning the quantity or reorganization of trade associations has been characterized, on the one hand, by the similification and rationalization of all the industrial groups. This was aimed at in the widest circles of the Datoks Association and, last but not least, by myself. On the other hand, it was characterized by the right to co-ordinate, in the interest of the Sols nation, both aconomic measures and political necessity, acostic the Sols nation, both aconomic measures and political

The Prosecution offers as its Exhibit No. 60, NI-5982, at page 65 of the English Document ook and page 82 of the Cerman. This document is a press release of 4 mg 1933 issued by the Roich Association of Industry making public its representation and new purposes.

The Prosecution offers as its Exhibit No. 60, D-317, appearing at page 68 of the in_limit Document Book and page 64 of the German. This document was the Englad States Exhibit 770 in the proceedings before

Gourt No. VI, Cass VI

the International Hiliter, Tribunal. It was read into the record of those proceedings on two bur 23, 1945, but was not formally introduced or admitted invo evidence small February 27, 1946. These facts are contilied by the Proposetion Daibit No. 52 which is already in evidence. This document is the speach prepared by Gustav Grupp in January 1944 for delivery at the University of Berlin, in connection with which the International Educary Tribunal mass the findings previously read into the record.

The Prosuction offers as its Exhibit 70, Decement No. NI-9086, which appears at as a 72 of the English Document Book and at mag. 69 of the Gorman. The is in affidavit dated July 25, 1947 by Feli Karmann who, as will be shown in affidavits to be efforce subsequently, was continuously colla Tro : 1926 on by the so-called Society for the Protection of the Interests of the Chemical Industry. In 1926, Chrisma bucam deputy many or of that group, and of its successors, the occnomic group for the chamical incustry. This afficavit is introduced for the purpose of sho to the tomition of I.G. Parbon in the overall industrial organization, the south Association of Industry, and in its component organization the Lociour for the Protection of the Interests of the Chemical Industry, It is also introduced for the further purpose of showing Farban's position in the Reich group incustry which was the successor to the old which Association and in the economic group for the chemical incusory thich was the successor to the Society for the Protuction of the Inderests of the Chemical Industry.

The next coccant is becament No. NI-100, a yearing at page 77 of the English Document Sock and 98 of the German. The Prosecution offers it as Exhibit 71. This occurrent is a law of 27 rebruary 1934 which appears in the Reichgesotzblatt, the German official guestz of 1934, in part I, at page USA. Article 1 of this law empozered the Reich Einister of Economics to reorganize the economic association as sold representative of their particular economic branches and to appoint and recall the

2 Supt- A_FT.-16-3-Lown (Int. Bamalur)
Court No. VI, Case VI

propriate decrees for the execution of the lat. Fursuant to this authority the Amister of aconomics issued an ordinance on the 27th of November 1934. This or induce, your Honors, has not been included in Document Book No. 17, and excerpts from it are being processed at this time. I wonder if I along read the official citation of the law for the record and have this parked for identification.

THE PRESTULT: If there is no objection that may be done.

page 1194. I ask that these Estman excerpts to marked for identification No. 72. The ordinance just referred to introduced the leadership principle into industry. The Prosecution respectfully requests that the Tribunal take redicted notice of the definition of leadership principle as found by the International Military Tribunal. It is set forth at the 176, of Volume I, of the official text. It reads as follows: This is at the top of the most:

"According to the principle each Fuchror has the right to govern, administer or decree, an ject to no control of any hind and at his complete discretion, surject only to the orders he received from above. This principle applies in the litest instance to Micher himself as the Labor of the Party and in a leasur degree to all other party officials. All members of the Party more an oath of sternal allegiance to the leader."

The Prosecution offers as its axhibit to. 73 document No. D-151, which appears at page 1 of the anglish Document Book and at page 1 of the German Document Dock. This exhibit was the United States Exhibit No. 831 in the proceedings before the Internstional Military Tribunal. It was also Great Trateur's Exhibit to. 256 and was introduced in evidence on Debruary 7, 1746, as is certified by the Prosecution's Exhibit No. 52. This exhibit is a collection of six documents, all of which refer to the establishment of the A olf Hitler Fund by the Reich Association of Industry. The second document in this collection which is set forth on

2 South A. Fin And Total Execution)
Court No. VI, Case VI

page 2 of the English Woom and Book and page 3 of the German, is a letter of 30 May 1933 from Erupp to Schacht. The first paragraph of this document describes the scope and purpose of the Fund, as follows:

"Pursuant to the short information which I together with Dr. Nouttgen had an opportunity of living you pusterday, it is intended to launch a drive, embracing the entire German economy including agriculture and banking business, with the aim of placing the collected money, to be called 'Mitter Jonation' at the disposal of the Forbrer of the Nazi Party. It is to replace the collections often used incividually by the various organizations of the JONE and the 'Steel Melact'. It was agreed to appoint a board take earn of this concentrated collection. At the unanimous results of the principal Jederation I have account the chairmanship of the board, actuated by the wish to devote my entire strength to this drive which is interpret to represent a symbol of gratitude to the leader of the nation."

The "Mitter condition" represents the union of German employers!

organization, and the "Steel Melmet" refers to that is known as "Stahlholm"

which was a Veterans or emission established shortly after orld for I.

I should like to kee: a short excerpt from one other document in this collection. It is the document which appears at page 3 of the Siglish Document Book and per- 6 of the German. This is a degree issued by Kitler's deputy, Encol! Doss, in August 1933. It reads — I am reading toward the beginning of the occurent:

"The purpose of the constion is: On the one hand to furnish the Central Administration with the seems required for the centralized execution of these tasks which are for the benefit of the SA, SS, Staffs, Hitler Youth, the political or attrations, etc.

"on the other sent to live the enterprises contributing to the Constitution the assurance, that their work for the reconstruction of the German economy will not be disturbed by unauthorized and unpredictable collections.

2 Section FI-16-5-Low. (Int. Receive)
Court No. VI, Case VI

"I forbid all actors, offices and institutions of the Party to collect Money from any enterprises and associations of the economy who are participating in the 'Adolf Hitler Donation of the German Sconomy'."

The Prosection offers as its exhibit No. 74, document No. HI-3799 which appears at 1856 3 of the english Document Book and page 12 of the German. This document is a memorandum for the files written by Carl Duisberg on a meeting at the Trade Association of the Chemical Industry or what has been referred to before as the Society for the Protection of the Interests of the Chemical Industry. This meeting discussed the rate of payment to the Adolf Mitther Fund and the method of computation at the bottom of As 2 5 of the English Book, Dage 12 of the German. The memorandum status:

2 Sept-A_FL-17-1-Love (Int. Ramalor) Court No. VI. Case VI

of the different rates. In this connection it was pointed out to him and this was also research many times in the course of the discussion that the I.G. was actually immediately prepared to subscribe to the
Adolf Hitler Fund within the framework of the first circular letter of
the Reich Association of German Industry and that they, on account of
their obsolutely positive attitude to the whole catter, were naturally
prepared to apport this colfection. It was only through the fixing
of the new increased rate at-" this, your Honors, should be I per
cent; the German says 10, per mille, that is a typographical error,
it should be I are cent, which had now ensued that there was a certain
surprise in I.G. repareing what could be the besis for such a varied
rate fixing and it sould certainly be against the spirit and the purpose of this contribution if the free attitude in this matter were to
be rendered acre difficult by such differentiations."

The musting than discussed these differentiations and it was ducided— I would like to read toward the bottom of page 4 which is at bottom of page 13 of the German Book:

"It was then considered to maintain a rate of- "this should be

1 per cent, "in respect of these groups of the chemical industry whose
wase proportion amounts to less than 15% of the production coast and
to fix a rate of-" this should be 2%, "in respect to all others.

"For I.G., it was stated that they would accordingly also may a rate of 12 as their ways proportion exceeds 15%; of course I.G. was always propered to may immediately."

I would like to refer to one more paragraph of this document which is on page 5 and appears at page 14 of the German book, as paragraph No. 6. In it Duisberg says that

"The object of the contribution is the support of the SA,"
He adds,

2 Sept-A-FI-17-2-Love (Int. Remalor) Court No. VI, Case VI

The SA consists at present of 900,000 members, 500,000 of whom are unemployed. The main purpose of this contribution is to allowate social distress in these circles. In this sense too we consider the contribution to be perfectly justified and absolutely necessary."

The Prosecution offers as its Exhibit 75, Document No. NI-585, which is set forth at 2000 7 of the English Document Book and page 15 of the German. This is a circular letter of 13 October 1934 signed by Krupp von Bohlen stating that first giving money to the Adolf Mitler Fund and usempt from contributions to other organizations which he lead with the exaception of the inter-Help Fund, which is to be maintained as a separate fund.

The Prosecution difers as its Exhibit No. 76, document No. NI-2912 which appears at page 9 of the English Document Book, and at page 17 of the Garman. This is a latter from Achenbach who reprosented the Garman employers' associations and was cated as Lirison between the Hitler Fund and the Nazi Ferty in which he speaks of money from the adolf Hitler Fund which he has corned over to Borman.

The next document to, MI-AD56, the Prosecution would like to offer no its Exhibit No. 77. It appears at page 11 of the English Document Book and page 19 of the German. It is a list of payments ands to the Adolf Hitler Fund by I.G. Farben from the years 1933 through 1944-45. This list was a list test by the Central Committee of the Verstand of I.G. Farben.

I should like to point to the last mentions of the list which says that, "all other fires of the Consern anks their contributions them-

These contributions included only payments for the plants listed in the document. The total of contributions amount to approximately 13 million Reichserries. Attached to the list are admosted growth of those contributions by the limison between the Hitler Fund and the Party and

2 Sept-A-FI-17-3-Love (Int. Remaler) Court No. VI, Crau VI

by the Society for the Protection of the Interests of the Chamical Industry.

The Prosecution would like to call attention to the fact that these acknowledgements cover only the years 1933 through 1941, also in two instances there are slight discrepancies between the amount stated in the list of the Central Committee and the recent stated in the acknowledgements or receipts. However, the document as set forth here is exactly as it was found in the Farbon files.

The Presecution offers as its Exhibit 76, Document No. NI-4963, which is set forth at eage 20 of the English Document Book and page 27 of the German. This is an extract from a minute of the working committee of the I.G. Farbon Versiand which approves one of the contributions for the Adolf Hitler Fund. Attending this meeting were the defend ats Schmitz Suctofisch, Gajewald, Noerlein, Erruch, Kuchne, Jana, Ter Macr.

The Prosocution offers as its Exhibit 79 Document No. NI-4865 which appears at page 22 of the English Book and page 29 of the German. This is another extract of a minute of the working committee of the Farban Vorstand held on the 24th of June 1937, —I beg your perdon—this is not an extract, I think this is the full minute, and at the bottom of page 23, the Prosecution would call attention to the fact that another one of the contributions previously listed in the Prosecution's Exhibit 77 was approved. Present at this meeting were the defendants Schmitz, Brueggeman, Buctofisch, Gajawski, Ilgaer, Hoerlein, von Knieriem, Kuchno, Marm, Ter Meer, Oster, von Schmitzler.

The most does not is No. NI-9200 which appears at page 26 of the English Book, page 31 of the German Document Book which the Prosecution offers as its Adminit No. 50. This is an affidavit prepared by the Chief of the Office of I.C. Ferban's control committee, summarizing I.G. Farban's control committee, summarizing I.G. Farban's contribution to the Masi Party. The affidavit shows that between the years 1933 to 1945 I.G. Ferban contributed over 40 million Reichsmarks to the Masi Party and to various Party organizations.

The Presecution would like to call attention to the fact that there are a few that in the list of contributions to the Hitler Fund which are set forth on page 29 of this exhibit, there are a few discrepancies between the figures stated here and the figures in the listing of the central Committee, which was the presecution's Exhibit No. 77.

However, it is believed that this slight discrepancies were occasioned by the fact that the Control Committee used as its base the fiscal where as Bacssler used the calcular year.

Detailed as this affidavit is on the subject of financial contributions, the proscoution would like to point out that this listing is by no means exhausted while on this subject of Farben's support of the Party Government and organisation. For example, in this document only a few contributions abread are listed. For the most part this affidavit covers the contributions made to organizations within Germany and, as a subsequent point in our proof, the prosecution will show I.G. Farbon's support of the Party abroad.

which is set forth at pass 58 of the English Decument Book, page 76 of the German, and which is a certificate given to Dynamit-Nobel, a subsidiary of I.G. Farbon, showing its participation in the Hitler Fund and its contribution to it.

The presecution offers as its Exhibit No. 62 NI 6960 appearing on page 79 of the English document book, page 10h of the German. This is an announcement by the management of Perbon's Loverkusen Plant signed by the defendant Kuchne requesting all of the personnel to join the May Day Rilly of the Nazi Party to prove their will to cooperate.

The prosecution's Exhibit No. 83 is Decument NO. NI-1091 which appears on page 80 of the Em lish book and page 105 of the German. This is a letter from I.G. Farben in Frankfurt, I believe, to Dr. Wahl of

2 Sept.17-A-GJ-18-2-Princau-(Romaler)-Court 6

their Leverkusen Plant which states:

"We also would welcome to have a suitable representation of the National Socialist aims within the N.S. Factory Cell Organization of our plant in the near future."

The next six documents which the presecution effers in evidence are short extracts from minutes of the various committees of I.G.

Ferben and various of its plant conditions. It is believed that these excerpts are self-explanatory so that the presecution would merely like to offer them in evidence.

The prosecution effers as its exhibit No. Sh Decument No. NI-1884 appearing on page 81 of the English be k and page 106 of the Cramen.

This is a minute of a meeting of the Social Velfare Committee on Ludwigshafen on June 21, 1933.

The presecution offers as its Exhibit No. 85 Decument No. NI-5868 which appears on page 82 of the Anglish Decument Book and page 108 of the German Decument Book. This is a minute of a meetin of the Hosehat management hold on September 18, 1933.

The Prosecution offers as it's Exhibit No. 86 Decement No. NI-5869 which appears at page 83 of the English Decement Seek and page 115 of the Unman Document Book. This is a minute of a mouting of the Technical Management at Houghst which was held on 30 Detaber 1933.

I might say here that semetimes these decements -- in this particular case, the decement refers to a Verstand Meeting at Hocenst. This does not mean the Managing Board of Directors of the I.G. Farben. It means in this case the Technical agranuation of the plant.

The prescention offers as its exhibit No. 87 Document No. NI-5872 which appears on page 84 of the English and page 1176 of the German Document book.

This is a minute of the Technical Emagement at Hoochst dated November 27, 1933.

2 S.pt.47-A-GJ-18-3-Primoau-(Rammler)-Court 6

The Prosecution offers as its exhibit No. 88 Document No. NI-5873 which appears on page 85 of the English Document Book and page 117 of the Gorman Book. This is another minute of the Houchst Management of 5 harch 1934 with the defendants Lautenschlaeger and Yechne present.

The prosecution offers as its ashibit No. 89 Document NO. NI-LBLO which appears at page 86 of the English document book and at page 118 of the German document book. This was an extract from a report on a meeting of the Technical Comission at which it was decided that one of the training courses spasored by the Nazi Party should be participated in by apployees of I.G. Farben. This report was circulated to the defendants Kuchne, ter Meer, Krauch, hearlein, Gajowski and Jachne.

I believes this concludes the documents in Document Book No. IV Mr. Amehan will continue for the presecution.

MR. ANCHAN: I believe, your Honors, since Book V is rather substantial this might be an appropriate time for a rocces.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will rise for its rocess.
(A recess was taken.)

2 Sopt-A-MJ-20-1-Schrein (Mats) Court 6, Casu 6

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

ich. AlaDhan: If Your Honors please, in Book III, with respect to Prosucution Exhibit 66 in swidence, being PS-1947, I ask Your Honors to turn to the table of contents on page 3. Defense counsel has directed out attention to an error in the description as appears from the table of contents. It should not be Baroness von Schnitzler. The document itself indicates it is Baroness von Schnitzler. an entirely different person. I have already indicated the purpose for which we have offered that document. But the document itself is correct. The description in the index entroly is incorrect.

Mr. Spricher calls my at contion to the further fact that in the table of contents on the same document it is not you Fritache, the propogandist, but the author of that letter is won Fritach, without the "o", who was a German in the Chief of Staff of the German Army.

Document Book No. V, if Your Memors please, contains the proof relating to paragraphs 16, 17 and 18 of the indictment. The charges there made many briefly set forth that after the alliance started with Hitler, Farbon embarked on a transmident war production program, specifically a grogram of synthetic gaseline production, synthetic rubber, explosives, light metals, and related fields. To propose, if Your Memord please, to deal with the production proof in this case at some length when we come to consider Count 1+D of the indictment.

The evidence we propose to offer now in connection with paragraphs 16 to 18 of the indictment merely will indicate the high-lights of the respective fields of production, the amphasis being on the cirtical nature of the particular cosmodity and the time when those discussions and production plans were started. If st of the records which we shall offer in evidence will be reports of official German government agencies and of captured documents.

We offer first, as Prosecution Exhibit No. 90, NI-7123, which is

the minutes of a mosting of September 15, 1933, between representatives of Army ordenance and the Air Hinistry. It is marked "top secret."

The recorder of the minuted is Lt General von Bockelberg, the Chief of Army Ordence Department. It appears in the English document book on page 1.

The significant point to which we respectfully direct Your Henors' attention is as follows: "State Secretary Milch of the Air Hinistry is discussing with Ordnance the program for the first stage of re-arming the Luftwaffe." They are specifically discussing manufacturing properations, and agree, according to the minutes, on enlarging the I. G. Bitterfold plant to produce new electron metals. The minutes state that Ritterfold is also to produce thermite for incondiary bombs.

This is Suptumber 15, 1933. They agree to look into the question us to whether other German raw enterials other than electron metal could no used for incondiary bombs. They also agree on the necessity for stock-piling of Talvel and tri-nitro-Tuluel to be used as explosives in "E" bombs.

At page two of the document book we ask Your Kenors to please note, beginning with subject number 3, "Securing of Fuel Oil." It is on page 4 of the German document book. "S ate Secretary Elek —" that is the Air Ministry—"Handed to Lt. General von Bockelberg" —the ordnance Chief—"a memorandum of the L.G. (Dr. Krauch) concerning the expansion of the home raw materials basis, and suggested a joint energetic approach to the competent agencies in the matter. It would be necessary to appoint a commissar for carrying out the necessary steps."

The program for fuel oil is being discussed based on I.G. Farben's memorandum.

We offer nort in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 91, MI-7828, appearing on page 5 of the English document book, which are minutes of a conference at the Reich Haristry of Technomics on 6 November 1933.

Where representatives of the Eer Ennistry Air Ministry of the Ministry for Economy are present. We call particular attention to the bottom of the page 5 of the Gorman book—"State Secretary Milch" that is on page 3 of the Gorman book—"State Secretary Milch supports the Detailed explanations of the Chief W-A—"which I understand is ordnance—"specially stressing the point that when decisions are made, war economic aspects must take priority until such time as some sort of adequate basis can be found in middle Germany for dealing with the question of the supplies mecassary in the event of a var."

Immediately following, at the top of page 6, appears this notation in the minutes: "In conclusion, it is agreed that the detailed preliminary estimates for securing supplies by stock-piling of raw materials and the unlargement of raw material plants and depots which at present are worked out by the tray Ordnanes Office, should be submitted to the Reich limistry for Decrease in the near future and should serve as bases for further persuance."

Your Monors will places note, on page 7 of the document—still the simutes of this meeting, under "e" where they are discussing details—it is on page ten of the German document book:
"Setting up of new plants in contral Germany. (Hydrogenation plant on the basis of mineral oil."

A little furtherdown, if Your Memors will note, under No. 2,
"Proparations for the 'A-Fall." The record already indicates that
'A-Fall' was the code now for the event of war.

Further down, under "D", "ditric acid: Construction of a concentration plant has been started...." We shall later show that it is the I. G. Farben plant that started that "Toluch" which is an explosive; "Tetablishment of supply depots, increase of production by setting up near gas plants."

The next three decuments, if Your Honors places, show the beginning

of the agreement for the production of synthetic gasoline. First, as Prostcution Exhibit 92, we offer in evidence NI-881, which is the agreement between I.G.Farbon; its subsidiary, Ameonisment, and the Maich Government dated the Ecomber, 1933. We ask Your Honors to particularly note that on bounds of the subsidiary Ameonialswork, this contract was executed by Bosch and the defendant Schmitz.

At page 10 and that the document book there a peers a supplemental agreement in connection with this basic contract, and we call Your Henors! attention to the fact that those agreements were signed by the defendants Schwitz and you Enjoyiem.

The basic contract appears on page 11 of the document book, which is page 15 of the German book. Paragraph 1-or rather Article 1 is of especial interest. "Associational places itself to enlarge the installations for the production of synthetic conzine--" Incidentally, they mean gaseline. The Inglish translators always refer to it as bunsine, "...for the production of synthetic gaseline at Lewns to such an extent that within the period of 1 July 1934 to 31 December 1934 a production of 80,000 tens will be reached, and by 31 December 1937 at the latest a production of 300,000 tens is a minimum and of 350,000 tens as a maximum, as applied for the year."

Article 2, we believe, is significant. "For gosoline produced after I July 193h in quantities according to Article 1, the Reich guarantees to Ammoniakwork for the curation of 10 years, that is, until 30 June 194h a price in marks which corresponds to the costs of production."

Article III we also feel has special significance. The Reich plodges itself to take measures for the sale of the quantities of gasoline manufactured according to Paragraph 1 during this period."

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 93, Document NI-319, which is a copy of a letter from 1. G. Farben signed by Doseh and the defendant Schmitz relating to this contract in which I.G. Farben guraantees the 2 Sept-A-MJ-20-5-Schreb (Katz) Court 6, Caso 6

performance of its subsidiaries.

We offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 9h, MI-320, which appears on page 16 of the English document book. It is a note from the Secretary of Hitler's Chancellery.

THE PRESIDENT: Can you give the German reference?

2 Sept 47-A-BJ-21-1-Schwab-(Katz) Court No. VI, Cass No. VI.

MR. AMCHAN: Page 41. It is a note from the Secretary of Hitler's Chancellory to the effect that the contrast was personally shown to Hitler for approval.

The next series of documents, if Your Honors please; the next three documents, specifically, relate to synthetic rubber, and indicate very priefly the early participation of T.G. Farban and the extent in the synthetic rubber program.

We offer as Prosecution Exhibit 95, NI-8326, which is an officant of Struss. Dr. Struss, the record indicates, was a secretary to the TEA, the technical committee and its administrative head. This affidavit contains a summary of the conferences which I.G. Forcen officials held with the military agencies of the German government from 1933 to 1938. We believe it will be helpful to call attention to certain significant ports.

On page 21 of the Emplish document book, reference to a conference of 22 Fabruary, 1945. It soprare on page 46 of the German document book. "I status: Army requests direction on the rubber question. Total peace requirements of the Army about 150-250 tons per month."

On the very next page, 22, a conference of 23 October, 1935—that figure is corrected—and I quota: "General discussion about disposal of future Suns production requirements of armed forces not 150-250 tons per month, as previously stated, but about 50 tons per month."

In 1935, then, peacetime requirements are strted to be 50 tens per month.

Further down, on the same page, 22, 4 February, 1936, reference to a letter from the defendant Ter Near to Hitler's Plenipotentiary for Economic Problems, Keppler. They discuss "Draft for a contract between the Reich Economic Kinistry and I.G. concerning the construction of a plant—" that is, a

"rubber plant..." with a capacity of 200 ton of synthetic rubber per month." And then the next item indicates they are discussing the Schkopau plant. On the next page, under the item 5 June 1936, we call Your Honors attention to the notation "Utmost speeding up of the further development and avoidance of useless investigations."

17 June, 1936, "Conference report between Dr. Struss and the Staff for Raw Materials and Foreign Exbhange, under the chairmanship of Dr. Krach, together with officials of Meich War Ministry." The conference is about a possible extension of the Buna Factory Schkepau which is under consideration from a capacity of 200-that 800 is an error—from a capacity of 200 to 1,000 tons a month.

In 1936, the next item: June and July. 16 to 29 June,
1 to 10 July. "Correspondence between the Staff for Raw
Naterials and Foreign Exchange, Lt. General Loeb and I.G.
concerning the extension of the Buna Factory Schkepau to a
capacity of r thousand tons a month and the possible construct—
ion of a second plant for a thousand tons a month."

Further down, on page 23, 29 September 1936, "correspondence between the Fuehrer, for Plenipotentiary Economic Problems, Keppler, and Dr. Ter Meer," the defendant Ter Meer, re.:
"the extension of the Schkopau punk plant to two thousand
tons per month, and the problems connected with this."

Further down, 5 November, 1936, "letter: Office for German Raw & Synthetic Material (Lt. Col. Loeb) to I.G., concerning the dispatch of draft contracts for Schkopau Buna production of 2,000 tons per month."

Page 25 of the document book, the item under 5 January, 1937; page 50 of the German document book, again a letter to the defendant Ter weer from the Office for German Raw & .

2 Sec 47-A RT. 21 3 Schwab (Katz)

Synthetic Materials. "Increase of Bune output to 3,000 tons per month by January, 1938..." That is an error -- 48 -- it should be January, 1938.

The document NI-8326 in this brief feshion indicates we think the speed end extent to which I.G. Ferben, as early as 1936 and 1937 amberked upon the program of synthetic production, and we ask Your Honors to consider that in reference to the stated fact in the record, that in 1935 they know that the bance-time requirements of a German military is 50 tons per month.

We next offer in evidence, if Your Honors please, as

Prosecution Exhibit 95, NI-832? which appears on page 27 of
the #nglish document book and at page 55 of the German document
book. It is a speech by *r. Struss delivered 13 May, 1938.

The effidivit preceeding the text of the speech indentifies Dr. Struss. That is on page 47 of the English book. And
since it is a rather lengthy speech I have indicated appropriate excorpts which are bather short and which we believe
fairly indicates the tenor of that address.

First, on page E9 of the English document book, which is
54 of the German book, the German Four Veer Plan was proclaimed
by the Fuchrer on September, 1936, on the Party Day at Nurnberg:
"Within four years German must be entirely independent from
foreign countries with regard to those products which possibly can be manufactured in some way or other by German
ability, by our own chemistry and mechanical industry, and
by our own mining industry."

"Mentioning chemistry first shows already that this section will take a very important part in the plan, and in the technical field it is again the I.G. Farben industry which is highly participating in the Four Year Plan by its extensive

2 Sep 47-A-BJ-21-4-Schwab-(Katz) Court VI, Case VI.

"scientific experiments and technical progresses."

We refer next, if Your Honors please, to page 33 of the English document mok, the middle of the page. "The second important item amongst..."

THE PRESIDENT: Just a moment. If you can give the Garman reference it will excedite the translation.

MR. AMCHAN: I shall try to read slowly, if Your Honors Blesse.

THE PRESIDENT: Just observe generally that, insofar as you can, if you can have the German references noted — it may take a moment to do that, but it will save more time when we come to the matter of translation because we will have to stop you very frequently — and it is an interruption to you and to all of us.

MR. AMCHAN: We will beer that in mind.

"The second important item amonst the metals represents the copper.

From this material in Germany only small quantities are available and a substitute cannot be created by the chemistry. However, the possibility exists to replace same in a great extent by the lightmatals aluminium and magnesium. Magnesium, the lightest metal largely used today in the alloyings for the construction of peroplenes and motorcars, can be produced in unlimited quantities out of pure German materials."

The next page 34 of the English Document Book:

"The Germon Aluminium production is at present the biggest in the world, since national socialism case to power it increased from 20 000 metric tons per annum to about 120 000 metric tons and is at present further on the increase.

As for as oros and metals are concerned chemistry has made still further progress using to cant of time I cannot however go into details. I would only manti a that in our works at Wolfen". —MR. AMCHAN: That's a Farben plant at Wolfen——"a large plant is being completed, in which sulchuric acid is produced from German gypsum, whereas formerly the requisite ores, sulphurous pyrites, had to be imported from abroad."

MR. ANCHAN: On page 41 under the title of "Sacoline" it appears
at page 58 of the German document book -- this is a very significant
passage:

"I will now come to a field in which we shall become independent of foreign countries in a few years. I am speaking of fuels and lub-ricents, our import demands of which still amounted to RM 300 millions in the last year. Not only this amount demonstrates the importance of this field, it is emphasized by the political simulficance of the petroleum. A little example of the recent past may explain this.

Italy won the obyssinian war by modern weapons and by building special highways. In modern wars the consumption of gasoline for metorised troops, tanks, seroplanes, is immense. As the troops advanced into the

country the military roads became immensely long, as was the case in Abyssinia -- all reinforcements, provisions for the fighting troops etc. had to be transported by car -- and the consumption of gastline increased still further, in addition an extensive motor park had to be kept in order to supply the motorised units of the fighting forces the air-bases built in Inner-Abyssinia. Although provisions had been made beforehend, it was impossible to store these enormous amounts of gasoline needed in the Italian territories on the coast before the war, they had to be filled up continually the same as men, weapons and amounition. A Italy has no petroleum of her own she was relying on the continual import from abroad.

Nearly all the petroleum in the world is controlled by USA and the countries that are members of the league of Nations, if therefore gasoline has also been included in the sanctions, as proposed by included and France, the war would have come to an end wory soon. Italy could win the abyssinian war and build her empire only because ingland and France count not carry into effect their intentions.

This example, says by. Struss, will make it clear to you, the t it is cuite out of question, that Germany will run the risk of a similar situation and for this reason also the German demand of fuel has to be covered by Germany herself before long. The processes necessary have been developed to such an extent — especially by the I.G. — that the realisation of this gigantic task is possible."

MR. IJ-CHAM: Our next reference, if your monor please, is to document No. NI-6194 which we offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit
97. This is a report of the High Command of the Armed Forces and is
a report from the Government giving their story of the synthetic gasoline program and its importance, rather synthetic rubber program, and
its importance to the German War Economy. Page 57 of the document which
appears at page 65 of the German Document Book. This is the official
German military agency:"

2 September 47-4-55-22-3-Burns ("ctz) Court 6 Casa 6

military and wer scoremy. This applies, due to the motorization and mechanization of the armed forces to a particularly large extent.

to all three branches of the armed forces: The army, the Navy, and the Air Force. It is therefore understandable that the Economic armament Department of the ligh Commend of the armed forces responsible for the war accommy of the armed forces since its first beginnings as an economic department of the army ordered before responsible for the particular philadical long before resumement started."

MR. AMCHAN: On page 62 which is page 68 of the German Document Book on difficulties in introducing Bunn:

The Armed Forces endeevered already at an early stage to give full support to Bunz. At the suggestion which the Economic Department made to the army Ordnance Office (Vi Ru Amt), a meeting took place on 28 July 1933 at the Armement Testing Office with the I. G. Farben as producer and owner of the patents. The I. G. representatives captained the basic principles for the production of Bunz are stated that they alone were not in a postion to get Gera a incustry to a ray out actailed research on Bunz. There were too difficulties in the way of such experiments:

- 1) The oraclasing of Bung starts the total transformation of the works, and this in turn means that if the synthetic product is to be properly worked, the industry is confronted with untirely new tasks.
 - 2) Since tires made out of Buns have a longer life, the introduction of such tires would result in decreased sales.

The frmy Ordinance not only promised its own full support but also declared itself propered to get other users such as the Post Office and State Railways, to order articles made of Buna from the industry and to make experiments.

At the bottom of page 64 we ask your Honor, to note that in passing that under "Ges Mesk Materials" experiments held in the firm of Phoeniz were not successful as they were not carried out in conjunction with I. G. Ferben and new experiments will start shortly. On page 68 and page 71 of the German book:

In spite of the fact that the Military Economic Staff constantly pressed for production to be cerried out on a scale and at a speed which would most the urgent requirements, it was unfortunately not possible to do this. Tready on 30 March 1935 the Reich Minister for Wer in a letter to the Plenipotentiary for Economic Affairs, Herr Keppler, stressed the necessity of creeting a larger plant for the production of Bune. On 21 September 1935 Chief General Tiomes

On the next page under "Transition to War Economy" this report states: "the strict organization introduced before the war and the general tendency clso at the outbreek of the war to maintain the former system of control proved its worth".

page 73 of the German book in the middle of the page:

"For, as already mentioned, not only is rubber on of the essential raw materials of industry, but its importance lies also in the fact that the chief branches of the Armod Forces and their ability to use their weapons is dependent on adequate supplies of rubber. Thus--- "this is the war report office-- "thus a shortege of rubber can actually decide the outcome of the war".

I shall not read the conclusion, if your Homor, please. It reiters the significant portions of this report which I have just read. The three exhibits just intorfuced relate, as I have invicated, to the beginning of Farben's participation and its early conferences with the military in connection with the synthetic rubber projection. The next document refers to its participation very briefly in connection with magnesium.

We offer next in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 98 NI-8317 being an affidavit of Dr. Struct concerning I. C. Farben's construction of a secret magnesium plent at Aschen for the Luftweffe in 1933. The date, we think, is rether dignificant. I shouldlike to call your attention briefly to some partinent provisions of that document. On page 74, second parter ph, which is page 76 of the Gorman Document Book:

"I. G. was the first industri I undertaking to develop the industrial production of magnesium. In 1927 I.G. started its natural production of magnesium in its Bitterfold plant. The yearly capacity of this plant was 1,500 tons in the beginning and from 1935 onward 4,000 tons.

In 1933 I.C. received from the Luftwaffe the order to build magnesium plant with the capacity of 12,000 tens a year. The Luftwaffe selected the site in .ken. The plant was partly complete in 1934 when production started. The plant and its production was to be kept secret by rear of the Luftwaffe.

The negotiations for the construction of the plant by I.G. were carried on between the Luftweffe and Dr. Pister
.....MR. IMCH N: Pister is a deceased Verstand member.....
of Bitterfeld. Subsequently Dr. Pister received from Schmitz,
that's the defendant Schmitz, a kind of blank approval to carry
on with the negotiations. This procedure was not unusual at that
time. The financial arrangement with the Luftweffe had already

2 Sept. 47, A-EC-23-4-(Burns) Court VI, Case VI.

been made before the project was submitted to the TEAT

MR. ANCHAN: On the next page 75:

"The total investment for engacesium and aluminum in then amounted to about 46,000,000 marks; and for magnesium alone it amounted to about 40,000,000 marks. I.G. furthermore obtained a special concession from the Ministry of Finance authorizing I.G. to provide for an annual 20% depreciation on machinery in the plant. The nerved depreciation was 10% and so I.G. obtained a considerable edvantage."

Bufore the plant was actually built, the Luftwarfe carried out a number of tests from the mir in order to ascertain how the plant itself, could best be cancuflaged. In accordance with the result of these tests in which Bitterfeld's chief engineer, you der Boy, a name you will hear about later, participated. The plans for the plant were repentedly changed until the Luftwarfe was satisfied that the plant was well hid from the mir. Dr. Pister subsequently stated in the TEA

MR .MCHAN: The Technical Cormittee, and when we discuss the corporate organization, your Honors will see the significance of the TEA.

that considerable additional costs had to be incurred by I.G. on recount of the compourings requirements.

The projection of the monosium plant aken were also kept secret. I large part of the projection and to my mind considerably over 50% consisted of tubes with a disreter of approximately 80/m., a wall thickness of approximately 1 c/m. and a length of approximately 20 c/m. These tubes were packed into boxes with the inscription "Textilbuelsen", a code name which means "Textilo tubes". In reality these tubes were containers for incendicry bambs".

The next series of documents which we are about to offer

pertain to the establishment of the Vermittlungsstelle W., a military ligison office of I.G. Forben. In the indictment it is charged that I.G. Forben internally adjusted its administrative organization so as to synchronize its program with the program of the German military. What we shall mention here is just brisily the beginnings of the Vermittlungsstelle W. Mr. Sprecher in discussing Count 1-B will offer substantial documents on just exactly how important the Varrittlungsstelle W was and just excetly what it did. We offer first in avidence, if your Honor places, as Prospection Exhibit 99 NI-2747, and I shall ask your Honor to also consider in syllenes at this time NI-9261 which is the sheet that I handed your Honors before. NI-9261 is merely an affidavit of Eichwole which confirms that he is the author of the report NI-2747. This Securent NI-9261 has proviously been furnished to the defence counsel in connection with Book 6 and Book 6 was served on defense counsel about a work ago.

DR. HOFFMANN: Counsel Hoffmann for the defendent you der Heyde. Mr. Freel'ent, Document 2747 thich originates from Eichwede is to be submitted now. The decuments on for constitute affidavits of the affirmt. I cm in this instance not find such a certificate with German text. I only find the remark on the document "compiled on behalf of the Director Dr. Struss by Dr. Heinz Eichwede. That's all that I cm find on this document. I have been informed that Ordinance No. 7 a mits reports of the Allies and other government equacies, but here we are concerned with a compil tion which is signed only by the name of some civilian and to which admissibility in this case, I object for that reason.

THE PRESIDENT: What has counsel for the prosecution to say on that?

MR. MCH.N: I think counsel for the defense has not under-

1 3

stood what Document 9261 is. 9261 is an affidavit by the author of 2747. New, 2747 is a captured document getten from the files of I. G. Farben. What we were interested in proving and the purpose of offering 9261 is to lay the foundation that it is a document propered by I. G. Farben in the regular course of its business and if you will note from 9261 the affirst specifically states: "Document NI-2747 presented to me is a photo copy of an extract from the nates concerning the structure and technical organization of the I. G. which I reds in the years 1942 to 1944, on the instructions of Dr. Struss, TEA, as co-worker of the I.G."

Now, we respectfully suggest, if your Honor please, that that is a sufficient foundation for werrenting the admissibility of NI-2747.

THE FRESIDENT: Is the propertion in position to aubmit to counsel for the derendent the original of Decument NI-9261 for exemination?

MR. MCHIN: Yes, we have that and they had that a wook ago. They had that in their Document Book 6. There's no surprise.

NI-9261 was served on the defense a week ago when Document Back 6 was served. We are just taking that out of order and putting it in here at this point but the right, of course, is available to them, and if coursel is interested in sociang the original of that affidavit we, or course, will be glad to furnish it to them.

DR. HOFFM MN: May I look at it bringly and then give you my point of view later? I only consider d the Cocument as such and I didn't quite unforsten! this explanation given by the prosecutor.

THE PRESIDENT: Can the prosecution pass that matter untileconsel has had an opportunity to look at the original?

MR. MCH.N: We will be gled to. I would like to mark it

2 Sept. 47, -A-BC-23-7- (Burns) Court V1, Case V1.

100 for identification. With respect to our Exhibit 99 in evidonce, NI-2747, the first page of the document which is on page 77 of the Decument Book, a short quotation will indicate the tenor of the Locument:

"Since 1934 conferences and discussions with various military officers and authorities in Berlin become more and more
numerous end urgent. During the conference of the central committer at Ludwigshefen on 2 September 1935, it was decided to
create beginning 1 October 1935, a limited office Wehrmacht in
Berlin which had to take over and to sustain the connection between the tures main divisions of the I. G. and the military authorities. Dr. Kranch indicates in his letter to Dr. ter Mour of
5 September 1935 that main division I was taken care of by Dr.
Ribber and shortly the receptor also by Dr. Eckell."

That document, as we have indicated, is I.G. Farben's own report, relating to the founding, organization and purpose of the Vermittlungsstelle-

We offer now in evidence, if Your Emors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 101, EI 4702, being a letter of Erauch of 5 September 1935, relating to the organization of Vermittlungsstelle-W. It ampears at page 80 of the document book.

DR. BEFRAN: Mr. Prosident, after I have seen and found Bichwood's affidavit in Document Pook S. I withdraw my objection.

THE PERSIDENT: Vory wall. The Decement WI 9261, which her been identified as Exhibit 100, will now be admitted in evidence.

IG. AMCHAU: With respect to Decument 4702, which is Prescoution Exhibit 101, reporting at page 80 of the Gorman Document Book. It's a significant document and we'd like to read some pertinent parts. It is dated 5 September 1935. Your Empors will note as addresses of that notice the defendant Schneider and the defendant Oster.

"Ro: War Economy Control Office of the I.G. for all matters of military occoming and Questions of military policy.

"The Control Committee....." Now, that's the Control Committee of the Verstand. "The Control Committee has determined to ereate an Agency (N) in our place of business in Berlin MN7, Unter den Linden 78, in order to provide systematic comperation within the I.G. in the current development of military economy, and particularly to assure control treatment of the questions of military economy, military policy and military technic.

"From now on all correspondence - even about matters still in the balance - with the Reich inistry of War and its offices, such as the Army Ordnance Office, etc., the Newy Office and its offices, such as the General Mayal Office, etc., the Feich Air Ministry and its offices, such such as the Air-Command, the Experimental Station for Aviation, as well as the air raid protection, etc...." And then they further enumerate.....

"....is to be addressed exclusively to the Vermittlungsstelle W (limison agancy), and a copy of the correspondence is to be included at all times."

Further down on the page: That's Vermittlungsstelle-W "....is ready to give any information about and make arrangements in military occurred and military policy questions, which are part of its aphero of work and include the following:

Investigations about production,

Plens for production. "

Sconopic mobilization plans,

Plans for the supply of rew naturial,

Plans for storage,

vuostions of transport.

Assuring the supply and/or neverant of labor.

Air reid protection-, counter espirance, sebstage provention,

Patent Rucations."

Signed "Trauch" and another person.

Tint's 5 September 1935.

Tour Econors will recall that we charged in the indictment that, during this carly period, there were war cames and war preparations, and so we offer as Presecution Exhibit 102, FI 8321, being an affidavit by Struss on war games and mobilisation proparations. It appears on page 82 of the English document book, and, at the bottom of that page, Dr. Struss states:

"at first the Versittlungsstelle V occupied itself entirely with
wer problems. It coordinated the work of the I.S. plants with the
general mobilization plan and had detailed plans worked out for each
plant for war production and mobilization. These mobilization plans
were to come into effect with the authorsk of wer. They were first drafted
in the year 1935 and from them on they were set up from year to year."

Further down on the page, the document states:

"Since Jamuary 1936 a special department for counter-intelligence

service, defense sgainst spying, sabotego and betrnyal of working secrets was incorporated into the Vermittlungsstelle W. Finally the Vermittlungsstelle W arranged for and supervised the planned exercises which were bedl in order to visualize the effect of bombind on I.G. plants and to afford training to the German Air Force."

January, 1936.

"Those pleaned exercises were insugarated by Dr. Ritter either on his own initiative or at the direct instruction of Krauch. They were set up the following way: On a map of a particular pleat, an unpire marked the points, where books of a certain weight were supposed to have been dropped by the attacking air force. The Works hanagement which operated in an air raid shelter ascertained the imaginary drawge done by the bonks and figured out for what longth of time parts of the plant had been put out of operation. The numeroment itself also ascertained within which period of time the drawge could be repaired.

"At the conclusion of each 'Flam-Spiel' it was discussed between the I.G. representatives and the military which measure should be retained by I.G. to efford additional protection from the air to the particular plant. It was as a result of one of these discussions that the quanting production of the I.G. plant Louis was split up in 5 or 6 different units which could work independently of each other in case one of them was hit by a kmb. As far as I remarker, I.G. Farben spent an amount of several million marks in order to effect the necessary changes.

"Such 'Plan-Spiele' were held in Leuna, Ludwigshafen-Oppau and in Leverkusen,"

That is enumerating their principal plants.

"They were always held in the presence of a number of high-ranking officers of the Luftwaffe, artillery and infantry and representatives of various authorities."

We offer next in evidence, if Your Fonors please, still on the point

Exhibit 103, SI 4619, which is a report by Muchne, that is the defendant Muchne, of December, 1935, on military economic tactical planning exercises, to take place in January, 1937 at Leverhusen. We cannot do justice to that report by reading any particular excerpt. That report must be read in its entirety to appreciate the thoroughness with which such preparations were being made.

The next document, if Your Honors please, NI 5929, we offer as

Prosecution Exhibit 104, and this relates to poison gas. It is correspondence between the Eeich Air Ministry and I.G. Farben in October, 1933.

The correspondence indicates an invaire from I.G. Farben as to a particular poison gas that was mentioned in the French press. I.G. Farben chooses not to reply in writing, but indicates that ti prefers to orally discuss the subject with the Heich Air Ministry.

We offer next in evidence, if Your Honors please, as Prosecution

Exhibit 105, FI 4953 which is an affidavit by Ehrmann - he was a

government official - of 16 kerch 1947, on the early cooperation of I.G.

Farbon in the mobilization work. Very briefly, I would like to refer

to one or two paragraphs. At page 105 of the English document book,

which is the first page of the document, which is page 115 of the German

document book, the second paragraph:

After their having assumed power. Any plant that was suitable for war production was systematically registered, that is, it was decided upon as to what had to be produced in the case of mobilization, which quantities were to be manufactured and what prerequisites had to be accomplished to carry out the production.

Further down, skipping a paragraph:

Though there was no obligation to give information, nevertheless, the I.G. quite readily supplied information concerning its production expecity, actual production and production also and devised precise

Mobilization Blans for each plant."

And the next page, 106, at the top of the page;

so-called sobilization Plan was established which was divided according to products - and within the various production sectors divided gain as to firms. This Dibligation Plan...

It's on page 115, two paregraphs from the bottom.

"This Mobilization Planakod to the drawing up of the somewhed Mobilization Tooks, that is, to the preservation of an order which in case of mobilization was to be communicated to the individual firms through an agency that had not yet been empiricated. Later on it was decided that this agency was to be the Reich Office for Chemistry.

"At these conferences the I.G. Ferben was represented by all their section hands, especially Dr. Warster of Ludwigshefes and Buergin..."

That's the defendant Buergin. "...of Sisterfeld-Wolfen, furthermore Ambros, and later on Der Heer; from the commercial section Water-Andreas. Heefliger, School and von Reider.

"Though outwardly the Mobilization Flanz etc. were accepted by the I.Q. without objections, practically they did what they liked and neglected everything that had been planned. There was no authority which was in a position or could risk it to call the I.Q. to order."

Three paregraphs down:

"The first negotiations of this kind took place already in 1933; they were taken up on a larger scale about 1935, whereby the years until 1939 have to be called the years of preparatory work."

This night be on a proprieta place.

THE PRESIDENT: We have reached the hour of adjournment and the Cribanal will rise until 9:30 tomorrow morning.

(A rocess was taken until 0930 hours, 3 September 1947)

NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

Roll 2

Target 2

Volume 2, p. 392-774

Sept. 3-11, 1947

OFFICIAL RECORD

UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NÜRNBERG

U.S. vs CARL KRAUCH et al VOLUME 2

> TRANSCRIPTS (English)

3 September - AB- - Leonard (int. Rammler)

Official Transcript of the American Military TribunalVI in the Matter of the United States of America against Karl Krauch, at al, defendants, sitting at Murnberg, Germany, on 3 September 1947 0938, Justice Shake, presiding.

THE MARSHALL: The Honorable, the judge of Military Tribunal VI: Military Pribunal VI is now in session. Cod save the United States of America and this Honorable Tribual.

Thoro will be order in the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: 127 Mershall, are the defendants present.

MR. SPRECHER: You, Mour Honora.

Youtorday, during the presentation by Mr. Dubis, he offered in evidence Decement NI looks as Proscoution Exhibit 40. That exhibit was the chart on the plants ownod and operated by Dynamit Aktiongosollschaft, ordinarily referred to as DAG, which chart was cortified by Dr. Struss, Chief of the Office of the Technical Committee. Mr. Dubois indicated yester day that Dr. Struss had made a subsequent affidavit which modicied that chart in cortain specified ways, showing particultarly that I. G. directly owned more than fifty por cont of the shares of W.SAG, rather than DAG. The new Struss affidavit is Do cument NI loall, copies of which, have been distributed to Your Honors this morning and copies of which, in the German language, have been placed in the Defense Conten and Also given to defense counsel present here that morning. Lapould like to offer Document NI lo411 as Proscention Exhibit 106.

Mr. Amehan will proceed.

MR. AMCHAN: If Your Honors places, we are on Documents Book 5, page 3 of the Index and the next

392

to the construction of secret plants by Farbon, in the carly days of the new Mage Government.

We offer in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 107, WI 1165, which is a letter from a Farben official, stationed at Stassfurt, addressed to another Farben official, stationed at Sitterfeild, and it relates to a scret standby plant, (Screitachaftswork) for the production of light metals. In that letter, Fabbon is pointing out the technical problems involved in connection with the maintenance of this scret standby plant. Of special significance, and to which we would like to call Your Ern for attention, there appears on page 1.8 of the English document book-it's the first page of that Chemient-and at the bottom of that page, I quote:

ostablished at Stassfurt a plant for the production of 4,500 tons of Electron metal per year, at the cost of 20 million round figures. It is also known that the present needs of light metal for army purposes can be covered without the utilization of this plant so that this production establishment at Stassfurt is idle, constituting a stand-by plant. Not all competent off-ices law, however, that the effectiveness of this operating readiness is being considerably reduced-if not even made illusery-because of the fact that after the day on which plant operation has started it takes 8 weeks f or the first rotal to be available."

We would like to direct Your Honors' attention,

if we may, in connection with this exhibit, to Decument

NI 7123, which is in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit

So. Than's the first document of this document book which,

Your Henors will recall, was a conference, as appears from
the first document, there was a discussion of a light

motals plant at Bitterfold.

The next series of documents which we shall offer relate to explosives end I. G. Ferben's early participation in various products which exclusively are of an explosive nature.

We offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 108, NI 5752, being a lot ter deted 28 /mgust 1935 from Dr. Piator, a Comercod member of the Vorstand, and addressed to Dr. Buhl, also a deserged member of the V pretend, including the minutes of a conference held with the officials of arry Ordence. The minutes the mooting contain a discussion of the production of cortoin chomicals-Digly kol, Stabilizators, Hoxogouo, Lectorhonon, etc. So shell, in connection with the proof under I-D, offer oral testimony as to the signifigures of those various technical products. We should like to call Your Honors' attention to page 111 of the document book which contains the minutes, of rather, the notes of a conference with Dr. Zahn, who was the Army Or nanco representative. We sall especial attention to paragraph 2:

" Stabilizators."

That's the second page of the document.

THE PRESIDENT: Can you give the German reference for the translators?

MR. AMCHAN: That's page 120.

"Stebilizations. Dr. Ze'm informs us of a plan to creet a reserve installation for stabilizators of the same size as the one at 'ye'...."

That's Wordingon-that's another plant.

"...in Control Gormany. As it is to be morely a reserve installation to be used in the case of war, and as one must count in the stabilizators being used within a short time, no installations for distillation should be provided. The plant, which is to be created at Wolfen, simulable as expandable to double its size."

And then ther's same other technical studies.

On the next min, under the subject of Hexogene,
Dr. May, on I. G. official, as appears from the minutes:

J...rop-rtcl on the large consumption of Hexamothyl Entotraving for the manufacture of high explosives in Japan. Hexamothyl-Entotramine produced from Associate .

"Dr. Zuhn informed us that the Dynamit A. G. at

And Your Honors will recall the evidence of dynamite being controlled by I. G.

"...is working on the production of Hesogone (Trinitro-Hexamothyl-Ent atrania.)"

This is August 1935.

The next offer in evidence, if Your Henry ple ase, as Prescution Exhibitation, NI 5761, which is a copy of a strictly confidential memorandum prepared by Dr. Pistor, a deceased member of the Verstand, relating to further discussions on the similar matters with the Army Ordnance representatives on 19 September 1935. WE call attention to page II3 of the English document book,

and it's the first page of this particular document. Page 122 of the German. In the middle of the page, after discussions of a plant for this particular explosive, appears this notation:

"This procedure is more expedient in view of the fact that this agreement should serve as pattern for agreements on other plants, that is, for the stabi-

That's the defendant Tor Moor.

"....(Dr. Tor Moor with show I spoke about this matter also emphasized that naturally the State should not be given any power to become our competitor with the help of equipment like the stabilizer-plant which comprises quite a number of products such as aniline and others.)"

Screen a 17-54-0-2-1-Lowerd (Int. Remier)

On the meant page, the author of this note, in the middle of this a page, has this significant statement:

"I also discussed this entter with Dr. For ther who said that he had thought of having the complete manufacturing process performed at Aersthofon..."

and them, further do no

".....Dr. Tor "wor will discuss it himself with Dr. Mohn whom he is going to must within the next for days."

And the last page of this document, on page 115 - this is Pistor's memorandum:

There not telled to fir. Zahn with regard to the stabilizers, since Dr. Ter for will be having discussions on this matter with Dr. Zahn in the course of the next few days."

Exhibit 110, NE-61hh, then is a copy of a secret contract, and the contract itself indicated it is secret, between I.A. Farban and the Comman Total, relating to secret prients for the manufacture of [exegons. We call itsention to page 113 of the document book, Article 6. Page 129 of the Comman.

"The firm..... sering I. ". Ferbon, "....shell undertake to preserve the secrecy of this contract and of the correspondence dealing with its drafting and execution, as well as all documents, drafts and files pertaining to it."

And then the first page of the document, page 115 of the document book, the Presentle of this Contract is significant, we think. It appears on page 126 of the Carman book.

HPronmble.

"In 1935 the Firm, on its our initiative, conducted experiments in 4 its Forchst Inhoratories which led to the discovery that hexegone can be obtained by mitration of wathylaneautdesulfoccidic salts; consequently, the Firm registered patents....."

3 Suptember 47-1-ATD-2-2-Loonard (Int. Remaler) Crist 6, Cosu 6

ond until 1930, in represent with, and in the interests of, the OTH as well as together with the specialists of the OTH and the Teich Institute of Chemistry, the Firm, in its Ecochst plant as well as in an experimental plant in Hanne, tested the processes discovered by it for the industrial production of mathyleneomidesulformide salte, for the mitration of these salte, as well as for the cultivation of the waste acides of mitration..."

We offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 110 - 111, I'm sorry, III 6498 which is a copy of a confidential letter, dated 9 December 1935, from Dr. Frul Muller, who was the President of Hymranit A.C. It is addressed to I. C. Farben Director Franchian at the Heechst Plant. At page 120 there appears some similient language. That's the Mirst page of the Securent, Page 131 of the Securen book.

"Strictly Confidential. P December 1935.

tall you have glad I am to observe the most gratifying results of the closer collaboration in the specime of him explosives upon which we embarked some time a o. I do not want to miss the opportunity to inform you of a paragraph is a letter from the Commandar-in-Chief of the Army, signed Tay order! by Lt. Col. von Horstig which reads:

"Section No. 1 of the Production and Exemination Department of Army Ordnance Office compactulates D.A.C. on having been smalled - by close collaboration with I. A. and Army Ordnance Office,

Production and Exemination Departments) - to produce the modern high explosives Trinitrobensons and Maxogene and to develop them

Purther in the interests of the defense of Permany. --

"I congretulate you also on the new process for the production of synthetic Olycorine. I coult with truly impetient interest the results of the experiments not under any."

That is from a subsidiary of I. . Sarban to I. . Parben's director.
The offer next in evidence, if Your Ponors please, as Prosecution
Exhibit 112, VI 7135, being a letter dated 20 February 1935, from Farben's

To offer west in evidence, if Your Teners places, as Presention Enhibit 113, IT 5910, thick are the minutes of a meeting of the technical management committee at Frankfurt, on 1 august 1935. The defundant Jahne is present. One of the meeting of a new process for the production of Miliciantetrachloride which is being used in connection with testing scale servens. The document is self-emplementary and requires no further elaboration.

The offer ment in evidence, if Your Tomors places, as Prosecution which till, WI bloo. It's a semerandum note of Pister, the deceased Verstand member, of 13 Wevenber 1936, with respect to discussions which he had with Mr. John of the Army Ordannee office concerning the chloring situation. At 125 of the document book appear some interesting notes. Page 1 of the document, second paragraph. Page 167 of the German book.

Flurthermore, for Zeist told me tiret in his opinion two more plants for the remafrature of calcium sulpharic acid would be needed as evergency plants, the location of thick, however, was not fixed."

The part riple do at

to the from the indicate of the loyed, Fr. Zehn referred to the fact that at Indicate on up to 350 tons could be produced monthly, a quantity which he thought ould not get be needed at present, because

3 . optober 17-jean-2-de-Loonerd (Int. Tamaler)

and not dur had not been tested for a possible use of diglycol.

"Dr. Zhu is of the opinion that in the near future, we shall have to increase our phospan production to a expanity of 500 tens and that soon the building of the costophenon-plant would be ripe for decision, too."

The last sentence of that paragraph:

"We will then give us a permit to the effect that the non-rationed raw waterials wanted by useare needed for direct orders of the Armed Foress, a permit which will help speeding up the supply situation considerably."

.. And at the bottom of that many in connection with the discussion on chloring:

"Dr. Zehn's implify can be traced book to the fact that they had conferred about the chlorine situation with Tr. Mitter....."

on I. ". official

sufficient chloring in the 'A-Great."

"A-Casu", that's the code name in case of war.

And Your Bonors (ill note, on the meet page, that a copy of this memorandum went to the defendant Buergin.

We offer next in evidence, as Presecution Exhibit 115, NI LLSB, being a memorandum of 17 December 1935, on a visit of Dr. Zahn to the I. A. Parben plants at 'elian and Titterfold. At page 127 of the document book, which is the first page of this document, page 119 of the German book:

"Dr. Zehn stated that the lack of alycering...."
This isDocumbur, 1935.

"....sands it ungently necessary for the olfen diglycol plant to start operations on 1 Parch...."

And the fourth paragraph:

Suppostor 47-1-AFT-2-5-Lonerd (Int. Realer)

*Dr. Zohn counts upon the 1 Sctobor 1937 as deadline for the completion of the stabilizator-plants. The olfer works will keep to that date.

"It is to be ascertified what amount of amiline and mono-othyl amiline can be stored in the waks of the stabilizator plant at Welfon.

Dr. Zahn wants to store up a larger supply."

WHI VI CARE VI

. no . 7-1-in-wadi-love (Balmler)

which is a confidential letter of 17 December 1936 from Dr.

Pister of L. & Farbon to Dr. Buhl referring to a visit from Dr.

Zehn to the L. & Farbon Bitterfeld plant, on mase 128 of the

December Book which is 150 of the German book, fourth paragraph:

"Dr. Zahn informed us of the necessity for the first Diglycol plant to so into production impediately after completion. For this purpose he suggested that a lesso contract be concluded with the Commander in Chief of the any and he maked us to prepare such a centract."

at the bottoms

"Mi lycol is to replace Dlycerine, Which is scarce."

which is a file noncommun of 11 January 1937 of discussions between Mr. without of I. G. Farbon one Dr. Zehn of the army Ordinance reparation the plant at amenderf which was to be put in operation very promptly. The account is self-explanatory.

which is a reportant of 20 January 1937 of a discussion between Dr. Zahn of army Ordinance office animated representatives of I. G. Farbon where they are discussing the lease agreement for a Dichycol plant, page 130 of the Document Book, which is 153 of the German book, paragraph 3:

"The outiletes subsittle to the Heeres Waffon and i.e. Ordinance regarding the sugglementation of the diglycol-plant to an increased production of 400 tons per north and the Phosgene plant to 600 tons per north, are at the Office for Price Control."

The next personejh, your Empre will note, Dr. Aubres being the accondent aubres is concerned with this satter.

rara rain 71

to the heeres-daffon and a capable, energetic engineer with all

A CAT VI GADS VI

2 Sept 47-3-2-mazH-Love (Rarmler)

tesk would be: Centralized agreement, supervision of orders, acting as advisor to the men of the chemical department of Ordinance. Tenure of the activity, etc. 9

We think that is significant in connection with the charges made in the indictment of the Farben officials being so predominantly placed in Gevernment offices.

on the next page of this same document we direct attention to the Last paragraph, page 131 of the Document Book, to the extension of the present Losentine convicture. Losentine, we shall have occasion to also as later on, and its production figures will be rether almificant, and at that time we will describe the significance of this particular companity.

an offer next in evidence, if your honors please, as Prosecution axhibit Hy, if -494, being a letter from L.G. Ferben at Wolfen to or. such at Franciur on 8 February 1937 enclosing a memoral dum lidicates the discussion in Dinitronnisel, storage problems, etc.

on page 133 of the Doublett Book which is the second page of thedequent, 158 of the German, 157 and 158, at the top of page 133 we ask your honors to note in connection with the notation of persons present and it says, "of L.G. Ir. Witter (at the same time acting as Commissioner Raw interials)" occupying a coal relationship.

Further down on that page "Dr. Zann intends to create facilities for storage of 5,000 tons in Wolfen, as well as for 5,000 tone in Scinscorf." Stockpiling.

and, wo sak your conors to note at the bottom of the page copy of this went to the defendent Dr. ambros.

we of er next in dvinence as Prosecution Exhibit 120, NI 4486
which is correspondence in earch of 1937 between the Legal
separtment of L. & Farben and Dr. Buhl in connection with certain
agreements about secret plans, whose 135 of the English Document
dook which is the first page of the document, page 158 of the German
Book.

Z Sept 47-3-3-3-AET-Love (December)

"Subject: Diglycol-Plant wolfen. " Second paragraph:

"During the last few days, Dr. Goldschmidt opproached us.

de had somewhere heard of the wolfen plant's existence and is now

saking for a detailed explanation in view of our special agreement

of sune 1,30.

2 pages further, on 137 we get Dr. Buhl's reply, 160 of the German Dominant Book:

"In reply to your lot. or of 16 March, I am glad to inform you that the Diglycol-plant at Wolfen was originally planned merely as a stank-by plant in case of war and that for this reason slone we first had no cause Whatsoever to contact Goldschmidt in this matter."

Thon at the bottom of the letters

"In modition to this we are bound to strictest secrecy, and would need official approval for a discussion with Goldschmidt on this matter."

being a letter of 25 earch 1937 from Dr. Pleter to Dr. Bubl in connection with the wolfen plant which is about to go into operation start page 136 of the Document Book, which is page 1 of that accurant, 3rd paragraph, appears this notation:

The PRESIDER: Just a moment until they got the German reference.

factory before the matter of the lease contract is settled, on the strength of Mr. Zehn's letter of 15 March 1937 of which a copy was frwarded to you. Our output is to be sold to the explosive-factories wasag and Dynamit-Mobel.

at page 140, 2 pages shead, and in that first letter were contained enclosures of other correspondence.

"Subject: The starting up of the di-glycolene plant Wolfen."
That is page 167 of the German Book.

"The great shortage in glycerine supplies on the one hand, and the increased domain in the most widely divergent industrial and well-short spheres on the other hand, make it necessary that an adequate smount of di-glycolene should be available at the right time. The high Command of the armed Forces therefore agrees that you take all measures to ensure an early start of production in the new di-glycolene plant in wolfen. It is presumed that the buyers for the entire output will be in the first place the powder and explosive factories (Wasag and DAG) who will place their orders directly with you."

That is a copy of the letter of the high Command of the Army to IC attention of Pistor,

We offer next in evidence, if your Honors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 122 HI 4634, secret memorandum file of the Vermittlungsstelle on production of products for decontamination of weapons. The date is significant, we think, 25 June 1938, on page 142 of the Document Book which is the first page of that accument, page 170 of the German Book.

"Bubject: Substance for the escentamination of weapons."
Second paragraphs

"The production of the substance for the decontamination of weepons, in wellen, ---

That is page 170 of the German Book "Die Herstellung" on page 170 is the beginning of that quotation.

"The product on of the substance for the decentarination of weapons, in wolfen, is to be brought up immediately to the greatest capacity poss blo----

"The production of the substance for the decontamination of weapons, in Wolfer, is to be brought up immediately to the greatest capacity possible at the present time, i.e. 17 tone per month. The interest to 34 tone per month is not to be parried out by 1 November 1938 but by 2 September 1938. The necessary iron will be assigned by Wa J Rue 9; the assignment is already under way. Production must at all costs be so organized that by 1 October 1938, 100 tons per month of the finished product are delivered to the army; if necessary, three chifts sust be worked from now onwards, if this is not already the case."

And at the bottom of that page this particular government office requests an answer to the following questions "by nine o'clock on Monday, 27 June," and the letter is dated 25 June, two days notice, and we think it is especially significant in considering this document that the date precedes by a few months the Munich pact and the Munich crisis of September 1938.

We offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 123, NI-4637, a strictly confidential letter of 14 July 1938 from Berlin to the Lever-kusen of ice concerning purchases of toloul for the manufacture of explosives, at page 144, which is 173 of the German book, in the middle of the page:

"Meanwhile, as is known — 1.e. 4 July 1938 — even increased quantities of pure toluch have been called up for the current month and the month of August in consequence of the demands of the explosive factories which have increased again."

The series of documents which were just offered relating to Ferben's participation in the explosive field are designed to show that they embarked on this program with knowledge as to what the current political situation was end the use to which it was being put.

We offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 124, being NI-5890, which is the minutes of the meeting of the technical directors at Hoechst 22 August 1938. The defendant Lautenschlaeger is present and there is a report on a visit of an Army ordinance officer concerning acetophenon and that experiments are to be continued on a large scale with respect to that product. There is nothing which requires reading; the document itself tells the story.

Me offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 125, NI-6487, a letter from the Army High Command, Army Ordinance, to I.G. Farben, 2 March 1939, concerning a meeting of the High Command of the Wehrmacht with leading personalities of I.G. Farben. The Wehrmacht is informing the I.G. Farben officials of the problems of the Army High Command; the document is self-explanatory.

Ne offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 126, NI-4989, which is copy of a contract between the Reich and the Army High Commend in I.G. Farben in 1941 concerning the operation of a poison gas plant at Dybernfurt. We think it is significant in that contract and we do not propose to read the contract; at page 152, 178 of the German book, the paragraph preceding article 1, and Your Honors will note at the top of the page:

Dr. Ambros.

"The OKH" - that is the Army - "has appointed Montan as respon-

Montan is a corporation which the German government used as the lessor and owner of its plants.

"On the basis of the following lesse contract, Nonten transfers to Anorgana," — we call attention to Anorgana — "to m 100 percent I.G. owned subsidiary, the maintainance and operation of the plant, it being understood that Anorgana will procure the necessary working capital."

We shall go into detail at a later/as to Montan and Anorgana and particulars of financing and leasing of these facilities. What we call attention to at this point with connection to this contract is that in the operation of the poison gas factory I.G. did not undertake to operate it itself but used its hundred percent subsidiary Anorgana to operate the plant.

Me offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 127, NI-5668, which is a report of the nitrogen conference in Leuna, 22 December 1937. There are some very significant things in that document at page 163.

DR. GATHER (Attorney for defendent Ambros): I should like to see the original of the lease contract which was just submitted.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel may proceed.

MR. AMSHAB: Prosecution Exhibit 127 in evidence is NI-5668. We call attention to page 163 of the document book, which is page 199 of the German book. Quite a masher of our defendants were present at that conference and I may point out: Oster from Berlin, Buergin from Bitterfeld, Ter Meer from Frankfurt, Jashne from Eoschet, Buetefisch from Leuna,

Scandidar from Leune, Ambros from Ludwigshafen, Wurster from Ludwigshafen, Krouch from Oppeu. All persons indicated are defendants in this case,

On the next page, discussing the nitrogen situation, second paragraph:

"As compared with the year 1935 activity has increased by about 25,000 tons nitrogen or 44 percent. Two-thirds of the increase is accounted for by the home market and one-third by the foreign market. The increase in home consumption is made up of 10,000 tons nitrogen for nitric acid and 7,000 tons fitrogen for liquid amnonia."

The next sentence: "The most important product in commercial nitrogen still continues to be nitric soid, and of the total sale of 84,000 tons nitrogen in 1937 this accounted for 36,000 tons nitrogen or 43 percent."

On the next page, 165, 201 of the German book, in the middle of the page:

"In November 1927 there was a temporary shortege of nitric acid caused by large orders from the factories producing explosives. Consequently the Reich Ministry of Economics and the Army Ordinance Branch considered it necessary to intervene and to prescribe a plan of distribution."

The documents just submitted relate to the general charges of the early part of the indictment under 1-A indicating Farben's activity in the production field, and of course Your Monors will appreciate that when I state that the proof is in connection with Court 1-A or any particular paragraph we do not necessarily mean to confine the proof submitted to that particular paragraph. There will be other proof that cuts across the lot. It is just a general way to maintain continuity and we think it will be helpful to refer to it in that way. The documents about to be offered relate to the charges of I.G. Farben's political support, after the alliance in 1932 with the new Eitler government.

We offer next as Prosecution Exhibit 126, being KI-1319, an excerpt from "Von Werk zu Werk", which is the Ferben factory paper circulated among its employees, an article on January 1941 by Krauch on the life

and achievements of Hermann Schmitz. It is a laudatory article and it contains some significant admissions and we think Your Honors will appreciate it when reading it as a whole.

We offer next in evidence Prosecution Exhibit 129, NI-6489, a letter of 35 February 1938 from Ferben organization Bayer to its foreign representatives in connection with support of the Mazi Party abroad; at page 170, which is page 207 of the German book, appear some significant observations. We call attention first to the fact that the defendent Mann signed this letter. First paragraph, three lines down:

"We would not wish to let the beginning of this year go by either without repeating our request that you as well as all your collaborators should again and again make all endeavors to support not only the government agencies, but also official Party agencies in their work abroad."

The next pringraph:

"We consider it as a metter of course that, apart from each individusl being prepared to render service, our foreign offices" — that is,

I.G. Ferben's foreign agencies — "should also place themselves fully
at the disposal of the German cause. This entails the avoidance of
clacing orders with anti-German firms or of using anti-German newspapers
or periodicals for advertising purposes. On the other hand we attach
great importance to supporting those organs which have always shown themselves particularly pro-German by the insertion of advertisements."

He offer now, as Prosecution whibit 130 Document MI-8590. It is a letter by an entry ordering official Loeb, 25 November 1937, to Backe, and the powerment official reporting on the acknowness of the lives year of the 4 year plan. As a gnificant we call attention to page 172, 209 of the German Jacksent book, under item:

"Ori in of the Over-ell plin.

here is determining the increase of peacetime requirements in advance and the possibility of obtaining definite mobilisation Figures from the Roleh Ministry of Mir and the Donnéssiener Deneral."

And a note in the margin that it refers to Gooring.

"5) Ascertainment of irralitably practicable ways to meet this alward fully or in part without reserving to imports. In this connection, also est possible comparation with the halph and party offices concerned and in particular with the industrial according which cannot be dispensed and in corrying this out."

And I call your Namors' steaming to the note on the wargin of that document referring to the industrial enterprises. The notation is, "I.O.Farbon."

(c) This brought about the over-old plans of 15 October 1936, January 1937, and Joy 1937 which were conservatively drawn up and constantly developed further.

On the next page, item 7:

"Hecaures for further development.

"Systematic commencement of research by sesigning tasks directly to
governmental and private research offices; the ones particularly efficient
and worthwhile to be simpled out as "Institutes of the Four Year Plan."

The fear that the influence of this office might be disturbed by the
establishment of the Keich Research Council has so for not materialized."

to refer to this at this time because we shall offer in evidence at a later date documentary proof of the fact defendent Krauch was the outher

of the Plan for the Research Council.

"c) Elaboration of the widely dispersed statistical and scientific materials on rew material flow charts and rew material belences for all fields in any way important. In this way, for the first time, a clear survey will be made of the fundamentals of the sconomy from the point of view of rew material and the possibility created of a systematic guidence in peace and war adapted to any circumstances. This makes it possible to solve the Planipotentiary General's most important task."

we shall again show later on Trauch's participation in this particular field.

On the name page, 174-d, page 212 of the German books

"Constant development of the over-all plan. The next edition planted for the beginning of 1935 will deal with all fields going out from the joint of view of theoretically complete malf-sufficiency."

There are other significant things in this report which I shall not reed but the tenor of it appears from those quotations.

report of 21 April 1963 propered for Krauch, showing the effect of the overall mer effort which the bombing of I.O. Ferban plans would have. The document itself goes into details on the extent of destruction and its effect on the production and we think its significance lies, emeng other things, in showing the importance, the strategic importance in the part, I.J.Ferbanis products played in this thing.

We offer next in evidence as prosecution's exhibit 132, MI-10002. Now, your honors will recall that at the concluding part of Count 1-A the charge is that as a result of the elliones between I.O.FARSEN and Nitler they respect huge profits. We have now some of the evidence on this point indicating the extent to which year by year the sales and profits grow and, in connection with MI-1012 — 10002, rather — your Konors will note sales of I. G. Parben in 1932, 875 million odd marks. You will note the entries coming up to 1943 to 3,115,000,000 marks.

Your Konors will also note the second column, gross profit from

1932, m. 71,000,000 -- stoady increase until 1943 of 549 million -- 1942, 571 million. The chart we think is significant when you compare it's rise year by year and it follows along with the extensive support and participation with the government.

We offer next in evidence as prosecution exhibit 133 MI-10014, an efficient in connection with the provious exhibit indicating how it was compile a.

a offer most as presidentian exhibit 134, MI-10003 being a chart showing the not profit of I. S. Ferban. The figures without going into detail disclose the point we are indicated.

La presention exhibit 135 to effor the efficientian connection with the presenting arhibit indicating the strong in which this chart was compiled.

This generally concludes the initial presentation on the proof in connection with I-k and, as I have indicated before, this proof is only in a general way on the subject matter and is not necessarily exclusive and there will be additional proof as we get along in the other subject matters.

DR. JOHNSTIN (Counsel for Gefordant Mrsuch):

Log I have the original of Exhibits 130 and 131, places? May I look at them and them return them at a later date?

The MINDERT: Certainly.

IR. MARCHIE: Your Honors, the prospection had planned to call the witnesses Magort, H-a-g-a-r-t, and Paul O. Schmitt in connection with some of the actorial submitted, in connection with the evidence which principally relates to 1-1. Homever, at the request of the defense, we have postponed the calling of Schmitt until the middle of the month and since there will be officients from Hegert on a number of other subjects, the defendse has agreed that it would be appropriate for him to come later.

Your Monors, we planned to go on with some of the documents concerning 1-5 immediately. I think it would be a convenient time for a 3 Sep 47-1-FjC-5-h-Primecu (Remler) Court VI Case VI

THE PRESIDENT: The Court will arise for its recess at this time.

3 Sentember 47-W-AK-7-1-Putty-(Ketz)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

FR. ST FORER: May it please the Tribunal, in Document Book V in the infex it has been pointed out to me by Dr. Boettcher that Document 11-8594 -- that is an page 7 of the infex -- is improperly indexed in one particular. The infex states that this report was prepared by Eokel, E-c-k-e-l, for Krauch. As a matter of fact, as for Smottoner mints out, the gentlerse who prepared the more renium was O-e-c-k-e-l, another Dockel fut with a makent different spalling.

In Count I-8 the F secution has changed that Ferben synchronized all of its activities with the cilitary planning of the German High Cormand. The documents which we are about to refer to mill principally relate to this subject. However, Your B more will first that quite a number of thom have been referred to under Count I-A. For purposes of convenience those documents -- copies f either the translations or copies of the German era reincluded in the document books because by m w I am sure you already have accepting of a load to corry when you also back to the countries and that applies to Defense Counsel and the I rescution as well. So long as we ton't run out f copies, we will try to continue that practice.

The first document we come to in NI-5861.

THE PRESIDENT: Just for the cake of the record y u, of course, have reference to Document Book VI?

YR. STIECHER: Yor. I am sorry.

THE I ESIDERT: Thank you.

MR. STIECHER: That I current is found at page 1 in both the English and the German. To would like to introduce this forument as Francoution Exhibit 130.

It is a rather interesting document, it seems to the Tresecution, in that it recers the minutes of a meeting of the 30th of January.

3 Soptember 47-V-AK-7-2-Putty-(Kats) COULT VI CASE VI

1953. That is the date when Hitler became Chancellor of Germany. On that date two of the defendants, the defendants Lautenschlagger and Jachno, were present along with an ther Jacoused Vorstand member, it. Hormann, at a meeting at which Hermans reported that he had vis ted the Reichswehr Pinistry o meeting amobe material.

The next dicument is NI-5862, page 4 of the German Jooument took. That will be marked in evidence as Frasecution Exhibit 137. This is again the minutes of a meeting of the Vorstand at Hoselst. Again we want to plint out that when a reference is made to the Vorstand at Houghet, it refers to the principal muraging group at the plant and not to the entire Verstand of 1.0. Farben, Ordinarily, Your E pers, you will first that severel members of the Vorstand of I.G. Forben are present, rdismaily those Verstand members are specifically charged with reso naibility for seeing that the local plant is properly states are, and I take it that that is the reason why some of terms 1 cal plant mentions were referred to as Virginial re-rings. In any event, of this mouting on the 6th of February, 1933, the fefoniants, Lautenschlupper and Jachne, were present. The execute opposing in the translation, paragraph 6, notes that the Pitterfel' plat and written a letter and offered to assist in cortain development work and that the Humanst plant was declining this assistance with thanks toccuse they thought for the time being the tests with respect to smake-producing agents were being carried out amply at Hackst.

The next degreest is 11-2326 at page 4 of the English and page 6 of the German documents books.

THE PRESIDENT: Fore you purposely emitting the third document, NI-5868?

Th. SFIECHER: No. Y ur Honors. I am glad you brought that to my attention. It was an emission in my document book. It is in the 3 September 47-Y-AK-7-3-Futty-(Kats)
COULT VI CASE VI

other document book which I now have available.

The next document than will be NI-5868 which appears at page 3 of the English and page 5 of the German Josument books. That has already been marked in swideres as Presecution Exhibit 85. All we wish to point out there, Your Haners, is that a smoke screen test had taken place in which

some of the Feries officials had participated at the site and some of the results were reported to this group that met on the 18th of September, 1983, at Huechat including the defendants, Lautenschlasger and Jachne.

The "council I referred to before was NI-6326. This is an affidavit of Struss which suthenticates Doument NI-306 that already went in evidence yesteriey as a remodution Exhibit 96, and I would like to point out that the copy of it which is in the decument book today which is percanently attached, is incorrect and that the loose copy in your document back is correct and is the same as the copy which you got yesterday. There are only very minor changes in order to indicate that page 2 of the original is the beginning of NI-306, the attached document. There is no difference in substance.

SUDCE KOLLIS: I'r. Prosecutor, is this WI-8326 new being introduced as Exhibit 138 or shall we disregard it as a number 7

WR. SITECHER: Wo, sir. As I pointed out to Your Honor, it already has an exhibit number, and I merely am referring to it again in the presentation of the proofs in connection with this subject. It already has the exhibit number ---

THE PRESIDENT: Ninoty-five.

WR. SPRECHER: Ninety-five. I have no intention of reading from it, but I did want you to have our assistance in printing out its connection to this topic. We believe that this decument by showing all the conferences which vari us leaders of I.G. Farben has with a number of Hitler's top representatives and many leaders in the Seich Givernment these help establish the allegation in paragraph 19 of the

3 September 47-M-AK-7-4-Putty-(Ketz)

Indictment that Perbon cooperated with Hitler in his earliest efforts to buildup a wast military machine in violation of the Treaty of Versailles.

The next document is NI-5910 on page 13 of the English document blok and pages 20 and 21 at the German document back. This document was already introduced this morning as Irosecution 113. There is only me thing we particularly want to point out in this document in this connection and that oppears in the last five or six lines of the excerpt in the translation. It is noted that General you Holder who came from the selescembine chemicals and one Staib would approach the Borlin outh rities in order to secure that I.d. Farbon in view of the high experiments! costs which had account could be resured of a supply of siliciumtetrachlorido which was a product that had been partly produced by some other chemical or neorms, and our purpose is to show the initiative of Parten in keeping up with the while field of research an' having a togusto supplies in cornection with reins made by other a nearms in a practive with war preparations. This also has to do, Your Honors will note, with smoke screen thats which have o me up previously and shows the interest of Farten in that matter between 1933 and the date of this togument, 1936.

The next document, N I-4690, also went in evidence this morning as Presecution Exhibit 114. I would like to real very briefly from the bottom of page 14 in the English document book which is on pages 22 and 23 of the German document book.

*Dr. Zehn -- Dr. Zehn came from the Army Ordnance Office as we we will have to point out many times because his name comes up a great deal in these documents.

"Dr. Zahn, as he told me, -- "and this is Pister, the deceased Vorstend representative telking -- "had had a longer talk with Dr. Ritter before the meeting with me." Dr. Ritter was Krauch's representative in Vermittlungestelle which we will come to in a minute.

"On the basis of that discussion Dr. Wahn asked me whether we used chloring for our magnesium."

And then skipping down:

"Dr. Zann's inquiry can be traced back to the fact that
they --"mouning the Army Ordnance Office -- "had confurred about
the phlorine situation ith Dr. Ritter and evidently felt appremension that there was not sufficient obloring in the 'A-Case'
-- "meaning in the case of war.

I will no pass to Document NI-4718, page 16 of the English document book, pages 24 and 25 of the Corson document book. I would like to mark this document in evidence as Pronucution Exhibit 138.

This is a rather simificent document for no many reasons that I would like to reed rather substantially from it with your permission. It taging with a 1 that from the defendant, Krauch, which is dated 14 September 1955 and addressed to State Secretary Filch, who bimself has been a defendant in proceedings before the American Filitary Tribunal. Krauch states that he is taking the liberty of mailing Filch an exposition about the German motor fuel accnomy, "which we have compiled after an exhaustive study of the cituation on the German motor fuel market. The text deals solely with the manufacturing espects, taking into consideration also the capital investments and the possibilities

3 Sept. 47, -M-BC-8-2-(Futty) (Katz) Court V1, Case V1

of providing employment. We did not discuss in detail the problems of selling and distribution because they had been thoroughly dealt with clsewhere."

Now I will quote:

"In order to arrive the clear-cut production program, the exposition concerning the expansion of manufacturing facilities has been based on a Four Year Plan." "a underline that word, "Four Year Plan," and ask you to note the date, 14 September 1933, because the Four Year Plan of Gering did not orms until the year 1936, and as we pointed out in other connections the Karinhall Plan, otherwise referred to as the Krauch Plan, also care at a little later date, and in view of the inventiveness of the defundant, Krauch, in connection with some of these special plans, we want to keep the time very clear.

Continuing the quotation:

"It will, of course, be easily possible to accelerate the speed of this expension so as to reach the production target in a shorter time."

Now I ould next like to pass to page 18 of the English document book -- that will be pages 30 to 32 of the German document book -- and refer to the next 1 tter in point of time hich is deted the 23rd of July, 1935. This is a letter from hilch to Ereuch.

"Dear Dr. Krauch:

To begin with, I would like to thank you especially for the friendly reception you gave us in Oppau." Oppau being one of the largest I. G. Farben plants. "All perticipants were deeply impressed by the informative talks and demonstrations given them there. I myself have immediately informed General Goring, who is also particularly interested in questions of this nature. As soon as he has finished his summer vacation, he plans to ask you to come for a leisurely visit to Karinhall in the 3 Sept. 47, -N-8C-8-3-(Putty) Court V1, Case V1

Schorfheid in order that he, too, can discuss with you the long renge viewpoints."

Then skipping down to the end of that letter, General Milch makes two specific requests which he had previously made orally to the defendant, Krauch, and we think this is rather important again bearing in mind the date, 23 July 1935. Milch requests Krauch to see that Ferben assims a lieison officer to "our Coffice", the Coffice being an office of the Reich Air Ministry, and, secondly, that Krauch should see to it that there is some provision of educational and tr ining material for the Luftwaffe concerning the verious projects of I. G. which might be suitable.

Now if we will go back just one page, we fill note the letter in reply from Krauch to Filch, and that letter is dated the 29th of July 1935. For purposes of present emphasis I only underline the contents of the last peregraph on page 18 of the English document book:

"I suggest the provisional appointment of our Dr. Ritter as limison officer to your C-office."

I think that this document will indicate something of the foundations of this rather important evency. Vermittlungsstelle W which at the time of this letter had not yet come into formal existence.

The next location in the decision book is NI-4702 which has proviously gone in evidence as Proscention Exhibit 101. The only reason I wited to det in Your Honor in consection with this document at the present time is because of the distribution list, The distribution list often being so import at in a criminal case because it runs to the question of knowledge and into such questions in whit one can reasonably expect the whole frame of ideas of the persons concerned to be in that it helps show how they did their business grows one methor.

This is eleter from the Defend at Ermen, dated 5 Se tember 1935 at a time that he was still the chief of Syste 1, the great productive division within I. G. From which had under it mitrowen, symbholic cits, fuels, gasoline and coal, to speak of the heat invertent projects.

You will note that My mach, is remounding the formation of Vermittlum; antello-t references himself to some of the main works which are implied inside his Spirts, Sports 1—and that included soing the of respondence for the defendants Schneider and Outer; and that the L.G. Coel torks, then under the schneider and Outer; and that the L.G. Coel torks, then under the schningtration of the Verst mi nember Scherf, since decreased, also received a copy, a number of the virious echimistrative officers, some of when were under the defendants now here, also received course.

There is one correction which we would like to make in the text. On page 31, which is an page 34 of the German, in the second full personal; "From new on all correspondence—even about matters still in the material of "matters still in the balance," with the make Windster of War and its offices, such as the army Ordanece Office, etc. The Many Office and its offices and then listing a number of other offices...shall be addressed exclusively to the Vermittlungestelle-W and it shall receive a copy of all correspondence."

I shall pass from that dicument. It has already bear referr A to, and I am sure Your Honors are probably in the process of reading it.

The next document is NI-627 which is found at page 23 of the anglish at pages 26 and 37 of the German document book. We will not that in criticace as Prescention Emilit 139. I would first like to make the factor it is the 28th of September, 1935, and that the formout is a letter form the Defendant ter Moor, which is addressed to the four principle works continue of I.G. Farbon as well as to the four principle works continue of I.G. Farbon as well as to the Defendant Schnitzler, the Defendant Monn, and mother Verstend member, now focused, Weber-Am'reas. When a decrease such as the continue, it was the cuty of that works continue to see that it was made appropriately to all plants within the works continue and make excellent the minute of the works continue

I will come to made of those matters, Your Econor, in connection with a failer discussion of the corporate structure of

I.G. Farbon in miveral days. Now, this letter of ter Neoris is
senced to deliber to the letter of Aranch which you just had before you in thick he amountees the formation of Vermittingsatelle-W
and notes that Serve 2, the Sparte which was under the direction
of the Defendant ter Neor, is now appointing Dr. you Bruching
to take ever the questions for Sparte 2 which Dr. witter has
then ever for Serve 2. He points our in the first paragraph
that the decision to form Vermittlumestelle-W was made by the
Central Committee of the Verstand, a committee of as we printed
out mout eight harbors, on my of when are defendants now in the
Lock.

I would like to sint out one other thing; "Det.ils of its sphere of activity of Vermittlumastelle-W will be discussed at the next Sparte meeting to be held on the 16th of October... I

underline that because an agency of the importance of Vermittlungsatelle-W and the activities in which it did engage cortainly
were brought to the attention in great detail of persons of the
atture of the gentlemen new in the dock. and here at the next
Sparte we ting. Sparte 2, where the main plant leaders and directors
who were a lied in to discuss the technical problems involved
in that Sparte, so for as all I.G. Farten plants are conserved
in detail. Ver it illumentable-W's program was to be discussed.

I pass now to comment MI-9261, at pure 24 of the Explish document book and pure 28 of the German ticument book. I would like to mark this in evidence as Prescention Exhibit—I on serry that is already in evidence as Prescention Exhibit 100.

Since that wordy identifies the most document, which is NI-2747, and which is already burked in evidence as Prosecution Emblot 99. I think we can pass on immediately to NI-2747, at pare 25 of the Daylish and pass of the person document book.

I only wented to point our that the first paragraph indicutes the contribution of each of the three Sparten to the formation of Vermittlungssychows and to note the names of the principle
leaders from each of the Sparte, which are Mesignated to head
up the netivities of Vermittlungsstelle-W on benefit of puch of the
Sparte. For Sparte-1, ir. Ritter, and thereafter Dr. Eckell.
We will see leter on how these gentlemen that were first angaged
almost entirely in minitary limited netivities for Farden, alone
were them ever to the Kramen effice, alone with the Defendant
Kramen. They had their training for that job, partly in Vermittlungsstelle-M. New, Sparte 2 is represented by Dr. Von Bruening;
and Sorte 5 valor the Lafendant Gajowski, who was represented
by Mr. Meyer, Maryon, throughout the period of the existence
of Vermittlungsstelle-W, from 1935 on to the end of the war,

The special full paragraph indicates that a circular sent out

S Sout N-WS-9 -- Schunb-Int. Zatz Court 6, Case 6

from Frankfurt by the Befondant ter Moor and Prof. Solek, a doce sed Verstand marker, who later was promoted to the sufsichter at
of I.G. Farben. This menorandum indicated that a Department a
had been formed inside I.G. Farben for counter-intelligence in
connection with the betrayal of working secrets, and so on---and the
year is 1986 min the menth is January.

Now, we show would like to call your attention to the next page occurse it takes us over to the connected side of the Farbon organization with respect to these question of minitary limited and synchronization of I.G. Farbon's activities with the German with Camand and the other Reich agencies participating in military matters. That is an page 39 and 40 of the German document by ok; 26 of the Relich. Quoting:

"A letter of Er, wen Schnitzler and Dr. Higner of 3 February, 1938, to the Endeh Ministry of Sectionics, the Pression Ministry of Beamsile - Indire, and the Reich Ministry of Was expresses the Following:

economy as few as all affairs of the individual I.C. works are concerned, the following effices will be designated: The Vermittlungestello-V for the central of the material, planning and distribution of production, shipping and stock-piling of resolution of production, shipping and stock-piling of resolution of the necessary personnel, and the office of economic policys—that is 1170—Win NV-7 Jerlin, for natters in the business where, that is, personnell and requirements estimates within this country and present in the repeated of expert, stack-piling of external stores, direction of sales, etc. Provisions have been made that in all natters of ver accounty close contact will exist between Vermottlungestello-V and the Department of Economic Policys—VIPO.

We underline that formeat because there is seen some indications

of the technical as against the conserving the distinct nature of the technical as against the conservial activities of I.G. Farbour and it seems to be that the left hand and the right hand has to be coordinated—and that in fact they well were coordinated.

The north document is HI-2638, greening at rage 28 of the English and 25 of the German. Soully, we have note two documents which are to there, and R will north then in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 1.0. The first document is an efficient by struct which needly attentionates the second document, Deginning on page 29 of the English document book and page 43 if the German.

THE PRINTS IF: Emiddit 140 will identify both documents,
is that fight? Or do you desire to have them squarated?

MR. THE The Secretary points out that what I had better to in here—well, both of these documents have been marked MI-2638. This is a question of constice because this is one document, from the point of volv of our document processing, but two near ones four the point of view of actual fact. The first is northly a covaring reflicivit by String which exal has what the other part of the locument is.

THE PRESIDENT: It only concerns the mechanics; wheather you desire that the two shall be designated jointly as 140 or that the one numbered 12 and that numbered 13 shall become 141-whichever way you desire just, so we don't become confused.

MR. SPRECHER: At the suggestion of the Secretary we will mark both as Prosecution 14e since the NI document number is the same.

Now, Struss points out in his efficient that the document comes from the files of I.G. Farben, and that it was drawn up by Dr. Ritter, who was the representative of Sparte-1 in Vermittlungsstelle-w, and that it was drawn up by Dr. Ritter in collaboration with Prof.

Krauch. Dr Struss notes that the document could only have been signed by Prof. Mrauch since Dr. Ritter would not have had sutherity to sign such an important document.

The original we have is, in fact, the copy of the document that was left behind--and not the original-- which was sont foward. The date of the principle do- cument--which is a letter, confidential letter, describ-- ing generally the nature of Vermittlungsstelle-w is dated 31 December 1935.

of things in this document in that we then may be able to make much briefer reference to a number of documents which cill come later. Starting with paragraph 2, page 29 English and page 43 German; "The newly founded Vermittlungsstello-w has as its task the simplifying and connecting-up of these works inside of the I.G.

The sims of this work is the building up of a tight

3 September-A-Rb-10-2 Schueb (Matz)

organization for the armament in the I.G. which could be inserted without difficulty in the existing organization of the U.G. and the individual plants."

"In the case of war, I.G. will be treeted by the nuthorities concerned with armament questions as one big plant, which in its task for the erroment, as far as it is possible to do so from the technical point of view, will regulate it self without my organizational influence from the outside."

There is a fact note which acceally appears in the document which states that the work in this direction was in principle agreed upon with the Ministry of War, "and from this office with the Ministry of Economy."

Then, continuing the quatetion, "In close callaboration with the Minister of War it was proveded that to
the work of the I.G. should con form with the general development and in accordence with the rules given by the
authorities with respect to their requirements."

"In the Vermittlungsstelle-s the work for organists of all the plants of the three divisions (Sparten) are joined. In agreement has been such with DAG(Dynamit-Nobel) to the extent that DAG works tegether with the Vermittlungsstelle-w only on supplies for raw masterial and planning works, whereas questions dealing with the development work were not made by the Vermitt-lungsstelle-w."

Naw, there I might point ou t that I understand that to mean the following; that DAG, in connection with development work on explosives would work without the assistance of Vermittlunggstellow, I.G. Parben's

3 September-4-RB-10-3 Schwab (Katz)

Agoney in Borlin; but that in connection with raw materials, planning of works, and things of that kind, it
would work with Vermittlungsstelle-w Berlin. I think
later document will indicate a albae relation between
other agencies of Parbon and DAG in connection with
certain developmental works.

Now. Propping to the bottom of the page: What was the purpose of Vermittlungastella-w? What was the setup to do, and why I think this document shows rether well.

"1. Planning works for armoments."

"(a) Control office of the I.G. to secure in the case of war, execution production in the plants of the I.G., one must propere an I.G. office, making use of the organization of the different divisions and existing institutions which would get as a connecting link to the government offices..." And then, going fown to the next paragraph concerning th individual works of the I.G.

"To secure from the beginning the collation of all the armoment and technical questions in the different works already during the time of the development a staff was appointed in each plant of the three divisions (Sparten). The same was provided for the armoreial offices and the controlized administrations, although the most suitable form for these organizations will only beafound after the outbrook of war and by the experiences gained in war. This staff was set up in the different works in class connection with the existing organization of the plants. The manager of the plant

should be in principle the man who is clone responsible for all the armament questions and questions connected therewith."

In view of the fact that a number of the defendants from the tachnical side were responsible to Verstand for the direct management of a number of the plants, we think it is rather important that this document points out that the manager is responsible in this connection.

Now, the decument proceeds to go into a number of things which I.G. Farbon did in synchronizing its activities with the High Command. And I think if we mention then now the individual documents which show the extent, or partially the extent, to which some of this synchron-ization went, they can be put in evidence with less comment.

It is also interesting to note when I.G. Forben planned the plan usually hit the neil protty much on thee head. The leter document to this document of December 1935 will quite well spell out how theroughly the planning came to accuse fruition.

To the middle of page 30, which is perhaps about page 44 of the German:

orent decisions which must be taken in ease of war, it is necessary to exercise by mean a of technical planning games (Batriebstechnische Planspeile) in the plant. In these games all the possible disturbances and their diffect on production of the works and furthers the respective measure a which would have to be taken to secure the most important production, should be taken into cosideration."

And thon, skipping one paragraph:

"These measures adopted for the armement should lead to uniform organization under the loadership of the plant manager in each of the I.G. works which connects all the armement and technical questions. Including protection against catastrophe and air raids and which can be used in normal times whenever any disturbances may occur in the plants."

Now, that came under Point I which had to do with planning works for armamen t. Point 2 has to do with working out plans of mobilizations quoting again:

"The ain is to make up the plans for mobilization for all plants in class connection with the authorities concerned with the armament. These plans are worked out under the presumption that I.G. takes the measures in its p plants to excute these 'Mob-orcers.'" Mobilization is the word for Mob, m-o-b. "In cases concerned with the depend of raw material for finished products form rute, side the works and in questions of he bor and transportation each of the plants of the I.G. works just like every other industrial plant with the organization offices of the government in their respective spots."

"Attompts were made to find out the prespective particulars for the production of the different I.G. works in the case of wer."

Your Honors, here we wish to pause. You will note that the initiative to find out what should be produced in case of war is partly coming from I.G. Farbon, to say the least here, and it isn't a question of orders being given alone, ilthough that decemit necessarily

3 September-i-RB-10-6 Schwab (Katz)

make any difference in those proceedings except to show the metive.

Quoting again:

"Only in very fer cases which doubt with especially important products for the ver on could get a certain picture of the accessery requirements. It will take a long time for the devel point we rk until one could see really clear as to the actual requirements in the case of wer."

"A school for mubilization for var(tochnical part) based on present recognitions was not up, just as well as a school for the I.G. works (chemical industry).

We can expect that the authorities will give at a later date only an indication for the execution of that part of the school which has purely the character of a time bable, the presumble structure of which was already taken into consideration."

5 Sept. 47, A-BC-11-1 (Burns) (Katz) Court V1, Case V1

I am quoting quite a bit of this because it also refers to some quotations in Paregraph 19 in support of these documents lie. In the last page of this document we dome to the "Continuous Accomplishment of the Work." Now:

"The continuous development work in the field of armament and technical questions connected therewith is fixed and agreed upon in further collaboration with the Vermittlungsstelle W. Contact is made with most of the experts of the I. G. works and the governmental departments."

And then there's a peregraph on the development work and I would like to point out the nat peregraph because it involves Dr. von Knieriem. The defendant you Knieriem is closely connected with the patent questions, lagel questions in many connections which we will come to again and again.

"Patent questions and Secreey - Induced by Dr. von Knieriem, the T. G. will take up all the questions concerned with
the patents in the arrest at field through the medium of the
Vermittlungsstelle W. The Vermittlungsstelle W. has and will
settle continuously these patent questions."

And then it goes on to talk about various visits, keeping the various people informed as to what all the dealings with the military are and then to the question of the creation of Department A which had to do with various protective measures to see that industrial trade secrets were not viciated and that sabotage did not take place in I. C. Farben plants and so on.

The next document is Document NI-7611 which we would like to mark in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 141. This is found on page 32 of the English and pages 46 to 48 of the German Document book. This is an officerit by Dr. Gerr, who became an officer in the Vermittlungsstelle W during the course of events. He makes certain observations in this affidevit which I would like to point out and obviously beginning in the second par-

3 Sopt. 47, A-BC-11-2 (Burns) Court VI, Case VI

agraph within paragraph 2 Dr. Gorr points out that I. G. being such a large organization had to be very conful that its individual plants didn't get into any particular conflict with one another so as to projudice I. G.'s general interest. I see it is also important to note under Paragraph 3 that Vermittlung-sstelle '. didn't only deal with the Wehrmacht but with a number of the other Reich agencies which were closely associated with the autorohy and the armament problems and objectives. I wen't point out anything in the rest of that document as there are a few angles on different questions by Dr. Gorr which go into a number of things and I think your Honors reading it in connection with other material can give it its proper weight without much difficulty.

The next document is NI-8923, prge 33 of the English and peen 56 and 57 of the Gurman Document Book. I would like to mark this document in evidence as Proscoution Exhibit 142. This is on affidavit by Dr. Mans 'agnor, who also became an important official of Vermittlungsstelle W. Dr. Wagner goes into the personnel and cort in questions concerning the security and other questions. He talks about these verious duties of these individuels on page 35, page 59 and to of the Gorman Document Book. I would like to point out to your Honors that agnor mentions the defendant Gejewski and the connection of the Deportment III to Vermittlungsstelle W, whereas the main office of Vormittlungsstelle 7 was in Berlin, Wagner points out that Gajewski had Moyor romain in Wolfen which is not too for from Berlin and that Muyer who was a representative of the Vermittlungsstelle W but didn't spend ell of his time in Berlin by any morns and the main reason for that was that Gajowski was not interested in having any other outside agency interfor in his Sporte. I don't suppose that Sporte 3, had exactly the same problems of co-ordination of the needed the services of Vermitt3 Sept. 47-A-BC-11-3- (Burns) Court V1, Case V1

lungsstelle " in the same way that Sparte II and Sparte I did in dealing with the Wehrmicht.

New, ever on prges 37 of the English Document Book and place 61 to tw of the Cormon Document Book, there's a discussion by Vagner concerning the duties of Vermittlungsstelle w in which he makes a cotog rization. He points out there were some matters which were negoti-ted exclusively between the official agencies of the Vermittlungsstelle . Secondly, that there were a number of other metters hire the Vermittlungsstelle W sometimes became involved and other times various landing members of I. G. Forbon proformed to take the matt r up thomselves with the agency concorned. With respect to that o togory I think the general tonor of the documents, which were taken together here, were simply that after the Vermittlungsstalle " had made the necesscry ligison and made the necessary introduction its functions in many cases had spont itself and the principal persons, concornud in the Ministry, in the Army and the I. C. plants and in the I. G. commercial arganizations than were in lighten in connection with one moth r and the Vermittlungsstelle W. would drop to some extent out of the picture.

Now, coming to C-tegory 3, Wegner notes in that cringery hard that the Vermittlungsstelle W h & nothing to do with the limison with the Wehrmont and only with great difficulty found out very much about it and he refers as one might expect to some of the experiments that were conducted by the defendent Heerlein, Dr. Schrader, Dr. Ambres and Lautenschlaeger; three of these gentlemen mentioned being defendants in this case

He states the nature of sems of these experiments in which these gentlemen were involved, one of them concerning penicillin.

We can pass than to Document NI-8321 which is already in avidance as Prosecution Exhibit 102. That's at page 41 of the

3 Sept. 47-A-BC-11-4- (Burns' Court V1, Case V1

English and 56 of the German Document Book. I would like to go over it with your Honor's permission to page 43 to the pages 67 or 68 in the German Document Book and queting from the middle of a paragraph near the end of the document:

"In 1936 the Office for Garman Rew and Basic Materials
later on renamed Reichs Office for Economical Development, was
formed by the Garman government. Prof. Krough the was appointed
to this office remained at the same time in charge of the Vermittlusgestelle W. He drafted at one the members of Division I
and subsequently a number of other members of the Vermittlungsstelle into his new office."

That refers back to a stet ment I made earlier this morning, that the training ground for one of the main offices in connection with the re-erasment of Garmany was netuelly in Ferben and particularly in the Vermittlungsstelle W in this particular case. Then I quote again:

After the representatives of the ermed forces become nearminted with the technical experts of the different plants they contected these experts directly without using the services of the Vermittlungsstelle W."

Your Honor, that's a convenient breaking off point and Mr.
J'm Charmetz will continue either now or after the recess.

THE PRESIDENT: Foll, we are almost at the time of adjournment for lunch and the Tribunel will rise at this time until one thirty.

(a ruccas was taken)

3 Sept 47-A-PM-13-1-Burns (Ramler) Court 6, Case 6.

AFTERNOON SESSION

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honor, this morning we presented an affidavit by Dr. Hans Wagner. That was Document NL-8323 which went in as Prosecution Exhibit 142. At this time the prosecution would like to give notice of the intention of calling Dr. Hans Wagner as a witness at the conclusion of the material which we are putting in evidence concerning Ocunt L.B. That is the Count principally dealing with the synchronization of the activities between Farben and the Wehrmacht. A formal notice will be served in the appropriate way this afternoon. Now, there will be a number of other affidavits by Dr. Wagner which will be introduced in the course of the next day or so. We propose that Dr. Wagner should only be examined in connection with matters raised in these affidavits. The presentation of the material will be continued by Mr. John Charmatz.

MR. CHARMATZ: May it please the Tribunal, the documents which I am going to offer in evidence now are to cover principally but not exclusively the allegation made in Paragraph 20 of our indictment. We have seen before that I.G. Farben sychronized many of its activities with the Wehrmacht and now in the document which I am going to present here I hope we can prove that I.G. Farben kept its activities as secret as possible in order also in this respect to synchronize and do the same as the Wehrmacht and the Nazi regime did.

May I introduce as Prosecution Exhibit No. 143 the Jocument PS-2276 which your Honors will find in Document Book No. 6 on page 45 of the English Document Book and on pages 70 and 70-A of the German text. This document is an

Gurt 6, Case 6.

by the German Labor Front in Berlin and which has wide circulation. In this book Dr. Ley, the head of the German Labor Front who was a defendant in Case No. 1 before the IMT states as follows and I read from page 45 of the English Bood No. 6:

During the years from 1933 to 1939 everything necessary had been done in secrecy that seems necessary to the conservation of the nation in the anticipated clash with the envious surrounding world.

As is stated here by Dr. Ley in this book the Third Reich tried to keep secret from the world as much as possible their activities to build up their armament in enticipation of the clash. I hope, secondly, in the documents which I will offer now concern I.G. Farbon that I.G. FARBEN also tried its best to keep all its activities as secret as possible with as little notice to foreign countries as possible.

Prosecution Exhibit 144 is the Document EC-223 which your Honors will find on page 46 of the English text of the Document Book and on page 71 of the German document Book. This document as your Honors will note, comes from the files of the Military Economic Staff of the OKW, the High Command of the German armed Forces, and also found in the year 1945 in the files of the so-called Feldwirtschaftsamt which succeeded the Military Economic Staff. These files were first captured and then screened by the American Forces and that is where this document comes from, namely, the files of the Feldwirtschaftsamt. This is a letter written by the Vermittlungsstelle W of I.G. Farbon

437

3 Sept 47-A-PM-13-3-Burns (Int. Ramler) Court 6, Casa 6.

on 9 July 1937 signed by Herr Dieckmann who was an official of the Vermittlungsstelle W. From this document which is addressed to the Reich War Ministry, the Foldwirtschaftsamt, (Military Economic Staff) we can not that even before the German War Ministry issued its ordinances on secrecy I.G. Farben on its own had issued certain directives to all of its staff and other agencies in order to keep important — things which were important for the war production secret. May I read from Page 46 of the English Document Book, page 71 of the German Document Book? The text of the letter:

la Inclosed plonse fini:

"Directions for maintaining the secrecy of processes, potents and "know-how" of the chemical industry dated 4 December 18-36.

g. "Instructions concerning intelligence in I.G. Farbenine"
dustry A.G. dated 12 March 1937."

I continue to quota:

"Each the unclosures were at the time sent to all
I.G. Offices concerned, that is, works-management,
department or laboratory chiefs, legal departments,
patent departments, do. all these departments have been
advised to miners to these instructions. The instructions
themselves have been discussed and accepted at various
times by the Military Economics Staff of the Reich War
Ministry, Regiorungsbaurat Lehmann, as well as by the
intelligence Department of the Reich War Ministry, Col.
Honeko."

May I point out furthermore, your Honors, this document which has the subject: "Re: Conference on Motor Fuel and Lubricants", shows that I.G. Farben submitted for the basis of

438

Scot 47-A-PM-13-4-Surns (Int. Ramler)

n lineussion how to keep certain things secret, as you will see later on, already made directives on secrecy. It was not the Mar Ministry which gave I.G. Farben instructions how to make such directives. I.G. Farben did them and, of course, they discussed them with he Military Economic Staff and the Military Economic staff also accepted what I.G. Farben had done in order to Ecop all important technical work as secret as possible.

3 Sept. 47-A-BC-14-1-(Loonard) (Int. Rammler) Court VI, Caso VI

May I now road just one paragraph on page 47 of the English text, page 72 of the German text, where I. G. Farben states in the Enclosure #1 to high I just referred, I read the second paragraph:

"Up to date, it has been impossible to get official directives which specify the products, processes and installations
to be kept secret in the field of the chemical industry. Up to
now, the responsibility for the right procedure has been exclusively that the industry, which in case of doubt had to consult the Reich Wer Ministry."

As I pointed out before, the responsibility was I. G. Farbon's. I. G. Farbon made these directives.

I think the rost of this Enclosure #1 need not be quoted as it is perfectly clear.

I now only went to point out Enclosure #2 which you will find on page 48 of the English document book at the bottom, and page 77 of the German document book. This circular, or thuse directives, start as follows - I want to point out that this document is dated 12 March 1937 as is shown on page 46.

"The increasing cooperation of various I. G. offices
with parts of the Wehrmacht and with other state organizations
makes it necessary with a view to the intensified regulations
of the law about transon, to refer to the following points:"

I think this first puregraph will sumport our basic thesis in this sub-count that the ecoparation of I. G. Farban, as a whole, increased in importance over since 1935 and 1936 as Your Honors have seen yesterday and before from, among others, the statement by the defendant Schnitzler. I do not went to quote too much of this document. I just want to point out the last sentence on page 49 that I. G. Farban pointed out to all of its offices that many of the things high are done by I. G. forban h d to be kept as state secrets even if there was no

3 Sept. 47-A-BC-14-2-(Leonard) (Int, Rammler) Court V1, Gase V1

apacific order by the government to do so. The rest of the document, mostly the personal III and IV, we will see developed to a greater extent in our later documents.

May I now introduce, or rether, offer in evidence, Exhibit 145, the Document NI 4979 which is a letter hick was found in the files of one of the Ferben departments in Berlin, the Departmint Batostik, which was a sales department for tuchnical nitrogen, hich ennounced, on the 2d of J nu ry 1936, to practically all Forbon plants and sales egoneius that, within the framework of the Vermittlungsstelle , r special deportment A for intelligoned was gracted. Mry I draw the ttention of Your Honors ot page 51A of the English document book, page 81 of the Garman text, which shows the distribution list. You will see that practically no important part of I. G. Parbon is omitted in this distribution list. This letter went to say rel of the defendents in the dock, nemely: Dr. Schneider, Dr. Oster, Dr. Hoorloin, Dr. von Schnitzlor, W. R. Monn, Dr. von Knieriem and Bruoggomann. This letter, which was known as it's oven shown from this distribution list, to many of our defendants reads in p regraph 1 - you will find this, Your Honors, on prgu 51-B, paragraph 1 - I quote:

"In addition to the circulars concorning the creation of the Varmittlungsstella W in Burlin, we inform you that we have ennoyed to this office a Section A for counter-intelligence matters (against espionage and sebetage). Mr. Marbock is put in charge of this section."

May I just point out that, at this time, at the beginning of January, 1936, there was no order for I. G. Ferben by the government to create such a section. What I. G. Ferben did was that they themselves wented to help to keep their work, which was so important for the preparation of the war, safe from any foreign intelligence and, if necessary, also from schotege.

3 Sept. 47-A-BC-14-3-(Leonard) (Int. Rammler) Court VI, Case VI

I want to point out once more, we speak of the year 1936, so I do not think that schotage was quite widely discussed yet at that time.

May I offer now in evidence the next document, NI-4678, which is to become Exhibit 146. This document was found in the files of the I. G. Ferben plant in Leverkusen in a folder marked "Vermittlungsstelle W". This document, which you will find an page 52 of the English document book and on page 86 and 67 of the German text, shows that, as a rly as February, 1936, the defendant Hens Kuchne, among others, declared to the Vermittlungsstelle W - may I quote now from the middle of this document:

"that they were aware of the need for secrecy and of the confidential nature of the questions under discussion.

"end that the measures termed as confidential will only be passed on by them to people designated for this purpose, that they

"will truck as secret all matters which or considered secret in eccordence with Articles 88 and following (Treason) of the Reich Law Gazatte, part I, No. 47, page 341 of the Reich Ponel Code, and that they

"will onsure their screkeeping."

Now, this statement was given by the three gentlemen which signed this document, emeng them Dr. Kuchne, in order to give their assurance to the competent authorities which doubt with them, through the Vermittlungsstelle-W, that they would do everything to keep the preparation of the I. G. Ferban in the armoment field secret

3 Sept-a-119-15-1-Leannar-1.t. 1 1 1-2 Court 6. Case 6

The next decement, which you will find on page 53 of the English degement book, page 88 of the German text, which is MI 8468, likewise cames from the files of the sales department for technical mitrogen of I.G. Englen in -grlin. In this decement, which is a circular letter issued by the Vermittlum; satelle-W. Department A.—the counter-intelligence department—this section points out that it is necessary to restrict All statistical sub-lications in order not to disclose anything concerning Germany's armanent to fereign countries. May I want out on page 53 of this document—I quote one paragraph:

"The contents of this Acorde caineids, in general, with the methods which have treefy been adopted throughout I.G. "

Vermittlungantealls—W transmitted the text of a latter of the Reich Chamber of Decembers to the fareen offices, which letter as in is based mean a decree contained it the Reich Law Gasette, of 2. world 1936. I only was to stress the point that here again Farein says that the contests of an officeal terror "coincide, in general, with the methods which have already usen adopted we tarou, hout I.C."

Your Honors, I forget to say that this HI focusions 8486 should be Exhibit 6147.

May I introduce, as Prosecution Exhibit #148, the document NI 4679 which Your Honors will find on gage 54 of the English document book and page 93 of the Gornen document book. This document is a letter from Vermittlungsstello-W, signed by Druening, who we will bear was an official of the Vermittlungsstello-Wh Adressed again to practically all of I.G. Farben's plants and sales atomates. May I point our, Your Henors, on page 54, on the distribution list, you will find the names of the defendant br. Euchno, in about the middle of the page. Further down, of

the defendant Dr. Jackne and on page 55, Dr. Oster, Dr. von
Schmitzler, W.Z. Hern, Dr. Krauch, Dr. Ter Hoer, and Dr. Gajewaki.
This letter, unich is lated 10 March 1937, at the time when we
saw before with the Document Be 223, I.G. Furben was not yet furnished by the governmental or Webrnacht a medes with strict
rules on secreey, at that time the Vermittlungsstelle-W pointed
out to all Parken plants, as we have seen of the distribution list
that for the level-mental work- May I point out, Your Honors,
on page 56, the first sentence of the text of the document roads;

"Subsequent to rescal work ... "

New, I think the translation should read "development work" instead of research work" because that is the terminology which we use in the leter documents.

Here, in this forment, it is pointed out that, on the request of the same Ordenece office, certain things should be kept particularly secret and that many of the moneics, or rather, officials of the moneics to which this forment is addressed should be bound by pleade of secreey.

I think we can owit the rost of the document for the time being, as it deels with other problems than we are concorned with right now.

May I offer is evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 149, the decement NI 703, which is a letter addressed to the defendant Schnitz by one of Perion's subsidiaries in Narch-on the 9th of Warch, 1937-to sign a special please to keep for secreey asserning everything he should lean about Wehrmacht orders in his capacity as Chairman of the sufsichtant of this company which you will find on the letterhead on page 62 "German Colluloid Factory in Allenburg."

May I introduce, as Exhibit 150, Document NI 1164, which Your Honors will find on page 64 of the English securent book

and which may be found on purces located following of the Common decement book. The first part of this document, which consists of govern! Letters sized by the defendant Enchare, is a confidential actorement detect 21 February 1936 on the subject of me ployment of forci mers. In this first letter, the actorizant fuchas points out, on the subject of the employment of forcigners, that the employment of forcigners in so called "war ossential" plants and the collegent of stateless persons should not happen without that the according to stateless persons should not happen without that the according of stateless persons should not happen without that the according of stateless persons should not happen without that the according of stateless persons should not happen without that the according of activities a distinct according of the person after employment could be effected. I want to point out, Your Boners, that this, such a circular, was sent out in Fobruary, 1936.

On the said page we find a second confidential memorangue of the defendant Eachne of the subject of visits of foreignors.

Here it is pointed out, on page 65, under the heading: Wi.

Vistis of foreignors, that such visits must always be approved by the Mahmmeht agency giving the order for amments it these foreigners went to see part of the amment menufacture. At the end of (b), under heading I, it is pointed out that these foreigners might have a chance to observe, I quote "The explusion of plants serving amment supposes, or the transportation of Webmacht equipment within the visit." I think the rost of this deciment is self-explantary and I do not want to take up your production.

The next forment, which is BI 0985, which I want to mark as Prosecution Eddibit 151, is a circular form the Vermittlung-sstelle-W, Department A, the counter-intelligence department as we have seen Deferre, which is addressed to, as you will see on page 68, to Director End-If Hanser or his deputy, Prokarint Grime, Department Ectostik.

3 Sopt-#19-15---Loomard-Int. Resultr Court 6, Case 6

May I point out, Your honors, nest of these documents this we find in this part of Document Book #6, as you have noticed its ready, come from the files of this nitrogen sales department.

I think it might be appropriate to point out that these documents were handed over to the presecution by a voluntary witness, namely Dr. Krueger, who will, no doubt, either take the stand as a vitness of the presecution of maybe also might be called by the lefense, and that all these locuments especial with secreey could not be found in the ordinary files of I.G. Parken lithough the presecution tried its best to find the files which were concerned with secreey in the presecution of a former Farker applied were we able to locate these documents.

In this document PI 4905, which deals with, as ou will see on page 68, the announcement of, or authority for visits to the plants, we need no t quote anything, since the document is self-explanatory.

ill you blease note your onor, on page 72,- and this is the English text, page 115 of the Gorman text, that the Vermittlungsstelle what propered a special form for such authorization to wis t blants. It always refers to visits by foreigners, an that the persons who conducted such visitors had to sign special pledges, as you Hohors will see on mages 75 and 72 of the English Document book, which is pages 115 on 6 119 of the Gorman document book.

cautions fore taken in late April, 1937.

The nex document, NI Sol, thick your Monors will find on page 75 of the English Cook and book, and on page 120 of the German Document Book, is again a document which we received from the files of the Sales Department Estestik, through Dr. Kruewer.

nention that this document should be Prosecution's Exhibit 132. In this document, which is dated 16 July
1936, dealing with please to scarce, issued by the
Department A of Vermattlum satisfies, it is nointed out
to all Farben Agencies again, -and I want to point out that
there is no list of distribution on this document, you
will note you Fonors that at the top of the document it
says, "To", and there is no further text there, so we
can assure that this was a reportal circular.

In this document it is pointed out that the competent, and I musts from the beginnin of the document:

"The competent Reich sutherities request that all those comes rued with securit afters in industry or those who ar otherwise occuried on work which brings them into contact with such latters or matters which it is otherwise considered should be kept secret in the interest of security, should be ploaged to socred in writing and informed of the penalties apportuable in case of non-observance."

Tay I woint out on pa e 75, the second paragraph from the bottom, where it states that the individuals who have to take this bledte, -- I all sorry that is the "trust orthiness of these individuals" has to be established beyond a doubt, an that if hecossary these people have to be screened by the State Police.

ing quite a lot of time on this inter of secreey by going into detril. I doubt if we are westly interested in just that particular ressures were taken ith regard to this secreey. It is practically reposition here for a half-hour, with approximately the same tops of evidence. I wonder if ou need to take all of this time.

IR. C TO ATZ: Very woll, our oper.

end the exhibit numbers %1 3712, found on page 77 should become Pressecution Exhibit 153, this document is such a placed for stored, signed by the defendant Krauch. Further one, Document WI 1153, on wars 79, which I want to offer as Presecution's which is another circular of the Counter Intelligence Department of the Vor ittlungsstelle ...

Vermittlemesstelle W. Department A. secret, on pages 88, 87, and W. you will find a letter signed by the defendant

3 Santo Cor A Rb 15 3 Stewart (Rent 1st)

Muchno, on the security service.

The nort Coordent, which your Tenors will find on page 80 of the English Coordent Look, page 148 of the German Text, is WI 1137, which I dent to offer as Prosescution's Tablet 156.

I think the focusent is solf-emplanator .

The maxt document, - I a sorry your honor, the domest is so be found on to of the document book.

You I point out, with regard to the document which I just offered in evidence, NI 1137, on page So of the Document ook, at the top of the way, your Monors will note that this emerandum is addressed also to the Level Doub.

of I. . Jarden Industry, of which the defendant won Emiories was the chief.

Court VI, Cosc VI

The rest of the document as such, is self-explanatory.

The next document on page 94 of the English Document Book,
p gu 150 of the German text, is NI 4977, which I offer in evidence as Prosecution's Exhibit 157. Thi document also comes
from the Counter Intelligence Department of Vermittlungsstelle
W, and datas with the subjects which were trusted here before,
nearly, secreey with regard to documents, - pledge of secreey,
- and then also, as your Henors will see, on page 96 of the English Document Book, page 154 of the German Document Book, with
the se-called Abwehrbocuftragte, (Security offices). We will
have to some back to this document at a later stage of the
evidence, since at 1 ast two four defendants were such Abwehrbotuftragte (Security officers).

The next decument which you will find on page 104 of the English Document Book, page 164 of the German Document Book, NI 6382, which I went to offer as Prosecution's Exhibit 158, is an except from the minutes of the Technical Management Maingau of 28 November, 1938.

May I draw your Honors attention to this short excerpt of this minute, where it says, and I quoteL

"The appointment of foreigners must be avoided at all costs". I think the word, "appointment," is a mistranslation. I think it should be "employment", and I will check this and will give the information later, your Honors, if I may. It says in Garman, the, "einstellung" which is, I think, the "employment".

MR. SPRECHER: A member of the defense counsel have pointed out, Your Honors, that it could be "engagement" or "appointment". I think with that explanation you will be able to carry
on.

MR. CHIMMATZ: The next document, NI 5686, which your Honors will find on page 105 of the Document book, is NI 5686

which I want to mark as Prosecution's Exhibit 159. May I be paraitted your Honors to quote, very shortly, from this document?

This document is, - you will find this your Honors, on prgo 105 of the English text, and upon prgo 165 of the German text. This is a memorandum for the files deted 11 January, 1939, on chamical, - the subject of this memorandum is, "Chomical "Trire Agents" and in parenthesis, I quote the German, "(K-Stoffe). "Procedure ag to Searct Correspondence".

My I point out that here in this memorandum it is discussed how secrecy can best be kept, with regard to chemical writer agents, and this document points out that there is nuite a considerable correspondence going on between I. G. From, and the OKH which is the Supreme Command of the German erry, concerning charical warfers agents, in January, 1939.

I want to stress this detc, as in our section on production we will see that ment of our defendants denied that any important work on chemical writers agents was corried on by I. G. Farbon before the outbrook of the wer.

The nont document ---

PRESIDENT: Counsel, perdon the interruption. We have been glancing where at the descriptions of the documents as contained in your index. Are we not correct in assuming that it is your contention that they all relate to this matter of secrecy, and intelligence and counter intelligence, and that your only concern now in showing the dates of the instruments, and the association of the instruments as to the defendants? Is that a correct assumption on the part of the Tribuncl?

MR. CHARMETZ: I think so, your Honor,

PRESIDENT: Then it does occur to us that purhaps it would not be necessary. I think we know your purpose, and can see how we think that these matters are partiaent, and parhaps it would serve the purpose if you would merely identify and introduce the succeeding six documents.

Court VI, Case VI

MR. CHARMATZ: Vory well, your Honor.

The next five documentys, - the 6th accument will be presented by Mr. Spreeher, Are NI 4981, which I offer is evidence as Exhibit 160, which is another letter by the Vermittlugsstelle W, Counter Intelligence Department, Furthermore, Document NI 6147, page 113 of the English Document Book, which I offer as Exhibit 161, NI 4978 on page 115, which I offer as Presecution's Exhibit 162, NI 2883, Page 116, Presecution's Exhibit 163.

May I just point out, your Honors, on page 116 of the English Document Book, there was a mistake as regards to the paginction. 116 being page I of the document is correct, but in some copies of the English document book, page 2 was missing. May I inquire whether page 117, which is neturally page 2 of the missographed copy of this document, is in your books?

PRESIDENT: It is correct in all of our copies.

MR. CHARMATZ: In one of our copies it was not correct.

The last document is NI 6658, which is an effidavit of the
defendant von Der Heyde, which I want to offer as Exhibit 164
of the Prosecution.

DR. HOFFMANN, for defendent von Der Heyde: Mr. President, May I point out, --may I draw your attention to the date of this affidevit? This affidevit was signed on the 1st day of May, 1947. That was the first time that the defendent von Der Hoyde was interrogated. The indictment was solved on the 5th of May, 1947. The defendent was interrogated once more on the 2nd or 3rd of May, in order to have him sign another affidavit. On both of these occasions he was not told that an indictment was to be served against him, and that the testimony he was giving could incriminate him.

The indictment devotes a number of pages, -- the indictment has a number of pages, -- I think 50 or 70, and it is to be assumed that the indictment was already available at the Court V1, Case V1

time the defendant was interregated. It is my opinion that under these circumstances, he should have been told that an indictment was in existence, and for this reason I raise a formal objection egainst the submission of this document.

PRESIDENT: Does the prosecution wish to make any comment on this objection?

MR. SPRECHER: May it places your Honors, the indictment is really not in existence by any rule we know of, until the time when it is formally filed in the appropriate way with the authority that exists for receiving such and indictment. Thus, manifestly, it would be unfair to indicate the existence of such an indictment, if such an indictment did exist, to this particular defendant as against any other defendant or anybody class, in the rest of the world.

I think it raises a basic question against the question of admissions received before indictments are filed, and that there is nothing new in the point that Dr. Hoffmann new makes.

DR. HOFFMANN: Mr. President, mey I make mother brief strtement?

The presecutor did not define his attitude to and my assumption, whether or not the indictment was ready at that time. We have to be clear about the fact that there was an indictment against him, and that there is an obligation to tell the defendant that he may testify can be held against him.

There is a marked difference there, and this is why I object.

PRESIDENT: The Tribun-1 is not mindful of any exception to the rule that the voluntary statement of a defendant may be used against him. Cortainly no exception to the effect that he has been or is about to be indicted constitutes any such exception.

If counsel subsequently feels that he can submit any nuthority that might be calculated to induce the Tribunal to change 3 Scpt. 47-A-BC-17-5-(Stowert) (Rammler) Court VI, Case VI

1523

19 10 1

May 1

77 105

its ruling, we would be very gled to have you hand up or send up a memorandum that we can consider, without taking up the time of the trial, but as we are presently advised, we are inclined to the view that this objection is not valid, and the objection will be overruled.

3 September 47-A-ATD-18-1-Primocu (Int. Pareler)

270

your Monors have had reference besically to paragraph 20 of the indiction in their G and 1-B. I would like to put in a very few documents in connection with paragraph 21 of the indictment. NI-5694 is found on page 125 of the English document back and page 201 of the German. I would like to have that so in evidence as presecution exhibit 165. The document, your Monors will note, is an appendix to certain notes of the hard conference of the Patent Consission. The Patent Consission was under the direction of the defendant you Enterior.

The question which is taken up, is, as one can imagine, a rather complicated question in a situation where a private company was doing so much research for the military authorities and in the course of that research making discoveries which might have important value if patented and yet if patented where normal procedures would be made known to curtain other people.

I shall try to surerise briefly what happens in this conference, the murber of lawyers and reised points; and the defendant von Emerican Finally requests that the Vermittlum satelle-T, which is dealing with search waters to a very large extent, rake a survey in order to find out what the practice of I. W. Farben has been up to now, and finally he suggests that the Vermittlum satelle-W inform the patent department.

That is over an page 131 of the English near the and of the decement.

Now, the Patent Department of I. G. Farban was also under the defendant was Enderice and he wanted the Patent Department to be informed of all the fields in which there was a comparation with the Webrancht where patents requested come into question and that the Patent Departments of the various plants should then submit to the Varmittlungsstelle—We all patent explications parteining to these fields; and then on a little farther, upon the defendant won Emborion's suggestion, the Patent **Consission resolved that Varmittlungsstelle—Per should make a formal report and that the matter small be taken up at the next meeting of the Legal Counittee.

3 September 47-A-170-18-2-Princeu (Int. Remmler)

We now pass to Document NI-1669 found in the next document book, your Seners — Document Blok VII, page 1 of the English and page 2 of the Corman. I would like to mark this document in evidence as Prosecution Schibit 166.

New I first like to note that this is the study of Vermittlungs—
stelle—T, as the title indicates, which was unde in June 1939, the first
copy sent to defendant von Emicrica; and if you turn ever to page 2 we
find copies point to the Level Departments of some of the main plants,
mornally the plant which was the bandquarters of the whole works combine
and which works combine and perform cortain a ministrative and control
functions for all the plants in that area and then we see copies going
to the three Sparts leaders, all of when are defendants here Erauch,
the four and Cajoraki.

Turning over to 5-4 of the Ameliah which will be page 5 and 6 of the German Assurant book, I would like to quote one section with your peridesion and I have only one other section in this Assurant which is rather long and I think deserves special quoting.

Starting at the sidels of the page I:

"Origin of the or lars to carry out devel prental work.

the I.C. plants, carried out at the order of the "chrischt or in conjunction with Webruscht lagarticate, has assumed increasingly larger.

proportions. This is traceable on the inc hand to the fact that the
Webruscht is being confronted by an ever-increasing master of problems of
a technical or charical nature, which the engineers and analytical
charites of the chrischt lagarticates count tackle with the equipment
at their disposal in their our research stations, and on the other hand,
to the fact that the Tehrmoolt has become, directly or indirectly, a
wholesale customer and buyer of I.C. products on an ever-increasing scale,
and that a considerable number of problems have arisen from this supplierbuyer relationship between Webruscht and I.C."

3 Saptember 47-4-47D-18-3-Prineau (Int. Ramler)

Mails 3 or 4 years are only isolated sections within the I.C. were suggested on work concerning schreecht problems, at present there is experimental work in progress in class all unjor I.C. plants, which is being carried on either directly at the order of the Wehrmacht or in which the Tehrencht is taking an active part either due to the particular nature of the problems in question or through providing experts to participate in the mark."

We submit, your Moners, that this is rather Marcet proof of symplectization ontoless collection in very basic and fundamental rathers relating to preparation.

Now, over on 5F of the English and between pages 12 and 14 of the Common document back, there is one passage I would like to quote and then take one brief abservation. It is in the arresuph at the better of the page:

The Supress Correct of the Army Editory Terroric Staff has frequently pointed out in discussions with respect to the necessity of keeping potent applications of I.C. search — whether or not these potents resulted from the joint experimental work of the I.C. with Army officers or from I.C.'s can imitiative — that the Army is propered to indemify and underwrite any damness critic from this enforced secreey or arising from the fact that these potents engage to exploited."

I think this in lectus a contain solicitude by the Army in maintaining the and will of I. W. Forber on I I think it in locates on the whole that the Army recomited that it couldn't expect I. W. Forben very wall to be some of those things without being rewarded because the stakes were very high.

The next Lemment is SI-6193. It is found at page 6 of the English and page 21 of the Common Lemment book. I would like to make that in evidence as Prescution Educate 167. This, your Samers, is an example of a contract which I. G. Farben ands with the Eshrancht in connection with

3 September 47-A-ATD-18-4-Primenn (Int. Romaler)

transferring a potent to the Tehrmocht so that it could register it secretly and so that the normal rules concerning patent registration would not apply.

You will notice ever on page 7 that. D. Farben managed to get a clause into the contract so that if it could use the patents in such a way for peaceful purposes or for other purposes than the Webrancht had in mind without revealing the information to third parties it was entitled to do so.

The next Ecument is M-1062 and I would like to mark that in evidence as Presecution Exhibit 168. This is mother contract between the German Reich — by the Reich Ministry for aviation and I. C. Farben, concerning the transfer of another patent application which the Reich Air Ministry would then held as a trustee for Farben and the patent concerned "Incominary composition for incominary substances with a thermite base."

Now, the last locatest which we propose to introduce at this time on the subject coming out of paragraph 21 of indictment is Decument MI-8922, found at same 10 of the Inclish document book and page 25 of the Gorman. I would like to mark this in evidence as prosecution exhibit 169. This is another affiliavit by Dr. Tagner when we propose to call and I think it would be superfluing for us to make a comment further concerning itemaw.

Your Honors, Mr. Characte will continue with some of the natural bearing on paragraph 22 of the indictment.

THE PUBSIDEM: The Tribural will rise for its usual recess at this time.

(A rocess was taken.)

3 Sept-A-FL-20-1-Putty (Int. Katz) Court No. VI, Caso VI

THE WARSHAL: Too Tribunel is again in sussion.

AR. CHARLATZ: Mry it please the Triburni, the next thirteen documents which we find in Document Book No. VII cover partly the allegation of reference 22 of our Indictment. Document NI-8461 which you will find on page 14 of the English text, Your Honors; and on page 31 of the German text and which I want to offer in evidence as Exhibit 170 is a report signed by the defendant, Kuchne, as you will note, Your Honors, on page 18, and covers a conference of Setriebsfuchrer, plant leaders, on 21 April 1933 at Leverkusen. The Gocament which begins on page 14 states, and any I quote just the first arragraph:

"First, Rusine expressed his pleasure at the fact that we again have a government which puts duty and work fore set, wants to avoid interference in private enterprise and wants to bring menual and white collar workers closer together. He maked everyone to work in this apirit for the welfere of Germany and of the firm."

On the next page of this decement, page 15 of your document, your Honors, in the second paragraph you will note, Your Honors, that one of the participants of the conference pointed out that gas make had been issued to a large part of the employees and this was on the 21st of April, 1933. The decement, furthermore, describes in great detail the measures which were taken with regard to air raid precautions. I think it is not measure to crote anything on the measures taken.

May I point out, Your Bonors, the expression of the title of this report, Betriebsfuchrer, is not the same as it is usually used here because "Betriebsfuchrer" otherwise in the Indiction is a technical term of the legislation of the Third Reich according to their labor laws. Those here apparently are the sum in charge of certain parts of the plants. That is why we put the German in here.

The next document which Your Honors will find on page 19 is NI-6465 which I want to offer as Prosecution 171. This document which is the

3 Supt-A-FL-20-2-Futty (Int. Kata) Court No. VI, case VI

minutes of the moting of the engineering committee of 30 May 1933 at the Lewns orks, as is shown on page 20, shows that the engineering committee already on 30 May 1933 recommended a joint discussion of the air raid chiefs of I.C. Ferben.

May I point out, furthermore, that this document is signed by the defendant Jachne, on page 20 and that page 19 which shows the distribution list of these minutes gives the names of five ware of our defendants, much, Kuchne, Ter Leor, Kreuch, Hour Lin and Gajewski.

The next document, wI-6973, which is to be found on page 21 of the Anglish document book and page 40 in the German document book also is the minutes of a secting of I.G. Farben in Moschet on 6 July 1933.

The subject of this meeting is also "Industrial Air Raid Processions".

This document I want to after as Exhibit 172. This document shows an page 21 the importance which was attached to those measures by the Reich air Ministry as you will see by paragraph 1 of this document. Under No. 2 it shows that also the Army Ordnance Office considered those air precrutions necessary and on page 21-a the viewpoint of the Reichsmein is given in this document.

May I quote on angu 21-e the second wragraph, page 3 of the original.

It says:

"In view of the fact that in case of war I.G. will have to guarantee a possibly even increased production, those statements of the highest authority indicate the necessity for I.G. to start carrying out industrial air raid procession measures emergetically. The people present cannot escape the conviction that in future the government will consider was industry workers as active soldiers in case of war."

The next document, Your Honors, on page 22 of the Document Book, English text, page 44 of the German text, is NI-5887 which I offer in evidence as Prosecution Dahibit 173. This document is an excerpt from minutes of the meeting of the technical committee, the so-called "TEA"

3 Supt-A-FI-20-3-Futty (Int. Kets)
Court No. VI, Casa VI

of I.G. Farbon stating that - I quote just one sentonce:

"The first organized industrial air raid precaution measures desired by the authorities have been taken in the I.G. works."

I just went to point out that the date of this mooting is 18 July 1933.

The next document on page 23 of the English document book, Your Honors, NI-4838, I want to offer as Prosecution Exhibit 174. This document contains again minutes of the engineering committee of I.G.

Forber hold on 14 September 1933, and the question of financing those air raid measures was discussed there with the defendant, Jachne, present as you will note, Your Konors, on page 24 and these minutes were distributed again to several of the Verstand measure who were members of the Technical committee as you will see from page 23, the distribution list, amongst them Dr. Ruchne, Dr. Ter Meer, Dr. Krouch, Professor Reerlain and Dr. Gejonski.

On page 26 of the English document book Your Henors will find the document NI-8462 which I went to mark Prosecution Exhibit 175. This document is another sinutes of the — I am sorry, Your Henors — is the minutes of the scalar engineers secting — we did not have the minutes of this board yet — clas discussing air raid protection, and I do not want to add engineers this document.

On page 28 you will find NI-5871 which I want to mark Prosecution Exhibit 176. These are unutus again of the meeting of the Varstand at Houghst. This again — I think it was pointed out before — is not the full Vorstand but the consequent of the Houghst plant with the Vorstand members we sait which worked in Houghst, Dr. Herman, Leutens—chineger, Jachno — Dr. Herman a deceased Vorstand member.

On page 29 of the document book we find Document NI-5884 which I mark Prosection Emilit 177 with Your Honors' permission, again minutes of the emeting of the Vorstand in Hosenst, and this covers the

3 Supt-A-FL-20-4-Putty (Int. Katz) Court No. VI, Case VI

same subject as was already discussed in our anhibit No. 89 which was introduced before, smally, certain indoctrination courses together with aircraft anti-air raid processions.

On page 30 Your Honors will find the document NI-4841 which I want to offer as Prosecution Exhibit 178. This document is the transcript of a mosting of the engineering committee of 20 and 21 of Soptember, 1934. On page 30 Your Honors will see the distribution list which again shows the mashers of the technical committee; among them are defendants Kuchne, for Moor, Krasuh, Hoorlein, Gajewski, and may I just quote one sentence from this document on page 31. It says:

"The sir reid recontions which it has been requested by taken at the I.C. works are so with for the plant and the factory that they will have to be discussed with the central Offices of the Reich."

The next decident on page 32 of the English Document Book, page 59 of the German document book, is NI-4451 which I must to mark Proscention Exhibit 179. This is the transcript of a moting of another important board of I.G. Ferban, samply, the Main Group II, "Hauptgrappe II", again dealing with air reid procession questions. The date is 27 Sope-other 1934.

On page 33 Your Honors will find the document MI-6298 which I want to offer as Prosection Indibit 180. The document is to be found on page 60 of the German document book.

3 Sept-A-JP-22-1-Schwab (Int. Ratz) Court 6 Case 6

This document is the transcript of a meeting of the technical directors held at Ludwigshaven on 12 April 1938. It shows that certain training courses had been completed by a large number of I.G. Farbon employees.

The next document, may I point out, Your Honors, on page 33, the date at the top of the page should read 19 april, 1938. On page 35 of the English document book, page 53 of the German, your Honors will find HI-6397 which I want to offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 181. The transcript of a meeting of the technical management at Ludwigshaven on 37 September, 1938, which again discusses the general problems of air raid shelters for all of the employees have been completed.

The last dominent which covers the subject of air raid presentions is NL-7768, which Your Monors will find on page 37 of the document book. English text, and which is to be found on page 65; and following, of the Gorman text. This document is an affidavit by Dr. Hams Wagner. Dr. Wagner will be called as a witness of the Prosecution. I would just like to point out that in this document Dr. Wagner only superizes cortain excerpts from minutes of meetings of I.G. Farben, and I therefore want to offer it in evidence. This document, 7768, I want to offer as Prosecution Exhibit 182. It only contains, as Your Honors will see, excerpts from documents which would be rather lengthy if we would try to introduce them in their intircty.

Mr. Sprecher will now continue, with Your Honors' permission.

MR. SPRECHER: In paragraph 22 of the indictment, second sentence, it states that "in 1935 the Vermittlungsstelle-W supervised Kriegsspiele, or war games, to determine the affect of bombing on certain factories and the speed of replacement, and to train the Luftwaffe in precision bombing."

We have a few documents which we would like to put in connection with that charge at the present time.

The first document is MI-5881 which will become Prosecution Exhibit

3 Sept A JP-22-2-Schweb (Int. Katz) Court VI Case VI

183, and it is found at page 38 of the English and page 75 of the German document books. This is an extract from the minutes of a meeting of technical directors in the Frankfurt Hoschst Flant on the 9th of Narch, 1936, at which were present the defendants Lautenschlaeger, and Jackne. It is noted, at page four of the original of that document, that "an exercise at Leuna has shown the apparent efficacy of the works defense system."

Exhibit 184. This again is a very brief extract from the minutes of the management and technical directors at the Farbon Hoschet Flant on the 8th of June, 1936 at which meeting the defendants Leutenschlaeger and Jackne were present. It is indicated that a brief report on this was made by one of the persons present concerning a visit of the military inspectors to the Ludwigsheven plant, and the plan exercised (Planspiel) which took place on this accasion.

The Prosecution wants to be very frank about this word "Flanspiel".

I think in many cases it is used interchangeably with "Kriegsspiel" —

noming war game; and Planspiel might mean a pap exercise or could just

nean a game of planning. But we think in the context with which these

pap exercises were being conducted that it is fair to translate Planspiel

as Kriegsspiel. However, that is merely our point of view.

The next document is FL-4624 which we would like to mark as.

Prosecution Exhibit 185. It is found at page 40 of the English and page 77 of the German document book. This is a letter from Dr. Bruening, who is the principle representative of Sparte A-2 in Vermittlungestelle-W to the defendant Kuchne; and it is dated the second of Fovenber, 1936.

Bruening notes that there has been a little difficulty in arranging a wer games at Leverkusen, the reason being that the then Col. Thomas—later the famous General Thomas—who is the head of the Wehrwirtschafts—stad, the War Economy Office, would not be able to be present at the time which had been originally planned, and he would come himself with

3 Sept JF-22-3-Schweb (Int. Entr) Court VI Case VI

his assistants at a later date.

Now, the next document, FI-4619, is already in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 103. Your Honors, Mr. Anchan already made reference to this document yesterday. I only wented to point out that the preparatory exercise and the attached timetable indicated that the defendant Kuelme played a fairly important role, making the speech of recention and the final address on the first day, and that on the second day there was to have been a talk winding up the planned exercise by the Defendant ter weer, and also a final address by the Defendant Kuelme.

Sor, it is not exactly clear to the Prosecution what the address that follows is, very frankly. We don't know whether that was a part of the planned exercise where these various gentlemen were all to read off certain things, or whether these are the minutes of the little war game they had, as they actually occurred.

In any event, we think the naterial incriminating whether or not the text was senething which was planned to be read or whether it was the protocol or the minutes of what actually did transpire. It seemed to us that it probably was a protocol of what did transpire.

The next document is NI_4828 which we would like to have marked in evidence as Presecution Exhibit 186. I think this document bears a cortain amount of emphasis. It is from Vermittlungsstelle-W; the date is the 27th of January 1937, it is from Fruening, the head of Sparte 2 inside the Ver ittlungsstelle-W; and it is addressed—this particular copy of the letter—to Leverbusen Plant one of the joint Ferben plants.

Ernoning asks for comics of the stempersphic notes taken during the discussion of the tactical exercises which had transpired, and that is mather reason who we think perhaps that the record we refer to just previously was the actual record of what transpired.

In any event, Ermening asks for a copy—and then he goes on to ask for a list of the individual departments of -everymen, and he wents then extegorized in a certain way: "L. Plants which must be on a full production basis in the -Fall (in the case of war); plants which will only operate on a limited scale; and plants of which it can be seen even now that they will not run at all during the war."

With respect to the next document, EC-174, there is a note in the Index which states "To be revised". That is a typographical error which was perpetuated. It was necessary to have certain parts of the translation then existing be copied over, and I want to be perfectly frank about that marticular entry. I have been asked to repeat the last sentence. It did not come through.

(Beneats) Your Honors, I would like to call your attention to the entry in the Index concerning the document EC-174. Thereafters there is noted, in parenthesis, the remark (To be revised). That remark should have come out as soon as the translation, the copy of the translation, was properly corrected. I merely wanted to be perfectly frank as to 3 Sept - JP 23 2 Scheab (Int. Ketz)

what that entry meant and how it got there.

SC-174 should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 187. Now, this document shows tuntations from a speech of Col Thomas at a meeting of the General Staff in Godesberg on the S5th of Nay to the 3nd of June, in the year 1937. It bears on some of the military-economic problems with which Col. Thomas was particularly concerned on behalf of the General Staff, and I would like to have you turn to page 60-4 which, in the German is on page 107.

Thomas, efter noting that General Reitel by his presence indicated his belief in the importance in the work of the War Economic Office of the Army, which of course was dealing with these monomic preparations. and then there comes the paragraph: "The military-Economic meneuver which has taken place here during the past three days is the first undertaking of its kind. In preparing this meneuver we were fully aware that such an enterprise was a risky undertaking in voice of the fact that all precedents and experiences were lacking."

Now, whatever lack in exercises the Wehrwirtschaftsstab had itself in connection with these tactical exercises involving the economic aspects of war preparations and the incidents which should be incidental to war by this time in 1937, I.O. Farben had already engaged in such exercises, and representatives of the Mehrwirtschaftsstab had been present, as the previous documents have shown.

Now, the next document tries us into the year 1938. It is NI-6377. It is found at page 61 of the English and page 108 of the German document book, and we would like to have that marked in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 188. This document is the minutes of the technical conference in Frankfurt on the 19 of September, 1938.

The defendants Leutenschlaeger and Jachne were present, and
Leutenschlaeger signed the minutes. In the third peragraph it is merely
noted that on the 26th of September a military - economic exercises is
scheduled to take place. The directives, as for as they will have to

3 Sept-A-JP-23-3-Schrab (Int. Katz) Court VI Case VI

come to hand, will be made known.

I merely point out that at the management conferences at the plant level these questions of military games involving economic problems, the problems which would come out of the occurrence of Case "A" were being talked of quite freely.

The next document is NI-6378, to be marked in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 189, are again minutes from the technical management meeting in Hoschat on the third of October 1938; again the defendants

Lantenschlaeger and Jackne were present. A rather interesting note: the war games and the tests which were being made were having a certain amount of practical results with respect to what Farben was doing itself. Referring to the main factory: "That part of the factory behind the water works which is particularly submerable to air attack owing to the fact that both supply lines mass within a few meters of one another should be spread out over a larger area."

At the bottom of the pere, reference is made to air raid precaution at another plant.

The next document, NL-8926 may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 190. This is santher affidavit by Dr. Hans Yagner, who will appear shortly in this courtroom as a witness, and we will make no further reference to the document at this time.

Would Your Sonors now turn to Document Book 87

THE PRISIDENT: Here you will have to beer with us temporarily because our document books 8 are in our offices.

5 Sept 47-A-PM-24-1-Burns (Antz) Court 6, Case 6.

THE PRESIDENT: You may proceed.

AR. SPRECHER: The first document in Book 8 is found at page 1 of both the English and the German Document Books and is Document NI-68307. I would like to mark it as -

THE PRESIDENT: What I think you mount to say was 8637.

MR. SPRECHER: I beg your pardon; 8637. That has already gone in evidence as Presecution Exhibit 28. Now, in this interrogation of the defendant Suctofisch there's a certain description of the proceedings that were carried out in some of the war games or exercises, as you may choose, and an indication that the matter was considered quite important and that General Milch himself attended some of these war games. Now, your Honor, the next sentence in Paragraph 22 of the indictment reads -s follows:

between Ferben and the Government in the preparation of accilization plans for Ferben's plants. Those plans set forth the croduction programs which each factory could undertake in the event of war. They were discussed in the Verstand and instructions were issued to every Ferben plant to prepare and deliver production plans of the Vermittlungsstell W by submitting them — " — I think that should be to the Vermittlungsstelle W — which submitted them to the Ministry of War and Economics. Ferben's preparations for economic mobilization were so well developed that the military nuthorities weed them as a basis for general war mobilization plans."

I read because it is obviously a most important allegation in our indictment and we shall present a rather large number of documents which will principally support that particular allegation and Mr. Charactz will continue with

S Sept 47-A-PM-24-2-Burns (Kntz)

the first locuments in connection with that charge.

MR. CHARMATZ: May it please the Tribunal, the first document dealing with the question of the mobilization plans is Document NL-5880 which your Honors will find on page 10 of the Document Book, page 2 of the German text which I want to mark Prosecution Exhibit 191. This document is an extract of the Minutes of the meetings of the Vorstand and Technical Directors of Frankfurt Main-Hoechst on 24 February 1936 and with the defendant Lautenschlaeger present. In this meeting the defendant Lautenschlaeger submits a list and I quote:

for those products which are to be produced in Gersthofan - "a Farben plant - "in the event of war."

The next document which Your Honors will find on page 11 of the English Document Book, page 3 of the Gorman Document Book is NI-7475 which I want to offer as Proscoution Exhibit 192. This document which was found in I.G. Forben's files is the carbon copy of a letter of Farbon addressed to a high Official of the army Ordnance Office and deals with the question that certain projects cannot be counted on in the "A-Fall", again the case of war. Again on 21 October 1936 already we find a discussion of what will happen in case of war.

The next document NI-8609 can be found on page 12 of the English Document Book, page 4 of the German version and I want to offer this document NI-8609 as Prosecutions Exhibit 193. This document is the Minutes of a conference of Farben's specialists in the field of preparation for mobilization on 12 March 1937. This conference shows that certain topics which were important in case of mobilization as rawamaterials, auxiliary materials, transportation, were

3 Sept 47-A-PM-24-3-Burns (Int. Katz) Court 6, Case 6.

liscussed in the presence of a representative of the Vormittlungsstelle W, Mr. Neumann. I think the document as such is self-explanatory.

The next document which you will find on page 15 of the English Document Book, is a letter of the Vermittlungsstelle W signed by Bruening, the representative of Sparts II of the Vermittlungsstelle W to I.G. Farben Leverkusen and the subject is planning of Leverkusen. The text of this letter which is marked NI-4631 and which I want to offer as 194, Prosecution Exhibit, shows that already in april 1937 there were discussions between — I refer to the last paragraph on page 15 mostly — there were discussions between I.G. Farben officials of various plants and the military authorities concerned with mobilization as well as representatives of the Ministry of Economics, the Ministry of Labor and a representative of the mirraid Procaution Service.

On page 16 we find that the defendant Kuchne again was present. I refer to number 1 in about the middle of page 16, your Honors.

The next document NI-8608 which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 195 can be found on page 17 of the English Document Book, page 12 of the German Document Book. This is a letter of the Vermittlungestelle W signed Gorr on page 18 of the Document Book, your Honors. The signature should not read Goss but Gorr. This letter dated 23 March 1937 which was sent out to the four so-called "Works Combines of I.G. Farben" and also to other important plants and I want to point out that it also went to the Dynamit A.G., formerly A. Nobel, which was a subsidiary of

Scot 47-A-FM-24-4-Burns (Int. Katz)

I.G. Farben. This letter treated, as it is shown in the text

"Subject: Ind strial Mobilization Tasks of I.G. Plants.

I think the text of the letter is self-explanatory.

It's perfectly clear. The next document on page 19 of the Pocument work, page 15 of the German text is NL-8607 which I want to offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 196.

This letter comes from I.G. Farbanindustrie, Elberfold Plant and is deted only a month later than the preceding exhibit, namely, 27 april 1937 and shows that the plants communicated with the -- I am sorry, with Dr. von Bruening, who in moschet was in charge of the mobilization questions on the conferences which were to take place on questions of imbilization. I want to point out that on line three of the text of this letter it is mentioned that Professor Boerloin, the defendant Heerlein, was consulted in this matter.

The next document NI-4632 which your Honors will find on page 21 of your Document Sook, page 16 of the German text, is a circurlar letter of the Vermittlungsstelle W addressed to all important plants of I.G. Farben as shown on pages 21 and 22 which contains the distribution lists. As addressees of this letter, your Honors will note on page 21 "Dr. Schneider", "Prof. Hoorlain" — I am sorry, that's all of the defendants which appear on this distribution list. The letter is also addressed to the attention of the defendant Jackne, it was just pointed out to me. The subject of this letter again is the mobilization planning tasks and this letter again is the mobilization planning tasks and this letter again in 1937 deals with all the problems which are necessary for a successful mobilization of the I.G. Farben plants in case of war. I want to introduce

S Sopt :7-A-PM-2 -5-Burns (Int. Ketz)

this bounest 4632 as Prosecution Exhibit 197, if your Honor please.

MR. SPRECIER: If you will excuse the interruption?

It's just been pointed out to me by defense counsel that
the prosecution made a mitake. The Dr. Schneider referred
to an this distribution list is not the defendant Schneider
referred to an this distribution list is not the defendant
Schneider but rather another Dr. Schneider who was in charge
of a different plant, but the Louna plant and I think that
it's well that that is jointed out in ediately.

MR. CHAMLET: The next locument, your Monors, on page 25 of the English Document Book is NI-8777; a file note mated 30 November 1937 signed by Dr. Eichwede. Me introduced an afridavit this morning by Dr. Eichwede, which again docks with a meeting of the so-called mobilization loaders of several I.G. Forben plants, among them Leverkusen, Ludwigshafen and Moschet, as it will be seen on page 25 of the English Document Book. The Exhibit Number for this should read 198, with your Monors' permission.

THE PRESIDENT: We have reached the hour of adjournment and the Tribunal will now recess until nine thirty tomorrow norming.

(A recess was taken until 0930, 4 deptember 1947)

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Carl Krauch, et al, defendants, sitting at Nurnberg, Germany, on 4 September 1947, 0930-1630, Justice Shake, presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Feranns in the court room please find their sents.

The Honoreble Judges of Military Tribunal VI.

Military Tribunal VI is now in session. God save the united States of America and this Honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the court.

THE MARRIAL: Marshel, are all the defendants present?

THE MARRIAL: May it class your Honors all defendants

are present except delmitz, Wurster, and Brueggemenn, who are
absent due to lilness.

THE PRESTDENT: The Trioun's his in its possession two applications from defines counsel for an moved of summons for witnesses. It may be recalled that at a prior session of the Triounal we had some discussion of that matter. We are most anxious not be described in the matter of acting on those subjects and as we remember the record there was to have been a conference or an informal consideration of the problem between counsel for the prosecution and the defense. If you particular have discussed that watter and come to conclusion we would very much appropriate being advised so that we may dispose of those matters without undue delay. Here there been any such consideration of the subject between counsel?

MR. SPRECHEF: May it places the Injound, on this strict question of these particular witnesses that have been requested for suppoend I don't believe there has been a discussion. It just men't dome up: idet, we will certainly follow your suggestion and I will elicapt to get together with Dr. Souttener and any of the other defense counsel concerned

4 Sept 47-M-BJ-1-2-Love-(Kabz) Court No. VI, Case No. VI.

on that point very shortly.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well. The Prosecution may proceed with the presentation of its case. Pardon me, Dr. Boettcher.

DR. BOETTCHER: Mr. President, may I vary briefly direct one request to the Triounal jointly with the gentlemen of the Prosecution. We should like to ask the Tribunal to be kind enough to give us an opportunity in a larger recess to receive us in a joint talk or conference, that is, the Prosecution and certain people from the defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you desire that that be afforded you today at our morning recess? Would you be ready to present the matter?

DR. BOETTCHER: Yes, we should be very grateful if that should be done this morning.

THE FRESIDENT: We will hear you at Chambers in the morning recess and take whatever time necessary to consider the matter.

MR. CHARMATZ: May it please the Tribunal, at the end of yesterday's session I introduced on page 25 of the English Document Book, Document NI 8777, as Exhibit 198.

THE PRESIDENT: Document Book VIII?

MR. CHARMATZ! Document book VIII your Honor, I's sorry, on page 25 of the English text, page 20 of the German text.

May I just point out to your Honors that in this file note the defendant ter Mear is mentioned and if you will please note at the end of the second paragraph on page 25 you will find a sentence saying that Dr. ter Meer had made a suggestion to transfer the industry to another place. Apparently in connection with these mobilization plans it was discussed to transfer certain plants of I.G. Ferben to a safer place and we will see from other documents that ample discussion went on to

Sont and Dr. L T L-on (Este)

trensfer most of the plants to central Germany.

The next accument which your monors will find on page 27 of the English Document Book, page 23 of the German Document Expect 1937 deals with as the Sales Document Book, page 23 of the German Document Book, page 24 of the German Book

The second sentance of this letter shows that not only the production class of I.G. Farcen and the departments which were immediatel, cannected with the production prepared for mobilization but that also other agencies, as the sales agenclas, precared for scullization, that is, for war. May I point out on once 25 of this occument defore the insert, page 3 of the original, it ways in the line score, "fee the industrial modification of 5.6. " this anguld read, "economic mobilization" our Honors. Le your Monors will see from this document, on tage 28, second pare raph, also the dales Departments as the one under the defendant br. Oster discussed such mobilitation cuestions, trut is, pre-aration for war. Now, the fouth paragraph, your Honors, on age 28, shows that the defendant Dattimeau also tried to ether with a certain Dr. Neach, to set up a special mechanism for modilization in the commercial field. In the last paragraph on page 28 your Honors will note that the defendant Dr. Krauch was consulted even on this matter which concerned only the commercial field, apparently because he was considered the man who had the authority in all such crestions.

The next document which your Honors will find on page 30 of the English Document Book, page 30 of the Berman text, is NI 9051 which I would like to offer in evidence as Prosection Exhibit 200. This document is an affidavit of Dr.

Felaut Mosck, the same Dr. Mosck who is mentioned in the comment before. This rather lengthy document gives a very good description of all the mobilization measures taken in 'ermany in general and by I.G. Farcen in the commercial field. to now we were mostly concerned with mobilization of preduction. I mentioned perore that the defendent Gettineau tried to set up a special organization for modilization in the comservial field. This can be found e, ain here in this affidavit of Gattineau on page 13 of the English Document Book, of Noack, page 3 of the wriginal, where it says that Dr. Gattineau saw in the treatment of a litary economic tasks the possibility of strengthening his influence and his ocsition in NY 7 which is the Barlin office of I.G. Farcen. On page c of this document, 30c, I'm sorry your i nor, these pages are merked with letters, - at the cottom of the page, you will find several sentences mere Manuz gives but own solnien on the Jerman preparation for war which were not colicited by the Prosecution which, however, when Dr. Mosc: was saked to give us his views he wrate comen himself. On page d of this document which is 301 in the Berman text at the bottom of the page, Dr. Woack points out that the defendent Krauch acted as a general expert of the Tehrascht on argement questions. The next occument which your honors will find in page of or the Sn. lish Document wook, page 31 of the German Document Book, is NI 583 which I want to offer as Prosecution Exhibit 201. I'm sorry, your Honors, the correct NI number 1s 9893.

This document was found in the files of the Reichstelle Chemie, the Reich office of Chemistry and this document is the minutes, as your Honors will see on page 31, of a consultation on 14 December 1927 at the Reich Var Ministry on the mobilization task of the I.G. Farben industry, the Engpsack plant in Case A. May I point out in the third paragraph of this

4 Sept 47-M-BJ-1-5-Love-(Katz)

Cocument where it reads "the consultation establishes for the Enspack Plant for the I.G. Farben-industria A.G. the Mobilization Task for Case 'A', that is, - I quote- the total war case in accordance with the mobilization Session of 13 December 1937."

May I point rut your Honors in ell the documents up to now we saw the expression, "Case A, Fall A," However, in the next two documents you will find not only Case A mentioned but also Case B. Case & will be explained in the next document your Honor.

4 Sept. 47-M-BC-2-1-(Love) (Int. Ketz) Court VI, Case VI

The next decument, NI 8503 which can be found on page 33 of the English Document Book, page 34 of the Gorman Document Book, is NI 8503 which I offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 202. This document likewise comes from the files of Reichsstelle Chemie. This document, the contents of which are more or less identic 1 with the document before, is the notifiection of I. G. Ferben of the mobilization take which were fixed t the meeting of 14 December 1937. Nov., in this document your Honors will note under numeral II, remarks, as in Foll B. Now Foll B, as will be explained later, is the so-colled "Enstern Chec", Fell Ost; that means a conflict in the East, where s "A" was, in these mobilization tasks, the total war in which the Vestern German frontier where the Knapanek plant is located, if I is correct it is non-relichin, .. ix Lr Chapollo, and this is pointed out that cortain differenti tions were n du to I. G. F-roun -coording to the e se, that sort of conflicts should prisa.

The next document is Ni 8881 which should become Proswestion Exhibit 203 and come by found on prose 36, both of the
English and German Document Book. This document, which also
comes from the files of the Reichestelle Chemic is the minute
of a mating held on 25 January 1938 and the first paragraph
of this document shows Dr. Brokenn who was a representative
of the I. G. Ferben Knepsack alone; it is page 36 of the English text, your Honor; you will note at the and of the list
of the persons present, Director Dr. Bochmann Knepsack Plant.
This Dr. Brokennn pointed out in this consultation that it would
not be possible simply to exclude Knapsack in the Acase, that
is, the case of total war, and this shows that I. G. Farben
by no means simply accepted all decisions but also made their
point of view close and objective as all also be seen from
other documents. The list of the persons present shows that

I. G. Forbon was amply represented by 7 numbers of their staff.

The next document which you will find your Honors on page 38 is NI 8504 which I offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 304. This document which deals not directly with I. G. Ferben eight be helpful to explain the expression of "Rod Zone". We will come scross in those documents the term "Rod Zone". For this purpose, in order to expl in this term, this document is offered in evidence.

Your Honors Mr. Sprocher will continue to present the other parts of this document.

MR. SPRICER: Nay it plans the Tribun-1, the next doeununt is NI 8885 which own go in as Prosecution Exhibit 205. This is a secret letter, as you will note most of these memorends ir secret, -from the Variettlungsstelle W, to the Reich Wir kinistry, the W storf, that is, the "whrwirtschoftsstah, the War Economy, or Military Economy office for the Reich War Ministry, the I. G. Firbon merely notes that those production schodules are to be considered as a hele and that they are coordinated from the technical and administrative point of view and that some of the subsidiary plants have been taken into consideration in making these schedules and I point that out in a number of documents it is important to note that thuse mobilization plans did cause some conflicts inside of I. C. of a kind thich we believe could not be settled without bringing their rain fectures to the attention of persons of the stature within Forben the are found in the dock.

Dr. Boutteher indicated that the translation of my last sentence did not come through and with your permission I will try to report it.

THE FRESIDENT: Surely that is satisfactory.

MR. SPRECHER: The Prosecution points out that the production schedules and mobilization planning did cause problems Court VI, Coso VI

which required considerable coordination between the I. G.
plants and sometimes some matters in conflict. Further the
Prosecution wishes to point out that such problems particularly
were of a nature which would require bringing the main features
of mobilization planning to the attention of officials within
I. G. Farbon who were of the stature of such officials as we
find in the dock.

The next decument is NI 8882 which can go in as Prosecution Exhibit 206. This is the reord of a conference on the lith of January 1938 with I. G. Farban at "Chamistry" and that refers to the G rown word "Chamie" and this was an abbreviation for the office of the Reich Ministry of Economics which was pricularly concerned with supervising or coordinating the Charior 1 industry in connection with the purposes of the Third Roich and the purposes of the Third Ruic of course by 1938 in the economic sphere I think wor quit, clorr. In any event we have it this meeting represent tives of the W r Finistry and there were three representatives from that ministry, from the Economic Ministry, and from the Ueberwachungsstelle Chemie, the supervisory office for Chemistry which was undernorth the Economic Ministry as well as three represent tives from I. G. Frbon. Dr. R-gart, who a had intended to call as a witness, you will notew a one of the persons who is in ettendence on behalf of the Sup rvisory office for Chemistry. The sabject of the discussion was production in the ease of war. Agrin, if you will note, under remrks, the first paragraph, "The mibilization program of the factory provides for prompt assembly of the required barrels, if necessary, from Knapsack."

Here again we have discussion of production in one plant and the necessity of calling on another plant in connection with something even down to the point of barrels.

The next document is NI 8890 which I should like to mark

1 Supt. 47-M-BC-2-4-(Love) Court VI, Case VI

in ovidence as Prosecution Exhibit 207. This is enother conforcace in Jenury 1938 at the supervisory office for Charmstry concorning the mobilization requirements for the Grioshein plant of I. G. Ferben. You will note that approxiretely the usual representatives from the Ministry of Wer, Ministry of Economics, there were representatives from all the Sporth of I. G. Ferben who were in Vermittlungsstelle W, Dicekmann, Bruching and Meyer; Meyer from Sporte 3 and Dr. Eichwede was then working in connection with the T. A. offices also present; Dr. Richwode is the author of several of the effidevits which have been placed before your Honors and he ongogod in the making of this rather comprehensive history of I. G. Ferbun during the course of the wer, some perts which already have been brought to the attention of your Honors. You will note at the bottom of the page, that is page 45 of both the German and English Documents Books, there is an indication that I. G. will indlorte the I. C. requirements. This mobilization planning required I. G. naturally to have one plant draw upon mother plant for many of the Sparte and this all locds up, it sacms to us, to this plan way back in 1935 when Varmittlungsstalle W was announced, that I. G. Farben would have to be tructed as one big plant and here we are in the year 1938 and you find that I. G. itself is working very hard to administer itself as one big plant in connection with this mobilization planning.

The next decument is NI 8780; we offer that in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 208. This is a secret letter from Vernittlungsstelle W to Ferben's Houghst plant concerning operational schedules. You will note from the first paragraph that this operational schedule was not taken very lightly. They were first reed or talked over before a c rtain group of people representing the Government, the Wer Finistry and Ferben

4 Supt. 47-M-BC-2-5-(Love) Court VI, Crsc VI

and then later on there was a second randing after some of the products had been ironed out which had arisen after the first reading. In the second per graph we think it important to note that Vermittlungsstelle of indicates to the Houchst plant that way will receive more detailed instructions on the trantment of the various intermediate products which are necessary for the production of dye stuffs from the office of the Technical Consistes. Now, your Konors will recall from the chart and we will try to explain the relation of some of these departments a little more fully in several days, that the office of the Technical Consistes parformed an awful lot of services on behalf of the Technical Consistes of the Verstand of I. G.

Facen and had many direct declings with all the plants. The Technical Consistes we under the general direction of the defendant to the first

4 September 47-M-AE-3-1-Keehan-(Katz)

The next document is NI-7626 or 7426, that can be marked as presecution exhibit 208. Dr. Zehn from the Army Ordnance Office in North of 1938 writes the Defendant Ambres and he merely wishes to make it plain that in view of the guarantee that the Reich is making to Parben. Farben must be required to make certain commitments beyond the year of 1944 concerning mobilization. The first item that is talked about with respect to production has to do with Oxel, that is an item for Lost or Nactord Gos, the date is Parch 1958.

RI-8879, the next document on cage 49 of the English and 51 of the Cornen document book, Tay go in as prospection exhibit 210. This is a flie pewerardus concerning a discussion of the Haschet Plant between an engineer of the Willtary Economy Office in Frankfurt and the two representatives of the Heachet Plant or Sparte II as the Heachet Plants were largely under the domain and jurisdiction of Sports 11, which is also under the corners of the defendant Ter Meer. The Williams Economy office representatives submitted three contracts for were supplies to I.G. Farbon, the date to Narch of 1858, which is just Courseys before the invasion of Austria. The I.G. Farbon representatives note that they are able to supply the proper quantities which had been florescent with the representatives of the Reich.

The north disparent El-PO78. Will be worked in a vidence as prosecution exhibit 211. Only is a laster from the plant director at the H-ceb-E plant to the Lilitary Boundary Office in Frankfurt noting that the Hosehat plant of F.C. Parbon is in a position to agree to a war contract I resitain or ducts and material. The 12th of March, 1938 is the date that Gormany incoded Austria.

The next document is NI-8774, page 52 English, page 56 German decument book, and that may be marked as presecution exhibit 212.

This is again a letter from Vermittlungsstelle W to the Hoeshat Plant deted 12 Narch 1938, before the invasion of Austria. The subject

4 September 47-M-AX-3-2-Mechan-(Ketz)

To "Playning work.". It rentions that during the three months there has been a considerable number of discussions concerning planning work and the proliminary operation plans were with few exceptions determined. And then turning to page..... Your Hours, will note that the next step in our planning must be the more exact determination of our raw were material requirements sto. Step by sten I.G. Farben was getting ready and step by step Farben was being synchronized with the requirements of the High Command.

The rext decument is SI-d778, which may be marked as prosecution exhibit 218. Vermittlungstelle T/under 8 April 38 writes a latter to Eruening of Frankfurt plant, the usual secret letter, concerning the subject of "Tlanning" and we note that the Control Office for Chemistry or supervisory office for shemistry on several occasions has requested that the work outlined in the instructions be necessarily and preliminary product requirements. I don't think it will be necessary to go into the details of that document, However, I right point out that on page 57, at the bottom of the page, item 5, I should think that should be about page 62 or 62 of the German iconment book:

"The rew moderned requirements for the total dys-staffs production of individual plants will be assertained by the office of the Technical Committee, the figures be because a distribution scheme for dys-staffs production drawn up by this office - and a report sent to Vermittlungstable 7."

Again, Your Honors, we point ut the tremendous percration which is required by the central office of the technical committee in order to see that the I.S. Ferben kept its house in order in planning thewast problem of mobilization.

Again, Your Honors, if you will turn over to page 59 of the

4 September 47-N-AK-3-3-Mechan-(Katz)

document buck, this is at the end of item 5, there is merely a notation as follows:

"By agreement with Elberfeld the forms for the pharmaceutical departments of the plants Lovernises and Roschat will likewise be completed by Elberfeld." There you have the three largest plants of I.G. Farbon producing pharmaceutical products alexely interlocked and one of them taking over the responsibility or acceleding forms for the other two. The defendants Bushes of course was in charge of Lovernises and at Elberfeld the defendant Eucliden was in charge.

The next document is NI+ 6398. I would like to mark that in evidence as presecution exhibit 214. This is a letter from the Aumoniak Works Berneburg, it really should not be translated. Your Henors, because it is too plant's name and instead of Perseburg Armaniak Plant Geselschaft mis becausewheter Neftung it should marely be Armaniak Fork Ombh, which is principally the legal antiry which owned and controlled the Leuna plants, of course being subject to I.G. Parbon controlled the Leuna plants, of course being subject to I.G. Parbon controlled the Leuna plants, of course being subject to I.G. Parbon controlled the Leuna plants, of course being subject to I.G. Parbon toutral as a respictely exceed subsidiary. Now there under date of 14 Fay 1928, this is after the invasion of Austria, we have a notification that the work of account to a conscious of the persent for the Louna Plant in case of mobilization is work of account to the soften there is an invitation to a discussion where note of the persent to the relation problems are to be finally discussed. You will note from the table of a stores that everything from living quarters to the problems of filing and indexing of the various employees is to be discussed.

The distribution is particularly note-worthy. At the bottom
of the page you will find Pajor Canarals, and Colonels and Ministerial
Directors and officers of the various local levels of the various
Reich Ministries and various representatives of the Farben plants are
retting copies of this letter. Then there is a notification on page 5

Sorterbor 47-Y-AK-3-4-Mochan-(Katz)

If the friend, pare of hi the Gamen about - sorry, 50 of the English of the Ferman. The participants in the discounties, which was held in 18 May at the LEUNE plant and again is a rather imposing roster it sound to us, which follows any a saible wer publically known as far as the technical experts are concerned and as far as the people who were dipartly academical with the meanthing of those rabilization banks are concerned.

I would merely like to note that particular copy of this instruction was must be the investbulk plant - on the first rage - page 80. In other a ris it is been writing beverkason and from the nature of the latter and from the Untribution I think it is friely conclusive that this latter was sent out very breadly throughout Parken.

On page 62, Year domen, there is a mounting on many types of problems which goes into intensive mobilization, it takes an at air-armft, transportation, abungs of multip, temportation for amployees, change of marture.

At the top of page 5 of the original, page 82 of your deadment broks

"Trop ratory work for the smooth change over of our plants from peace time a solution to mobilization production."

The ext document, NI-5775, we would like to offer as presecution shibit 215, which is a scoret letter and consistents of Vermittlungs-scolle again to the modelst plant, cone rains Planning. You will not, four teners, in the first paragraph that reference is made to cart in it was of farban by the Economic Group of Chemical Industries and that error is at condition of cartain requirements for its own consumption for plants which are coming up for mobilization for production of products which would go to other againsts and plants or to the tray represent tives the scales. And then there is some day it constrains the problems which are in plants and which we which are fairly important as which had to be brought to the attention of may responsible official in the plants or within the administrative act up of 1.

The new document, your monors, is 'I-782', which can be marked as 'rescertion's highest 217. It submit, your "onors, that this occurrent in one sense is to be represent the intimer; of the sympar misation between

the barban and the top was planning representatives of the Reich. Some of them have already been adjudged as war criminals by the I.A.T. Her. WE have on 26 August 193., the determent krouch writing to I.G. Fary n. This is an anoletons situations situation Arouch at this time as is noted by this title is plunipotentiary of Minister President Field earshal douring for Special problems of Chanical Troduction and Ercuck at that time had no other chief than Goaring at that time, at that office and he addressed himself to the Lucwigshafen blant of course, where the defendents Ambres and Waster were jointly in charge and he talks bout certain products which are important in connection with the being program, and this is estime wary lets in the day before the actual launching of aggressive war. In fact it is about the time when there has already been an agreesive act, namely the invasion shout the time of sunsch, just for some before the investor of Sohani and or vis and slov hi. ofter br. krouch a d mentioned to his colleagues his tend on the future of I. .. Farbon - and he manimed on the Vorstand of I. . . Jorden until 1950 show he was pushed on the death of L. Bosch to the Chairmanship of the Supervisory Board of the Aufsichter t of T. .. a points to certain prerogations as deputy to Couring and then proce de to give ou tain orders to tarben in connection with som of these more important products. In the next to the last he mentions:

"I also should like to mention that in accordance with an order by the Fieldmarshal nature planting will take place under my direction in close deoperation with the Achresont, and I therefore request you immediately to inform me in future of any questions arising in the field of production of organic chanical preliminary products. The questions will then be dealt with in closest collaboration with the changet offices concurred."

I think, your sonors, that the prosecution will require to rely on that document along to establish the close synonromisation on which 1. Sept. 17-4.-(U-h-3-: sahan-(h tz)-Court 5 chas 6

we have established our burden of proof.

on 5 September 1935 concerning the direction for the continuation of planning work. For this document goes into some of the stages which are involved in this rether eleborate preparation for war condition and goes into some more things about corns. I only east to point out that at the end of the first persuration on page 50 of the English and page 53 of the German, there is this statement:

and then, must. "On the subject of this work, which on the instructions of the Suprema Command of the Schruncht and the "oleh Commissioner for Chemistry, must be completed with the atmost speed, so make the following observations: and then, of course, Vargittlum satelle : gives its a part opinion: between research, transportations and other things should be accounted for.

The most document is hI-UC-9, prosecution exhibit 219, here
Firstend of the Houchet plant sent a copy of certain regulations for
hundling of mobilization contracts to three other plants of I.S.

Firsten, which are located may be chet. It will bring out further where
some of these regulations three may handled by a large plant, in
connection with subscicien plants or mear-by plants through on the
so-colled creditate of Trace. It is enough to point but that directed
mintions that these regulations has been worked out by Vermittlungsstellewith the eparapriate muthority is order to guarant a uniform handling
within the I.S. of all such orders the much r of which with increase
in the future. In peptamber of 1938 processing its leading officials
were quite certain as to work the future had in store for deman

% Sept.47-1-SO-5-1-Tutty-(Int. Actz) Court V1 Case V1

New id we any turn ever to pie 76 of the English document book, you will find, Your Honor, cortain definition of operational schedules and mabilization tasks and what they really mean and what they amount to. You will notice on page 77, pages 89 and 90 of the German, that a distinction is made between se-called contracts with armoment factories and war delivery contracts, I take it the distinction I roots being one as to whother it was an important intermediate reductor or final product which that Johrmacht ultimatel and mood for.

Now if "u skip down to the middle of that page, I would like to seke one quotation with you permission.

Thereas the placeting of mobilisation contracts for our plants is almost complete, war contracts have so for been issued by individual Tehrmicht offices one for individual products only."

Skipping a line,

" We must, thereis re, expect to receive further war contracts and wer sub-contracts."

Then on the next proc till is rather interestion to note how Vermittlangs stelle of and the CALI were getting together again in order
to treat Ferben as one big plant, and given the purposes of the Reich
methorities in the Wehrencht at that time and given I.G. Ferben's petentiality and its willingness to participate, I think it is understandable why the CAUI was willing to spend this much time with Vermittlangestelle Wand the pain I.G. Ferben efficials.

Your Henors, if you will worn over to page 80, ther is a reference to the sales departments which we would like to call to your attention. I think it is senetices a little easy to assume that the sales people were not as deeply involved as the technical people becased these problems involved the greatest amounts of attention from day to day the technical people. However, in Fersen, I den't think they were left out of the matter. Here you have an indication that: "The sales department

top, are to examine the question of whether the mobilization contract, wer contract or war sub-contract can be carried out, as seen as they receive the apprepriate notification. No 3. paragraph 1 of these regulations applies in this case as well.

The maxt decument si MI-7287 which may be in as Presecution Exhibit 220. Here we have another secret letter of Vermittlungsstelle M dated the 10th of September, 1938, sent to the Prenkfurt plant, sent to Bruening Who was the Sparte II representative of Vermittlugsstell M at this time in Prenkfurt which merely notes that the mobilization task for the Houghst lant is enclosed. As soon as such a publication task reaches the which it assumes the character of an official order.

I would just like to print out that, of ecurse, this mobilization task and thereby an official order did not come about until there had been this elaborate discussion but can the representatives of the Reich, the Wohrmacht, Varnittlangsstell and the local plant leader so that the matter was perfectly synchronized in time.

The next deciment is NI-A630. Mity your permission we will mark
that in is I rescoution Exhibit 221. This is another secret letter of
Vermittlegsstelle M Sporte III. You will notice the heading of the letter as Vermittlengsstelle W, Sporte III, again showing the corrdinated
authority of the three Sportes, each undermeath the direction of one of
the defendants in Vermittlengsstelle W. New this is rather interestion
because Sporte III, Vermittlengsstelle W, is writing it Leverkuses which
was pricipally within the field of Sporte II, but the main exception was
the following: Leverkusen had a photographic paper factory or defortment
within its plant. As a product photographics fell within Sporte III,
Grajowski's Sporte, and Sporte II is here writing to Leverkusen, on of
the giant Forben plants, and saying, ""In respect to this particular
department of your plant is Leverkusen here is a war delivery centact."

4 Se t.47-M-SG-5-3-Putty-(h:tz) Court 6 Case 6

.gain the interrelation between the plants and the Spartes seems to us

Mr. Charentz will continue with Your Homers! permission

IN. CH.RELTZ: It please the Triburn, the next dominant which is to be found in the 33 of the English text, page 103 of the German text, is NI-8600 which I must to make in evidence with Your Minors' permission as 222. This doctront, a file memorandum, secret file memorandum, of the Forber-Recenst Lint where it was found is initialled by Dr. Hirschol of Seconst who was continued before here, and this document which is more or less a tile note on a discussion with Mr. Mountain, the representative of the committiangestells of an 14 Socienter 1938 shows that also the dyestelfs were included in those a bilization questions, this again in order to show that not only the per le directly executed with prison has the there are more important naturals were directly involved in those programming for application.

Exhibit 223 is a secret letter from the office of the Todanical Committee again to several leaders of Farban plants as is mown on page 95 of the locusony. Here Dr. Struss the chief of the office of the Todanical Committee under defendant for most writes to the chief of the assistance and a fundamental process of the defendant for most writes to the chief of the assistance and process in the defendants, Dr. Marster, Lautenschlager, Kuchne and Buergin in the deliveries in case of mebilization.

Your Manirs will note the date. It is 20 September 1938, about ten days before the invasion of the Sudetenland.

shows that I.G. Perbon had a very clear liber of what the political mitunties was and that these countries mentioned here were to be considered
the entails in the doublist which had to be expected at that time. It is
shortly before the lumich conference, Your Manars will more. I quate.
It says here:

"For the goods which are delivered for export, transportation orders

must also me applied for. In order to maintain uniformity, we request that and starts with the assumption that deliveries connet be mide to Czecheslovikia, Russic, France, England and countries overseas."

in I maint but to the interpretur this is to be found on map 102 of the General decar and book -- 112; I am surry. Thy I ask the interpretur whether the sentence went through in the German Language?

DETERMINED IN Die not.

THE ! TESIDE T: D -s Counsel desire to report it?

THE MELT MES. The part of the interpretar can translate. The paragraph in question raids — and I t in new? The paragraph in question rolds:

"For two goods which are delivered for expert, transcription ordors must clac be an lief for. In order to amaintain afficulty, we rerest that one starts lith the assumption that deliveries cannot be made to Caechesley kin, Russia, France, En lind and countries eversees."

I think this is saif-explanting.

The next deciment order I want to make into synderic is NI-72/1 which is offered in evidence — 7214 — which I want to offer in evidence as exhibit 224. This exhibit, which Your Haners will find on page 98 and following of the English document book, page 113 and following of the German document book, is a letter from the Vermittlum satella written on 24 September 1538, again shortly before the invesion of exacheslovekin, an alle subject: "Securing the Monns of Transportation in Case of Yebilisation." The first paragraph of this letter indicated that the form I circular if 20 September 1938 of the Bureau of the Technical Committee is superseded by these instructions. This circular referred to here is the document, NI-7213, which was introduced in evidence before. The list of distribution which is given here upon shows several members of the Verstand, are as them the defendants, Jurstor, Icutumechlaeger, Kuehne and Suergin. The letter as such is self explanatory.

I just want to point out on page 100 of the English text, page 177 of the German text, there is one sentence which is underlined. This is unfurlined in the German original, Your Henors. Here again we see that shortly before an invesion I.G. Farbon gives very detailed instructions to all of its important lants for the proparation of nobilization here with regard to transportation.

The next incurrent in proc 103 of the English document book is NI0877 which I want to the a Prescention Exhibit 225 with Your Honors
permission. This executent which was found in the files fo the I.G. Farben Hosehet plant is a Letter -- consists of several letters, the first
one of which is to be found on page 103 which is a letter from I.G. Farben Salus Combine Chemic Is on 28 September 1938, and I jut what to
maint out, as ir. Spread of ind testers, here again we find also the sales
people involved in all leading for mobilization. This can also be seen
from page 104, the first sentence of the letter of 24 September 1938
which is addressed to the Sales Combine Chemicals, that they here given
a list of the subilization queterous and even a schedule for sub-contreators.

The next deciment is NI-4986 which I offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 226. This document which is a file note found in the files of the sales office for technical nitrojen, the department Bedesdick in Burlin shows again that the sales people also were informed by the Vermittlengestelle Wen all measures taken on the field of production with a view to addition.

Decument 8599 which can be found on page 109 I want to offer in evidence as Exh bit 227. This decement which was found in the files of the I.G. Farbon Modelst plant is a secret memorandum for the files on a meeting of I.G. Farbon officials with an the first paragraph shows, two gentlemen from the littery Ocenemic Department of the Oberpraesidium in Kassel on 4 November 1938.

Court No. VI, Case VI

This document shows that on this day in November, 1938, the representatives of the I.C. Farben plants which were located in the area of the Doerprossident, Massel, discussed in great detail the question of transportation for the first two mobilization weeks as is shown on page 109, Humerel I, six lines from the top and as is shown on page 110, again with the representative of the Military Sconorde Department, the question of allocation of labor was discussed in great detail for the event of mobilization, all this in Tovember, 1938.

The next document, IT-0891, which can be found on page 11h of the in light document book will become with Your Memors' permission Prosection Chambert 228. This is a top secret minute of a discussion of the representative of the Migh Command of the Armod Forces, the ONW, of the Ministry of Economics, of the Reichstelle Chemic and I.C. Furben on the mobilization preparation of the Farben Emapsack plant which was discussed before, and may I just point out on page 11h, the first remainder of the text of this document rands as follows—may I quote with Your Monors' permission:

"The firm-" that is I.C.Farban-"states that with the present mobilization requisitioning of the Knapsack plant, above all for carrids, it fears that in caus of war it could not cover all domanded carbide production."

I am corry, your conors, the translation is not too clear. I will rectify that later and substitute arrangement on this document, and maybe we can read this into the record later.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

ER. CHARMATZ: On page 115 of the English document book, page 134 of the German document book at the beginning of the last paragraph it is shown that I.G. Farben points out, and I quote:

"The plant is running under peacetime conditions in exactly the sume way as is provided for in case of mobilization."

We see that I.G. Forben already in peacetime was absolutely

4 Sapt-Se-FL-6-2-Putty

and up to the meads of medilization.

The next document, 22-97 which I want to offer in evidence as right 239 can be found on page 117 of the anglish document book, must 135 of the termen document book. This document which comes from the film of the Feldwirtschaftsant, the successor to Charrel Thomas' office for Military Leasen and Arabanata, donla with the use of Leaning and we that the plant, Ladwingshiften—in case of mobilization. The document is the which of a conference which took place on a Dec moor 1938 at the appreciate of a conference which took place on at the theory and the supervisory accorded conference 1.0. Furbon as is shown from the first savince of the text of the document it—salf appreciated the supervisory affice chamistry on the question of collision. The initiative clearly is I.C. Farbonto.

On page 119, four linears, the second paragraph from the top, the last suntenes—the last wars, rather, speaks of an—this is page 136 of the Cerean decrease book. I am sorry. The last two words in the most inch best (Ost Pall)*. This abould rather be translated as "case of war in the Best."

The next two or rather three pages of the translation in your securet books, Your Monors, maps 119, 120 and 121, are a reputition of the translation which you had on the pages 117, 113 and 121. Therefore, this should be crossed out. This is no eversight on the part of the Prosecution, Your Monor.

THE PRESIDENT: Now will you plonge report the pages that should be stricken?

P. CHAR ATZ: On page 119 after the words "East incident (Ost Fall)

- ich I just reed over thing can be crossed out; the heading "Berlin

8 december, " the rest of the page, furthermore, the whole page 120

and the whole page 121 except for the certificate of trenslation.

THE PRESIDENT: Thonk you.

MR, CHARGATZ: The next deciment, NI-7121, which I want to offer

Court No. VI, Case VI

as Presucution Emilbit 230 is a copy of a secret letter from the Reich Baister of Economics to I.C. Ferben, attention of Dr. Gorr, who is on official of the Vormittlungsstelle W, dated 5 July 1939. This letter monals as the subject indicates, on page 122, with the T.C. Farbon Ladvalgaration-Copey plants of I.S. Perbon from the point of view of military economy, and this lutter states that the situation of these plants must be considered most perilous and that this fact had been discussed already with the officials of the Vermittlungsstelle II. He see that at the time, July, 1939, which is the same time of which the defendant Secultator, spoke in his affidevits which were read here into the record before - at that time when averybody discussed war, I.G. Farben was cotually discussing also specific measures in order to proper their plants for the emergency. On may 123 Your Henors will note that already in 1938 the First discussions and measures were taken with regard to replacing the andangured Learning of in-Oppose plants by productions in enfor regions, mostly also by extension of other plants and by direction of stendby plants.

THE PRESIDENT: Does that conclude your observations with reference

R. CHACATZ: Yes, Your Coor.

THE FRESHLIT: We are a count to take our morning recess. During the intermission we small be place to meet with representatives of Counsel for the Defense and Tresucution in chambers.

The Tribunal will now ria.

(A recues me taken.)

4 Saptamber-A-IL-13-1-Laonard (Int.Katz)

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the court room will be seeted.

The Tribunal is again in sussion.

THE PRESIDENT: The prosecution may proceed.

1R. CHARMATZ: Now it please the Tribunal. The last document which I introduced was Document 7127 on 2051 122, Document Book 5, which received the arbibit # 230.

The next document, NI 7125, which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 231, is a secret letter of the Versittlungsstelle-I, signed by Dr. Diuckmann, storessed to the Reich Ministry of Sconomics, dated, as the document before also, on 5 July 1939, again on the same question of removal of important whitery products from Ludwigshafen and Oppau to other places. The contents of those documents need not be explained. It only shows that, at the same time, again 5 July 1939, this most important matter of transferring war important productions from Ludwigshafen was discussed with all deich authorities.

The next document, 7124, which I want to introduce as Prosscution Exhibit 232, is a letter which was found in the files of the desichsamt fuer dirtschaftsnessau, which also treats the question of transfering the war important productions from Endwigshafen to sare regions of Germany with a view to the impending war.

May I incuirs, Your Honor, which is the supplement?

THE PRESIDENT: Just & moment, and wa'll

4 Saptamber-11-13-2-Leonard (Int. Kntz).

escarizin.

THE PRESIDENT: We do have.

the Services: If I may interrupt, Your Honor, the Services of the Tribunal has indicated that it is stending practice to have the document book marked in consecutively without indicating that they are supplements, in the interest of good order. The accuments within Document Book 10 are estually supplementary or introduced in connection with other occuments in Books & through 9 and if Your Honors, to well so defines counsel, will mark your books necordingly, I think it will avoid any problem.

that it would be more orderly to designate this adoptemental book as 109

MR. SPROMER: Yas.

you on your next series?

MR. SERBSFER: No will have to inform the processing people accordingly.

THE PRESIDENT: Very woll.

originally was Supplement Book # 9, on page 28 of the Laglish text, which is page 36 of the Corman text, Tour Honors will find a letter from the Vermittlungs-stalle-V, addressed to all important or , rather, to the most important plants of I.G. Farben -Ludwigshefen, Hosehas, Uerdingen, Leverkusen, and the Launa-Works, - high deals with, as the subject of this letter reads: "Supply of the Armament Industry with Fuel in Viob-Fally", which is in the case of mobilization.

4 September-A-II-13-3-Leonard (4nt.Katz)

Place Spain, at the very same time, only two days of the the two exhibits I just introduced I'm seer, Your Honor, seven days after the date of the last two exhibits, on 12 July, I.G. Farban again, through the Vermittlungsstelle-N, took all measures in order to be supplied for the case of mobilization. I may point out, with Your Honors' permission, on page 29 of the inglish document book, the last paragraph of this document rands:

"Due to the argency of the metter, it is requested that this letter be answered not later than 20 July."

Now, as we have seen from the distribution list, all the important plants of I.G. Farbon received this letter and were asked to take immediate steps with regard to all the matters mentioned in this letter which Your Honors can: and from the text of the letter, and which I am not going to read.

The next document, which Your Bonors will find on page 30 of the English document book, is a letter or, rather, a copy of a letter of I.G.Farben Industry, Amorganic Department, doted the 11th of July 1939, again this critical period where I.G. Ferben took measures to discuss here the production by standby plants which were to produce only in case of wer.

May I ravert now, Your Honors

First, may I introduce physically the documents.
I'm sorry, Your Honors, I did not hand over

THE PRESIDENT: I'm not sure that we understand. Are you offering in evidence those too documents to which you have referred that are to

4 Soptember-A-IL-13-4-Leonard (Int.Katz)
Court VI Cass VI

by found in Document Book 10?

13. CHARMATZ: Yas, Your Honors. It's anticely my feait.

THE PRISIDENT: Have you identified tham by Trickur.

ICR. CHARMATZ: May I do so now?

THE PRESIDENT: Cortainly.

IR. CHARMATE: The first document, which is to be found on page 28, to which I referred first, is Document NI 7208, which I would like to mark as Prostoution Exhibit 233, with Your Honors' permission.

The second document to which I referred, which can be found on page 30 of Document Book # 10, is Document NI 7378, which I want to mark Proscoution Ehibit 234.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, are you reverting to Book 37

MR. CHARIATZ: I'm reverting, with Your Honors! nurmission, to Document Book 8, page 139, which shows the Document NI 5196. This document, as Your Honors will recall, was introduced already as Prosecution Exhibit 41. This is an offidevit by the defendant Schnitzler, and I would just like to drew Your Honors' attention to two pages of this document; namely, page 149 of Document Book # 8 - it is page 181 of the Garman document book - where, in paragraph 3, the defendant Schnitzler mentions the team-work between Parbon and the Wehrmacht with regard to 'M-Fragen", the Mob-question, and the so-called war games; and, furthermore, page 158page 190 of the German text, which contains two statements, original statements by the defendant

4 Scotomber-A-IL-13-5-Leonard (Int.Katz) Court VI Casa VI

von Schnitzler concerning, as the heading of the second statement shows, the "Tense Situation in Summer 1939."

I'm not going to read enything, but I would like just to point out that this is the time at which all these measures concerning Oppau and Ludwigshafun were taken. to Sept-A-FL-14-1-love (Int. Kats)

The next document on page 164 of the English Document Book, your locate, which can be found on page 196 of the Derman document book, is because 1-8320 which I want to offer as Prosecution Exhibit 235. This approach is an affidivit of Dr. Trast Struss who was, as is shown from the paragraph of the affidivit, the secretary of the Tochnical Committee of the Verstand of I.C.; furthermore also manager of Sparte 2 of the Vermittlangestelle W and he held also other functions during the term. In this document Dr. Struss points out in accordance with the control tendency of the Wehrmacht and the Government authorities I.G. Parken transferred its production to central German to a safe region and that most of the month oreacted plants for the Wehrmacht were built in atherel Germany. This remin is introduced in order to show how Parbon, — and the use this word again —, synchronised and went along with the general tendencies of war are precised in Germany.

The next deciment (I-575b, on page 166 of the English Document Book the page 198 of the Cornen Document Book, I went to introduce this located, I-576b, as highlit 236; it is a file note of the Technical condition of Ferban detect 5 July 1963, that is, during the war, in which reserves is once that I.O. Forban long before the new had transferred all am productions of military importance to antercations. May I draw your Monors! attention to the third paragraph of this document, page 166 and my I read just one sentence: This document refers to a report which was node by Dr. Stress at the mosting of the Lachnical Committee on 30 few 1963. I quote, in the middle of the third paragraph:

The copies of the report are not to be distributed for reasons of secrecy, the colleagues from the commercial side on the Verstand are requested to read it in the plants in order to inform themselves about the removal elsewhere of production of military importance which was allested by the I.G. long before the war broke out."

to the document which the Prescrition just now effered as Emiliat No. 233.

L Contest-Fill-2-Love (Int. Lets)

The moderate is designated as a note of the TEA Buero which Dr. Struss was tall, but the original document which I have in front of me, that is, but microstated copy of this mote, hasn't got the note of the person who wook the dictation nor does it carry may signature. May I ask the Prosecution there they got their information that this is a report of the TEA and there they got their information that this is a report of the TEA and the Dr. Struss is the man the made this report.

I did not may that this file hote the mode by Dr. Struss. I only pointed at that according to this file note of the TEA Buard, Dr. Struss made the report in the recting of the TEA, Technical Committee on the 30th of the 1943, and I must be point out that this document was found in the triboneds I.G. Forman control records buildings, the files which are maked 1-23-118. To can introduce at my tise, your Honors, an affidavit by the number of the prospection striff which is given here on my information should have found in the files of I.G. Forman in the place tell in the file structured;

The OTERLEOUS: The point will speed of importance here is who the matthew of this file into is; the Prosecutor has said he did not assert that Mr. Struss and misness. Tower, he did say that it was a file who of the TEA. This cannot be soon from the document which I have in west of m. and I should like to tak that if the document is offered at all not to define the quanties of the originated the document, unless the prosecution is able to prove it. At the present time we cannot find any wiscobion from the document that is really a file note of the Tockwicel Committee or of may member of that committee.

In ORNGINES: I think the Prosecution is perfectly willing to first that our the was measur of the Speccention Staff, who found this document, exactly in which files his document was found and will bring the whole file which is clearly identified here in the papers of the Prosection so that from this file we can exactly ascertain what kind of document this was.

h Supt-A-FL-14-3-Love (Int. Kees) Court No. VI, Case VI

The PRESIDENT: It would appear to be sufficient to have an universateding that the record as it pertains to this document shall be to to open for such further showing as the Presecution may desire to make subject, of course, to the right of coursel to make a timely objection when such a showing is made.

in. SIERLICES: I am wary much in agreement with this ruling you have made, your Homor.

JUDGE IDERIS: Just a moment; I may be confused on my numbers. I understood counsel for the defense to refer to the number of the exhihit as being 233. I have it as 236 in my book. Am I confused?

ns binist Ho. 235.

The next decement which and be found on page 167 of the English normant book and page 200 of the Borman Decement Book is NI-7284 which I want to offer in evidence as Prosecution English 237 with your Camprat permission. This document is a secret letter from the 1.0. Parbon internal permission. This document is a secret letter from the 1.0. Parbon internal plant addressed to the Rosenst plant on 13 Johnson 1939 which shows under the subject of Inbilization Task that the Caleshoim plant was notually cheering closely there the mobilization bask which there were given; that the nebecally marked on these things, key I just point out on page 168 of the horizontal Document Book the signature which ranks have "Engelboch", sho is rece "Engelborts". Mry I spell it out for the sales of the record: D-1-6-2-1-3-2-R-1-Z. Engelborts was the director of the Griesheim Flori.

the last document book No. WILL is MI-620 thick I want to introthem into evidence as Prescevition I that 236. This document which is a secret laster from the Vermittlangsstelle W addressed to the Leverkusen that of I.G. Ferben dated 25 February 1939/ Subject: Mobilization Filtuning, shows that every year a newmobilization year was notually worked cut and that as this document shows in paragraph 1 a general conference of the mobilization work was to take place in the Berlin office of I.G. h Sapradavialhalave (Int. Kata)

Britis Ma

This ands Document Book No. VIII your Honor, Mry I continue with Document Book IX?

THE IRESIDENT: Yes; of coerse we will have the understanding that where is a reservation with respect to Exhibit 236 in Book VIII.

R. CHARLATZ: Vory well your Roger.

Before I start actually with the Document Book No. EM, your Monors will recell that this morning when I tried to read an excerpt from Document NI-8891 I found a mistake in the English translation. It can be found on page 114 of Document Cook VIII. I is is just to clear the record, Your Monor.

THE PRESIDENT: Very woll.

The CHARLATZ: May I round noteen page like the first sentence: "The first stables that with the propert mobilisation requisition of Enapsack plant above all for a carbide in Juste that in case of participant could not cover all demands. Carbide production, either present or roundy 24,000 tens you month."

The puriod was missing which would make a simunderstanding.
THE PRESIDENT: Now, we to be book IN?

book your Monors will limb because II 7215 which I want to offer in which meet as Indibit 239. Whis Document is the minutes of the meeting of the Marittlungsstelle W in Marlin on 13 hrew 1939. May I may that whis meeting is the one to which reference was made in the document W-6398 which was introduced this marning associated that whis meeting is the one to which a serming associated in the document 21 had the program of such a second meeting on mobilization questions campy other documents. This have is the actual transcript. My I point out since the Reglish miscograph does not showhow the Cerman Document looks that the first four pages are handwritten which are attached to this document and which were translated here. The typed report of this

L Bopt-A-FL-14-5-Love (Int. Kartz)
Court No. VI, Caso VI

mosting starts on page 5 of the English Document Book and gives first the program for the discussion on mobilization planning on 15 Morch 1939. As your Monors will see from this program practically all questions dealing with mobilization were discussed there by - I'm sorry may I tell the interpretor - it

is on page 5 of the Person Tocument Took, all questions pertaining to manifestion were discussed at this meeting by Perben specialists. I need not read anything from this program. I just want to point out that the following pages and also page 5 give all details which are necessary to be observed in a reticulous preparation for mobilization. May I just point out on page 8 of the English Tocument Dook that under heading 9, mobilization schedule, that which should really be translated literally "mob calcular." I mention this because in all the files of the military and everywant authorities to find the appreciant "mobilization" or "mob calcular." That is not I am correction this translation here, I think this "because it sinch nore in reserve the purpose have.

I think to point out contain thing would not serve the purpose hare.

The next document IT 7283 I ment to offer in evidence as Tabibit 200. This is a letter from the I. T. Ferben Trieshelm plant to the Boochst plant dated 30 Proch 1939, Phore the Trieshelm plant stated their needs, requirements for raw seterials and intermediates for the mobilization pro part. I must be point out tide this document because it shows these nob tasks or mobilization pro rams were not just documents or payers which I. C. Ferben plants rearried and ild not not upon but that I. D. Farben, that the different plants reliably took all the steps in order to fulfill their took tasks and to all such that I the requirements.

The next december of 0000 1 must to serve as Exhibit 211, with
your Tenors' permission. This is a latter from the Vermitelum, satella W
notheresal to the Securi plant of 7. I. Turber dated 5 June 1939 and the
subject is — First, from your indicate it on the middle —
Subject: Eub-Contractor employment schedule. Your Monors will recall that
this norming it. Spreacher had already mentioned the so-called war delivery
contracts through which the firms obliged themselves to deliver certain
reterials in case of war and now here this deals with the sub-contractor
contracts for agreements. As in the case of the mobilization year, as was
pointed out before, also these schedules for sub-contractors were revised

4 September 47-4-ATD-15-2-Love

every year. I think I need not read anything from this document.

The next document MI 8777; which I want to mark as Exhibit 242 is a letter of the littery Economy Mivision of the Oberpraesident in Eastel, addressed to the Moschat Plant of I. C. Ferben. This letter, dated 12 June 1939, shows how the different plants of I. G. Farben were advised by the Coverment offices in charge of military accounty measures of all measures to be taken for the reparation of mobilisation.

The next decement of 5701 is a removar our found in the files of the I. ". Forben Woodbak plant in which a certain Fr. Torrum writes to to of the directors of the Woodbak Plant on the subject of the creation of a central mobilisation office. May I first, your Henors, mark this between of 3761 as Prosecution Embit 213, with your permission. In this between an employee of Forben made the augustion to create in the farmen Hoechat plant such a central mobilisation office. I must to point out to your "contraths thus at which this suggestion was made, again it is successful. Into June 1939, the sense that hen we saw how Forben took on the necessaries to be proposed for a conflict. In page 10 in your Moodment Book, your Monors, the last sentence shows that Dr. Reywor, a member of the Marmittlungsstelle "a vised for Bor and to visit the Louna Jobilization office, where, I musts, "every specialist can learn mite a few things, so he sait."

A Septemor-A-Ri-16-1 Nechan. (Int. Katz)

Far I point out that here we will introduce later an affidavit on the mobilisation proparations of the leiper works, which were concorned so here in this document. That is at the and of the document...

It sorry, Your Monors, referring to exhibit 242, which is TI-8779, it has just been pointed out to me by defence counsel that in the for an copy of the decument book one sentence has been emitted, apparently a typectryphical error in discuss up the mineographs shoot. With the agreement of counsel, we will take that up with the Secretar to set that the substitute sentences are introduced and see clear, however, I think the counsel right point as not to other defense counsels at the present time, if will, so he may read the full correct sentence; is that satisfactory to your Teneral

I FEESINGER: Vory oll, that is satisfactory.

DR. TIO ID: (Command for the Cofendant Dueroin.)

mithed of orhibit 242 by the Prostoution under No. 1 on the Cirst part it should read: "Those plants designated so for as present and or 1 ortant plants shall be unifer I designated in the Juliupo as "-Retriebe, that is my according plants."

This sentence has been o itth i the Terman minsorrached text or rather is as corrupted in the Terman bow out took and I stould like to ask you to have not covies of this door out istributed.

A. SPECCHES: "Se will be led to see that additional corrections made with the sourcetory general and 4 Sentember-A-RB-16-2 Meen n. ("ntz) Court6 Case 6

forense counsel can make the necessary alterations in their looks.

T : P.C.SIDENA: Is that satisfactor to defense nounsel?

DR. 2 ODALD: Yes.

T IR SIDENT: Vary mell.

I carr on I noticed whon I wont through the German document book B that the document, which is the fourth document book B, which was introduced as exhibit 103, FI-8609 is absolutely unintellible in the forwar tent took. Two different documents were put to at the photostat from Their this forman minecograph was ade. Fay I have ever to the defense counsel now the correct depen tent, which escaped apparently the learned counsel that this document and just was not together from the defense of not make sense.

T PILSIDEFT: Than: Ton, Tunsel.

On me o 3C of the English foom ont book.

prosecution exhibit 244, is | I-V212, which can be found on page of of the -melish document bank, page 51 of the Jer an document book. This document is letter of the Yer ittlunesstelle II, of resced to the Hoechst Plant, dated 25 August 1937. Is I just read the subject of this letter? It so s: "Su just: Procurement of large schinery and finished agreements in less of mobilization."

On the next pare 42, though in the pickle of the

4 September -A-RE-15-3 Mechan (Matz)

point out that here the Vermittlum satelle to said:

of the requirements of your plant for the current

mer for now large machines and negaritus for the
execution of your mobilization or er..."

I just went to drew Four ouers attention to the data who's as 25 August 1939, loss than a week before the outbrook of the rer.

The next coourent TI-SEC I went to mark as proscoution exhibit 265, it era to found on page 45 of
the English document book, who ST of the forman
focusement book. This is a storm interrogation of Dr.
Tagel orde, the director of the Friendsheim
whent. The news was month most in a becament before and
I corrected, if your Conors readly, the spelling of
the maje. I think I need not quote anything from this
interrogation, it just dools on the 46 with proparation of the so-celled "rob" plans the Farbon
factories.

The next focusent, FI-5161, which should become athirit 245 with four Monor's two ission is an affire at of the defendant Jacker, signed on 29 May, 1947. I just went to draw four Tenors attention to page 32 of your document book, page 35 of the Terman text, under No. In it says:

In a capacity as too missel and or of the whent
I also called to organize the so-called Wob-plan!,
which was worked out jointly the Vergittlungssteelle
I and the works canagement."

Je ar not noin to introface, our "Onors, more

4 September A-RB-15-4 Mechan (Katz) Court 6 Caso 6

socurents to show that practically all the plants or all the defendants who were in charge of specific Ferbon plants are such "Mob Pland." This should be just an example.

The next document NI-8525 thich should become prosecution exhibit 247 can be found on part 57 of the English document book, page 70 of the Corman text.

This is an afficevit by Dr. Tans ther, when the prosecution wanted to call as a vitness. In view of the fact that Dr. Tagner will not be called for some time may we introduce now this sife avit and leave it up to the defense to take up cross-end ination on the subject contained therein.

TO: PRESIDENT: Very well.

57 of the English document book unfor Fo. 2 the first sontoned says: "The first obblightion plans were drawn up at the end of 1935 or the perinning of 1937; as far as I know."

I do not want to read on ore of this exhibit.

I just want to rount out to our oners on pare 58 under No. 6 again we find the discussions which took place in the survey of 1935 concerning the Ludwigshafen plant and joint transfers of respection to central Sermany.

On page 60, Your Fonors, under No.8 Four Honors
will find an explanation of the that is called here:
"Contracts for var-time supplies" and which in other
translations sometimes appears as ver delivery contracts. Since the documents are very technical, I
think it gight be helpful to lave this considered,

4 September A-RB-16-5 Feehan (Mats)

which is a very simple explanation of the rather in-

The next three documents, thich I want to introduce ar efficients by two Person o plottes summarizing contain excerpts from the minutes of the restings of the MA, the Commercial Committee of I. . Farbon and the so-called enlarged "DyoStuffs Contittee." Those affidewits contain excerpts from a complete collection of the minutes of these two Parbon boards and the prosecution wants to introduce those documents in order to avoid that all the original documents has be brought into court and translated with all the headings and identifications. So, if it is applicable to Your Romors, may we introduce those in or or to expedite matters.

on the mart of any of the counsel for the defense, that is agreeable to the Tribunal.

TR. GLARIATZ: It was just nointed out to me, Your Yonors, that on the meetin of LA, the Corrected committee, we did not have all somes, so the presecution would be very much obliged to defense counsel if they have intheir possession any further copies of these minutes if they would be need mouth to furnish them to the Presecution, just as old as the presecution is perfectly willing to have the fef use look at all the limites which are in our cossession.

DR. FIRLICES: I'r. Prosident, as to the first question, I believe in the interest of expediting the trail we can agree to the other su ested by the prosecution, according to a telephly executes from the records are to be submitted. Towar, for the defense

4 Sorta ber-M-RB-16-6 Meccian (Eatz)

I should like to make the reservation that is such cases in which we are of the impression that these execupts might look to misunderstanding or false conclusions wither this remark to the significance of the question or to the context of the questions in which this matter is treeted, that in such case to a; ask the Prescention to subjects on which the coting was bold.

As to the accord question of the prospection, I regret to have to say that as far as I am concerned, now since the prosecution yet held of all the documents which were evailable, we can to of no assistance to them in cases where they do not have the asymbote files.

not quite sure if the translation was antirely correct.

To have only one copy of lost of the minutes of the

Contraind committee and as I unforcement it from Dr.

Frank Table, who is the secretary of the Conversal

convittee, were then so comins of the Conversal

convittees simutes were distributed throughout the

I.O. Farbon expect that so we as the learned continues

with so we of their contract in so of the plants are

possibly in a such better resistion to get copies of that

than we are. Then the I.E. or on control officer

confiscated cortain records, I don't think they made

it a point to try to take all most of correctal

constitute rimutes.

bonts and come into the arm's of the Prosecution, It is now, has been and it will continue to be our policy that on Cocurant which to defense can identify with any particularity and which is in our possession is

4 Serter - r-A-RE-16-7 To line (Matz) Gourt 5 Jose 5

their form ent for their scruting percly for the asking.

that is is to the mutual interest of all the parties not to unnecessarily encursor the record and on the representation of counsel for the presentation that the shorter see may be supplemented in such further showing, such as counsel for the defendants form partiaent for a full inquiry. The Tribunal will a fact these three exhibits for the proper numbers, subject to the reservation just centioned.

TR. G. R.ATE: Pay I not, "the jour Bonors' pormission, offer a proscoution a himse 200 In-7832. This afficavit of Dr. mater Macron of the Dyostaffs Local Accordant of the Diescoffs Soles combine of the I. . Tarbon gives excerted from such minutes of the dyestuffs cormittee which she is iscussion of the sometimes if question.

Pages 53, 62-4 and 65 show that this a question was discussed regularly in regular intervals before the outbroak of the war. In the second part of the affidavit, Dr. Kuppers states what he knows, what was discussed unfor the harding of the a question in this enlarged Dyestuffs Committee. Your Feners will note that the transcript or rather these minutes do not know what according was discussed it just states briefly if question discussed, etc.

The next document, FI-8789 I want to offer in evidence as presecution aphibit 249. This again is an affidavit by Dr. Mucaborn identified before in which Dr. Mucabors gives the source of his bankledge on the question concerning the M question.

The part decement JL-7631, which I went to mark as prosecution exhibit 250, with Your Sener's permission, is an efficient of Dr. Ireak Fable, who was the secretary of the Commercial committee and the secretary of the "Duard" of the commercial committee. Norw making we find that the efficient mentions all the meetings in which the se-called "heb" question, solublisation Question, was discussed. As were stated before here, the prosecution is not in possession of a complete collection of the minutes of the collection essentiate, therefore, it is entirely possible that in one or meeting assisting of the Commercial constitue this question the Med Question, was discussed, but it is not contained in this efficient by Dr. I reak Schie.

under murber 3.4, the second meeting of the TA on 10 Sentember, 1937.

Your Honors will first under four it is underlined Mo. 4 "Mobilization was time following: "Dr. von the mitteler reports on the present situation and asks Dr. Ilanar to enrange a talk together with the chief of the political economy departments, the so-called Wipe. I add, "at the Reich injerty of Economics, which is competent for the above Question and to report on it at the part meeting."

To sop soverel mostings and we see that this Suestion is discussed at regular intervels.

.ey I just point out on page 70 of wour document book. Your Equars, it is page 90 of the German document book, at the top of the mage is which an excerpt from the fifth meeting of 10 December 1937 as is shown on the page before, it says under the harding "mobilization Questions"

"Dr. von Schmitzler and Dr. Ilgner report on their discussion with the exports of the Reich ...inistry of Beone ales, and on the measures to be taken."

4 Sept 47-A-PM-18/19-1-Putty Court No. VI, Case VI.

On page 71 may I point out under 1) the 12th meeting of 15 June 1938. Here again under the heading "Mobilization Question" may I just quote the first sentence with Your Honors' parmission:

"Dr. von Schnitzler and Dr. Ilgner report on the discussions with State Secretary Posse an Ministerial director Sernow at the Reich Ministry of Economies and with Brigadier General Thomas, Chief of the Military Economic Staff (Vehrwirtschaftsstab), as well as on the other discussions with Obervenierungsrat Eckelmenn and the computent experts of the Reich Ministry of Economics."

I do not want to quote any more. May I just point out that the subligation question was discussed by the Commercial Committee, which was the beard of the gentlemen mostly concerned with commercial matters, not with matters of production. This question was regularly discussed in that the defendants, Ilgner and von Schnitzler, netually were viry delive in order to find out from the highest governmental authority on military economy, how this mobilization should be treated.

Your Honors will note in these excercts that the persons prosent are only examples. It always says here in the affiliavit "chang others". Only the defendants are mentioned have in this affidevit, so there should be no misunderstanding that no other persons were present.

On page 73 under "q)" it says on the thirty-first Looting on 17 April 1940 under the second heading of "2) Mobilization Quastion" that Dr. von der meyde reports again in — " I am sorry; this is on page 95 of the German document book. May I vapent? I need not quote really. It says here that Dr. von der Mayle reported again on the collaboration of Gayana

4 3000 47-A-PM-18/19-2-Putty Court No. VI, Case VI.

industrial enterprises including the I.G. moroad. I think nothing class has be be added here in commenting upon this affidavit which should be self-explanatory.

The next document which is to be found on page 81 of the Emplish document book, page 107 of the German document book, is NI-5198 which I want to introduce in evidence as Prescention Exhibit 251 with Your Honorsi permission. This document is enother efficient of the defendant, you Schnitzler, and may I point out only one thing; on page 83 of the English Location book, page 108, of the German document book, at the top of the page Your Honors will find a short statement of the Cofmidant, Schnitzler, where he says that the "X-Frage" has discussed in the KA — that is the Commorcial Committee, the minutes of which we just discussed — attention to discuss after the anschluse of Austria in Spring, 1935, the mobilization question. I do not want to argue, I just went to draw your attention to this excerpt, Your Honors.

for the Prosecution?

THE PR SIDEMT: Cortainly.

MR. SPRECHER: I was only suggesting to Mr. Character that we do finish that smell quote there since we have begun it.

"Since that time a state of mobilization continuously exis

That is at pages 108 and 109 of the German, the quotation just above the date, August 25, 1345.

Your Honors, before we continue on in Document Sook IX with some of the materials which have to do by and large with paragraph 23 of the Indictment, I think it might be well if we went over to Document Book X which is listed as the index

A Sout 47-A-PM-18/19-3-Putty

to su plomentary Document Book No. IX and out in a number of the Goodments which for the most part deal with the period before august and September, 1939. I might explain to Your Honors tou things here. In order to hasten the reproduction of Goduzents, we sometimes have some documents unich night more reasonably be fitted in in an earlier document book thrown into a later document book or a supplementary accument book. Then hering done that, sometimes in order to avoid too much moving back and forth in the document books, which isn't too easy here for any of us, we neglect to but in related documents at that time unless it is quite important, we to complains the reason for putting in now some documents which will out back over things which we have already passed. The first Focument I would like to but in is NI-9364 which can no mertod in evidence as Prosecution Emilit 252. This is - 10 Ther arridayit of Dr. Hens Wegner, in which he refers to a communit which we introduced as Procesution Exhibit 105, namely, HI-5686 -- I am sorry -- 159 -- Prosecution Exhibit 150. The Prosecution showed Dr. Wagner NI-5686 and he ificatified it as a file not be had districe, and it weekes it very clear to t the file note dealt with the transment of sporot on respondence relating to enswind werfare rionts.

Now the next comment in the supplement, NI-9335 found of pepe 3 of the English and pages 3 and 4 of the German may be marked as Prosecution Exhibit 253. That is an affidavit of Dr. Lings concerning the manufacture of what was at the time called automatic as pressure meters. If you will turn over to page 4 of the English document book, 4 and 5 of the German, you will note that Dr. Lings points but thatin fact, what was then called see pressure meters were really a code name and that in fact these were clock work fuses for an 88 mm antisireraft gun.

Sout No. VI, Casa VI.

Now it is sometimes a little difficult for the Prosecution to make out the full meaning of some of the things we see in those documents which are classified so secretly, because often times there are such words which without some assistance — which isn't always too cray to get — we miss the meaning of these things.

Now the next document is NI-7845 which dan go in as Prospection Exhibit 254, This shows correspondence between the High Command of the Wehrmocht, and it is addressed to the Army Ordnance Staff, and subject concerns mobilization proparations for I.G. Farben. You will note from the cordificate above that this document was found in the files of the Foldwirtschaftsent which is the successor to the Milly ry Economy Office. We only want to point out tirt in that internal correspondence of the high military authorities they talk about what Farben should do and they show a very great inowledge of the production in individual Farbon pleate. If you go through the document you will sun that they talked a good doel about weepon decentemination a onte, war contracts concerning Sera. When you got over to price 9 there is a notification that "The februacht intends to conclude the contracts with I.G. covering the following products:" and thun there is a rather long listing of different laboratory products.

I might point out here again that, of course, we present to you the files as we find them, and many of the files have been destroyed or lost as we will indicate to you rather thoroughly at a later date.

The next document is NI-7379 which can be marked in as 255. This is a latter from I.G. Farben Ludwigshafen to Dr. Zohn in the Army Ordnance Office concerning the Making of certain experiments for production of maturials

523

4 Scot 47-A_PM-18/19-5-Put ty Court No. VI, Case VI.

which can be used for both gas and emplosives, and at the bottom of the page there is snother rather significant indication of the interlacing of Farbon plents in connection with this mobilization and it is street that Farbon would suggest the following to the High Command of the Army that Huels will construct a certain plant and that then in the event of "A-Fall" another Farbon plant would be able to supply certain of the manterials which would be required.

The next document, NI-6931, will be marked Prospection
Emilit 256. This is a letter from the I.G. Bitterfold.

Direct, where the defendant, Buergin, was a very important
Formen efficial, directed to Dr. Buhl in the legal department
and there is some discussion of the transmissions increase in
the menufacture of Legantin teblets which were tablets

as evently used in connection with decontamination in once
there are a gas wer. This document, as you will note,
is in hardn of 1839. It is rather interesting to note
that there, 1939, is the month of the invasion of
Greenslow-kin, that is, the remaining part of Crechoslavekin,
Behania, Morevic and Slovakin, Sudetenland naving been
occupied in the Fall purer.

Now the next document, NI-7210, is another secret letter from Vermittlungsstelle W to the Frankfurt plant. That can be marked as Presecution Exhibit 257. Your Monors, just to be sure here — I report this, but I am not certain that I identified it — N1-7210 should become Exhibit 257.

Now, that document, NI-7210, found in the English document book at page 24 and the German document book at page 31 is important to indicated the details which were orded out in connection with supplying food to I.G. Ferion works in case of the outbrank of wer, and various

4 Sept 47-A-PM-18/19-6-Putty Court VI, Case VI.

produtions are talked about and experiences in different binds of armament plants are mentioned.

Now, Your Honors, if you will turn over to proc 36, you will find a copy of -- that is page 46 of the German -- you will find a copy of an affidavit by Hermann Ruther, NI-7938, which can be marked as Prospection Exhibit 250.

THE FRESIDENT: Purhaps before you go into that matter, it would be an appropriate time for us to take our afternoon recess.

The Tribunal will rise at that time. (A recess was taken).

The Triburel is a sin in session.

I'm PESEDETT: Pefore the Prosecution resumes, the Pribural would like to observe that if it becames a parent that by running over a few minutes we might conclude these books that are now before us, it will be entirely agreeable with us — if it is with you — this afternoon.

Prosecution may continue.

of the English and 17 of the Forman, I would like to take a few observations concerning Prosecution English and 17 of the Forman, I would like to take a few observations concerning Prosecution English additional to the State of the control of a special mobilization office within the Leure Works. In don't have too many files concerning the Leure Torks and therefore of course it is only by comparison that we can draw out on the inferences which we think should be from. To happen to have a lot of files concerning the Moschet Torks, and you have noticed that a considerable number of the documents we have introduced have been concerned with this "becast Tant. Now, we would like to note that concerning the Leure Flant, There several of the defendants and rather important responsibilities, there was one of these exhibitation offices likewise. That is indicated in paragraph 2 of the affidavity.

Now, the difficult, "are maker, indicated that he had access in Leuna to files which the defendants Schneider and Susterisch hept or had access to, and, therefore, he has certain knowledge concerning these matters. To notes at the bottom of the page that the defendant Susterisch gave a lecture before an audience of officers in which he illustrated projection problems for them by means of a file.

There is one statement at the top of page 37, paragraph 4, which me might point out. Dr. Dehnal was referred to as a director of the launa forks and as a consistent opponent of Fitler; and Dr. nather makes the observation that in 183h Seinel informed him that the Sational Socialist system inevitable meant war.

A September 17-1-170-20-2-Schemb (Int. illes)

We would like to pass to MI-75 - That can be marked as Prose-

The TESTER'S Pardon me, we did not get the document number to mich you rofer.

IN. THRECHER: It is WI-7862, page 39 of the English, and 50 and 51 of the Forman.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, just one further inquiry. Have you purposely passed MI-71227

IN. STREET: Yes, Your Monors, We purposely passed it for the mount. We want to come back to it. It has to do with the war years and, therefore, I think it would be a little bit too much out of place to introduce it here. To did come beek to it in parhaps helf an hour, with your produced.

From the first of the time with thich we are three concerned. "ut after concerned, but after the concerned, but after the concerned, but after concerned the concerned concerned.

Not, he points out that in the consercial field some of the conditionion problems he into been take in hand to the satisfaction of some of the paraons involved, and that this matter was taken up in the autumn of 1937. To would like to point out that that was at the time the conscreint condition of I. ". Forben, was reconstituted, and this ties in to some conversations which more bald with a number of the Verstand lambers of the consercial condition, the satisfaction, the are now defendants, being present, and discussing these matters.

In paragraph 3 it is noted that IFO had received — one of the livisions of I. A. Farben, writin 1 —7 — had received some communications from the Reich limistry of canonics concerning this matter, and some planning was done, furthy INO was to assist in connection with some of the mobilization questions which concerned the conservated side of the organization.

not to read this entire affidavit; You will note that personnel problems, foreign stocks (foreign stock holdings) of Farben, finance questions of other kinds, and marchousing, were around the problems which particularly concerned the concerned leaders, the concerned side, in connection with mobilization questions.

Then, with your permission, I would like to return to Document Book IX, page 101 of the Inglish end 126 of the German documents books. And there the first document is 17-1635 which we would like to mark as prospection Tokybit 260. This is a secret latter from the fillitary Reemony Dupartment in Duessaldorf, deted the 26th of Lurust, 1939. The tirding of the detes here in these next documents is juite important. The 26th of Lurust is five or six days before the outbreak of the war, on the latter Suptember, 1939, when Comman invaded the severeign state of Poland.

or which is to be filed after its contents have been noted by the Social Department — notes that "for the duration of the idlitary measures every employee of a plant engaged in work of importance to the war account has to remain at his present job with the exceptions which are noted below."

Now, ever on the next page, under Item 1, you will note that
official information is liven that military measures are being carried out
as present, and that plants important to the er economy will carry on
production assential to the er economy and further orders as to when
the mobilization tasks will be started-up further....And down on Item 7:
"Very for-reaching restrictions of railing traffic have to be recknowled with." Now, this is talk about scretching that seems deadly earnest and
imponding in the view of the Prosecution.

Item 8: "Noter vahioles which were designated for requisition under the mobilization project will now be withdrawn."

4 September 47-A-ATD-20-b-Schweb (Int. Mildes) Court 6, Case 6

I would now like to turn to MI-5235, found at page 10k of the Inclinh and 131 of the Garman. I would like to mark this in as Prosocution Tahibit 261. This affi mayit of the Defendant Bustefisch, I think, should be read in part.

"On the 28th or 29th of August 1959, Dr. Christian Schneider."

That's the defendant Schneider, "the plant loader (Betriebsfuchrer)

of Lowen vocalved from the President of the Government (the Regionangepresident)" — that is the highest local government loader—" or

the Companion of the military district the order for the mobilisation

of the plant."

"On the same day, Dr. Schneider called a meeting of the departnental a lafe-sheat twenty-informed the about the order for
mobilisation, discussed the measures to be taken, and ordered them to
inform the subordinate alant Leaders in addition. I was present at
this meeting. Dr. Schneider closed the discussion with the words: 'This
is wer!' and reducated these assembled to place themselves now at the
discount of the Fatherland with all their sever..."

Slipting a parentryh; the defendant Enctofisch makes a partial admission concerning his state of mind, which is probably helpful to observe; "Since the Person parch into Deschoolevakia, that is, since march 1979, it was clear to so that the military economy could be siming at the development into an aggressive war. Without I.G. Farden, concellly without the I.G. Production in the fields of synthetic resource, specime, and magnesium, it would have been out of the question for Germany to carry on a war."

The next document is 'I-6778, which we would like to mark in as 352, Prosecution Exhibit 263. This is a tiletwee. It is detect the 28th of august 1939; the invasion of Foland is but three days off. The nature of the telegram is such, we suggest, that one must conclude a similar telegram was sent to all invertent Farbon plants—if not all Farbon plants:

For the time being, Vermittlumestable V can be reached from A.M. until 8 F.M. by taletype, and by talephone from 8 F.M. until 5 A.M. Coletype mitrate syndicate transmits news by talephone to tembers of Vermittlumestable V. Coletype is to be used in preference

to Anything also buchuse of security and the speed of transmission of parts."

This soriousness about spood, about socraty, sooms to us is rethor significant; and that Vermittlumpsstolle V decided to keep man all might sooms to no to indicate that there was an avoid let of anomalous in an amful let of alsoos, so far as we are concerned, that were was in fact impending.

End next decoment is U-7382 which can be served in as Frescoution Exhibit 363.

Now. Tour Manors, if you turn over to page 107 of the Anglish, about 135 of the Ferraga, you will note that there is a reserve that "During the period from the first until the fourteenth day of mobilisation, will alignment of peak will require a special persit..." Now, on the first bage there is a fore which indicates the kind of persit which the various aleasts would have to fill out in order to be able to ship goods during these first days after the setual outbreak of the impending conflict.

It is not or interesting to note that the date on the top of the degenerat, at mage 106, is the 30th of August 1979; that is one or two days before the outbrook of war.

Exhibit 384. How we have soon how Teroen pared up for wer; how it twochoused its antire scriptius with the High Command and with the other Laich authorities which were engaged in propering for this aggressive wer. We know noted in the indictment that Farbon was so well-general that it only required a tologram to be read for it to change over from such production as still was peace-time production to complete were production.

How, here is the telegrem which finally put this thing into sporation. This is now Farben "at war", an aggressive war, as found by the International Military Tribunal.

"Tr. Ungovittor, acting on orders of the Beich Zeencales Africator, we just instructed all I.G. plants to switch at once to the prediction outlined in the MOS program. The minimum production recently fixed for Dedwigsbefor and Oppen also goes into effect intelligible, with small changes. So far as the reserves of workers resulting herefrom at Deswigsbewen cannot be utilized effectively in the plant, they are to remain in repliness for employment elsewhere within I.C. Our plants have been notified by taletype."

I rand that extract became there has been, at least during the carly investigations in this trial, what we think is a certain amount of prevenior tion—to see the least—concerning this old function of the dusting—does in whole or in part of the indwigshafer — Cheen plant. I den't t inh from the documents it was quite as much of a surprise in sees quarture as had been indicated. I think there may have been able to fifteence of spinion as to whether it would over potently be carried out, particularly if the impending wer ran in Section's favor—which it impressed to do during the first menths.

And home indwighted Owner, which was nown the reach border, did not seconally fall into great danger.

The date of that telegrem, of course, was the 3rd of Sentember 1935.

For we would like to take Farban's little further into ectual expressive wer here. The next decement, II-6652, now go in we want that it is a meeting of Sparte II leaders. Defendants for meer, Indian, Feerlain, Beergin, Paires, Verster, Lautenschlanger, Cajovski, and Jackto were presentl and Schuitz, the defendant Schuidt received a copy of the minutes. The meeting was "convoked to discuss the situation crossed by the outbreak of wer for the plants. The received mobilization progress, which embraces all menufacture by I.G., became effective on 3 September 1989." For that there was a prepared mobilization progress which embraces all nearfacture by I.G.,

4 Sort-A-JF-21-4-Schwab (Int. Hildes!)

Honors, that these materials we have indicated, principally from the Encohar Flant, and from such other documents as we can find, are peraly illustrative, it weeks to us, is dominatrated antirely by this indicated the populately purvesive scope of this mobilization program within i.G. Farbon.

"han, of course, weging serrossive wer is a crime, and we trink that the discussion inside this decement sione shows that Farban continued now to be of resistance in the waging of approaches were

NI-8882, Document 266, is a secret letter from Vermittlungsstelle " to the Heechst Plant again on 6 September 1939. It indicates that all of the war contracts which had been made in preparation for war before 1 September 1939 were effective immediately. Now, your Honors, if I may go again to the supplement of Document Book 10 there are two more documents we would like to put in evidence, The last document in that doucment Book which appears at page 42 of the English and 55 of the German, that's NI-7136 and we would like to put that in as Prosecution Exhibit 267. This again has to do with waging aggressive war. This is an extract of certain resolutions of the Supervisory Office Chemistry held in connection with the Ministry of Economics and the High Command of the Armod Forces. The appropriate names are shown. There's a discussion about various chemical products and related things which fall into the field which concerns Farben and notations are made concerning changes which will have to be made in order that this war can be carried on and over on page 44 under item 12 you will notice that there is a discussion concerning the mobilization tasks for Ludwigshafen, Now, there again we find there was something of a battle behind the scenes going on concerning what should happen with respect to the Ludwigshafen plant and the High Command had sent an order to Krauch to see that the Ludwigshafen plant was dismintled and ransported to the East. However, the defendant Brauch and a number of the I.G. Farben leaders apparently woren't as worried as some of the people in the High Command were as to how the situation would develop and that the whole project was more or lass dropped and here is one of the first stages which can be shown; it was decided that the tasks already assigned to I.G. Farben with regard to Luckrigshafen shall stand with some slight changes for war production from the Wurster Progrem.

Now, your Honor, we would like to come to the document which you mentioned before. That is, the page 32 of the supplement to Book 10 and

41 and 42 of the Germany Document Book 10. I would like to notice that ann go in, your Honor, as Prosecutibh Exhibit 268.

THE PRESIDENT: For the record you have referred to Document NI-

M . SPRECH R: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that correct?

MR. SPRECHER: That's right. Now, the chain of correspondence here is rather interesting. This is a secret letter of 25 Deptember 1939. Follow by this time has been largely everyon and the letter gose from the Franch Office to Brigadier Ceneral Thomas and here is further talk about an evacuation problem concerning Ludwigshefen and Oppau and krauch notes test for the past 12 months there's been in existence an evacuation plan in case of emergency which mainly provides for the removal of all castation finished products as well as to the transfer of production plants.

Now, he also indicates later on in the letter that most of these things had been a accomplished or accomplished in part. We merely underline this, your Monor, because in documents we shall introduce show repetition made to many authorities and many representatives of different Alifed groups concerning this whole Ludwigshefen-Oppes business which certainly sid not correspond to the truth. I think I have pointed out that the account was signed by brough minself. The translation indicated that the signature is illegible but it's been identified as Franch's sign turn since that time and as you noted addressed to Brigedier General Thomas, who is the head of the Webrwirtschaftsstab, the Allitary Economic Office. Now, in Faragraph 24 of the indictment we notice that all of the foregoing activities constitute vital planning and preparation for aggressive war. There's a rather interesting order of the cay which is found in Document EC-19 which I would like to have go in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 269.

This order of the day discussing some of the military economic problems being discussed on 7 April 1937 mentions under Item 2-B "Experiences Gained in the MOB Like, Mobilitation Like," "Preparation in the "orks of I.C. Parbenindustrie A.C." I think you won't notice there is any reservance made to another MOB exercises, other mobilization proparations by other plants, in that order of the day. The reference is to Farben which we believe were rather well in the lead in this particular field.

Now, the Schmitzler statement in Paragraph 24 I believe has been rand several times and we wen't bether your conors with it again. Now, that concludes the main burden of our proof, we feel, with respect to Count I-B. However, Verwittlungstelle W will keep recurring as we go along to some of the later prof, your Sonor, and since we are on that t pic we th ught it wuld be convenient here to mention some of the s cumonts which since what Ver ittlungsstelle W did after the war broke cut, I whink in would be convenient in a very short period of time to bring out these dements. The first one is NI-7126 which should go in as Prospection Exhibit 270. That's a resolution of the Labor Staff of the Supervisory From for the Chesical Industry ende during the first months of the per and you will note that as usual in the first instance -- that's page 156 in the Cermna, Item 3 -- you will note that the chemical groups inside the Ministry comes as usual to deal in the Mirst instance with I. . and in goes Vermittlungsstelle W in what, as a entter of principle, discussions are to take place with the experts of I.G. and only in exceptional cases with Vermittlungsstelle. I think that as even defore actual war broke out Vermittlungsstelle had in may instances accomplished its purpose of bringing the appropriate I.G. leaders introontact with the appropriate Heich and military leaders and therefore more and more Vermittlungsstelle W as a limison office became less important.

4 September 47-1-22-4-Zurns (Hildesheimer)

Presecuti a lamber 161. We just ment to use which there again the a counter-investigates as the meta by special forces were going to turing the term of the term of a this statement. I the defendant win very havis concerning the term of the reference will enter any it might be imported to a the term of the legish to concerning the term of the term of the term of the legish to concerning the term of t

Now, ever no pres 104 of the t Document Book further reference is made to the Crists-a of V-mintlengestelle 7. The referent Christian Schnelt-arm a ruper was further Chinf Counter I walligence agent in order to co-order to the continue of counter-a walligence agents and you not you've printe out that he had the responsibility of co-ordinating that in the Field of co-orderical metable.

The to come with a solubit 200 of the production. I would like to the the state him of the error of the fact that this exhibit bears to significant, a production of the error of the fact that the films makes us recognize the the original bourset of which who photostat was taken, this been crossed out as regards the decisive term 13. I believe, therefore, then fore, then explanation and attended to bout the origin and bout the importance of the decisive to be decisived to the origin and bout the importance of the decision of the decision which would be necessary unser the directors are the directors.

the files of granch's Teichsent fuer irracheliseusbau, which Wifice for Leonomic wavelequent and as is shown on the last mage of the nocument it was drawn up on 13 Esptember 1939. The initials "Dr. My", This is Dr. Payl, Pas-y-1, who was in charge or was a nort of secretary for this torking co mi to Massistry which was emirbiashed by the paichstells

4 September 47-2-5-Burns(hildesheimer)
Court 6 Case 6

the Tribunal so wishes the prosecution is perfectly willing to recallto call Dr. dayl as a witness. I only went to point out that apparently
the Reich willes for -concein Development of Dr. Aranch got a copy of this
minute of the marking and apparently did not like too much the draft of
this minute and therefore, in Dr. K ranch's point 13 was crossed out.

L September 17-4-119-23-1-Barns (Int. Wildesheimer)

crossing out be indicated. It is on your own focusent book and on the locurent of the original, a copy which has been put in as an original and it with the Secretary and it is a rely by two crosses that have been drawn across the Paragraph 13 in either penall or ink and that the locurent be received subject to such explanations as may reach towards its meight. We think the bookent is a rissible as it stands.

THEFALL THAT: Now, let's understand the record. Does counsel for the presecution suggest that it is their desire that the paragraph found on page 121-B of the Inclink Book and deminated in Roman Letters 13, that is IIII, should be crossed out?

indicating that there was a crossing out on the document, not that it should be stricken. I think that the stricken part should come to your monors' attention and I am warp proteful for Mr. Mainsular for pointing this rather out. I think an appropriate indication should have been made on the translation as well as on the introduction to indicate that the file as we found it in the espieured documents was found in a certain condition.

your suggestion that Paragraph MIII be shown as crossed out?

IF. SPEECER: You.

of counsel for the defendant as to the addissibility of the document in the light of that has been said by the presocution.

DR. PRINZEET: Dr. Meinseler. I am not in a position at present to area a statement as to the tenor of this document. The superficial impression of the document leaves open the possibility that it might just have been a graft in which perhaps the author of this draft binself crossed out the number TIII in order to leave it out in the final document. I whink, therefore, I regard it as necessary before the document is finally

document, the the origin and the author and the significance of the document, the ther it was a draft or the final document, the prosecution will offer a further showing of proof ———— (?)

to you a copy of this document in the Morann. Fill your Henors note at the left-hand eargin the numerous entries that have been made either by one or more parsons and the fact that there are some initials and underlinings throughout this document. I don't taink that paper was so short in Germany own in September 1939 that if a whole paragraph were to be stricken from this document found in these files that a stem propher would not have been maked to recest the whole document according to sent out. To do think this is clearly a copy that we sent out one that then there was some shows and later on by so when a sent out over this document.

as the Presention Indicate 270 it occurs to the released that perhaps the present present situation could be not by allowing the record to stand its the reservation in favor of the defense to make some enquiry to ascertain whether or not a formal objection will be urged and with the corresponding opportunity for the prosecution to supply such additional evidence with reference to the authenticity of the document as the prosecution may does proper, after the objection has been made, if one is rade. Is that agreeable?

Dit. HINZ LT: Your Monor, in the absence of my client I think it my duty to object regimes the submission of this document as a uniter of procession.

light to assist you before to go any further. I would ask that you look at the copy of the forman document Hi-7136 thich has already you in evidence as Prosecution Thibit 257. Now, this is a similar document. You will note the secret stamp. Your Bonor, will you note a number of things about this document which are similar to the document in question. The

"(ohoin) storp; that is, the "socrat storp." The nature of the initials and the writing over on the left-hand targin; the mature of the entry at the bottom of page one. If you compare that you can see that the same handwiting is involved there. I don't wish to bold myself forth as an export on the form of Garmen correspondence but it does soom to me there is a most unusual similarity in the form which the stanographer used in bringing out points. Boomn I, Roman II, and Boomn II on these two domments which are untirely different as for as contents are concerned and in the way the hardings are mide on 7125; "christel", which is sulphur, is underlined and over on the other one 7125 year til find "Schrefel" egain underlined. And then if you would turn to the last page you find exactly the same kind of stanographic indications with the person the distated the letter indicating the initials -Dr. 'y," and then, of course, the dash and the stenographer's initials "BR" being the same on both. Now, since these files, your Monors, were found in the files of only one of the agencies reprepented here it seems to us lighly unlikely that this would be a craft but rather a copy of the document itself.

TE PRESIDENT: I think we can save a bit of time with this conclusion. In the basis of the showing rade to this point, the Tribunal would
not be disposed to sustain if it was required to get now on a motion to
strike this document from the record, but, on the representations that have
been rade by counsel for the inferious that he was not had an opportunity
to consult with his client, the inferious is reing to pass the matter, with
the understanding that counsel for the definition may subsequently, if he
so desires, remark his motion and present what he thinks is partiment to
the question, and then the Tribunal will pass upon the matter.

IR. SPRONER: The presention wants to express its appreciation to Er. Mointeler for pointing out the crossed part, because the document did not represent a true representation — really a true copy of the original, and if he hadn't done that it wouldn't have been brought to Your Momor's attention.

Non, we have only two or times more decreate.

purdesion of Your Monors, is a circular latter of Vermittlungsstello-W Mich was sent to the nitrogen sales department of Farben on lith of April 1980. It incloses and for are contain security and counter-intelligence pessures which are to apply to are inscribed lants during the war. This is brought out wrincipally to the increase of the functions of the Division of Vermittlungsstello- """ and set up as a security organization rather late in the day.

MI 6533, which I would like to mark in as Prosecution Schibit 272, is a file note by Varnittlungsstelle's Dr. Diecknum, as late as 1 May 1942. The tenor of that document is that "and tilungsstelle-W unde a thorough investigation and report on security measures for special plants in Northern France. This ties over into spoliation and Count II of the indictment, of course, but it does indicate that Varnittlungsstelle-W was not only useful in the preparatory steps but, later on, oven in carrying out war beyond the frontiers of Germany.

A Suptomber 47-4-ATD-24-2-Loonard (Int. Hildesheimer)

outract from a letter from Vermittlungsstelle—". This indicates that vermittlungsstelle—". This indicates that vermittlungsstelle—" received certain questionnaires which had been filled in by the Ferben plants and it shows the types of campower which was billeted in barracks. To some extent, Vermittlungsstelle— was used during the war in connection with paperer problems and hance got tied over into the slave labor program, as we said, to some extent.

Document HE 6506, Prosecution Exhibit 27h, is a letter from Varnithungsstelle-' to Parben's Hoseist plant, dated the Sth of Jume, 19h3. This shows that Varnithungsstelle-' was still acting as an informing agency between the Tehrancht and Parben plants. The letter shows the division of Farben plants as to Mach ones of them fall directly under the Goberbay, that would be the defendant Franch, and which full under the Role's Loomonic 'inistry.

The next deciment, NT 6283, which we would like to offer as Presscution Exhibit 275, is a latter from the IPO to the leaders of NN-7, as late as the 11th of 3 pterior, 1966, which indicates that Vermittlungs-stelle-7, and the Office of the Tochmical Constitute (TEA Sware), being the Office of the Technical Constitute, will get as a central lies on on general questions of perpensar, traffic, packing, ste.

Exhibit 276. That is M. Document 7768. This is a latter from Vermitthings—
stalle—If to the head of various Sparte offices, as late as the 5th of March,
1966, and this latter invicates that a Vermitthingsstelle—Nord, a Licison
Office—North, was being established in Wormy to not as a central licison
office for specified problems. In other words, the experience of Farben
in genring itself to the requirements of the chrometh before the war in
Cereany, with the assistance of Vermitthingsstell—II, it apparently had a
certain practical value in one sense, in the view of the prosecution, in
that Farben wasgoing to try a similar limison type of organization in
connection with the exploitation of Moreay during the war.

4 September 47-A-ATD-24-3-Leonard (Int. Hildesheimer)

Now, that concludes the materials which principally bear on 1-8

There is one doom ent here thich should so in now. That is NI 6632 mich we would like to work in as Prosecution Twinbit 277. This has to do with the destruction of Verwittlurgsstelle- files. It's an afficavit by by. Weilbrunn - he is one of the research analysts on the staff of the prosecution - and he indicates in that affile wit that he wont through the Files at Leverkusen and that he ordinarily found a cortain number of documents concerning V. rittlungsstalle-V in these files quite often until he care to the crucial paried - 10 August 1939 to 9 September 1939. The prosocution, by no socns, intends perely to rely on that one affidavit. As seen as the can process stree nows we desire to indicate to you that we are at an outrow disadvantage in presenting, we think, all the incriminating evidence to you because of the destruction of so many files of Vermittlungsstell -'. In that commetting and we wish to applicate this nation, we have had to rely a great deel on the Nocchet files and those files were found in the basement of the booked out building art, therefore, I think, were unintentionally reserved for the presention.

Your Monors, that concludes the presentation of these naturals.
THE PRESIDENT: Aust a nament, please.

Now, with the resormation that the Tribunch has made relative to the presentation's arithmic 273, What completes the presentation of the documents contained in Looks VIII, IT and I. Is that correct?

IR. SPECCHELL Yas.

THE PRESIDENT: Then, in line with the understanding that the Tribunal had with representatives on secural for the presecution and the lefense during the recess hour this foreneon, the Tribunal will now stand in recess until next Tuesday norming at 9:30.

The Triburel will now rise.

(A recess was taken until 0930 hours, 9 September 1947).

Official Transcript of the American idlitary Tribunal in the Matter of the United States of America, against Carl Krauch et al., defendants sitting at laraborg, Germany, on 9 September 1947, 0930, Justice Shake, Presiding.

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the Courtroom will please take their seats.

The Honorable, the Judges of Enitary Tribunal VI.

States of America and this concernble Tribunal.

Thoro will be order in the Court.

THE FRESHOLT: IF. Parsiel, are the defendants in the dock?

THE PARSHAL: The it force Tour Henor, all of the defendants are present with the exception of the defendants Threber and Trunggerson the or absent through illness.

THE LESIBLET: A formed motion has this day been issued severing the charges against the detendent has Druegemann for purposes of trial from the charges against the other defendant in this proceeding but retaining the charges against said the Bruegemann on the cocket of the lilitary Pribabels as a separate cause for trial hereafter if the physical and mental condition of said defendant shall permit. The Tribabal doors in proper to stand for the record its reasons for the sevien so taken.

Under date of 16 June 1117 counsel for the defendant in Trueggemenn addressed a letter to the Scretary Contral of the Hilliany Tribunals requesting that the proceedings against the defendant brueggemenn be temporarily quashed or in the alternative that a severance from the trial of the other defendants be directed. The letter also requested that the defendant be released from customy and paralleled to return to his place of residences. These requests yere based upon undical traductions and reports stating that the defendant Brueggemen: is suffering from advanced attriosclarosis, extreme apprehension, and appressing the audical opinion that they defended probability that any

mentionent or physical exertion, such asis incident to attending this trial would likely result in his having applicat with paralysis, or otherwise result in his death, due to his advanced cardiac condition.

Subsequently, by metion dited 24 June 1947, the Chief of Connect.

for Mar Crimes, setting on bishelf of the United States of America, expressed the opinion: that considering the physical and mental consistion of the defendant Drueggaman as set forth in the medical reports above referred to, it would not serve the interests of justice to bry the defendant Brueggaman at this time. It is recommended that he has nospitalised or released conditionally to his home under merveillance. The motion on behalf of the prosecution also proved? ".....

That an order be entered herein just posing for an indefinite time the proceedings against the defendant Mar Brueggamann, but directing that the charges in the indictment against him shall be retained upon the decimal of the lightery Tri smalls for trial thereafter if the physical and mental condition of the defendant should permit."

On 14 August 1947, at the armigmeent, following statements made by
the presention to the same effects above stated, the Tribural directed
that the Trial of the defendant Proofgenant be postponed until such
time as be could be present or, in the alternative, until the further
arder of the Tribural.

By a subsequent exchange in the condition of the defendant that that there has been no change in the condition of the defendant that brunggamann and that he is not in a physical condition to stand trial at this time. Under these circu stances the Tribunal is of the opinion that the order this day returned is a proper disposition of this matter.

The Prosecution may proceed.

IR. STHEOMER: May it please the Tribunal, first we would like to outline very briefly the planned order of trial for the next few days.

This morning, as we have indicated before, we planned to call the witness Dr. Sans Wegner in consection with the affidavits he has given concerning the facts relevant under Count 1-B. The witness Arguer was a witness to events which are also relevant under one or two of the subsequent sections of Count 1 and no has given some affidavits in consection with this next but as an official of Vermital agentable of we thought it advisable that he is called now in connection with the subsequent and-sections of the counts if the prescention, with the subsequent and-sections of the counts if the prescention, the defense of the Tribunal should must him to be called.

Tour Monors will recall that the mitnesses which we planted to call have not been available for the reason or mother, or we have post-out their being called for our reason or another, and we have testated to make from Count 1-3 without proceeding at least one witness to the facts. Tow, after the witness we agree we plan to be forward with extends bouring on the positions held by the defendants in the economic, political and social life of Councer which are principally limbed in Appendix A of the indictions. For the time being we plan to introduce that each order than the which we found in in Document Book 11. To the indictions that that will require more than half as hour or an ear. Monoraber, with your permission, we shall introduce internals on the his or and organization of T.J.

Perben which we feel relevant the part of all counts and of greatest importance in evaluing the individual roles played by the respective defondants in the crimes alleged.

The documents will be found in Document Books 12, 13, 14, and 15. We are informed that most of these document books in the Gorman were delivered to the Defense Center for the defendants, use and the use of the defense counsel before the meet-end, whereas, most of the English copies were delivered restorder, except one, Book 15, which we

trust will be available before the end of the session today. If not it will certainly be available early tomorrow and before we reach that book in the presentation.

Decause the defendants von Schmitzler and Ilgner have embacribed to me extraordisary amount of information which has some bearing on several of the counts, and because some of these documents keep recurring again and again a very great problem of reproducing sufficient copies for the recurring document books arose. At the suggestion of in Ired Minhergall, Chief of the Document Control Branch, Evidence Division, we have included most of the affidevite of these two defendants in separate document books, Sook 16, Schmitzler, and 17, Theser. The prosecution will attempt to inform the Tribunal and the defence in advance when documents from these focusent books will be referred to in the session so that you can bring your copies into the Court.

How, after the noterials of the history and organization of T.C. Varban, we plan to call General lorgan to testify on his experiences as a member of the Allied Control Council after World for I with special reference to the chardeal industry of Germany. Our plan is that he should appear Thursday. There will be no affidavity in connection with that testimony. The entire testimony will be in Open Court.

With your permission we about them like to call the witness Dr. Haus Magner. 9 September 17-19-17D-2-1-Burns (Int. Renier)

DE. HURS WOLER, a witness, took the stand and testified as follows:

THE PUSIDENT: The witness will hold up his right hand be sworn as a witness. Do you swear by God, the Almighty and Comiscient, that you will speak the truth, the pure truth and will withhold and add nothing? You will say I do.

THE TIMESS: I do. (the witness repeated the oath)

DIRECT TEMPTION

1 17 . SPRECHER:

- Q Dr. Wagner, will you state your full name for the record?
- A My name is Mens Ertin Salauth Earl Wagner.
- Q And will you give your present address?
- A I am at present residing in Newmarkt in the Upper-Pfals in Perkatrasse, 52.
 - Q And that was your ad ress during the recent war?
- A Suring the tar I lived in Sorlin at Lichterfolder, Tolbeinstrange, bl.
 - Q That is your birthdate?
 - A I was born on 9 July 1903.
 - q And your birthplace?
 - A I was born in Frankfort on the hin.
 - And what is your profession?
 - A I am a chemist by profession.
 - Q Where did you obtain your Doctor's degree?
 - A At the University of Frankfort on the Tain.
 - Q Did you receive any education abroad?
 - A Yes, I visited school in Poland for two years.
 - I Where were you during the first "orld ar?
 - A During the first orld or I was in Posen.
 - Q That's in Germany?
 - A Yes, in Germany.

- 1 And you were studying at that time?
- A Tes, I visited school there.
- Q Were you ever employed by the I. G. Farbenindustrie?
- A Tes, I was an employee of the I. G. Farbenincustrie from 1928 until 1945.
- Q And what was your position or where were you employed by I. G. Farben before February 1938?
- A Prom November 1928 until February 1938 I was a laboratory chemist at the Hoschst Works of the I. C. Farben in the inorganic department.
 - Q And what was your position thereafter in I. G. Farben?
- A In February 1938 I went into Vermittlungsetelle W as a chemist. That was in Berlin.
 - Q And how long did you remain there?
 - A I remained at the Vermittlungsstelle until the end of the war.
- Q Did you know any of the details of the organization of Vermittlungsstelle W before February 19387
- A Tes, I knew about the existence of Vermittlungstelle " already at Hoschat.
 - Q Did you know any of the detailed workings of the organization?
- A I was informed at Moschet in broad outlines about the tasks which Vermittlungsstelle " had since I, myself, had to cooperate with that agency.
- Q Who notified you of your appointment to Vermittlumgsstella W in 1938?
- A I was appointed to Vermittlungsstelle W by Dr. von Orucning and Or. Struss.
- Q Dr. Struss is the Chief of the Diffice of the Technical Committee; is that correct?

9 September 47-17-170-2-3-Burns (Int. Pamler) Court 5, Case 6

- A Yes, that's correct.
- 2 Could you make the defendants which you mot between 1923 and 1945?
 - A Yes.
- Q Just a minute; we will give you a copy of the first page of the indictment.
- A During the time you mentioned I set the following gentlemen:
 Heinrich Hoerlich, August von Enderiem, Fritz ter Meer, Otto Ambros,
 Ernst Buergin, Faul Haeiliger, haz Ingner, Friedrich Jachne, Hans
 Nuchne, Karl Lautenschlaeger, Karl Durster and Brich von der Neyde.
- Q Yould you say you had been a personal friend of any of the defendants or did you most them entirely in a professional connection?
- A I met those gentlemen only within the framework of my professional activities.
- O Now, did you at any time come to below to any of the affiliated organizations of the HEEK.F, the Mazi Furty?
- A Yes, April 1934 I transferred from the Stabilhelm into the SA Reserve and there in November 1938 I was dismissed.
 - O Did you ever become a member of the Hazi Party?
- A Yes, January 1939, I was accepted from 1 May 1937 as a tumporary mamber of the Maxi Party.
- IN. SPECIAL: Your Fonor, the witness is being called as a witness to the facts and not necessarily as either a friendly or a hostile witness. The prosecution will limit its examination to factual matters already sworn to under onth by the dissess in six affidevits which are already in evidence, or to a few additional matters very directly related to the facts sworn to in the affidivite, principally by way of amplification of a very few portions of these affidavite. We shall take up these affidavits in the order in which they were introduced. To make the record absolutely clear I will state the documents and exhibit mambers of these six affidavits and state the page number in both the

9 September 47-26-17-2-4-Burns (Int. Remler)
Court 6, Case 6

English and the German books for the assistance of your Honor and for the assistance of defense coursel.

MI-8923 is Prosecution Exhibit 142. It's found in Document Book 6, Inglish page 33, German page 56,

MI-8922 is Prosecution Schit 169, Document Book 7, English page 10, German page 25.

77-7768 is Prosecution which 102, Possess Book 7, English page 37, Cerman page 65.

NI-8926 is Proxecution Tabibit 190, Document Sook 7, English page 64, German page 112.

MI-3925 is Prosecution Exhibit 247, Document Book 9, English page 57, German page 70.

both the Inglish and German Document Books.

The last affidavit, Prosecution Rehibit 252, is an explanation of Document NI-5686, which is Prosecution Exhibit 159. This latter document is a file note which the witness dictated himself on 11 January 1939.

- Q Dr. Vagner, do you have copies of each of these affidavits and the document MI-5686, the file note you dictated, now in the folder before you?
 - A Yes, I have these documents and this file mesorandum before me,
- Q Each of the officients before you notes at the top that you have been warned that you will be liable to punishment for making a false statement. Then were you so advised by any of the American investigators or interrogators?
 - A That was told me for the first time in March 1947.
- Q and were you later reminded of the same obligation and the same penalty?
- A Yes, I was told about that again later during my later interrogations.

9 September 47-15-ATD-2-5-Burns (Int. Rauler)

- Q When were you first interrogated by me?
- A I was interrogated for the first time last week on Saturday.
- O Where you then asked if there were any statements in your affidavit which you now, some weeks after the execution of these affidavits, thought were in error or misleading or which required a sme further explanation in order to give a completely true picture of the facts?
 - A Yes, I gave a mimber of other statements.

IM. SPREEZ: Your Monor, I would propose that the alditions or madifications which witness would like to make to be take up in connection with each of the succeeding affidavits so that we keep a good order in the presentations. Is that agreeable?

THE PRESIDENT: Vory we'l.

If Mr. Titness, the first document we come to them is NI-8923 which is an affidavit in which you have given materials concerning the organization of Vermittlum Istelle II. Would you turn to page two of the original of that affidavit which is in Paragraph 2 just before the entry on Sparte I? Now, I would like to make a brief quote: "As it was not always possible for the gentlemen in Vermittlum estelle I responsible for the three Sparten to discuss all questions with their respective superior Verstand member, these discussions were mostly conducted with the Chief of the Sparte Office concerned who, on his part, would discuss the most important points with the Verstand member concerned." How do you know, Mr. Witness, that the Sparte Chief reported to his superior Verstand member, that is to say, to the Chief of each of the Sparte?

A I heard that on the occasion of discussions I had with Dr. Struss, Dr. Mayer and Dr. Disckmann.

- Q Who were these gentlemen?
- A Dr. Strass was the Head of the The Office and the direct superior of the members of the Vermittlungsstells " for Sparte II. Dr. Mayer represented Sparte III within the Vermittlungsstell " and simultaneously with

9 September 47-M-ATD-2-6-Burns (Int. Ramler) Nourt 6, Case 6

the Headof the Sporte Office of Sparte III; Dr. Dieckmann represented Sparte I in Vermittlungsstelle J.

Outst VI Cass VI
9 Sout 47-3-1-b-aEH-Centag (Renolar)

** Now, Mr. Witness, I refer you to Page 5 of the original affidavit, in the fucle of Paragrand 5 of the affidavit. That is on Page 4 of the affidavit in the Snelish.

has SPRECHER: Your Honors, in those cases I think we can follow boat by noting the act of the original which comes in the break in the page.

- the so-cal of little "The," the little technical con ittee. You note that representatives from verious plants as well as from the Ten and from Vermittlungustable a participated in those little TEa meetings.

 Now, can you tell us whether or not the headquarters of each of the principal work combines, that is, the plant at which the headquarters of each of the meetings of the Uprincipal work combines, that is, the plant at which the headquarters of each of the meetings of the little That?
- regresented which were the work combines of the I.G.
 - was that turn for the work Combine Berlin?
- a. No, the sork Combine Berlin did not send any representative to these meetin s.
- 4 But the other fourwork combines which were principally under Sparts 2 were represented? Is that correct?
 - A. Yes, the other four work conbines were represented.
- the New, in Paragraph 7, you stat that the object of the little

 Tem meetings was to live mambers of the directorate of the demartments
 and the plants an insight into questions which had been discussed in
 the Vorstand and the technical committee. Do you know whether or not
 the Vorstand members and that shoes little technical committee

 meetings were being held?
- were inforced esout the holding of these restings in the little TEA.
- * Do you a ve any corrections or addition which you would like to cake to your affidavit NI-89237

- a. No, there are no additions to be rade by to.
- ther will you turn, your Honors, and hr. Witness, and defense counsel, to FI-8922, Prosecution Exhibit 169? This affidavit principally concorns the handling of patents. Ar. Witness, you state in Paragraph 2 that cortain top secret patent natters were not handled with the Raich authorities by Vermittlungsstelle w. but rather by Prof. Hoerlein, Dr. Schreder and Dr. Arbres; and I'd like to ask you how you know this is the fact.
- as when I entered the Vermittlumrastelle what the end of February 1538, I was informed by Dr. Zolba, or prodecessor, when working on prient matters, that in the patent department at Levermuson a number of patent reports were entered as top secret fatters, and that they concerned inventions from the aphers of work of Dr. Hourlein and Dr. Schrader in Albertald. For reasons of special secrety they could not be informed about it at the Vermittlem estelle w.
- & Did you thereefter teles this catter up with our of the defendants?
- A. Yos, I discussed this metter encowith Frof. Hearlain in writing, assing his for reasons of completion to send these reports also to Variattlungsstells . Frof. Hearlain refused to comply with this request and namely made the concession that the numbers of the patent reports concerned be sent to Varnittlungsstells W by the Lovernusen percent department.
- 4. In Paragraph 3 of this affidavit you state that about:

 1942 Dr. Disckmann, the Vermittlungsstelle # representative of Sparte

 1, no longer had time to look after patent matters because of

 "honorary" work in the defendant Krauch's office. What do you mean

 by "nonorary" work?
- honorary work is the German usage of language for an activity where no remmeration follows from the agency in which one is active.
- That is to say that Dr. Dieckmann was not paid by the Reich for his work in the Aranch office but rather was continuously on the payroll of L. G. Farben; is that correct?

- A. As far as I now, Dr. Disckmann curing that time was paid only by the L.G.
- whow, after that time, that is to say, after 1942, did Dieckmenn spend most of his time working for the Krauch office, or most of his time working wor Vermittlungsstelle . or some other branch of I. G. Farben?
- a. As far as I know, Dr. Discksant from about the year 1942 spent approximately sixty to seventy, per cent of his time for the office of brauch.
 - . Ar. witness, do you make any corrections to this affidavity
 - A. NO, I have no further corrections to this afficavit.
- e. Could I call your attention to Fara raph 77 I think you nentioned one there.
- A. I beg your pardon. Yet, I said in Faragraph 7 that on the
 let august 1939 an order came from the ergy ordinance office that all
 permits grants, for the overseas delivery of products were to be
 cancelled immediately. I now have to and that this date of the lat
 august is not quite correct. After a minber of considerations I
 was compelled to conclude that only towards the end of august 1939
 was this order sent from the army ordinance office to Vermittlengest ells
 in writing; and I we ld say that the date was somewhere around the
 20th august.
- The ciddle of Page t of the original, later on in this same paragraph, you note that you informed representatives of the sales aspartment challes that products on the list of the Export Association of war Equipment, the s. G. K., were to be cancelled. Why did you do that?
- a. I die that because it was requested by the I.G. that all current transactions we to be cancelled, and that no further deliveries were to be made abroad.
 - . Did you say that was requested by the L & or by the army?
 - A. That was done by order of the army.

the to the next afficavit, hI-7768, Prosecution Exhibit 172. The subject of this afficavit is pertain minutes of the TEXO, or technical commission, engineering commission of L.G. Farben, in connection with air raid precautions. There is also some material on the organization of the TEXO, the technical committee, and the meetings of the glant directors. Ar. witness, in connection with raragraph 2, where you refer to certain minutes of the TEXO, did you have available at the tire you made this affidavit or just prior to that tire all, the minutes of the TEXO?

a. No. I had available only those minutes which I mentioned in the affidavit.

We wanted to a void the necessity of reproducing a great number of accuments morely in order to take out the small excerpts concerning air raid productions; and since the witness was familiar with the small, we asked him to look through these course of the minutes and to include the extracts in an affidavit. As usual all the minutes which are available to us in pass the defense desires them will be made available to them for checking in case any of these entries make the material appear out of context or in any kind of a calse light.

The PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will recall that that explanation was made at the time the axhibit was offered and introduced into avidence.

- 4 Ar. Witness, aid you know from your own experience that TEKO ongaged in matters ouncerning air raid processions?
- A. The technical commission, as far as I know personally, had the task of concerning itself with air raid procautionary measures with the L.A.
 - . Since about what time?
 - approximately since the middle of the year 1931.

- connection That soever with the establish entof the Estensinf tschutrbund, the deich airraid Protection association?
- a. As far as I know, these airraid procautions for industrial firms were not carried on by the Reichsluftschutebund but the Reichsstand of the German industry.
 - a Do you now when the Reicheluftschutzbina was created?
- a. To my nowledge the Reichsluftschutzbund was crossed in the middle of 1933.

9 September-M-IL-4-1-Ceming (Ant. nammler)

7 Did any of the officials of I.G. Farban
publish materials on sirraid protection which were
circulated broadly in the Roich in the early years
of the Mazi ragine?

A Yas, I know that Dr. Ritter and Dr. Pfanneler, together with a physician who did not belong to the I.G., Dr. Eorlet, published a book with the title, ... Physician and Lirfuid Procautions."

Old Dr. Ritter have close associations with important leaders in the army of the Wehrwirtschaftsstab of the Eigh Command so far as you know?

A Tue, as rer as I know, Dr. Ritter had close occateon with Universal Thomas, the head of the Williams Staff of the OKU.

point out a correction in the translation in the English. To is at Page 5 of the original, which is over an Page 4 of the English translation, immediately under Page 5 of the original. "B. The technical condition of I.G. TEA was formed in 1934 and consisted of nine numbers of the Verstand."

That is incorrectly translated. What the witness stated was the following: "The technical committee of I.G. TEA, in 1934 contained nine members of the Verstand."

n Mr. Witness, you know that the TEA had been in existence for some years before 1934?

A Yes, I know that TEA was created in the year of 1926.

Q Did you visit many or most or any of the plants of I.G. Farben before 1945?

Court VI Case VI

- A You mean before 1945, do you?
- 7 Yas.

A Before the year of 1945 I visited a large number of the I.G. plants.

- c In what official connection?
- A I did that in my capacity as a mamber of the Vermittlungsstelle W, in particular with reference to my activity in the field of patents and in the field of development questions of Sparte 2.
- To whom did you ordinarily report when you visited a plant? Or, if there were several types of personalities to whom did you report, will you briefly outline the positions of the persons to whom you would report?
- A Yes. On the occasion of visits to the plants, I generally visited the head of the plant personally, and I told him about my intentions. Then I carried on negotiations with the heads of the patent departments of the large I.C. plants and finally with the inventors of new procedures in the plants and the laboratories of the I.C.
- Tell us whether or not from time to time various officials in the plants discussed with you materials which they said were being taken up in the meetings of the technical directors of the plants.

A Yes, that is quite correct. The younger gentlemen of the technical direction whom I met frequently during visits to the plants, told me occasionally about such technical direction

meetings. - 5%/

Court VI Case VI

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, thora is one further error in translation which I would like to point cut on Page 6 of the original. This is indeed an error which it is very easy to commit, the reason being that TEKO, which I think we shall use to rafar to Tachnische Kommission, or onginatring committee, or technical commission, must be distinguished from Technischer Ausschuss, or technical committee. If you will look at the chart on the well at the front of the hearing room, you will see the TEME over on the left-hand side and above and slightly to the right the technical committee. On Page 6 of the original, Paragraph 4, in the fourth line, there is a reference to "tachnical committee," and that should be to the inginocring committee or to the TEKO.

or additions to make beyond what you have already noted in connection with this document?

A No sir, there ere no further additions I can make.

MR. SPRECHER: The next document, your Honors, is MI-8926, Prosecution Exhibit 190.

THE PRESIDENT: If you will pardon me, are you correct in saying 8926, or is it 8925?

MR. SPRECHER: 8926, I'm sorry if I misspoke it.

THE PRESIDENT: No, you so stated.

MR. SPRECHER: The next one will be the one your Honor referred to; but before we get to that, there is the very short one, 8926. The subject

Court VI Yasa VI of this effidavit is the Planungsspiol, the map exercises for wer games. n Mr. Mitness, in Paragraph 2 you mentioned that Dr. Ritter or Dr. Krauch introduced the war games or table or map exercises. What led you to that wiew? A I know that on the basis of personal discussions which I had with Dr. Ritter and Dr. Pfaundler. 2 Dr. Pfaundlor was also on the staff of Vormittlungsstolle 7? A Yss. Yss, Dr. Pfaundler was active in Versittlingsetalls " where he worked with Jr, Disckmann with reference to pustions concurning Sports 1 of I.G. Mow, in Paragraph 3 you state that the sirraid procaution measures were taken upon the order of the Roich, whireas the war games or map trareisas were not undertaken upon the order of the Reich. What led you to that view? A In the Vermittlungsstelle by studying the files which were there I tried to acquaint myself with metters which were going on in Vermittlungs stella W before my presence there. On these occasions I found no basis in the files for believing that an order had ever been issued on the part of the State to the effect that these map exercises be carried out. Did you discuss that point with any of the other representatives of Vermittlungsstalls 7 or Sparts 1? A Yes, I discussed this with Dr. Ffaundler

9 Soptamber-M-IL-4-5-Cening (Int.Rounter)

in particular, but I received no other information from him.

To you have any further corrections you would like to make at this time, Mr. Witness, to the affidavit M-89269

A No, there is nothing I have to add or correct.

Mi. SPRECHER: Your Honors, we then come to MI-8925, which is Production exhibit 247; and it is concerned principally with medilization plans. With your permission I would like to read rather fully from this affidevit so that it is clearly before all of us in the Tribunal this morning. In our view it is a rather concise statement of the developments concerning mobilization plans; and I believe it ties together rather well a lot of the decuments which have already come to the attention of your Honors; and it will have some relation to other documents which will come in in connection with the documents principally bearing on some of the subsequent sub-sections of Count 1.

Court 6, Case 6

Starting with paragraph 2:

"The first mobilization plans were drawn up at the end of 1935 or the bulinning of 1937; as far as 7 know."

- rary of 1936. How are you multiplied to make the statement I just read?
- A. I mow that on the basis of my study of the files of the Ver-Varnithlangstelle I and also on the basis of a number of official theorems I had with Inginour lemman, the was native within the Vermitthingstelle W and where their it was to draft these mobilization plans.
 - .. Court muting the quotation?

The setting up of these place as desces follows: all so'r acht offices sent in their requirements for the various war products via the little general Staff ("chr schteirtschafsstab" of the Sigh Commission of the schmencht (Commission in Chief: General Thomas) to the Reich of the schmencht (Commission in Chief: General Thomas) to the Reich office (Emichastella) Chalistry, (it that the still Emonomic From Commission (Emichastella) Decision, (it that the still Emonomic From the Commission (Emichastella) Decision, these requirements were then forwarded to the 1.2., with the Laguiry as to whether they could be not in the even of soldination. All these products in question were surjectly may reducts."

- ir. withins, with majust to the last someone, there sid you can to as that the products in our tion were only products which the relation we remark or, in many cases, have they products which also could be used in conjuction with parely procedul pursuits?
- A. I marked to organise in using that sentence that these products also and to be produced during the partition, but I did not went to one rose with it that they were coolesively used for war yoursees.

. Continuing the quotation:

Whith regard to peace-time production, the Johnscht or Dr. Ungewitter intermined for the I.C., this being binding, to what orders production but to be out in the event of mobilication.

Pircarnoh 3.

"Vormittlungsttalle I forested the requirements of the columnent, or rether of the Soich Office Coemistry, to the department of technical Creaters of the various morks, and the mobilization executives of the individual plants then developed detailed production schemes for the event of mobilization. The mobilization production scheme of such individual plant was then adapted to the sobblication production abhues of a other plants by the technical constitues concerned, such as the Sulphur Communes, and entering Good that, the autivents Communes, are, the man of this adaptation was to see to it, that is the event of adallication seed plant would be supplied to the other plants with the basic and prollation; reducts necessary for its own that production. The production schemes then they are the demantibulgstable is and from them schemes then they are the demantibulgstable is and from them formation to the ratio of the demantibulgstable is and from

Now did the plant conser, so the as you know, know that Mussohllisation school, after adaptation well become sinding upon the alant on upon L.G. Parken?

- A. You, es far os i iner the lant sangers must have been informed of that.
- O. Do you know whather of not the Worklulter, the Jorks Hanagur, and to give his approval to the proposed scheme or plan?
 - A. You, that was non-sear; as far as I know.
- U. Did may of the directors of Vermit thangestelle I, so far as you now roughl, state that the plant manager had such a responsibility?
- A. Yes, on frequent accessors the significance of those mobilicetion plans was discussed in the Vermittlingsstelle 7 and we could added from those discussions that the plant remayers were informed about it.
 - Q. Quoting again:

"On the basis of the production plan a meeting was bloom arranged for each individual plant at the Souch Office Chamistry between repre-

maintains of the ONT, the Thich inistry of Economies, the Moich Office That istry, the Vermittlangsstelle I and the plant in question. These actuals usually lasted one dry for each plant. At the end of the mouting a facision was reached on the individual points of the production plan, at one, as far as I remember, it repeatedly happened that the I.C. representatives out-voted the representatives of the ONT and succeeded in partial facing of the facility and succeeded in partial facing of the facility of the decision, the confliction

- Ir. dithuss, did you pursocally attend any of the mosting which you discribed in the pregraph I have just road between the warious did notifications and representatives of I.S. Zarbon?
- A. Ios, I pursonally, as large I remember, perticipated in through the same as a same as a start of the production plans were discussed for the plants Sittorfuld, colin and leverises a and Ludwig same appearance discussed.
 - . That ama Lucytoniufon?
 - i. The Labrication.
 - oting cgain:
 - W. The cobilization plans that drain up from pure to year, "
- ir. Hitness, do you see to any by that sontance that miter 1937 and 1935, each year where a not limited on plan had been from up for a limit, it was reviewed and then entire roughablished or also a new plan was set into effect for each plant?
- A. No new plans were just into effect, but the already existing class there subject to a revising by the authorities and the corrections which resulted therefore were finally accepted as final.
 - . Queting spain bregge h 5:

"The I.S. did pioneer werk in regard to the drawing up of the mobilimation plans. Jule the Reich Willies Chamistry bases."

That should have been "based" the met tense.

".... based their work murely by the reduction plans for each plant

In 1.3. developed complete plants, which laid down for each product the production plant, the processing plant and all others concerned."

This is plans not plants as it is translated.

ir. Alecan.

and Figure 1 just make a short recent with reference to procedure.

The minutes addition. The minute has submitted a merbar of additionable. The minutes has submitted a merbar of additionable. The minutes has submitted a merbar of additionable. The make a fur supplemental explanations with reference to When additionable. It was not, nonver, because without to us that he are not only concerned with complements to the affidavit, but in mre concerned with semating a benefit did bront, i creasing intonsimal. The full wording of the efficient is being read to the mitness, thereby his impression is being procedure for it is being read to the mitness, thereby his impression is being procedure to the mitness, thereby his impression is being procedure to the mitness.

In my opinion it is not in search from the point of view of proactors to read an affidivit to a vitaces and them merely level him any
"Les, that is right, that is a teachmap." We must observe distinpoles have either we are substitute an affidavit of the vitaces, or a
minutes testifies, What the procession is doing here at this time, is
betterous in a form, that is for intelly not admissable. From this
fact a perther problem arises. Iffer the conclusion of this minute tion
of the prosecution, the cases will be asked to cross-consine the witace. The defense closs not intend to cross-consine the vitaces today.
In reality we only have an ifficient of the obtaces available and it
is contourly in the case of all Tribunals here that after the submission
of the affidavtis, cross-considention by the defense is persected and
at the time defense delivers their submission of evidence.

This was customery in the case of all Tribunals which sat here

and it has its good reasons. After the entire submission of evidence on the part of the Presention has been concluded, the defense is only in a position to survey what questions are to be put to the witness in cross-examination. I therefore state that we are not really here concerned with a proper questioning of the witness, but we are merely concerned with the submission of an affidavit, which is being surfaceanted by this witness in very inferentiant points. The entire combonts of this safedavit, which is very important for the landants, is available only in the form of an affidavit and not as the prescution would like to make it, in the form of testimony of the witness.

And the mitness has said, he did not say freely in free speech, but it was morely put to him, it was not to him on the besis of those affidavits. That ever he said enterportaneously compared with the contents of the affidavit beers very little importance. This therefore cannot lead us to consider this postlemen is here as a minuse and the defense there fore cannot be forced to start cross-examination is not distoly.

IR STREEMER: they it please the Tribunal, I really feel sensunet speechlose at this point. I on rather asteunded at some of the romarks of Dr. Polekmann, because I think he should have income better.

He will recall that during the first trial, the witness Dr.

Micha was put on the interess stand, and again as I tried to indicate
this morning, the presecution made a suggestion as to an orderly way as
to foing our business here. Dr. Mahats affidavit was ready by Fr.
Thomas Dodd, after a foundation and been laid, and thereafter the
witness Blaha was submitted for cross-examin tion. I think Dr.
Pelelmann's reference to the ractices here is general, my reference
is to a specific case. Now I will pass from certain inferences again
which I feel were in some of the remarks defense counsel made.

Your Monors will recall the suggestion I made as to the recodure

I would follow in a written assorandum, copies of which were served agent the defense administrator and beyond that, this manning I indicated the general procedure. Now it may be that an many cases it will be more agreeable to the defense and perfectly agreeable to the prosecution or whither or not agreeable to the prosecution. Tour beners may wish to direct that in many cases the cross-manimation be take during the cases of the defense, but we feel and we feel that defense counsel knows, that we have to have some larger in connection with the ordering and of the proof. To shall appear that will request one to hope we till be able to great them in most cases the same larger in connection with presenting their own individual cases of defense.

9 September 47-M-ATD-6-1-Meshan (Int. Hamler)

THE MESIDEMY: Is Counsel through?

IR. SPRECHETT: Yes, Your Gonor.

T'E- SESIDENT: It occurs to the Tribunal that there is some merit in the objection made by coursel for the defense. So far as these affidavits are concerned, they speak for themselves unless there is some contention that there are errors in the documents or that some parts may not alearly express the intention of the affiant and certainly the prosocution ought to have an opportunity to give the witness the opportunity to make such corrections. Beyond that, if the purpose of the prosecution is to go into any matters, it is the feeling of the Tribunal that it would be well to separate or segregate that from the consideration of the affidavits. For this very practical reason and with the difficulties of language we are all suffering from, it is some handicap for counsel for defense to cross-examine a witness until they get the transcript of the evidence. Insofar as it is possible, we think it would be better in the interest of orderly procedure if the prosecution would see to it to limit its present inquiry to such matters as need correction or amplifiaction and then if the witness is to be examined independently as to matters not in the sphere of the affidavit, that may be done in such a way that will afford counsel for the defense an orderly opportunity for cross examination.

Manifestly, we cannot adopt iron-clad and definite rules, but common sense must prevail at least. But coursel of the prosecution will confine themselves to that line asfar as practical, I think we can save time and get this going in orderly procedure.

MR. SPRECKET: Your Honor

DEL. HOETTCHER: I beg your parson, ir. President. Dr. Boettcher, I did not quite understand your last few mentences from the translation. If you will be good enough to repeat the last few sentences it will come through.

PERFESIONATE hat the Tribunal undertook to say was it would -

was limited, as far as practicable, to affording the witness an opportunity to make such corrections as he desires to make with respect to the affidavits or such amplifications of language as he deems are necessary to express his ideas and that if counsel for the prosecution mants to examine the citness on other savters, not appearing in the affidavit, that perhaps should better be segregated from the present inquiry so that it may stand alone and so that counsel for defense have an opportunity to see a transcript of the testimony before proceeding with other matters not appearing in the affidavit.

in. SPRECHER: Your Memors, I had thought that my questions did relate to very specific points in the affidavite and I shall certainly try to confine my questions exclusively to such points from now on.

On page 4 of the original, do you have any addition or correction which you would like to make in connection with the statement which you made there concerning your anticipation of the conflict in the year 19397

information as to what led me to this assumption. Because of my activities in the Vermittlumgastelle win the field of development work, which was carried on by the Webrazoht in collaboration with the I.G., and also in connection with my work on putent questions, I had repeated occasion to discuss matters with officials and officers of the Tehrmacht. These discussions generally took place in the offices of the Tehrmacht, not in my offices. It frequently happened that in addition to the actual subject of the discussion other matters were talked about which did not directly belon to my professional activities. This was some confidentially. Very often I could not avoid being a witness in the conversations carried on by numbers of efficers or that I was present during telephone conversations, which these gentlemen carried on these pocasions. In the course of a number of weeks, I learned that cartain

9 September 47-M-. TD-6-3-Reehan (Int. Ramier)

plan. I could not learn what their exact aim was. Furthermore I learn about more of these troop movements on the basis of certain development work which was carried on by the "chromoth in collaboration with I. G. Certain tests were to be carried out with I. G. products, but they had to be postponed because the formations which were necessary for the carrying out of these tests had changed their home station for unexplained reasons.

I can give you an example as I particularly recall the transfer of a construction unit which was stationed a "configuration and Treatment of projects of I.C. These tests had to be postponed and finally cancelled altogether.

Heyond that, I also recall that tests of smoke-budys for the Navy bad to be postponed because of the fact that the units were transferred. I think it is necessary for me to add that to my affidavit.

BY NEL SPRECHER:

Q In paragraph 8, which is on page 5 of the original, you stat:
that both the Works Manager and the competent commercial person in
Frankfurt signed contracts for war-time supplies, Did you receive any
copies of these contracts for war-time supplies or have accesste any
of these contracts?

A Copies of these contracts almost exclusively were sent to

Vermittlungsstelle - S. In many cases the Vermittlungsstelle-W also
received information from the 'element when they were asked to draft and
conclude such contracts and that is how they were informed.

Q Do you know why both the Torks Tanager and the competent commercial person in Frankfurt did sign the contracts for war-time supplies?

A As far as I remember the signing of every war product contract Was carried out by the Works Manager and the competent negotiating party of the Vehrmacht.

Q I am not certain if I understood you correctly or if the translation came through correctly. My question was; do you know why both the technical leader of the Norks and the competent consercial person in Frankfurt signed the contracts for war-time supplies?

A Yes, I do know why these contracts were signed. The ehrmacht demanded these signstures from these two gentlemen because the Wehrmacht believed this would make the contract more binding if the technical producer, as well as the commercial person, cortified bindingly that the I. C. actually recognized this contract.

Q Your Monors, on M. I. 9364, Inhave nothing further to bring out. Wr. Witness, do you have any correction to M. I. #9364.

A Would you please repect the number of thess affidavite? You mean M.I. 9364, no, I have nothing to add,

I neglected to ask you the same question with regard to the previous affidavit, N.E. 8925, do you have anything further to add to that affidavit and the statements made therein?

A No, I have nothing further to add to that affidevit either.

THE PRESIDENT: This perhaps would be a good time for us to take our recess. The Tribunal will now rise.

(A recess was taken,)

CRT VI Case VI y Sept 47-8-1-k-ASK-Stone (AVen Schon, and Rammler)

The harderst. The Tribunal is again in session. The defense by cross-examine.

oncerned I only went to make the following suggestion: It is my opinion that we now have an affidavit of this gentleman before us.

This gontleman the not make these affidavits subject of his testimony, nor did he receive them. He merely made a number of supplementations to these affidavits in the form of witness testimony.

THE PRESIDENT: Defense counsel-perdon the interruption; we're having a sit of difficulty with our phone system here. Judge Hebert's earphones appear to be don. Thank you, counsel: You may proceed.

DR PALCHANT: Dr. . gner has submitted an affidavit. This affidavit is only available so us in a written form. Dr. Wagner today, upon request of the Prosecution, made a number of sugalementatito this afficavit by way of witness tostinony. If, Gentlemen of the bribunal, we only had this affidavit before us it would be the custom with those trib nals, if I say be parelitted to gut it to you that way, that Cross-eximination by the defence about this afficavit takes place either at the end of the submission of evidence on behalf of the Prosecution or is connection with the submission of evidence on schol; of the defense. Personally, as a defense counsel, I should like to cake use of this right in the future. Because of tes fact that the witness made a number of oral supplementations to he afficavit in his testimony today, I don't think that I have lost this fundamental right. The witness, at the and of the submission of evidence on behalf of the Fresecution or in the course of the submission of avidence on cabelf of the defense, will be at ou disposal and, as it is customary, will be available to the defense or to me in person. I, therefore, ask that I be given the right to reserve the cross-examination until wich time as either the Midnission of avidence by the Prosecution is concluded or during W twn submission of evidence.

GUET VI CASE VI 9 Sept -7-8-2-1-ARE-Stone (Hammler and Von Schon)

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal is not impressed with the thought that there has been any substantial departure in the testimony of this witness from the text of the affidavits that are in evidence. There have been some slight modifications of dates in a few instances, some instances of amplification of the testinony that is more or less incidental. we do not feel that the counsel for the defense have in may way been taken by surprise or confronted with a nevel cituation so for as the oral testimony of this witness is concerned. We thought we understook that counsel for the decree would cross examine this sitness as to the affidavite at the conclusion of the examination of the counsel for the Prosecution, and we really see no reason for superting from thes understanding if we are correct in that regard, in view of the fact, so we have tried to point out, that we nee no substantial departure from the main features of the affidavite. Now, if there is a racognized practice that counsel for the defense, under these circumstances, may postport the prose-examination until later, we're not advisce of it; and, in fact, we have been laboring under the impression that you would cross-exacine at the conclusion of the testimony of the witness in chief. What have you to eay about precounts of these tribunals with respect to the postnonement of the cross-examination of a witness who testifies relative to an affidavit?

DR PADCRIANC: Er. President, if it is a witness who actually makes a testimony, it is customary here that cross-examination take place immediately following examination in chief. It is my opinion, however, that who witness did not give any witness testimony, at least not regarding the essential points as they are contained in the affidavit. If he as to ive testimony here, in my opinion, the points which are discussed in the affidavit have to be mentioned by his extemporaneously.

The PRESIDENT: It seems to the Tribunal that if it is the recognized practice that cross-examination shall immediately follow

GOURT VI CASE VI g Sept 47-6-3-2-ASH-Stone (Rausler and Von Schon)

should not obtain where counsel for the defense has had the benefit of the opportunity to study the affidavit, and you've had it in your possession for some considerable time. We're inclined to the view that counsel should proceed with the cross-examination of this witness with respect to the affidavits about which he has testified.

the Pribanal, I'm propercy to start cross-examining the witness Wagner regarding those points which were subject of his affidavits.

CRUSS-STAL DIATION

of use Busin Chillet

- which I want to divide according to the significance of your position, in the Vermittlungustello-w, according to the significance of the Vermittlungustello-W as such, and then I intend to so on to individual points with which you are dealing in your afficavits. Point ones questions concerning your position in the Vermittlungustello-W.

 By first question...
 - A. 20, ...
- procura in that connection? Let us inform the Eigh Tribunal that in the rean compercial law "procura" represents a special measure of authorization for sessinces transactions and in itself it represents a particular vote of confinence and in a distinction.

9 September-M-IL-9-1-Schweb (Ints.Rammler &v.Schon) Court VI Case VI

Now, having given you this short explanation, would you places answer my questions as to whether you had this Progura?

A Like all other men in the Vermittlungsatella W, I had the right to sign for the Vermittlungsstella W ...

interruption: it will make for orderly procedure,
where the witness can, to simply answer the
ouestion yes or no because there are no limitations
upon the proper inquiries that counsel may direct
to the witness, and if he wishes an amplification
he will no doubt follow with a subsequent question.

DR. BOETTCHER: (Counsel for the defendant Krauch): Thank you very much, Mr. President.

You were kind enough to enticipate my enswer.

BY DR. BOETTCHER:

- . So you did not have "procura"?
- A No, I did not.
- oalled authorization to conduct business transsctions?

A No.

cxplanation for the benefit of the Tribunal: this is the second degree, as it were, of an authorization to conduct certain business transactions. This is paragraph 54 of the German corporate law code, and also represents a certain distinction of the person concerned.

In other words, you did not have this authorization, dil you?

9 September-M-IL-9-2-Schwab (Ints.Rammler, v.Schon)
Court VI Casa VI

A No.

Q What kind of an authorization to sign did you have?

A I had the right to sign for Vermittlungsatalla W.

Q To what did this right refer? Did this right refer only to signatures within the framework of the I.G. -- which means that you were not permitted to sign any letters directed to the Wahrmacht or directed to any other person outside I.G. Farban?

A This right was not limited. It referred not only to I.G. but to all other agencies with which Vermittlungsstells W had anything to do.

your answer bucause if you had the right to sign letters directed to third persons, then you would have had to have much larger authority than the members of the Vorstand themselves, who, in turn, could only sign to a second party.

Varmittlungsstells W, as far as I know, from the origin — that is, the foundation of Varmittlungs-stalls W — had the right to sign the letters of the Varmittlungsstalls alone — not: "I.G. Farben-industric Aktiengssellschaft", but only: "Varmitt-lungsstelle W." From the very beginning until the Varmittlungsstelle W was dissolved all the men had this right, without exception.

7 Thank you wary much. This is an anthority to sign only with regard to the mediating activity

of the Vermittlungsstelle?

A Yes. This did not include legal obligations binding upon I.C. Farbenindustrie.

These had to have two signatures of the men who had Procura or other authority.

Thank you very much. The next question is about your personal position. Who was your superior? Just one moment. Pause after every question.

A My superior was Dr. Struss; his superior was Dr. Ter Meer.

? What was your contact with Dr. Ter Meer?

A While I belonged to Vermittlungsstelle d, from February, 1938 until April, 1945, I had one personal conversation with Dr. Ter Meer.

One.

What was the interest of the Vorstand in those matters which were carried out in the Vermittlungsstelle?

A The Vorstand, in part, negotiated personally with the experts of Vermittlungsstells W and in part through the head of the Sparts offices.

They were informed about events in Vermittlungs-stells W.

Now, you just told me that throughout the entire period, up to the year of 1945, you spoke to Dr. Ter Meer only once. Does this not show that there was a very small interest which the Vorstand had in the Vermittlungsstelle 4?

A Yes, that is my opinion, that the interest was slight.

9 September-M-IL-9-4-Schwab (Ints.Rammler & Court VI Case VI von Schon)

That brings me to the next complex of questions, the significance of the Vermittlungs-stelle, generally.

Dr. Wagner, perhaps I can make myself clear to you if I use a slogan in that connection. The Vermittlungsstells W was -- and please don't take this personally -- a qualified mail and madiating agency, where the individual threads coming from the ministries etc., were led to the many plants and fields of interests of the I.G. Farban, and where they arrived at a central agency.

If you would define your position towards my opinion, I would be very grateful to you.

A From my own experience I can confirm this, and this can also be seen from my statements on the authority to sign which I just made, that Vermittlungsstelle W was not authorized to make legally-binding promises for I.G., and I can also confirm, according to your question, that Vermittlungsstelle W, in many cases, was a mediating office with the function of a mailman.

Thank you very much. In this connection, Dr. Wagner, let me touch upon an affidavit which was submitted by a Mr. Bichwede. I should like to ask the permission of the Tribunel to use this affidavit. It is already in evidence. This you will find in Volume VI, page 38 of the German text, and it bears Exhibit No. 100. The English page number must preceed it by a few pages. It is page 24 in the English, Volume VI, page 24 in

9 September-M-II-9-5-Schwab (Ints.Rammler & Court VI Case VI v.Schon)

a statistical chart about the number of the persons employed in Vermittlungsstelle W, and also figures regarding incoming and outgoing correspondence.

With reference to the first point, the number of persons employed in the Vermittlungsstelle amounted, as far as I can see from my chart, until the year 1938, to three or four persons.

May I submit this affidavit to the witness?

This is the chart made by Mr. Zichwads. Four to six persons?

A Yes; six chemists and one engineer. That is right.

We shall skip subordinate office personnel.

A Yes.

the Vermittlungsstells were only four to five people with any high position. They included up to six by the end of 1938. Now, it certainly would be interesting for purposes of determining the truth, and in order to find out what the significance of the Vermittlungsstells was within the framework of the entire I.G. Farben concern, to compare this figure with the entire employment of chemists with scademic training, and engineers in the entire I.G. Farben concern.

May I ask you, Dr. Wagner, have you any approximate idea as to how many academically-trained chemists and engineers were apployed by Farban?

A As far as I remember, Farben had about

5,000 academically-trained chemists, engineers and lawyers.

And if you would now be good enough to arrive at the percentage which can be derived from this entire amount, you will find that it is 0,1 percent.

THE PRESIDENT: It is purely a matter of calculation.

DR. BOSTTCHER: Thank you very much, Your Monors; that is right.
BY DR. BOSTTCHER:

7 Very well. The second point which I wanted to discuss brisfly with you on the basis of the affidavit of Mr. Sichwade is the following. According to the chart in the lichwede affidavit, us see that in the year 1936 there was a correspondence receipt of appoximately 4,000 letters; in the year 1938, 11,000; and then increased progressively. The dispatch of letters amounts, in the year 1936, to approximately 9,000; 1938, 23,000, progressively increasing during the war. The question which arises in this connection, Dr. Wagner, is the following: In connection with your reply to my previous question where you said that the Vermittlungsstells was in many cases nothing more than a postman; one has to arrive at the opinion that this is being reflected in these mail figures as you see them here. In other words, as we express it in the Gorman usage of the language, in technical terminology, a large "turnover" of mail, (Durchlauf).

9 Saptember-11-IL-9-7-Schwab (Ints. dammler & v.Schon)

A I can agree with the opinion of the Defense counsel that a large percentage of the mail which was passed on by the Vermittlungsatelle was simply passed on -- was not worked on in any way in the Vermittlungsatelle.

from the further figures which organize this correspondence and divide it into latters with Farben offices and so-called other firms. I should once more like to put these figures to you for you to have it before you. Mould you please be good snough to look at the third line? Let us take any figure at random, just one year. Here we have in the year of 1936 a total receipt of 11,000, of which only 603 concern other firms. Would you be good enough to tell me whether you can prive at a conclusion if individual work was done on these metters by the Vermittlungsstells.

Court VI Case VI

A I should like to say that under this figure that correspondence with other firms was not as a rule the task of Vermittlungsstelle W. Vermittlungsstelle "corresponded primarily with the authorities. The number of letters coming from other firms was slight, and that might be for this reason understandable.

Q I can deduce from your testimony therefore that your first characterization of the Vermittlungsstells as a qualified postal unit in only being confirmed?

A You.

And now let us turn to the individual affidavits. Would you please take Book VI, Exhibit 142, on page 56 of the German and 33 of the English? You will find this on page 5 of the English text, the middle of the page, paragraph 8.

This is the paragraph I want to discuss with you. Have you got it before you?

A Yos.

shell find out soon. It starts: "I know of the Wermittlungsstells W' and its activities since its beginning, as I had already been working on a commission from the Wehrmacht to the I.G. Farben, A.G. since August 1933 -- nemely on the production of artificial fog."

We are naturally interested in that question in particular regarding its time because conclusions could be drawn from this point as to the beginning of certain war work, and, therefore, let me ask you, in this connection, whether this work on

9 September-N-IL-1042-Schwab (Int. Rammler &v. Schon)
Court VI Case VI

the production of artificial fog was not the confirmation of a work which was carried on by a cortain Prof. Albrucht Schmidt for many, many years before that? I don't know whather that work started in the year 1927 or 1928.

You, as a qualified scientific worker, were entrusted with the continuation of that work?

A In answer to this question of the Defense counsel, let me state the following. The work of Prof. Albracht Schmidt was carried out in Houchst during the first world war, in the Dyeworks Hosehst. The Dyeworks Hosehst was given the assignment by the German Navy to construct a fog buoy, and by the Army the assignment to construct fog apparatus for the armed forces. The work which I carried out in Hosehst, beginning about August, 1933, was completely independent of the work of Prof. Schmidt and was based on a completely different raw material.

It was started at the suggestion of the then War Ministry Ordinance Office, and the purpose was to do research on fog seid which was produced on the basis of sulphur of an imported sulphur, and to replace it with a different raw meterial.

y Did you over have any thoughts as to what the ideas the Ministry of War was pursuing in connection with that request for the production of artificial fog? Isn't it true that at that time we still had our small Reichswehr, which naturally was ver much of a defensive force, and that therefore artificial

9 September-M-IL-10+3-Schwab (Int. dammler & vonSchon) Court VI Case VI

fog made en important part --

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, I am afraid that we will have to make objections to the argumentation of the witness; for instance, such a reference to the small deichswehr as obviously a defensive force.

THE PRESIDENT: Of course, there is a broader scope for cross-examination than for direct examination. The Tribunal is reluctant to place too many restrictions on counsel for the Defense. It does occur to us that in so far as you are able to do so, it would appear to be the obligation of counsel for the defendant to limit the cross-examination to the matters appearing in the affidavit, Doctor (Boottcher). I would appropiate your efforts to do that. Presently we will overrule the objection in the interests of time, but in so for as it is possible we will approciete your offort to confine the cross-examination to the statements of fact contained in the affidevits. BY DR. BOETTCHER:

Q Vary woll, Mr. Wagner; you may continue.

a Artificial fog can be used for offensive as well as defensive purposes. I realized that at the time when I was working on it. From the problem as given by the Wehrmacht offices I could not determine for which of these purposes the development was proposed.

(Dr. Boettcher) I now turn to Exhibit 190, which is sage 112 of John Do. 7, English Text page 6k. This is a very brief affidavit which was discussed with you by the representables of the prospection.

Lot me ask you whather you are assuming that ir. Ritter and ir.

Franch were sting without may of icial suggestion, and were carrying on
those wer games and map exercises? According to the information which
I have evaluable to me, limitative Councillor Emipper of the Aviation
inistry, was the initiator of those measures.

IR. SPESCHE: Your Honor, if Dr. Joettcher is going to testify here in due course to would like to have him take the witness stand, and we would like to be able to cross-winder him. We do not object to his suggestions, and even to locating questions. You can merely ask the witnessed he knows a certain think, but to make an assumption the way Dr. Soutscher does, we think is delaiding and confusing. Then the record is done we do not know what we have got.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the objection to the question is more a matter of form than substance. The Tribunal thinks that counsel into proparty mit the mitness the name of the person who was responsible, —— since this is a cross—exemination.

Perhaps, doctor, if you could get your questions more simply and directly, to the witness, if it as possibile to do so, it wints the objections.

STIR. BOSTTCHER?

- Q. May I formulate the protein in the following menner? Tasm't br. Unipper of the Avietica Thistory, initiating these any and were exercises?
- A. It is possible that that is the case, but I cannot say anyting about it because I do not re cabor the name, "Knipper" in this connection.
- Q. If my momory doesn't declive at, you testified, replains to questions of ir. Spreedur, that the reason for these car-raid precou-

tions or measures were given to jo by the Raich Corporation Inchestry, or the Reichs-stand Industries? In other words, they are the seme terms, really. Now do you suppose that the Reichsstand Industries received an official invitation?

- A. Yes, one can assume that. But if I remember correctly, I was asked this morning Whether the Coichsluftschutzbund had initiated these casures in industry, and I conserved that in my opinion it was not the Reichsluftschutzbund but the Reichsstand of German Industry, intch, of course, action under official instructions initiated these presures.
- Q. The second point in commotion with this affidavit, I am referring to is paragraph 2, Dr. again, and there you may "It is possible that the amarenesson the part of the members of IG of a Ambura aread conflict, may have a stributed somewhat to the arrangement of these exercises".

Let me mak you, mituose, me " right, in second that this possible lity as you're describing it here, also rifers to the fact of incolledge on the part of these gentlemen non you are mentioning here? I hope I mempressing sypulf clearly.

- A. The concept of possibility in this connection should refer to the possibility of the coefficie, as such, and also to the question of whether the individual gentlemen had ever considered such a coeffict.
- Q. Thank you very much. Another question in this consection.

 To: know other branches of industry, don't you? Do you'd as that in

 other branches of industry too air raid procustionary measures were

 carried out?
 - A. Yes, I know that.
- Q. I now am turning to model 247, which you will find in Volume 9, page 70, English, page to. 57. Here I am particularly interpoted in paragraph 3, -- I beginner perdon, it is paragraph 5. It says there:

"The IG developed complete plans which later on seried for each product, reproduction plant, processing plant and all others concerned."

Bous the concept, "pioneer works not signify for us Germans, a particular type of work. I should say that the invention of "Duna" was
pioneer work and I should therefore like to ask you whether this is a
correct interpretation, and whether we are united in our interpretation
of that turn?

- A. Yes.
- Q. The drawing up of these plans was a well ordered organism-
- A. I was of the opinion, and I intended to express the opinion, which I graned from talks with my colleagues in Vermitelengastelle. I, that the IC had shown for the first time how such plans had to be drawn up in order to make sense...
- the IO was active in a field as such, this field had its sagnificance for the construction of the organization generally?
- A. You, that is no doubt true. But in the beginning these plans more always drawn up for a single plant so that there more wary difficulties and mistakes, and only when it had been exhaustively worked out, went possible to draw up plans which could secure definite production.
- ill find at the bottom of page b, that you discuss the possibility of urr:

In that connection I have before in in affidavit under the colleague,

Dr. Discheann. I am referring to bribht 21h, volume 8, page 6h.

These are the minutes of a meeting dited the 14th of September, 1937
at the Reich Ministry of Usy concerning mobilization plans. During

"Therefore one could be un that no special preparations for

this mosting, Dr. Diocksonn re price on the properations acroad. You

prations of various states. Dr. Diockmann, - and this is a Lecture - and you are listed as a participant in this maching. There are similar manutes which you will find a Volume 1, on page 1, emiliat to. 239, which is a maching of the Vermittlengsstelle V in Barlin on the 15th of each, 1939. "Diockmann, which we communist, "The Properties of Industry, etc., "countries practice by ellitary acomony."

"England particularly rigidly organized; France as italy; Corner, cost likewise we are its military accommy".

On the present list you find you name on the Elst person. By question as it possible for you to making or amplify your statement reparting this point?

- A. May I first ask for the date of the first mate times you mentioned?
- is a book, yes it is the moting of the 18th of Phy, 1930. Yes, here you have the list of those present, Way 10, 1930.
 - A. And moure that talk ... attag?
- and quarting of personnel," "effor the work for the safe personnel for the plants Drawthy is more or less concluded. To would like to invite you to attend a Giacussica on Judnesday, M. of my, 1938.
 - A. Harsdourg, that is might.
- Q. You are listed been a participant. Ton also find the worken here which starts, "Lower military becomen propertions on the part of the various forces; states. Dr. Dischmann.
- A. I can say of that, that threeburg is almost the only IG plant which I never visited, and I did not participate in this meeting thich you mention. It is possible, knower, that this return to Tagner of Sports 2, the hasthe same first mose as I have.

- Q. That is possible, I absolutely take that into consideration but here, under paragraph 2,
- A. According to my recollication that is a list of a confirmed match took place in Burlin.
- Q. One minute. You have an invitation at Marsoburg, "Dis-
- A. If that invitation was sont out from Morsobers it could only have taken place at Morsobers.
- 4. You are on the "present" list, Dr. Ungnor. That is positive. That is a mouting in 1939.

Here's isse discussion about commissation, 15th of Ihreh, 1939, in Borlin.

- A. Yos, this dismission book place in Borlin, and I tree resent. That is true.
 - Q. Very well, and now you have the spenday
- A. You. The I am or your question now? In a cifidavit I send, a sentence which the defense counsel road a little will ago, that to a knowledge other countries did not make any special properations for war, and the defense counsel himself says that in the report given by Dr. Diockmann, that general military economic meanstations abroad more discussed. I do not believe that there is any contradiction between these two statements. I was marely of the opinion that the properations shread at that time, which is under the custion here, were not concerned that the neutroconflict.
 - Q. Thank you war, men.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tri word will at this time rise for the journment and will recommence at one-thirty-five.

(Tribunal recessed of tablye-twenty).

9 Sept.47- -SG-13-1-Stem rt-(Rosmler-vonSchon)

LITERACO SESSION

(The herring receiveded at 1335 hours, 7 Sept. 1947)

The Charles of the defense may continue with the cross-

DR. ELES WEREN- reserved ORGAS-EXCIPATION-(continued)

BY DE. DRISCHEL for Dr. Ambres:

Your Honor, I am the defense counsel for Dr. ambres, and I hope you will permit me to put a few question to the mitness with reference to his activity and the statements no made today.

ar first, witness, I should like to got with efficient, Exhibit 199. Witness, when discussing this efficient this corning, you replied to the question of ir. Is seener on binelf of the prescention, in particular to paragraph 2 of this efficient, on page 1, you sind that concerning developments in the paison see field, you remembered in particular correspondence with the latent development at Leverhusen. You said that is that that, upon mur request that in order to release blase patents are only received their numbers and nothing wise. Sould be tell the stationer of the Tribural, for purposes of our further propertions, what field of season gas development you particularly thought of when witing those statement?

- to then I the the statement this marning, which yes have just reperted, I was thinking of the developments of Sarin and Taken poison gases.
- Q. Do you know, without, when and of what paried of time this work with Sarin and Tabun, which is also relies wellon, was started?
 - ... The names Sorin, Tobda and Galan I harrood only during the war.

The ork and the patents themselves so backets before 1930; that it than I came to Vermittlengastell W, there were livedy some patents which were later included under these pages.

9. Do you know who was working in the field of Toban, Serin and Wells and under whose names these patents were entered?

- the numbers for the registration all had a capital "In in the patent office. We expet in this field of laboratory development in Elberfold I can mention Dr. Schrider.
- not those patents, if there was any? Was that correspondence always directed to the potent department of Loverkuson?
 - w. Yoz, the correst educed was conducted with Loverkusen.
- that Dr. Ambres was involved. You know that Dr. Ambres did not work in the field of T-but and Earlin.
- n. I shall ease back to that later. You said, rightly, but later, Dybrenfurth was beilt; when was that?
- ... In an opinion. Dybrenfurth are begun ofter the beginning of the
- q. Correct. The same dame on the basis of the order of the 15th contember 1939. D. y a last that grand 182
- the beginning of the war.
- against you, that we a streetly mentioned the name. "-abrea" when talking that the time of the projectory work and the developant.
- that Dr. marcs took care of the production and the other two near I mea-
- 1. But you just read the production only stated, andres decided up-
 - A. Yos, that's right.

9 Sept.47-A-SG-13-3-Stor rt-(Ronmler-venSchen) Court 6 Case 6

- q. Hell, in that elee, if I interpret gour statement correctly paragraph 2 of that officevit, Exhibit 169 must be amoned. You cannot see then that Dr. Libros participated in the development work of Tabun and Sarin.
 - .. That is correct.
- c. Thank you warr much. It is correct that Dr. .mbros only received knowledge of these things of the Dyhronforth started reduction?
 - a. I did not intend to say anything else.
 - G. That is very important, lithuse.

Then in this a importion, your Konors, I shall seem back to this exhibit later, but in Iresident, I must now mention inyether exhibit which contains a similar intecurrey. This is the exhibit 142, 41 6923.

I am referring to page 9. Again you are using the corrective concept under a tearry 3, and I musto

"In the first the this enterprinches the enterprinche which were port of the preduct of Professor Searchin, Schroder and Dr. Ambres".

I masume, that the correction which you mid. before, also referred to and holds true of the limits 142. To be therearn we should say the experiment and the technical mark?

- A. Quito correct.
 - T. You are hose also supersting experiments and technical execution?
 - ... You, that's right.
- q. But on page 9 ma de not ment to refer the name, Dr. ambres to the experiments, do you.
 - he do, I do not.
- q. Let be then, in my opinion warrants correction. I am non referring to Exhibit 169 again. I do not want to hold that against you in any way, but I am asking you those questions in order to get in exact view of your statement.

In page 5, paragraph 9 of this officevit, you say, and I quote:

g Sept.47-4-SG-13-4-Storert-(Rem lor--vonSchon)

"Forthermore, from the beginning of the war coverds, one of the tasks of Vermittle isstelle if was the discussion with the Johnment and the Reich CDD or Chamistry of rationing of various products such as for instance otherword, bun and other lubricants"."

9 September-A-IL-14-1-Burns (Ints Rammler-v.Schon)
Court VI Case VI

Is it correct, Dr. Wagner, that Exol
Was rationed?

A Yes, in the small quantities in which
it was produced at all.

Buna was not rationed at all, was it?

A The distribution of Buna was administered
by Department K in Frankfurt together with the
deich SS and members of the Vermittlungsstalle W.
from time to time were present and helped in this
determination.

Anyway, there was no actual rationing of Buna, was there? Isn't there a mistake there? Shouldn't it be called Ethylan Oxide instead of Ethylan Oxol?

A No, when I dictated this sentence I was thinking of this so-called oxel plan which before the war at the smooths intervals and after the beginning of the war at one month interval was discussed between the I.G. and the Reich interests and this plan always expressly lists Oxel.

Thank you vary much. One further remark regarding Exhibit 247. It is your subjective opinion and you confirmed it this morning that you thought to be able to conclude from their designs that there was an intention of waging an aggressive war. Amongst others you mentioned the increased production of di-glykol which was to be used for fuel. Is that right?

A Di-glykol was used as anti-aircraft powder primarily.

not for explosives?

- A That's right.
- 1 Di-glykol is a produce which does not necessarily bear the character of an armament product.
- A That's true but in general it was considered as a preliminary produce for powder and explosives.
- In summary I can say than that with reference to the essential points from which you could conclude that the I.G. was involved in the proparation for a gas war, that you are correcting your affidevits today by saying that you cannot state that Dr. Ambrose was in any way participating in the proparatory stages. Thank you, Mr. President.
 - DR. MAGNIR: Dr. Wagner counsel for defindant Turstor. I am now dealing with Exhibit 247 which is contained in Volume No. 7, Document WI-8925.
 Eavs you got this document, witness?
 - A 247, yes.
 - 1 It's 6925?
 - A Yas.
- page throu of the German copy. I am sorry I haven't got the English. You stated the following in Paragraph 6: "The mobilization plan was but into operation on receipt of mobilization orders from the military area command. In my opinion, the plan for the Ludwigshafen plant worked exclusively on the production laid down for war-time."

 You are here saying that in your opinion that was so. You were not absolutely clear and sure of it yourself, were you? Is that right?

9 Santembur-A-IL-14-3-Burns (Ints. dammisr-v. Schon)
Court VI Casa VI

A I remembered a talk with Dr. Pfaundler of the Varmittlungsstells when by the and of July 1939 he returned from a trip to Ludwigshafen. I remember from this talk that Dr. Pfaundler said to mr. "Ludwigshafen is already working on the mobilization plan."

- That is the source of your information?
- A Yes, that's the source of my information.
- I am putting to you, witness, that in the course of this proceeding we shall submit documentary avidence to the affect that the first lotter of the responsible doich Ministry bearing the data of 26 August 1939 was received on 27 August 1939 at 23 hrs. whore there was a suggestion of a mobilization plan and where it was suggested that we work on this plan so that ofterwards we would receive a definite mobilization task and on the basis of this letter dated 26 August 1939 ons was just working on this project when the war broke out a few days later. On the strength of a telegram of 3 September 1939 after an armament program had elrasdy been catchlished we received definite information. How about that?

A That does not contradict my mamory of the talk with Dr. Pfaundler because I remember it.

what Dr. Ffaundler said. Do you know the name of Dr. Mahnam?

A Yos.

o Dr. Mehner dealt with these matters. In

Court VI Case VI

the course of these proceedings we shall submit on affidavit of Dr. Mohner where he certifies that the plant Ludwigshafen-Oppau at not time before the outbreak of the wer was in possession of any binding mobilization program. This only started on 26 August.

THE PASSIDENT: That counsel has said amounts to an argument with the witness. It would perhaps be better to ask him questions.

DR. WAGNER: The question was whother in spite of this fact the witness, who allegadly knows these matters, will not change his view and will find out that his information rosts upon an arror. That's all I want to find out.

purhaps butter, however, if counsel could ask more directly and simply such questions as he wishes to interrogate the witness about and refrain as far as possible from engaging in an organization with the witness.

Thank you. I now turn to page 2 of the same effidevit. You say there that the Vermittlungsstells of turned everything over to the various technical departments of the plants and the mobilization experts of the individual plants worked out certain mobilization plans and then go on to say that the mobilization production plan of every individual plant was ec-ordinating with the mobilization production plans of the other plants through the use of the technical commissions, for imstance, the sulphur

9 Santamber-A-TL-14-5-Burns (Ints. demmler-v. Schon)

commission and others. This commission is the subsidiary commission which was called a sulphur subsidiary commission, wasn't it?

A Yos.

I put to you now that the first session of this sulphur subsidiary commission about which we have minutes, took place on 3 October 1939 and dealt with quistions which you stated in your efficient. That have you to say about that? Did you mention this sulphur commission here by error?

A I know that there was such a discussion which took place because it was necessary to determine the production ruotes of chlorine for the various for sories and I was of the opinion that for such an important production as sulphuric oid there would also have to be a commission.

Thank you. I am now turning to NI-8923. This is in Volumo 6, Exhibit 142. You will find that on page 5. You say here under Paragraph 5, second sentence: 'As far as I know Dr. Goldberg gave the reports on Sparte I to the technical committee of the TMA. I am putting to you, witness, that is the course of the trial we shall submit an affidavit to the effect that Dr. Goldberg was not a member of the TMA and not being a member of the TMA did not participat in any of their meetings; is that true?

g Sept 47-A-BJ-15-1-Burns-Ramler-Von Schon.

IR. SPRECKER: Your Honors, we hasitate to object but tay I give aconcrete point? Evidence will be intorduced here, for example, from some of these defendants that many persons and whe not members of the TEA gave reports. Now, we don't want to engage in a battle with individual defense counsel with the assume a fact which is not in evidence and in effect want to testify themselves so we must point out to your Honors that questioning cannot proceed on an intelligent basis when the defense counsel raises a hypothetical question without indicating that it is a hypothetical question but rather indicating that it is a hypothetical question but rather indicating it to be a fect, and we certainly say it is a fact not in evidence and in many cases efect which we will vary saverely contest.

THE FRESIDENT: Counsel for defense, of course, is elleved a sider latitude on cross examination in than counsel for the prospection was ellowed for the examination in chief but in does occur to the Tribunal that Perheos the defense has immorranced the rule cuite a cit by more or less of an arguman collectory with the witness. It's all right to ask the witness if he does not know that certain facts are true but counsel should so far as posciple refrain from engaging in on a rulent with the witness as to what the facts of the case may be and I hope that observation of the case will be helpful and that you may proceed with the cross exemination in a more orderly way, if you please.

BY DR. WAGNER:

- 4. Did you know that Dr. Goldberg only held lectures being during this meeting and then left the meeting?
- A. How often Dr. Goldberg made reports to the TEA I to not know. I know only that it was customery in the TEA frequently to have reports from chemists and I believe other theses who were not members of the TEA.

g Sept 47-A-BJ-15-1-Burns-Ramler-Von Schon. Court No. VI, Usse No. VI.

IR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, we hasitate to object but may I give aconcrete point? Evidence will be intorduced here, for example, from some of these defendants that many persons who were not members of the TEA gave reports. Now, we don't want to engage in a battle with individual defense counsel when toget assume a fact which is not in evidence and in effect want to testify themselves so we must point out to your Konors that questioning cannot proceed on an intelligent casis when the defense counsel raises a hypothetical question without indicating that it is a hypothetical question but rather indicating it to be a fact, and we certainly say it is a fact not in evidence and in many cases efect which we will very asverely contest.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel for defense, of course, is showed a sider latitude on prose examination than counsel for the prosecution was ellowed for the examination in onlef but it does occur to the Tribunal that perhaps the defense has integressed the pule quite a bit by more or less of an arguing colloquoy with the witness. It's all right to ask the witness if he does not know that certain facts are true but counsel should so far as possible refrain from engaging in an a rement with the witness as to west the facts of the case may be and I hope that observation of the case will be helpful and that you may proceed with the propes examination in a more orderly way, if you please.

- YEAR WAGNER:
- twice during this meeting and then left the meeting?
- A. How often Dr. Goldberg made reports to the TEA I to not know. I know only that it was customary in the TEA frequently to have reports from enemists and I believe other the inters who were not members of the TEA.

9 Sept 47-4-3J-15-2-Burns-Resier-von Schon. Court VI, Case VI. We are here not concerned with regular reports, are HE? A. No, I didn't mean to say that they were regular reonnes. -The wording of your testimony was "reports for Sparte I se far as I know recorted by Dr. Goldberg in the TEA". It looks from that as if he regularly reported on these things. sidn't intend to say that.

A. I don't believe you can draw that conclusion. I

- . Thank you. Let me now deal with the so-called "Monday discussion." This you find in the same exhibit on cays 5 of the German text. I have the following question to out to you, witness. To it true that the subject of these homday discussions were of a technical nature and had tractically nothing to do with the carticular tasks of Vermittlungsstelle
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- . Is it furthermore correct that Dr. Corr was only invited to participles in these meetings regularly so that the representative of Spart II in Vermittlungestelle V should be informed about all of the important satters?
 - A. Yes, that's correct.
- . One last question. It has on e to the attention of many I.G. memoers and experts that we are here speaking of A "Little TEA". How did you come to think of that expression?
- A. That's an everession which was used in those days for these Monday Discussions in a joined manner. I do not billevs that it had my official significance in any way.
- . That do you think about Dr. von Negel, for instance, never knowing this expression at all?
 - A. That 's possible.
 - DR. YATER: Enank you very much.

9 Sept 47-A-SJ-15-3-Burns-Remler-von Schon. Court VI, Case VI.

BY DR. STORKEBALTI:

- on Dr. Storkecous, assistent of Dr. Dix, speaking on tenalf of the defendant Dr. Schneider. I should like to put a few questions to the witness about the "Little TEA". Witness, did Sparte T; for instance, the plant manager of Leuna and Openu or the Head of Office of Sparte I, Dr. Goldberg, participate in the seetings of the Little PEA?
 - A. To my knowledge there was no such participation.
- progression that all of them participated in the meeting.
- A. The Little TEA, or the Menday Discussions, as I said in my affidavit, was a typical arrangement of Sparte II which is shown by the fact that Dr. Struss as East of Office Sparte II regularly issued the invitations to those discussions.
- Thenk you very buch. You are essuaing that the expression "Little TEA" was well-known and that its sessions were also known to the Vorstand. Now, if Smarte I and Sparte iii did not participate occause Little TEA was an institution of Sparte II, how so you think the Vorstand was informed about that?
- A. As for as I remember, I am not certain about this, Dr. Moyer of Sparte III participated once in such a meeting and I am also of the opinion that a meeting of this size, regular discussions of this size, dealing with the most important problems of the first could not take place without the knowledge of the Vorstand.
- Thank you.

 3Y DR. VON KETZLER:
- A. Dr. von Metzler, counsel for Paul Haefliger. WitResz, I would like to edd to the questions which were put to
 You by my predecessor. I em soing to deal with the seetings
 of the Little TEA. If I understood you correctly this morning

g Sept 47-A-BJ-15-4-Burns-Court No. VI, Case No. VI.

you said very clearly that the members of the Vorstand were informed about these meetings and their decisions. Do you really went to maintain this assertion in this general form?

- A. As far as decisions of the Monday discussions I personally considered that they are out of the question. The group of men who met for these monday discussions had not sutherity to reach any decisions in that sense and it wasn't their duty to reach any decisions. These discussions were really to inform the participants about important developments within I.G. I, myself, never considered that the monday discussions had any other purpose.
- . Bur you have just stated that in your opinion these meetings were conducted with the knowledge of the Vor-stand members.
 - A. I would assume so, yes.
- . Is that merely an assumption on your part or have
- A. I have seen no written evidence for it out a meeting which lests for a whole day and its members coming from the most important plants under the chairmanship of the Head of the TEA, can't be an unimportant meeting.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribung understands that the witness said in the first instance that this was a matter of opinion and not a natter of fact.

THE INTERPRETURE: You didn't put your switch on.

THE FRESIDENT: Just a moment. The Tribungl just doserved that the witness had said in the first instance that he was giving smatter of opinion and not a statement of fact.

. I sm not turning to one further point contained in your effidavit Exhibit 142, Volume 5, onge 60 of the Derman text. You will find that under Paragraph 5. You say in your affidavit and I quote: "Dr Struss reported to the TEA and,

9 Sept 47-A-BJ-15-5-Burns-Court 6, Case 6.

when necessary, Dr. ter Neer to the Vorstand concerning the futies of Sporte II handled by the Vermittlungsstelle W. As for as I know Dr. Goldberg gave the reports on Sporte I to the Technical Committee. Reports were mostly only made when some Vorstand member of the I.G. Farben had expressed his dissatisfaction with the Vermittlungsstelle. For example, if he considered that we had not handled his problems with sufficient amergency." Witness, with reference to this last sentance which I just read I should like to ask you just upon what you are basing your knowledge?

- A.. As for we I know there were relatively few such reports given. I believe I can recall that the Vermittlunge-stelle was actually discussed only when something did not go as expected.
 - w. But you connot remember concrete evente?
- A. No, I connot since as far as I know such things probably occurred only very rarely while I belinged to the Parmittlungsstelle.
 - Q. Did you at all perticipate in these meetings?
 - A. What meetings?
 - 4. The meetings about which you are specking hors.
 - A. No.
- the Little TEA, as far as you remember?
- A. I estimate that I sttended them five or ten times and if I, myself, was not present Dr. Jorr, wash he returned from Frankfort, reported to me about what was discussed at the Monday discussion with the sid of his notes.
- w. At what period of time did that trke place? At
- A. That was from the beginning of 1938 until the time turing the wor when these meetings were discontinued because

Sept 47-A-BJ-15-6-burns-Court 6, Crse 6

of transportation difficulties.

- When, approximately, was that?
- A. About 1942, perhaps. I don't remember exactly.

9 September 47-1- ATD-15-1-Coming (Int. Ramaler & von Schon) Court o, Case 6 2 You say that in the year of 1942 these meetings of Little Tea were stopped, if I understood you rightly? A I think it was about that time. difficulties?

- Q For what reasons were they stopped? Because of transportation
- A Yes, that was no doubt the receon that the warious man could not got there in time and could not be away from the plants as long as necessary for the trip to Frankfurt. For that reason the discussions took place from Fonday to Tuesday; and when those did not have the desired results, the discussions were discontinued completely.
- Q Very well. You were just saying, itness, that whomever you did not take part in the mostings of Little Tox, Dr. Gorrreported to you about the subject of the discussions. Bid Dr. Borr participate regularly himself?
- A As for as I know, 'r. Corr attended these meetings with great regularity.
- O That concludes my questioning regarding this complex. Titness, I have one more general question to put to you with reference to your personal data. There are you employed at this moment?
- A I am working in the explosive works in Mausarkt in the Upper Folatinato.
 - Q What do you do there?
 - A I work as a laboratory che dat.
 - Q What are your tasks there?
- A I am doing research work in the laboratory on raw materials and finished products of the factory.
 - Q Thank you.

BY DR. HOFFMANN (for the defendant Von Der Heyde):

Q litness, I am atting some questions to you because the prosecution asked you which one of the defendants you know. In giving your reply, you also mentioned the name of my client, Von Der Heyde. I 9 September 17-1-15-2-Coming (Int. Remier & Von Schon)

should now like to ask you, wasVon Dor Heyde a number of the Versittlungsstelle H?

- A No, I'r. Von Der Hoyde was not a nember of the Vermittlungsstelle
- Q Did you testify enything in your effidivits about my client, or did you only mention his name because you know him as a business colleague?
- i. I know it. Von Der Moyde as a forcer macher of the TPO in Burlin, 197 7; and we had our office in the same building for several years. We frequently not there in passing; and once in a while we talked to each other.
 - Q But you had nothing to do with him officially?
- A Rardly. I can't remember at the moment having any official contact with Mr. Von Dir Myde.
- BY IR. LETERT (for the defendant Kushne):
- Q Witness, I have a question in connection with your affidavit WT-8925. You will find that in Volume 9 of the German Document Book on Page 57 in the English. May I a sk you if you have this affidavit before you?
 - A Yes, I mye it here.
- Q In this afficavit you are speaking of mobilization plans. Four different expressions are used in the Mercan text. In the English translation there are five different expressions. The Cerum expressions are:
 "Not plans", "Too belogungs places", "belogungsplaces" and "Inbrikations plans". The English translations: "Pobilization plans", "mobilization executives", "mobilization production schemes", and "production plans". If question is the following. Does any difference and "istinction exist in those terms, or is it all the same meaning?
- A In all cases it means the same thing. The purest expression in probably mobilization executive plans.
- Q Do you know what the content of these nob belegungs, nob executive plans, were? Did you refer to production in case of mar, or what did you refer to?

9 September 17-A-ATB-16-3-Caming (Int. Rammler & von Schon) court 6, Case 6 A According to my recollection the mobilization executive plan gives instructions to the plant in question, from a period of time to be determined, to produce only those products listed in the plan-Q If I understand you correctly, the mobilization executive plans, as you call the , determined the production of the plants in case of war? A The expression win case of ward was not used, as far as I know. We only spoke of the obilization or A-ones; but the mobilization executive plan contained binding rules on production in that case. Q Very wall. Thank you, This morning when giving your testimony you stated that the plants know about this cobilization plan. Now I'm asking you, what was the interest of the plant managers in these mobilisation plans? As far as you know, to put it more specifically, did the minut ranagers desire to know that their plants were going to produce in case of mobilization or to what extent their production was going to be unintained; or what actually was the interest of these plant managers? A To my knowledge they were interested in learning whit they could produce in case of coollisation and whether or not the raw enterials and wif finished products and forkers a caseary for such production would lave to be secured. If I understand you correctly, you are saying that the interest of the plant camagers was winly based on an interest in their plants? A Yes, in my opinion that is correct. Q Was there any interest in war as for as you know? A Mo, I do not beliave so. Q Would you say that this was certainly not the case? A I believe I onn say yes. Q Very well. I have one more question on bohalf of my client Kushne. Mis morning you said that you personally saw a large musber of the cofondants. You contioned the romark that you get them. The defondant White cannot remainer taking seen you personally. How can you explain thet? 610

9 September 47-4-110-15-4-Casting (Int. Reinlar & Von Schon)

A Dr. Mewhas visited me for the first time in my laboratory in Spechet about 1930 on the occasion of the severe restrictions on research work which were put into effect at that time in the I. C.

Q He are not interested in details here.

A It was 1930. It might have been the end of 1929 or the beginning of 1931; but it was about 1930. Then later I saw or. Kuchne, I think the or three times, in an organic discussions where I was present as the laboratory chemist of Moschet. Pinally after I was transferred to the Versittlungsstelle 7 in Serlin I had about two or three discussions with Or. Kuchne in Leverkusen.

- Q I some Mall, how often did you west ide cltogother?
- A About six or sight times.
- In the course of how keny years?
- A In the course of about wind yours, 1930 to 1939.
- Q The first worth real9267
- A 1930, I said.
- ? The first was in 1930?
- A 1930, you.
- 4 And the last?
- A The last the and of 1939, or beginning of 1940.
- Q Thank you very such.

at Oil. TYER (for the defendent Sejawati):

O Dr. Wagner, I have a few questions to put to you with reference to parts 3. If I remarker correctly, you said this norming that you did not know the head of Sports 3, Dr. Cajewski personally. Is that right?

If I remarker correctly further, you stated in your afficient, gamble 142 ---

THE PROSIDENT: Save the Sitness an opportunity to enswer the question.

I You stated in your affidavit, Embilit 142, which is in Volume W., that Dr. Gajaski had no interest in any stronger interference by

9 September 17-A-ATD-18->-Coming (Int. Caurler & Von Schon)

Versittlungsstelle ". I believe that this was the case because in the aphero of Sparte 3 you were uninly concerned with textile and photo products and you never had to deal with any armament problems there at all, is that right?

A has to the recents for Dr. Gajewski's desire mentioned here, I know nothing. By testimony here is based on conversations which I had with Dr. Harry Dayer, the personal associate of Dr. Gajewski, who repeatedly told no of consultations with patent questions concerning Sparts 3 and said that Dr. Gajewski did not want the Verwittlungsstelle to be included to any grout extent in the problems of sparts 3.

Outst VI Cass VI 5 Sept 47-17- - Gazing (Razuler & Von Schon) . You don't know then to what extent these problems were dealt with in Sourte 3? a. Since I worked on eleost all the patents for Scarte 3, I yealf know only that Sparte I worked mainly in the field of photographic materials and cortain estificial products in connection with the " daradht. and with all patent work concerning operts], to., seconse Dr. Loyer was no longer active there, now so you explain this divergency?

- . In the introduction to your affinevits you say that when you vers transferred to the Versittlungsstelle - you worked on patent questions principally for Sparts 2 and partly for Sparte 1 and 3. In your afficevit axhi it log. Volume 7, on Page 26 of the Garnen. you may on the contrary to t from the very beginning you ctarted to
- a. There is no contradiction real y bec use actually there were seviations in the sistricution authority of the tile of my transfer to serlin; and in part baroro 1941 I orked on patents of Sparte 3 am occasionall" took care of patent questions for Dr. . .yer. later In sait with all of those questions.
- . Ad "Ou call with those patent questions by yourself or in . collaboration with the responsibl gentlemen the were working in the saturt departments emith wahrmacht offices?
- a. Thems quantions were always settled in contact with the Depetont on in the of its since these gentlemen gave the instructions for the method of treatment.
- a. If I remember correctly, you said that you give such these satters with the heads of the reconctive patent donartments. Is that right?
 - a. Yes, that is right.
- . Die you speak to the head of the atent department of Sparte) about these things?
- a. Uccasionally I discussed these questions with dr. Lediger. the need of the patent section at boarte 3.

y Sept 47-17-2-amain-Caring (Remailer & Von Schon)

- . You know he personally, as you?
- a. Yas, I know him personally.
- Thank you. I have one nore general question. This again refers to be be be be be be been as a part of the correct of one ways of the year 1955 that there to fore per cent of all problems with which the Vermittlengestells a dealt and which were discussed by Vermittlengestells a dealt and which were initiated by the LO;; and only too to twenty per cent arose as the result of the direct remained of the webreacht. The remainder consisted of parely civilian roblems."

In this connection lot me mut to you the preceding exhibit, 141. Volume o, rage 50. Into is an effidavit of your colleague, Dr. Gorr. or, Gorr, answoring the same question, ware in his affidavit, and laucte: "It we obvious that the group research institutions of tos L.G. were included by the wearracht in order to and with a maker of produce with were of artiquiar interest for the soor acat. Consequently, - nuclear of ilitary, technical questions were wealt with in the research copartments of the L.G. Since the relevant experts of the L & remonstrally worken in close collaboration with the experts of the "carracht systement and production a encies. Dutual suggestions would have been substitted. It is not carr to determine from which o fice the first suggest one originated. We doubt the experts of the L. G. would have been able as a result of their greater charical anowledge to cake suggestions to the webracht 'Mon furthered the pro ... s of the webryscht which were of reneral interest. I suppose now and then one or the other charists of the La has commencedly telon up a lilitary, technical probler on his "bu Whitiative, which was then brought to the attention of the Wehrmacht."

If I compare that with your tasticony, I should like to ask you continue you are not of the opinion that this formulation as it is not now by your collapses done is such closer to the true mass.

OUTHT VI CASE VI
9 Sept 47-17-3-a-3H-Caming (Hammler & Von Schon)

- The percentages which I gave, which, of course, hay vary a little, are bases on a compilation can a shortly before the beginning of the war in Vermittlungsstelle w. As far as I recall, it was done by an allocated with my collaboration when we were negotiating with the patent co. itself of I. G. on the question of the treatment to be given the assignments issued by the wehreacht. From the financial point of view, in that connection we reached sheet figures at that the, which were worked out on the basis of very careful estimates. As I did in my afficavit, I should be a to joint out that in my opinion for the year 1939 the figures are largely correct.
- . You can give us no information about the preceding your can
- a. We reached these figures according to the situation in the the syring of 1939; and any indications for other periods would be unreliable.
 - to Thank you vory tauch.

BY DR SILORER:

The Magner, let no first out a number of questions to you which are adjuscted with the field of a tents. In your various diffication you are initially always accressing yourself in the following manner: "In the Vernittlengestelle w I took over decling with patent wheelichs." otc. Then you go on to may—and I am now referring to min anita wit exhibit 189, which is in Volume 7 on yage after the German and rage 10 of the English—"all questions concerning patents were nealt with by Vernittlengestelle a together with the faith authorities a measured." On Page 26, however, you say, "It was also the test of the Vernittlengestelle a to obtain the necessary paratte from the I. G. authorities for the granting to foreign countries of licenses anthorizing the use of I. G. processes." Then you go on tests that may negotiations with the air himstry, and so forth, were declarable. Furtherwore, you said that you dealt with the reliant of foreign countries. Further ore, in

9 Sept -7-17-4-1-25-Cenary (Berrier & Von Schon)

Exhibit 142, which is M-8923, in Volume 6, German Page 56, you mamorate a manber of masks. On page 63, which were exclusively dealt with a Vermittlam estells in connection with public agencies. Among there, you contion here the submission of patent registrations to the estreacht agencies in order to maintain the MECESSITY for secrecy and the obtaining of the marmission of the mahrmacht to grant licenses for foreign countries.

I should like to gek you to tell us about the extent of your participation in these matters and in order to shorten proceedings, I would like to tell you what my aim is; did you, to mention the extremo case, take over the patent headling of these matters exclusively or was it only an excerpt from your general tasks, I mean a part from the patents as they existed generally or was it only an excerpt from you had?

A I shall try to enewer this question as briefly and thoroughly as possible. The collaboration of Vermittlungsstelle W, especially in obtaining a provide for the issuing of licenses to foreign countries, was always connected with products which had some significance for wer-fare and not products which were purely for civilian use. Moreover the Vermittlungsstelle M was not limited to the working out of patents for these things. This work was continued by the patent office of the individual plant concerned, while the patent registration of the Vermittlungsstelle W was limited to the following: Before and sometimes during the negotiations of the commercial offices with foreign partners, as for an accessary, the approval of the Vehrmacht for issuing the licenses was obtained at this office.

Q Dr. We nor, what was the reason for the Wahrmacht dealing with these things at all.

A The remain, in my opinion, was a desire of the Wehrmacht which wented to know when licenses were given to foreign countries in certain fields and who obtained such licenses. Moreover, in addition to this, it sometimes became necessary that patents, which the Wehrmacht had deal red secret, against the desire of the I.G., were frieed by way of negotiations so that they could be given to foreign countries.

Q The gentlemen office, who worked out patents would not have endangered themselves if patents had been sent abroad or exportences

9 September-A-SK-18-2-Meshen (Int. Remler & Von Schoen) Sourt FI. Case VI

about patents had been sent abroad and the Wehrmacht had been of the opinion that was contrary to the interests of the country?

A All experts of the I.G. who might have acted without ny rovel of the Vehrmacht in such a case would have had to face legal prosecution, according to the Reich Penal Code, paragraphs 69 to 68, a provision for the betrayal of secrets significant for the defense of the country.

- Q Do you by my chance know the technical expression which summerizes those rules briefly?
 - A At the moment I do not know what expression you mean.
- 2 I norm the expression of "Righ Treesont"
- A Yes, we charge colled it paregraph 18 ff.
- 2 Do you know what punishment normally exists for "digh Tremson" in every country?
 - A At least a high prison sentence.
- C In the German penel law books, it is the Seath sentence; is it
- A You, I know that.
- Q Jo you know that in Germany even "High Treason" as a result of negligence" is amnishable?
 - A Yes, I know that, too.
- Q Thenk you very much. In order to cover this sore specifically,
 I want to sak you whether Vermittlungsstelle W porticipated in the
 negotiations between the experts of the various potent departments
 and the Haiob patent office!
- A Vermittlungestelle V perticipated fairly regularly and sometimes even acted independently in negotiations with the presiding Chancell-ery of the Reich Patent Office as far as dealing with secret regulations was concerned. In addition, in very rare cases, Vermittlungs—stalle W had during the war brief negotiations with one or another examining authority in the Reich Patent Office, generally when for reasons of transportation difficulties the experts of the Patent Office

9 Septumbur- - R-18-3-Nechon (Int. Realer & You Schoen) Court "I,C sa "I

of both concerns could not be in Berlin in time; when it was a question of knewing the time limit, but this last activity of the Vermitthon settlie W occurred approximately four or five times only during the whole course of the war as for as I remember.

2 Did the Ontents which you dealt with in accordance with the Wehrmschit, remement a considerable part of the patents as released by the I. .?

A In Varnittlementable WI saw all ordents and read the ones which into seted me personally. I read, in addition to that, from excerpts of the Patent Office. I learned of the titles and the contents of the Ludwigshafen Parts I betents registered by the Patent Office in Ludwigshafen. As far as Sparts 3 is concerned, I saw only those resistantions which were note to the patent office for the Marrischt. I can say that numerically specking I are approximately to a 50% of the patent registrations of the I.S.

grant well, but that is your knowledge, is it not? We are here concerned with the excent of your collaboration, I am asking you about these Of to 90% about which you are specking; was it necessary and did to hep an that you had dealings with the Vahrmacht repersing this percentage with references to sworedy, atc.?

A The number of patent registrations about which we carried on negotiations with the Wehrmscht offices was in the year of 1935, approximately about 500. Then in 1939 and espacially after the outbreak of the it increased to approximately 1,500 or perhaps 2,000 per year. Early the war when the invention activities of 1.2, was reduced for obvious reasons after 1942, the number declined considerably, but was still for above the 500 limit which was above the pre-

A It is difficult to enswer this question reliably on the spur of

O September-4-3K-18-14-Neehan (Int. Romlor & You Schoen) Court VI, Gage VI

the moment, but I would assume that before the war about 10% of the no intertions for I.S. required secrecy at the request of the daids assume that 00%, parhaps a little more of the total registrations, were accorded secrecy at the request of the Webrascht.

2 But, these assumptions are not based upon reliable evidence which you have; are they?

- I myself did not collect any statistics on the subject during the war, but I am fairly certain that I am not deviating from the truth because, especially when I consider the exchange list of the budwigsheden the petent office in Ludwigsheden I know that nearly half of the registrations were kept search at the request of the Rel Reich.
- I You say in Exhibit To. 142, page Shor the German text, that secret attents had existed ever since the first World War; do you have to see that those did not occur before the first World War?
- I have the coring the first World V'r petents which had some commaction with the defence of the country were entered in a so-o lied secret list and were not made public. In the period from 1916 to 1933 or 1934 there were no such secret petents as far as I know.
- A IST now tell you, Dr. Wegner, that that is a rule which is already included in the first German Patent Daw, which already originated from the year of 1874 and which is also entered in the Patent Law deted and entered in the pair of 1991 and ever since, that these regulations up until 1930 remained in force, you will not contact these statements, will you!
- In my testimony I merely mernt to say it is my knowledge in the period which I mentioned this was made use of.
- I Me were discussint, Dr. Wegner, where in cases of granting of licenses for foreign countries and the releasing of experience, the sample of manufacture, to foreign countries, you also negotived with

9 September-A-SE-18-5-Meeken (Int. Remler & You Schoen) Court VI, Case VI

Wehrusolit a micise regarding approved for these negotiations, you are also excise that the I.G. had succeeded in a large number of cases in receiving such permission, so that licenses and experience could be released. If one reports on a matter and if one does not went to a be one the limits of the truth one has to use a certain stand and accounts a prohibition. Or one can put it in another way, so that is in likely that permission will be granted. Could you tell us somethin about that, what was the trend of these applications to the Maira cht spencies?

A according to my recollection, the presentation to the Wehrmscht was always to the offect that a release was desirable, since the men of the potent department always told so that they considered it important that the potents be free for future negotiations and research abroad.

2 Thank you very much.

THE PURE TE At this time the Tribunch will wise for the efter-

(A recess was taken.)

9 Sept 47-A-PM- 20-1-Stone (Int. von Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PROSIDENT: Is there any further cross-examination of this witness?

DR. BOZTTCHER: No, Mr. President.

THE PRESIDENT: Does the Prosecution have any further questions?

MR. SPRECHER: There is no re-direct examination.

THE PRESIDENT: The witness is excused.

MR. SPRECHER: May it please Your Bonors, before the Prosecution goes fur ther with the introduction of proof bearing on the verious counts in sub-sections of the counts proper, it is believed appropriate to introduce proof which will sustain most of the sllegations in the indictments concerning the conitions held or each of the individual defendants. In the second paragraph of the indictment it is clieged that the persons accused as guilty of the crimes alleged were "officials of I.G. Farben." Thereafter the farmounts are listed together with some of the more distinctive cositions which the defendants held. Then, at the end of the listing of the delendants, and just before Count 1, there is the following strement: "Reference is horoby made to appendix A of this indictment for a fuller statement of the positions held by eron of the defendants." In the first paragraph of appendix a the indictment clieges tant the positions listed are "high positions," that the were positions either in the financial, industrial, The economic life of Germany, or "high political, civil, allitary positions." Our general theory of criminal responsibility, of course, is that weach of these defendants or using these positions and by his personal influence, " sagged in the various crimes charged in Counts 1, 2, and 0, and further and as a quite separate count -- Count 5, that 9 Sept 47-A-PM-20-2-Stone (Int. von Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

all of the defendants participated in a common plan or conspiracy to commit the crimes sat forth in Counts 1, 2, and 3. Count 4 ap lies only to the thrue defendants the word members of the SS, a criminal organization, acmely, the defendants Schneider, Buetelisch, and von der mayde. It would be very difficult to assemble any other group of twentyfour persons -- twentythrow persons with the exclusion of the Defendant suctofisch, absent from this book at the present time -- twenty-three persons who ward, in common, officials in one organization and who, at the same time, hold so many positions in mitter's Third Reich. It is also difficult to place the proof establishing these several hundred positions before Your Bonors in a simply way. However, the Prosecution, with considerable assistance from the defense, believes it has simplified this proclas of proof considerably and in a way which we treat will old four comore in checking the facts as pasinst the indictment. Is accortaining the positions hald by leading officials of I.G. Ferden, in the beginning the Prosecution rolled greatly upon afficavita given or former officials of I.G. Formen who had in ediate access to bus available personnel and other files in Frankfurt, tocoresont headquarters of the I.G. Farben Control Office in the American Zone. Some few of these affidavits are about to be introduced; nowever, in most cases, after we had obtained such affidavits, me Prosecution was able to hay these arridavits before the defundants or Otherwise find out from the defendants what their view was of the positions they held. Many of the resulting affidavits will shortly be introduced also. And in many cases they incicate the positions sufficiently so that we have been able to avoid the introduction of some of the earlier affice vits. How beyong these two types of affidavits there is a third type.

9 Sopt 47-A-PM-20-3 Stone (Int. won Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

In the last month or six weeks all defense counsels have been requested by the Prosecution to have their clients check a proposed list of positions held by the defendants, this list being in the order of the listing of the positions in Agroundix A of the indictment. The Prosecution Gid this with the view to setting before Your Honors the area of agreement between the Prosecution and defense on this question. Mr. Walter Schonfeld of the resecution staff and dealings with almost all of the defense counsel in this connection, and Your donors will find in the documents about to be introduced a great number of either afficults or cortifien tos signed by defense counsel which will list the positions to which a respective defendant agrees in the order of the Appendix A. For the assist nee of the defense in this matter we express our approclation as an officer of this Court interested in oldrity. The Prosecution trusts that within the next few days the remaining defends counsel who have not made such alist will to so if they me see fit. The affidavits or certificates we are about to interduce will catablish, we believe, at least nine out of ten of the positions we have listed and indeed most of the par importcht positions which will come up ouring the course of the trial. No do wish to say that some of the affine vits made by the defendants contain a number of materials which the Prosecution would lescribe to be in the nature of apologia or defense material. However, it seemed to us to be in the interest of both fairness and expedition to au mit these actorials together with the other meterials for the consideration of the Tribunal at this time. Defense counsel mye pointed out, and we have indeac agreed, that in perhaps one case out of ten the Document Book MI itself will not fully establish Uniters and leave outstanding some questions. It's clro

g Sept 47-A-PM-20-4-Stone (Int. von Schoen) Court VI, Case VI.

buen pointed out that there were a few errors in transcription or translation. Our proposal, therefore, with the permission of Your Horors, concerning this problem, is the following. Representatives of the Prosecution, in the first instance Mr. Schonfold, and representatives of the defense, will attempt to come to a sound agreement concerning the positions, or at least to come to a clear disagroument which can then be noted for Your Honors. Thereafter each side, in its turn, can attempt to establish its position by further proof. Now, with respect to these individual documents which we are about to offer the Prosecution in a no intention of reading portions of any of those documents for the moment, unless Your Honors so request or in porticular cases unless defense counsel have some good reason for desiring that particular provisions be read, in which case I think we would be included to agree with thet. So, I shall proceed, therefore, if there is no objection or no other instruction from our honors, to identify each Goodment and have it marked in evidence. Before I do that I am reminded that we have placed at the front of the Courtroom a chort of table which lists the nemes of each of the twenty-three defendants, still a part of this proceeding. The key to the enert of positions of the defendants is at the left. Your Honors, we have prepared copies of the key to be circulated to you, but for some reason or other they are not nore - and if I may just briefly account for that table. I think it is quite easily readable by the defense counsel; so I'll only mention the anglish. This chart represents the Prosecutions position on the basis of the present evidence as to then the defendants acquired a certain status within I. 1. Farben, where being only one exception to that general statement,

9 Sept 47-A-PM-20-5-Stone (Int. von Schoen) Court VI, Case VI.

and that is the swastices which indicate when a defendant became a member in the Mari Party, the SS, or a member of the Reichstag, the latter applying only to the Defendant Scamitz who became a member of the Reichstag in 1933. The solid red indicates a Deputy Verstand member or a full Vorstand member. After 1938 there were only full Vorstand members, and we have not thought it advisable to draw any particular distinction for chart purposes ocfore 1938. Some listings of Deputy Vorstand members will appear in the next few days in the form of affidavits. The broken red line indicates a prokurist or director. This morning Dr. Boattcher made some refurence to the position of promurist. Prokurist, along with the Vorstand member, was in o position to bind the firm by his signature. In some besus he, with emother prokurist, could bind the firm. The reen on the chart indicates the technical side, Your Honors will recall the chart on the organization of I.G. Farben betwoen 1938 and 1945 shows the teennical side with green clso. The solid green indicates when a particular defend at became a member of the TEA or the calof of an important technical committe - when he became a plent manager -- and that only. The broken green line indicates other high technical positions opert from the Jechnical positions listed under the grown. The yellow indicates commercial or aministrative positions, and to distinction between the solid rallow and the roken yellow is again largely the same. I note one error in the English which will not be an error in the German. Where it states a "plant Tonager commercial" that should be "departmental managor commercial." In the German the word will be "Setrieb" in cach case, but I think in the English "department manager" will be the better rendition. This chart is calculated merely

525

Gowt VI, Case VI.

to show graphically positions held by the defendants, and we thought that by referring to these positions year by year it might be of some assistance to Your Honors.

9 September 47-1-12-21-1-Stone-(vor Schor)

The IRESIDE I: Since this chart if tofire the Iribural we would suggest that a copy of it he made in exhibit and put in the record, and that should go also for all the other charts, as observes they will be lost so for as the meand is concerned.

VR. SPRECES:: Your Honors there will be one difficulty because of the color problem, but we'll try to do the host we seen.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

Wa. SPESCHER: Decement FI-7221

THE PERSIDE I: And book and you on, please?

is found at Page 1 of both the German and the English. This is an affidevit of the Defordant Ambron, which we would like to mark in evidence a 275-- Pronogution's Exhibit 278.

DR. MOSTO II. Dr. Moffman forthe Defendant Von Der Meyde. Fr.

Prosident, it is not quite elear to me whether the Prosecution maintains that this enert which is displayed here is the sault of the affidavits obtained from the defendants. If the Prosecution maintains this mish respect to my client it is not true. I can asknowledge this eart only insofer a it is may be assertion of the Prosecution, without consideration of the affail wit of my client.

PR. SPRECHER: Dr. Epffrers is quit, sorrect. Pauri's the Proscoution's position and is east of the Defundant Von Dar Horde thore is a great soufliet between the Proscoution's position and the Defundant Von der Hoyde, some raing his continuation during the war as an official of 1.0. Farton.

DR.1URYERT: Dr. lummert for the Defendent Euchne. With respect to the Defendent Euchne too, this chart toos not agree with the statement handed over to the Prosecution for the Defendent Euchne.

JUDGE FORRIS: May I ask the Counsel for the Presecution the numetion before everybody has to get up. As I understood your statement, Fr. Prescouter, the chart merely represents your contention or 9 September 47-A-AE-21-2-Stone-(Von Schon)

assertion

IR. SPRECER: You, Mr.

JUDGE FCPPIS ... and that it is not to be considered as an admission by any of the defendants whosever, and they are privileged to take issue with the chart or any part of it in any subsequent proceeding.

At I correct on that?

) R. SEIECRER: You, sir Judge.

FR. SPINCKER: Prosecution Exhibit 270 is an affidavit of the Deferdant Artros corosming his sirson i carcor, positions, ato, dated 19 April 1967. Flassing to the next document, FI-5000, found at Force of of both the English and the formen decument Books, this is an affidavit of Smat Stress sore ming the personal carpor, positions, ste. of the Coffedert at ros, seted the 9th of Junuary 1947, and which we would like to mark in evidered -s Prosecution Exhibit 279, You, Your Monors, although the Seferdant Transportant has been movered from this area we do feel that Your Hotors will mant to have tefore you some indication of the positions held by Pranggomenn since he was a Verstead member and since he was the Secretary of the Forstand, and since some of the motorials will p shape be only understandable if we out this metorial in. "I-obas is on affidevit of the Defendant Bruegpurche come ming his personal or rour, positions, ste, dated 2nd of May 1947. Inv I put that in as Promoution's Exhibit 280. NI-5043, English Toeumont XIV , G armer Dour at took XV, is an - ffidavit of Smat Stress corecrains the personal earler, positions, otc. of the defendent Bruc, formen, dated 17th of Jenuary 1947, 1 sy we mark birt in as Prosecution Exhibit 201. The next document, FI-9926, English bourout Book XVI, Gorman Dogument Book XVII, is a certificate ponentaing the positions held by the Defordant Fuergin and the periods during which he hald these positions, dated 23rd of August 1947. Tweet work that in a Prospection Exhibit 282? MI-5001 is in Iffidavit of Ernst Stress concerning the personal cortor, positions,

8 September 47-A-AX-21-3-Stone-(vor Schor)

to. of the Defendant Europin, dated the 5th of January 1947. Pay we mark that in as Prosecution Exhibit 285?

The next document NI-S117 is another affidevit of Ernst Struss concerning the personal career of Buergin, dated the 15th of *cbruary 1947. That may so in as Prosecution Exhibit 284.

The next document NI-9366 may go in, with Your Honor's permission, as Prescention Exhibit 285. It is a pertificate of the defendent Buctofish concerning the positions he held and the periods during which he held these positions, dated the 6th of August, 1947.

DR. REINTERS (Counsel for defendent Buetefish): May it please the Tribunal, in connection with this affidavit I should like to come back to this chart once more because there is a contradiction between this affidavit and the chart with regard to the defendant Buctefish, on a point which I believe there is no disagreement between the resecution and the Defense but which I think is merely a mistake.

That is the question of 'arty membership of the defendant Buetofish, which is given the chart as the year 1935--while according to
the list, in Exhibit 285, it was in the year 1938. As I said, I
believe this is servely an error which the Prescention simply can
correct at this time.

MR. SPECHER: Your Monors, there is no question about the fact that the defendant Buotofish claims to have been a member of the carty from only '3d until '45. I am not in a position to indicate the exact nature of the proof; which would have lad us to make the indication that he was either in the farty or the SS from the year 1935, but I can assure Defense counsel that I will take it up with him outside of this session, and if the entry is a mistake, I shall correct it at the earliest possible member.

THE PRESIDENT: In the light of the answer that was made to
the inquiry of Judge we shall not regard the chart as binding upon
any defendant as an admission as such, and in the event the evidence
shows the contrary to any fact evidenced by the chart we shall rely
and look to the evidence—rather than to the chart.

AR. SPRICH R: The next document NI-6236 is an affidavit of the defendant Busterfish concerning his personal career and so forth, dated 18 April, 1947. May we mark in as "resocution Exhibit 2867"

The next document, NI-9271, is a cortificate of the defendant Duerrich concerning positions he held and the periods during which he held his positions. May that go in as fresseution exhibit 2877

The next document, NI-8006, will be marked in as Prescention
Exhibit 288. This is an affidevit of the defendant Duerrfold concerning his personal career, positions, dated 21 April, 1967.

The next accument, NI- 9760, may be marked in as Prescoution Exhibit 289. It is a certificate cone ming the positions held by the defendant Gagasski and the periods in which he held those positions, dated 15 august, 19h7.

The next document, NI-5h29, is an affidevit of the definient G. jewski concerning his personal corver, positions, etc., dated 2 May 19h7, and, with your permission, we will mark that in as Prosecution Shibit 290.

Passing on to NI-9757, we have a certificate cone raing the positions held by the defendant "attiness and the periods & ring which he hold these positions, thead 12 magust, 1947. May that go in as Prescention Dahibit 291?

DR. ASCHUMENTER (Counsel for the defendant Gattingon): ar. President I object to the submission of the flictavit of the defendant Gattingon until the prosecution has made the requested corrections in the affidavit, or has acknowledged them. Lapartent changes in the document which were requested for paragraph 10 and 23 have not been made. It says here "illerible"; at the time when the affidavit was mad when I was present there was a stendar pher and 2 into regators present for the presecution.

As for No. 10 it was requested, number of the Verstand Demouchemic,

O.G., Lien Austria, and Chief Betriebsfuchror of the Vienna bureau, and

9 3 pt. 17-4-6J-22-3-Schapb-(Chach)-Court 5 case 6

not of the plant. The correction resulted from No. 29, where it said in the draft of the prescention, "Plant Leader of the Doneuchemic, A.G. Vienna Austria." Gesparing the requested correction with No. 29, one can see the great difference which can be significant.

Thon, under No. 23, it was requested that it be added "member of the Verweltungsrot administrative council of the Ear at the time of foundation. This was not done.

On the last page of the officient, in the handwriteen posteriot I find a question work in the text: "I point out that the positions in the corporations of Southest surepe did not depend upon personal qualifications, but were automatically consected with the position of a managine director of Dynamit Mobel, Pressburg. In the original, there is no question mark ofter "automatically." Therefore, it was somehow ad 'ed, it was not in the office with which so slamed.

Then I should like to point out that number 28,29,31, on the last page of the afficurit should have be on climinated. I do not know whether the presence which grossed-out in the German Commont book to exactly these which I had requested to be taken out.

wantl problem of transportation here. I think, if you will check the beginning of the conclish later on, you will find that most of the objections you made have been taken care on, for example with respect to the Item 3. It is noted here that it aslater's note: "It me thetae" and there is no entry in the Inglish whatsoever on that point. As for as the German is concerned, four black lines have been arown screes the stencil, and I think they appear rather clearly to indicate that it was stricken out, Dector.

With respect to the question mark; with respect to Item 10, Defense counsel points at that in the German there is printed funreadable, rather than the correct entry, much is in the inclian defore your Hanors.

I think under these circumstances that we should have a new copy of the German made which is better: Of course we relied upon the technical help and apparently they couldn't read it as well as Mr. Scheenfeld and you could mead the corrections. They were made, so it did got into the translation, but the German copy is not good. However, that of course, does not offeet the evidence, Dector, as you know, because the document which notucity out into dvidence is the original or a photostatic copy thereof.

THE PRESIDENT: It secure to the 'ribunal that this is such a matter that proper corrections sught to be agreed upon and made without delaying the proceedings, and, on the premise of counsel for the Prosecution that a corrected German copy will be furnished to counsel for the defense. We will let the admission of the exhibit stand subject to objection, if there is futher controversey on the subject.

DR. ASCHOMANTE: I believe that that satulad the question.

MR. SP CHER: NI-5788 may be marked in es-has already been marked in as Prosecution Exhibit 20. That is an eff davit of the defendant Catrineau, dated the 12th of June, 1947. NI-9755 may be marked as Presceution Fahibit 292. It is a cortificate annearing the positions hold by the defendant Haefliger and the periods during which he hold these positions, dated the 12th of August, 1947.

DR. WON of Tall'R(C'unsel for defendant Haoflinger): "ay I ask respectfully for the permission of the court to see the original?

IR. SPRECHIR: If there is objection, I assume we can handle that later.

THE PHESIDENT: That may be deno; you may proceed, and if there is an objection we will hear it later.

iR. SPRECTR: NI-5165 may be marked in as frescention Exhibit 293. This is an affidavit of the defendant Hauflinger concerning his personal career, etc., dated the second of key, 1947. 9 Sept. 17-4-6J-22-5-Schmab-(Schoen)-Court 6 case 6

The next document, NI-9268, may go in as Presucution Exhibit
29h. This is a cortificate of the defendant won dar "eyel concerning
the positions he half and the parieds during which he hold these positions, inted the second of August, 19h7.

The defendant wented to see it, and his atterney passed it to him.

NI-975, may go in as Prescoution Exhibit 295, with your Henors

permission. That is a certificate concerning the positions held by

the defendant Hearlein and the periods during which he held those

positions. It is obtain 12 August, 1967.

The next document is NI-5787, an affidavit of the defendant Hearlein concurning his personal career, positions, etc., deted 2 may, 1967. That can be identified as Prescention Exhibit 296—until we hear from Dr. Nolte.

DR. MFLF: (Gransel for defendint Heerlein): the affidavit NI-6787, on page 94 and 95 of the Garman, dec. be k; page 8 and 9 of the affidavit; page 75 of the Enclish text, contains some emissions on important points. Number 15 and sumber 16 and Mumber 16. I should like to ask the Prescention to give me the original of this affid vit so that I can decide whither it will be possible to supplement the omissions or whether it will be necessary to raise an objection.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal suggests that this matter be passed for the time being to afferd counsel for the Defense an opportunity to make an objection, if he sees fit, and we may proceed to the next effered exhibit without prejudice to the rights of this defendant.

DR. VON MIZZER: (Counsel for defendent Haufliger): I have no objections, Your Honor.

THE PR SIDENT: That is with reference to what exhibit, please?

With SPECHER: That is with reference to NI-9755 which was
marked as Prospection Exhibit 292.

THE PRESIDENTS Thank you.

MR. SPR CHLK: The Prosecuti n will consider 296 morely as marked for identification under these circumstances.

The next decument, NI-5699, may be marked in as Presecution Exhibit 297. It is an efficient of the defendant Ilgner concurring his personal coreer, positions, etc. It is deted the 25th of april 1947.

The next document, NI-9761, may be marked in as Prescention exhibit 298. It is a certificate concerning the positions hald by the defendant Jachne, and the periods during which he hold these positions, dated the 13th of August, 19h7.

Passing then to NI-5168, we have an affidavit of the defendant Jachne concerning his personal career and positions, dated the 29th of May, 1947. That has already been introduced in evidence, Your Honors, as Presecution Exhibit 216.

NI-7020, the next decument, is an affidavit of the defendant von Knierien, concerning his personal career, positions, etc., dated 2 May 19h7, which may go in as Presecution Exhibit 299.

The next document, NI-9826, may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 300.

It is a certificate concerning the positions held by the defendant Krauch and the periods during which he held these positions. It is dated the 20th of August, 1947.

The next decument, NI-6525, is an affidavit of the defendant Krauch concerning his personal career, dated 20 April 1967. We will mark it in evidence as Presecution Exhibit 301.

The next decument, NI-5022, is an affidavit of Ernst Struss concerning the personal career, positions, etc., of the defendant Kuchno dated 11 January, 1947, and may go in as Proscention Exhibit 302.

The next document, NI-5129, is a further affidavit of Ernst Struss concerning the personal career, positions, etc., of the defendant Kuchne, dated 15 February, 1947, and may go in as Prosecution Exhibit.

303.

The next decument, NI-975h, may be in as Proscuetion Exhibit

30h. It is certificate concurring the positions hold by the defendant

Kugler, and the periods during which he hold these positions, dated

13 August, 1947.

NI-5008, is an afficient of Earl von "cider, concorning the personal career, positions, etc., of the Defendant Engler. It is dated the 9th of January, 1967, and may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 305.

NI-9759 may go in as Prosecution exhibit 306. It is a certificate concurring the positions held by the Jefendant Lautenschlagger and the periods during which he held these positions, seted 13 August, 1947.

MI-800h, with Your Hen r's pormission, may to in as Prosecution Exhibit 307. This is an affidavit of the defendant Lautenschlauger concerning his personal career, positions, etc., dated the 23rd of april, 1947.

The next document, NI-9893, is a contificate concerning the positions hold by the defendant Wann and the periods during which had hold these positions, inted 21 august, 1947. It may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 306.

There is a correction which I think we had best make after we have had a chance to check a little further, Your Henors, in connection with NI-975b. It has to 'c solely with several entries which were stricken as of a certain rate, and the transcription is not completely clear, and I will take that up with Defense counsel.

THE PR SIDENT: Vory well.

MB. SPACE B: The next decisiont, NI-5167, is an affidavit of the defendant Mann concerning his personal career and positions, dated 21 of May, 1957. It may go in as Presecution Exhibit 309.

Passing to the next decument, NI-9762, we have a certificate concurning the positions held by the defendant ter hear and the passings during which he hold those positions, based 13 August, 1947. That may go in as presecution Exhibit 310.

The next deciment is an efficient of the defendant for moor numbered NI-5188, and it comes me his parameter, positions, and so forth, and it is dated light of April, 1967. That may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 311.

NI-9755, which we will early as "rescoution 312, is a certificate emeurain the positions held by the defendant Oster, and the periods during high he held these positions, Nated the 15th of August, 1917.

NI-5166, which may be marked as frescontion whibit 313, is an affidavit of the Defendant Oster concerning his personal career, positions etc., dated 2 key 1967.

9 Supt.47-A-GJ-23-4-Schwab-(Schoon)-Court & case &

The next document, NI-6539, may be marked as prosecution Exhibit 31h. It is a statement by the defendant Schmitz concerning his personal cureer, positions, etc., Etcd 2 may 1957.

The next deciment, NI-5029 may be unread presention exhibit
315. It is an affidavit of Ernst Truss concurring the personal career,
positions, etc., of the defendant Schmitz, and it is dated the 11th
of January, 1967.

9 Sept 47-A-FjO-24-1-Stewart (Notz - von Schon) Court VI Cose VI

IR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, with your pormission I would like to request that we continue past the usual breaking off place, if macessary, in order to introduce these last few d cuments. Is that agreeable?

THE PRESIDENT: Cortainly. I think you will make it, the way you are going, in a very few minutes, and we will be glad to hear it.

hr. SFRECHER: NI 5136 may be marked in as Pr secution's Exhibit
316. This is an affidavit of Fruit Zeter, concerning the personal
enroor, positions, atc, of the secondant Schmitz, dated 15 February,
1957.

The index should be corrected in the English capy to indicate "Poule" instead of "Pouls" Ester.

The next decement is MI 9753, which my in he Prosecution's Debiblt 317. This is a cortificate concerning the positions hold by the defendent Schneider and the period during which he held these positions, dated 16 August 1947.

The next decument MI 5845, is an affidavit of the defundant Schneider concerning his pers not expect, positions, etc., and it is detect the 26th of April, 1947. That may a in as Prescontian's Emphit 318.

The next decement, MI 5199, is a efficient of the defendent von Schneitslor, concerning his personal corper, positions, etc., dated the 31st of North, 1967. This may be worked, your Entire, or Prosecution's Schibit 319 for identification.

However, I note that an ther affidevit by Dr. Hens Kugher, another defendant here, which was included therein, has not been attached to the original, and therefore we are at foult as the record stands, and I would like to have this marked only for identification until we can make to the discrepancy - the failure.

The next deciment, NI 5069 is an effidevit of the defendent Kugler, concerning the personal corour, positions, etc of the defendant, won Schmitzler, dated the 17th of January, 1947.

9 Sopt 47-A-FjC-24-2-Stowart (Krtz - von Schon) Court VI Case VI

Tour Honors, that may go in as Presecution's Exhibit 320. I think
I can clear up the mystery of the lest document. We marked the effidevit
by the defendant Kugler emeerning the defendant von Schnitzler as a
separate Exhibit and actually, Exhibit 320 should also be incorporated
by reference within 319 for identification, in that the defendant
Schnitzler later checked the st temant of the defendant Kugler, and
referred to it in that exhibit.

With your Honor's permission then I would like to offer NI 5199
the affidavit of the defendant was Schnitzlar, in evidence at this time.
I think the record stands clour.

THE PRESIDENT: It will be so ordered.

MR. SPRECHER: NI 5013 is on affidavit of Ernst Struss concerning the personal error, positions ate of the defendant Warster, dated 9 January 1947, which may 3 in as Prosecution's Exhibit 321, with your Honors' permission.

The defense counsel properly points out that is Presecution Exhibit 321. NI 5140 may be marked in as Presecution's Exhibit 322. This is an efficient of Dr. Ernst Struss, concerning the personal enter; positions, etc., of the defendant Werster, dated 8th of February 1947.

Your Honors, that concludes the introduction of the effidevits in Document Rock 11.

THE PRESIDENT: Now with respect to those affidavits or statements or certificates about which some question has been raised, and also with respect to those that have been marked only for identification, and have not been introduced, the Tribunal will appropriate the favor, if counsel will, so promptly as possible, make your necessary comparisons and corrections, and agreements of those are to be made, so that this book as a whole may be considered in evidence by the Tribunal.

It is now 5:20. Here the prosecution any desire to go further this evening, or do you intend now to pass to another book?

TR. SPRECKER: We will be possing, your Honor, to Document Book 12 which will be the beginning of the material on the history and organization

9 Sept 47-A-FjC-24-3-Stowart (Katz - von Schan) Court VI Caso VI

of I.G. Farbon. I think it would be a convenient breaking-off point.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well. The Tribunal will then recess until

9:30 ton room marning.

(A recess was town until 9:30, September 10, 1947.)

10 Sept-1-1-1-Stone (Int. von Schon-Ranler)

Court No. VI, Case VI

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal in the matter of the United States of America, against Carl Krauch et al, defendants, sitting at Murnberg, Germany, on 10 Sept-ting at Murnberg, Justice Shake, Presiding.

THE LANGUAL: Persons in the Courtroom will please take their seats.

The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal VI.

Military Tribunal VI is now in session. God save the
United States of America and this Honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the Court.

THE PRESIDE I: For the information of counsel, because we start another engatement I may say that we propose to adjourn for the lunch hour at 11:45 and to reconvene at 01:00 today — so that you know our schedule.

Mr. Marshel, are the defendants present in the dock?

THE MARSHAL: May it please Your Monor, all defendants are present save the defendant surster who is absent due to illness.

THE PRESIDIT: The Prosecution may proceed.

MR. SPRECHER: May it please the Tribunal, we now begin to put in some of the materials which are particularly important in understanding the history and the corporate structure of this vest industrial concern. The Prescution does not held forth itself as an expert who knows all the intimate details concerning this families network. However, for the purposes of this case we believe that the required answers are wither known or can be readily and readily the tark there are basic questions in would appreciate it if four Honors, at any three, would ask questions or indicate how you hast their we could help distrip matters. In our turn we shall be very frank to indicate where we cannot make a complete answer at the time, and perhaps the proper you will be kind enough to grant us a little time so that we can undertake to make the proper clarification. There's one other thing I should like to mention before

Corrections to the English ransens from Page 45 to Page 676 10 Sept-M-FL-1-2-Stone (Int. von Schon-Remler)
Court No. VI, Gase VI

proceeding. Perhaps the most logical was to present the principle materials in the documents would be to go subject by subject throughout the materials, regardless of whether or not the subject matter was found in one document or twenty documents. Nowever, because of the constant proclam of the document books and the difficulties of relating the matters to one another throughout so many documents, we propose, in most instances, to proceed to exhaust the individual document as we go along, with appropriate explanations, if that's agreemble to Your Honors.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

Mr. SPRECIUE: That will mean a certain amount of reputition, but on the other hand I think it will mean a much more orderly record. The first document is MI-7221, which we should like

THE PRESIDENT: For the record, now, you are referring to Book AII.

In that right?

MR. SPACON R: You, sir, that's right. ... which may be marked in as Proscoution Thibit 323. The document consists of very lengthy excorpts from the "Handbook of German Stock Corporations" for the year 1938, the A3rd edition, where there are excerpts concurning I.G. Farbun Industry. We believe that this is a publication of which Your Honors might wish to take judicial notice. It is the most extensive compilation concerning stock corporations, which was directled in Germany. If you were to take the years from 1932 up to 193. you would find very little difference in the test, so for as the historical matters are concorned, and you would further notice that many of the excerpts - many of the portions of the Handbook - are very standard in coming from such things as the Dulaberg Jenorandum of 1904, or from some of the publications which I.G. Farbon put out itself at the time it issued or increased its new stock in connection with some new community of interests agreement or some new perticipations which required a larger new investment of capital. There are quite a number of things in this document which it

10 Scpt-M-FL-I-3-Stone (Int. von Schon-Remmler)
Court No. VI, Case VI

is important to point out, pertly, I think, to save Your Honors the necessity of reading a great deal of detail or attempting to digest e lot of detail which we do not believe too material. The defense, in turn, believes some other portions of this document are material. In their turn I am sure we shall hear from them. I might point out that the materials in Appendix B of the Indictment should largely be established during the course of our presentation this morning. On page 1 of both the English and German Document Books here begins the history of I.G. Ferbon as it appears in this publication. It shows that in 1925, in the menth of December, the "Bedisene", one of the predecessor firms, changed its name to "I.G. Parbon", and that five other firms joined with the Badische in a complete lagel merger of the assets and the goodwill and so on of these six former firms. In the middle of the page it's indicated that two other firms which also belonged to the old I.G. - the old community of interests of 1916, nemely, the Cassella and the Kallo Companies, did not morge logally since they were girdedy mostly owned by the other firms of the I.G. and then quoting: "The wore, however, included in the organization and menufacturing sot-up of I.G. Farbonisdustrie," Immediately following, you will note that Bassella was finally dissolved in 1937. It's possibly important to paint that out so that some of the correspondence is more meaningful; then, often times you will note that the surged firm retained its ness of the sub-heed of much of the correspondence; so in many cases, of course, such a name as Bayer will appear in our correspondence long after this merger. In the next paragraph reference is made to the fact that most of these companies had their beginning back an the 1860's, as Gameral Teglor mentioned in his Opening Statement. And, then, turning the page, page 2, and Pages 2 and 3 of the German, reference is mede in the first full paragraph to the competition which developed very early in the game, which resulted in beginning of cartelization or communities of interests in the chemical field, heference is made in the

10 Sept-M-VI-1-A-Stone (Int. von Schon-Lemaler)
Court No. VI, Case VI

first peregraph to the Duisberg Memorandum, and it is pointed out there that the results of that memorandum and the outstanding conditions resulted in the first community of interests in 1904, and that three of the firms, the Friedrich Bayor, the Badische, and the AGFA joined together. Now, there is an error in the Appendix B of the Indictment which I would like to point out at this time. The Prosecution made on error based upon some other general information which was given, that there was a complete community of interests between all the principal firms as early as 1904. As it turns out that is not true. There were two different communities of interests begun in 1904. And olthough there may have been rather intimate relations between many of the firms, one cannot properly say that there was a complete community of interests between all these rejor firms to carly as 1904. On the centrery, there was what was orlied the "Dreitund", the resociation of three and the "Zweibund", the association of two. One additional fretor aight be pointed out, and that is that by stock concreting there was a certain association between some of the other firms the were not a member of wither of the two bunds or communities of interests.

10 Sept-M-FI-2-1-Stone (Int. won Schon-Hammler)
Court No. VI, Case VI

iR. SPREC : Turning over to Page 5 of the inglish and Page 5 and 6 of the German, just before the numbered paregraph 3, ther's the statement: "The name of Loverkusen's founder, Ocheimrat Professor Dr Carl Duisborg, "ill always be associated with the establishment of the Leverkuson plant." We mention that because of some of the remarks in the Opening Statument concerning the importance of Dr. Buisberg in affecting the vaole Ethos in which these events transpired. You will note in the middle of the same page that in 1925 or the beginning of 1926 Kalle and Company, which previously had manufactured dyes and pharmsocuticals, ceded its entire capital apparatus in that field to the morged firms. And then going to the next care raph you will note that Kalle and Company want into entirely new types of manufacture, producing ozalid, cellulono, collophano, and a number of other special materials. I note that because throughout Exile and Company did maintain a separate logal entity, but for purposes of direction and administration it was subject to Sparte III, the Sparte leader of thich was the defendant Gajewski. Going over to Part 6, pages 6 and 7 of the German, under the "Purpose of the Saterprise," you will note a rather broad acope, including, "The operation of other industrial caterprises." In this case, it is particularly well to example its that because of the truncadous numbers of other industrial enterprises which in one way or another were operated by I.G. Ferban. In the basic information we made some reference to the fact that proferential shares in German "Aktiongesellschaft#- in Gormon stock perperations- often had greater voting ridits than common shares. The proof of that will be found under the heading, "Voting rights" on page 7 of the English and page 7 and 8 of the Gorman.

Going over to Page 8 of the English, pages 9 and 10 of the German, under the heading "Construction and Development", it's noted that in 1926 agreements for a community of interests were concluded with the following firms, and among them there is the A. hisbook'sche mentan-worke A.G., at Halls. In Appendix 8 of the Indictment we mentioned that

10 Sept-if-FL-2-2-Stone (Int. von Schon-Rammler) Court No. VI, Case VI

most of these firms were explosives firms. In one sense that should be qualified because nieberk scho dontamer to was nothing more or less then a coal mine, but hisberk sche Jontanworke did produce coal principally for the DAG, and was very closely related to this explosive group around Troisdor! . In the next paragraph on Page 8 you will note that the assets of No.hn-Rottweil "as a going connern" were transferred to I.G. Parbun, offictive I January 1926. Mechn-Rottwell was one of the two larger explosives firms which had undergone a certain transformation after orld war 1. It hit upon financial difficulties and was finally absolved by I.G. Parbon, so far as its non explosives production was concerned, and by DAG or the Reich, so far as explosive production was concerned. Eriofly, in passing, on para 6, and page 9 and pages 10 and II of the Terman, I think it is worthwhile to note the capital expenditures thich very assis in occupation with some of these margors. he point that but particularly because all such activity, of course, had to be approved by the Vorstand, and it process a matter of very general knowledge. That becomes important later on in this case in connection with acquisitions which the Prescention claims were in violation of International Law and fall under the general topic of "Speligtion". At the top of page 10 of the English, pages 11 and 12 of the German, brict reference is made to the famous concern I.G. Chesio. The Iscomic note here is that this firm, which had its bondquerters in Switzerland, was formed so that I.G. would not be forced to finance foreign participation with its own means. There will be further reference to this concern in some of the Sub-Counts. In the middle of Page 10, may I point out that in 1929 Farbun acquired the sajority shares in the Behring'scho erke. That's the Behring borks. And then we see that name in the future why I think it is clear that it is, in effect, I.G. Farten speaking, regardless of that the title of the letter may be. Skipping fown to paragraphs, there's a brief reference to the I.G .- Standart Oil agreement often referred to as Jesco, which will some up later on. At page 12,

51.3

Court Ho. VI, Casa VI

pages 13 to 15 of the German, the middle of the page, reference is made to a morger in 1781, which it is important to bring to your attention. In this description of I.G. Farben in the "Handbook of German Stock Corporations" you will note that there is an account of the fact that in 1931, the five compenies morged into DAG in 1931. The purpose given is order to simplify administration and economize on coats. It's perhaps interesting to acto under the heading 1933, on page 12, the reference to the stimulation to the German scenario situation, which was attendent upon the coming to power of the Maxle, and undermosth the entry 1934 it's noted that there was a stimulation in the home salus of various I.G. products: "This was vapocially apparent in the new spheres of work, the sim of thich was an improvement of German raw material supplics." And then going over to 1935 on page 14, pages 16 and 17 of the Gorman, "The year 1935 was marked by the profressive conversion of the German internal account to authority, and at the same time, promotion of foreign trade for securing foreign rage material supplies. " In effect, a forcesst of the four year plan which was about to be announced in 1936. Coming to the year 1936, I would like to quote the first peregraph. "In 1936 extraordinary demands were set in made on the enterprise with regard to scientific, tuchnical and financial matters, for the development of ner and existing working spheres which are to ensure raw material supplies for Germany. At the same time, very special afforts were devoted to export promotion." Then coming to 1937 on page 15, pages 17 to 19 of the German, 1937, quete: "On looking back on the year 1937 it is possible to summarize by saying that in that year too I.G. continued to work willingly and in concurt towards the mims of the Four Year Plan and increased efforts for exports." There's rather heady comment perhaps toward the and of the next paragraph. Comment has been made about the disturbed intermetional situation. And then comes a rather interesting sentence: "This fact gives rise to the hope that further reverses in the development of world acomony will be evoided when in other countries, too, confidence in their sconomic-political leadership is reestablished."

10 September 1947-X-MSD-3-1-Stewart (von Schon) Court VI, Case VI

(Sprocher) New on page 19 of the English, pages 32 and 23 of the German, there is a heading called, "Streamlining of the Concern". In 1937, your Honors, there was a rather substantial change, outwardly in any event, in the German law on stock corporations. The leadership then, which had been carred in the most aspects of German exenemic, political and social life, was increasingly being inserted into industrial economy, and apparently, there was a certain dislike on the part of the regime for some of the notworks in the industrial economy which made it a little difficult to find out just who was doing what to whom, and as a result there was a great amount of pressure to climinate some of the concerns.

In Farbon I do not think this had too great an offcot, but in any event, here are listed some of the cenital participations which Farbon had proviously held and which had been listed merely as stockholdings, and which after 1937 could no longer appear as holdings, because the individual firms had been completely obliterated, even the fiction of logal identity disappearing, and there are listed Cassella & Company, and among other twings, some of the principal mines owned by I. G. Farbon,

You will note just after that there is reference to the fact,
that 9 smaller companies were also merged, and they are not even mentioned
by name because their duty consisted mainly of the administration
of landed property.

I point that out pertly because this indicates the dilemma of en outsider in attempting to follow the intricacies of some of the capital relationship with which we are concerned. However, as I said before, I think we have note than enough facts for the purposes of this proceeding.

Turning over to page 32 of the English, and page 26 of the German, it has been called to my attention that in the German document book some of these pages have been emitted.

20 Sept. 1947 MSD 3 2 Stowart (vonSchon) Court VI case VI

Your Honors we will attempt to make up this discrept news.

Since the Handbuch der Dautschen Aktionsesellschaft is available in the library, and in almost any business office and the local banks, I am wondering if there will be objection, if I morely mention briefly some rather objective material, — for example the description of the plant spheres, and some of the sales organizations.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal hoars no question.
BY MR. SPEECHER:

On ps c 22 of the English, and that will be found at page 5276, or just before and just after in the Handbuch der Doutschen Actiongesellschaft itself, there is a description of the plant, and under paragraph 1, the production spheres are noted.

Underneath paregraph 3, reference is made to the railroad equipment, which is ewned or at the disposal of I,S, Farben, and then at the bettem of the pare, there is reference to the Works Combine, and your Honors will note up on the chart before you, on the wall, the five works combines appear beside the plants.

We shall later intorduce more evidence to indicate cortain of the lines of authorities between the works combines and the individual plants.

IR. CHERLICES, (for defendant Schmitz): Since the prosecution is for the first time referring to the chart displayed here, I consider it important to make it clear that this chart is not one in regard to which there was a stipulation between prosecution and defense.

At the present moment this chart is a one-sided presentation of the presentation, and the defence reserves the right at the proper time to present documents to correct it.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal recards this chart as expressing what counsel for the prosecution expects the evidence to show, and inxofar as it is not supported by the evidence, it will be disregarded in the consideration of the case.

552

BY ME. SPRECHER:

Other certified charts we either have or intend to introduce will tend to show graphically the one-up of some of the plants in the works combine, but here in the year 1938 there is a listing; — similar listings will appear in later copies of the handbook on joint stock corporations.

Turning over to pase 24, and that is still on page 5276 of the handbook, reference is made to the I.G. Mines Halle (Smale). Thereunder are listed the two different types of mines owned by I.G. Farben, so-called lightle mines and the bituminous coal mines.

On the chart you will note that coal mines and the I, G,

Wining Administration fell under the general direction of Sparte 1,

which was first under the hard of the defendant Krauch, and later

under the chieftanship of the defendant Schnolder,

The next item takes up sales, and Iwould like briefly to note that the dye stuffs sales departments were in Frankfurt, at the main beadcuarters, and that the chemicals sales combine was also located in Frankfurt. However, the phermacoutical sales combine was located in Loverkusen, where the defendants Menn and Kuchns had their head-quarters.

on will note under Sitrogen that the males were made through
the Sticketoffsyndikat, that's the Ni Wogen Syndicate, CMBH in
Berlin, whether or not those sales were for ordinary nitrogen, or for
technical nitrogen,

The defendant, Octor was the head of the nitrogen syndicate; the sales combine Agis had its headquerters in Berlin, and you will notice later on that there were a number of other sales organizations. Therefore, on the chart; we have indicated the sales organizations for nitrogen, oils etc. There were some special sales arrangements for copper, rayon for example, and for artificial fibers or viscuous rayon.

Now the very important item, gasoline, was principally sold

052

through Deutsche Casoline, the Gelman gasoline Company, whereas most of the oil was sold through the I.G. Department Oil, also located in Berlin. That is over on page 26.

Then the a comes a heading called, "Central Officers," and a number of the central offices at Frankfurt, Berlin and Ludwigshafen where the defendant Von Enteriem had his headquarters. The defendant, von Enteriem also being the head of the Patente Commission and the legal department of I, G. Farben.

On the next page, 27, there is a heading called: "Field of Interest of the I.G." and there you have roughly the breakdown which existed in the 3 Sporte. First, nitrosen, oils and mines, in Sporte 1: and secondly, dye stuffs; chemicals and pharmaceuticals in Sporte 3: and thirdly, photographic materials, rayon, which are in Sporte 3: although some of inv - I withdraw that - I thought Buna was listed there. Of course, Runa came under Sporte 3,

Now in the next pages, beginning on page 29, there are some general materials concerning contracts and agreements in the important production field, and reference is made to DAC there, in a substantially clear manner, so that perhaps we can svoid some detailed reference to some of the contracts we shall later refer to, if I make mention of that now.

You will note in the first full paragraph that IC pays the amount necessary to pay a divident which equals half the dividend paid on IC's ordinary shares with respect to the shares of DAG.

However, much more important is the entry at the top of negs 30 where it is indicated that IC is entitled to state at any time that it wants to take over the sesses of the Bobel Company by merser at this same ratio, and then assuming that the stockholders of the DAC in their annual meeting did not agree to this proposal, then, in that case, irrespective of whether IC Farben uses its right-to give notice or not, IC may demand that the real estate, buildings,

10 September 1947.M.MSB.3.5. Stewart (vonSchon) Court VI, case VI

financial year, or whatever part of these items IC may wish, at its own discretion, can be sequired by IC at the book value shown on the last balance sheet.

We submit that DAG as a captive concern of IG Farben's could not be proven in such clearer terms.

A similar agreement with the Ricbeck'sche Montan, will be found over on page 31 of the English, and that is at page 5280 of the handbook. There is a similar arrangement to that which I3 had with the DAG. If for any reason the agreement is cancelled, then IG could take advantage of the right to take over the entire coel mining rights and all the equiptment at the book value according to the last b-lance sheet.

In the next paragraph under IS Chemic, there is reference to a fact, I do not think at all in doubt here,
and that is, beginning in 1929, IS had an arrangement
whereby it guaranteed the dividends of IS Chemic. That
was later altered under sirou strates which we will
bring to your attention at a later time.

Turning over to proc 33 of the English, at that page 5281 of the handbook, the quotes provailing for nitrogen in 1938 are listed there. These quotes were fixed on the basis of production emperities, and the Stickstoffsyndikat, The Mitrogen syndicate, was the principal agency for making these arrangements, and for making these arrangements, and for making these sales. You will note that IG's quote, S61,393, - that is 861,393 tens, was much greater than all the quotes of the other concerns put together.

There was also some influence by IS upon the other concorns through capital participation. Ror example, in the paragraph above, it is noted that the A.G. fuer Stickstoffduenger Knapsack is closely associated with IG Barbon industry.

The belence of the meterials in the handbook are principally charts, and most of them more included at n time when we did not know how much other of better proof we would have. I do not think that you need to bother yourselves about the rest of those pages unless the defense should indicate it believes something therem in to be particularly relevant.

Now your Honors, the next document is NI 5179, that was in the index, due to some difficulty in reproduction.

Do you have your copies of NI 51797 They were distributed.

I think they were in the defense book. Is there any diffidulty there, Dr. Gierlicher?

DR. GIRLICHS: No.

Prosocution's Exhibit 324. This is the Community of Interest Agreement between the cirls principal chemical firms of Cormony in the year 1915.

I am sorry to be a little shor, but I just got my copy.

On pract 13 of the netural branslation, and on page 12 of the original, in the German, -- I do not know the page in the German document book, -- Page 38 in the German document book, -- there is an indication in page graph 14 as follows:

"All questions affecting the common interests and involving the furtherence of the goal aimed by the community, will be dealt with by the entire group of corporations." If a direct understanding cannot be reached among the corporations, the questions will be brought before the "GR".

Now, the "GR" referred to the Commission of these compenies, and I think it is unmistakable from the language following, that there was the power in the Gemeinschaftsstat, regarding loss of the wishes of a particular

individual concorn, to determine all the fundamental and basic matters which would come before industrial concorns which have any particular importance, and, therefore, your honors, we sentainly have folt no besitancy in saying that since 1916, since the middle of the first World War, there was in effect an GR in exhatence, and for all proceed the purposes administrantion of the chemical industry of Germany was subject to unifited control and direction.

There is nothing further in the decument which we need to check on perticularly unless some perticular question may be reised later. The next decument is the mep sencorning DAG which had been cortified by Dr. Struss, the Chief of the Technical Committee.

It is already in evidence as Prosception's Exhibit

48. I believe Mr. Charmete almost; indicated to your

Honors that Trang over on the right hand side should

really have been included with the solid line because more
that So per cent of its cepital as directly owned by

I.G. Parbon and not by DAG. I am sorry, it should not

have been included. It really should have been on the

IG chart, and not on the DAG chart.

The next descript, II 5827, is already in evidence as Prosceution's Exhibit 17. This is a copy of the joint interest agreement made by IG Farban, the Douthche Laca-derbank, the Corman Provincial Bank, and DAG, formorly the Alfred Fobel & Company, which is the famous Community of Interest agreement made just after I.G. Parben was founded in 1925.

The year of this agreement is 1925, and here is the agreement thereby it seems entirely element that DAW, and in offset the principal explosives reducing group of

lo Sopt-I-Rb-4-4-Stowert (von Schon)
Court VI Caso VI

Gormany, became a captive of 19 Farbon.

In view of the materials in the handbook of Joint Stock corporations, which is published openly, it does not stom necessary to go into these details, unless some particular question may be raised.

Therefore, I will pass to the next document, MI 8313, which may go in as Prosecution's Exhibit 325. This is an affidavit of Dr. Struss again, concerning Parbon in the nitroggn and explosives field.

In paragraph 1, Dr. Struss notes that, "litrate is the essential raw material for the production of gun power and communition. The basic element in nitrate production is nitragen".

Then Dr. Struss gots on to chet Farban's unique position in that field. He portions the Community of Interest agreement of 1926, and then Dr. Struss notes how Farban Cominated the DAS, and indicates the everlapping in the governing body.

He also notes that Dr. Bosch Duisberr, the defendant Gajovsky and the defendant Schwitz at one time or another were on the Aufischtsrat of DAC whereas the chairman of DAG, Dr. Paul Mueller was a member of the Tuchnical Committee of I.G. Ferbon and he also, as will appear later, on the Aufsichtsrat of I.G. Farbon.

Further indication is given that Europe could have controlled DAG even without this capital arrangement, if it so shows, because of the reliance of any explosives group upon the products produced by Farban, namely, nitrogen and various intermediates.

On page 79 of the English under is pages at and 62 of the Cerman, reference is made we the fact that I. G. and its substitionary. Das and wasag manufactured eighty-four percent of Cormany's explosives and seventy percent of Cormany's gam pender from its mitrogen and intermediates production.

The next document is MI-6977 which any go in an Prosecution Exhibit 325. This is a statement by the defendant, wen Endaring, concerning IG and its relationship to Dir and scale—lettwell. Parbonts about langer notes that under the contract Dir and to follow the directions of IC in important matters, and he states that he doesn't exceedly remember the working.

on that document as to when that statement was made, Doos it show anywhere when it was made?

IR. SPRECHER: Dr. Slicher has it at the moment. As soon as ? -thank you. The date is the 15th of December, 19h6, Your Honors -JUMENDERIS: Oh, on the last page I see now.

IR. SPECHET: And this was submitted in his own bandwriting to representatives of OCCMC in Moreberg.

DR. CIEVATORS: Giarlinha. May I ask Mr. Spreehor to tell me in what part of the statement of Dr. von Knierias he finds the proof of the statement which he has just made, that the DAA in affect had to follow the line laid down by the ID.

MR. SPIRITY: It is in the middle of paragraph 2. This statement was submitted in the Inglish language and what I read was reading practically verbatim.

DR. GERLICHEr Then in order to avoid a misinterpretation of the exhibit, may I point out that Dr. von Knieriem at mother point express. states that at least for the period of the wor this arrangement was not in offect, and to complete the picture I may perhaps point out that the Defense will later bring evidence to the effect that this arrangement was eliminated not only during the war but before the war, too.

int. SPRECHER: Furning over to page 81 of the English, page 63 of the German, under paragraph 3 I would like to make a note which I think has some bearing on what Dr. "ierlichs just _ _ i now, and to us shows a rather ludicrous position which has been to ken by some of those defendants. Can you imagine a situation, Your Honors, in which the chief counsel of I. O. Farbon did not know until the year 1915 when he was in prison with some of the other defendants that there was dome kind of a gentlements agreement between the defendant, Schmitz, and the deceased Dr. Paul Mueller that Farben actually would not insist upon its prorogatives to respect to dominating DAG?

The paragraph in question states esfellows:

"I learned in the Franzberg camp from some of my associates that
there was in existence a gentlements agreement made between the late
Dr. Bosch and Tchmits on one part, and Dueller on the other part, that as
long as Mueller waspresident of the Vorstand of Nobel he should be in
spite of the fundamental contract, in effect independent."

DR. PCLCMMANN: ir. Prosident, I should like to ask that the whole document be read as is customary, and I should like to ask that the argumentation undertaken by the Prosecutor be stricken from the record.

I believe at present documents should merely be offered in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will so consider the matter. The entire document will be read by the members of the Tribunal, and I think that the Counsel for the Defense may be assured that the Tribunal can distinguish between the text of the document and argumentative observations with respect to it made by Counsel for the Prosecution.

MIL SPRECHER: In the next paragraph, paragraph 4, I think it is also important to point out that the defendant, von Knierlem, claims to have found out in the Kransberg camp from ter liver, the defendant, ter Moor, that this did not apply in wartine, of course. In connection with what Dr. Cierlichs said, the Prosecution believes it already has submitted a certain amount of proof to show very class collaboration between DAG and TO Farben through Vermittlumsstelle-, through the Technical Committee, and in conferences with "chroscht representatives. There will, indeed, be much more to follow:

The next document is MD-523h which may so in as Prosecution Exhibit

32 — I was sorry, Your Monor, — that has already been marked in evidence
as Prosecution Exhibit 16. That is an affidavit of the defendant,

Buotofisch, concerning DAG which I think we can rapidly pass.

The next document is NI-6h98 which has also been marked in avidence as Prosecution Dehioit 111. May I make a very brief reference again to this document particularly in view of Dr. Gierlichs; comment.

This is a letter from Dr. Paul Buellor deted the 9th of December, 1935 — that is four years before the Tar broke out — in which Dr. Buellor tells Director Ernenslein at the Boschst plant of Earben that he is very blad to observe the "most gratifying results of the closer cells boration in the sphere of high explosives upon which we embarked semutime 2 mo," and than he notes later on that the Iray Ordannes Office which indeed would be the office most concerned in the explosives production in connection with re-ermanent, had indicated its congretulations to DAC for its fine work "by close collaboration with IC" and one of the departments of the Army Ordinance Office.

And then going down a page away just under the entry, page 2 of the original, Paul Hueller of DAO indicates his pleasure at being able to meet Kraenzlein in Berlin when certain materials developed by their experts will be demonstrated. Exhibit 327. This is enotherletter of bueller, chairman of the Verstand of DAG, which is this time written to r. Ludwigs in the sales combine characters. At this time the sales combine characters was under the direction of the deceased Verstand butber, Mober-Andreas and not under the direction of any of the defendants in the dock. The letter notes in paragraph 2 that since to all intents and purposes DAG is a branch of I'm, mueller con't see any reason why certain figures concurring the turnover of Tearlt cannot be given to DAG, and then is notes that if he can't have those figures because he is with a subsidiery evapony, he would like to have them because he is a member of the sufsichteret of I. O. Parben.

I can't believe that a firm which to all intents and purposes a branch of the I. G. was so independent that the right are did not know what the left arm was doing in these satters.

The next document is WI-807 which is a letter of Dr. von Schnitte D. . Mueller, chairman of the Verstand of I. G. Farben, and that may marked as Presecution Exhibit 328.

Mr. Sprecher, NI-5345, in my opinion the decisive sentence was not correctly translated. The Norman text rands:

"Since the DAG is in practice a gloce of the I. F. ...

I believe the choice of words in the English translation emphasizes this sentence more than the Carman text. I should like to make this statement because the Prosocution apparently lays great stress on this particular statement. 10 Sept 47-M-BJ-6-1-Putty-(voh Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

In this connection I should like to say on principle, however, that in many other cases the Defense does not approve the English translation of the German original documents. We should like to suggest on general principle that if we had the opecaturity to check the documents, we could contact the Prosecution and jointly discuss the parts which we object to and that we would nother the Court with this question only when the Prosecution and the Defense fail to reach an agreement.

MR. SPRECHER: On the letter court I think Dr. soettcher and myself and possible other Defense Counsel have already discussed this exact possibility that Dr. Giarlichs suggests, and I have appointed ar. Volffsohn of our staff to meet at any time with Defense Counsel on these points where they believe that a translation is seriously misleading. I am quite certain that in most cases agreement will be reached and who not, the matter can be submitted in writing to Your Honors a that you can submit the disagreement to an official translator for checking.

THE PRESIDENT: In the instant case the Tribunel would suggest that Counsel for the Defense and the Fresecution confer with respect to this translation and subsequently report to us wheth r or not they can or ennot agree upon the proper translation.

MR. SPRECHER: Wa, I think con agree on a translation immediately. The works in question are the very first works of paragraph 2 of the translation, and we will agree on the following translation:

"The DAG is practically a piece of I.G."

THE PRESIDENT: Is that satisfactory to Counsel for the defendent?

DR. GIERLICHS: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. SFRECHER: Your Honors, I personally think that

563

10 Sept 47-A-BJ-6-2-Futty-(von Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

the translation was very good. It was not quite as literal as the translation Dr. Gierliche prefers, but the Prosecution is quite content.

THE PRESEDENT: Well, we have passed that now. Let us to on to something else.

Schnitzler dated 2 April 1941 to Dr. Mueller. Now it is indicated that the defendant, Schnitzler, had Jerrned in Italy that certain agreements with the Vic., Wyarnment had been approved and could be considered as settled, and he poss on to give certain information, and then he notes toward the bottom that "DAG will be taking up with the representatives of Yuhlmann -- " that is a French concern which will come into the evidence in more detail at a later point. The DAG was realist with the representatives of Kuhlmann concerning certain synthetic materials, and them Schnitzler goes on to any and I believe this to be the most decisive mentance:

"Taking your suprovel for granted, I have, therefore, through Dr. Kramer, already passed on this request of the DAC to Paris, and our technicians will certainly be sole to report to you in detail upon their return at Easter as to the most expedient way of oringing about further discussions."

I won't go into the significance of the various names and the various discussions because that will come out undermeath Count II in much more detail. Here in a presentation concerning corporate structure, I merely wish to underline the fact that I.G. would act for DAG in such important matters as international negotiations and then inform Mueller afterwards and later tell him that the I.G. experts would soon inform him as to how further discussions were going to take place.

Now, Your Honors, turning to page 89 of the English there is an error. The document appearing in your original document books is NI-4626. It should have been NI-4625.

654

10 Sept 47-M-BJ-S-5-Putty-(con Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

Copies have been distributed to correct the German document books. Do Your compre have corrected oppies there? If not, we have them.

The PRESIDENT: I do not uning we do have any corrected copies of that document. I haven't seen them.

MR. SFRECHER: Your Honors, if you would correct the index in the English, page 2, so that NI-4528 would appear as NI-4625, then the description in the index is quite satisfactory. Now that document mry become Prosecution Exhibit 329 with your permission. The reason wa wring it out here is because of the distribution list as much as anything elso. You will note, Your Honors, that it is a letter of the Vermittlungsstelle-W dated the 23rd of March, 1937, and that copies are sent to the four princial works combines which will appear there on the chart and also to the mining mana ement which again appears over on the chart undermeath Sparte I, and that a copy is sent to DAG. Then there are informational copies to Dr. Meyer, the need of Gajevski's Sparte burear or the office of the defendant Gajewski's Sporte, and to the Counter-Intelligence Department, Department A of Vermittlungsstelle-W at Leverkusen, and the topic of the letter is concerning economic mobilization of the ... works.

Now the next document, NI-5184, may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 330. Here we come, Your conors, to a document which on the whole I think should be very nelpful to you in understanding some of the history and some of the operation of I.G. Ferben.

JUDGE HOPRIS: Mr. Prosecutor, what becomes of NI-4625 on page 89 of our English document book? I seem to have lost track of where that comes in. Has that been offered?

HR. SPRECHER: Yes, Your Monor. I offered that as 329.

JUDGE MORRIS: So then the document that was hended to

us 1s 329?

10 Sept 47-M-BJ-6-4-Putty-(voh Schon) Court VI. Cese VI.

MR. SPRECHER: Yes, that's right.

JUDGE MORRIS: Yes.

THE FRESIDEPT: What Judge Morris refers to; I think, if the document on page 89 which purports to be a copy of letter that was originally identified as NI-4525 which you have now passed.

MR. SPRECHTR: Your honors, this document will come into the proof under the subsection of another Count, and you may neglect it in this particular document book entirely.

THE FRESIDENT: That may be taken out of this book.

MR. SPRICH A: Yes, sir. Well, of course, the document cooks are just the reference manuals, and unless we put a particular document in swidence, Your Honors need not consider that it is the Prosecution's position that it is in evidence. I regret that I didn't tell you that we could pass that deciment.

THE PRESIDENT: It is now the time for our morning racass. The Tribunal will racess at this time.

(A recess was taken.)

10 Sont-M-JP-B-L-Schweb (Int. won Schon)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will ask leave to withdraw the staten.

made this morning about an early adjournment. We arount ture at just

what hour we shall adjourn for our moon lunch, but it will be govern.

by some information that will be received by the Tribunal; so if we do

abruntly adjourn you will understand the circumstances.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honor, I was discussing Proceeding Schibit 330.

I would like to note that on page 2 of the index to the documents in

Document Bool 12 there is a typographical color after this document NL
5184. It should be "april 1947", of course, and not "April 1937."

I was discussing BL-5184, an affidavit of the Defendant Tor Moor. As I stated, I think your Emars will find this affidavit very helpful, There is only one rather isportant absorvation that I would like to make in advence before mentioning some of the points in several of the onsuing "ffid vits of the Defendant Ter Meer. The fact that a trial was untidinated will annear to have existed at least two years ago. And after discussions of this whole question I think cortain policy concorning a defense was laid down and coulded upon. In these affidavita there will proop out from time to time cortainly some of the results of that determination. Now, I should say principally it has to do with the emphasis placed worm the question of contralization ws. decentraligation of the concern. That is a parently one of the most basic points which is brought up in minorous occasions to show that meny of those defendents did not know what other officials of Parbon were doing. Our position, of course, is quite to the contrary, and spart from unimportant detail in most cases the loading members of the concorn were amply enough advised of the principle matters upon which we rely here to establish crimo.

Bafora going into NI-5184, I would like to, if I may, skip fown to the two charts which are in your document books, down, perhaps, 50-60 pages; page 166 and 167 of the English.

THE PRESIDENT: Is this a reproduction of the chart that is on the well?

MR. SPRECHER: No. sir. The second chart is the chart which is reproduced on the wall-the 1938-1945 chart, in other words.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. SPRECHER: Now. I would like to mark the first chart, NI-10041, as Prosecution Exhibit 331, and have it marked in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Where is that chart listed in the index?

MR. SPRECHER: It is listed down, Your Honors, three documents from the document we were just on. It is no good 2, about two-thirds of the way down the page.

THE PRESIDENT: Very woll. What number will that bear now!

MR. SPRECHER: That will bear Prosecution Exhibit 331.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

MR. SPRECHER: And the next document will lear the number Presecution Exhibit 332. Your Bonors will note that there are certificates at the bottom of each of these two documents by the defendants Mann and Ter Moer, and after those certificates had been made on those documents I received, through Dr. Berndt, Defense Counsel for both Teer Moer and Hann, a memorandum from the Defendant Ter Moer, which I wish to read to the Tribunal.

Dr. Horndt was prosent at the time I submitted several proposed charts to the defendant for Neer, and after a number of suggestions had been back and forth, it was felt at that time that the product which we have before us in these two documents and in the chart on the wall would be fairly satisfactory for purposes of making points which the Prosecution had which the Defendant for Neer thought perhaps the Defenda had. And thereafter, this letter came to me. It is deted the 29th of July 1947, and I think it only fair to read it in its satirety since it touches upon one important point particularly.

If Your Honors will note, on the right-hand side, there is a box called "Logal and Patents Departments."

"Attention! Mr. Sprecher."

10 Sept-M-JP-8-3-Schwab (Int. von Schon) Omrt VI Case VI

"1. Organization of I.G. Farben."

"Nr. Menn and I have given some thought to the general organization plan of I.G. Parben. After consulting with Dr. you Enterion, we do not think it advisable to mention the Central Logal and Patent Departments as a separate department because it did not exist as such. All the works and the sales communities had their own logal departments, and all the works or at least the more important ones, had their own patents departments. The work of the various logal and matent departments was coordinated through the two counittees Sechisausschiss and Patent-Kommission—" in the English, Legal Committee and the Patent Committee, "In both consistees Dr. von Enterion acted as chairman. We therefore recommend to mention instead, and for the sake of giving some examples, the Contral Bookkooping Department and/or Contral Tax Department because these were existing departments in the main office building at Frankfurt."

With that explanation.

THE PRESIDENT: A.st in the interest of clarity, does the prosecution accept the statements of Dr. Ter Near as stating the fact with reference to the corporate organization, or do you have in mind producing other evidence to support your diagram as it appears on the wall of the Courtreem?

MR. SPHECHER: We believe the diagram as it appears is justified.

I do agree with many of the individual fac o ! points which the defendant for Meer indicated in his letter.

THE PR SIDENT: Tonn the Tribunel will consider the diagram as representing what the presecution expects to establish by the evidence rather than as an exhibit before the Tribunal at this time.

DR. SPACCHTH: Well, Your Hener, may I speak to that point?
THE PRESIDENT: Cortainly.

Exhibit 332 which was certified to by two defendants as being correct and thereafter this amendment was ende. We feel that there is evidentiary basis supporting the chart, although the chart is succeptible to some further explanation as is indicated by the letter of Ter near and some comments which I shall have to make about other entries on the chart, because any chart is not a final showing without any qualification of the matter of reports prophically shown.

THE PRESIDENT: That the Tribunal had in mind was this -- that the situation is no diffrent as we see it where a witness once says that this chart is correct and later on further study we were in error, or I was in error, and wish to correct it. Then, if on the witness stand he should answer counsel to the question and then before the stand and calling attention to the Court that he had committed an error and ask to correct it; but be that as it may, we shall admit the chart in evidence subject to such explanations as may be efforced by the defense

and subject to such supplemental proof as the prosecution may wish to make with reference to its authenticity.

DR. SHIGHER: To the subject matter itself I can confine myself
to the genral reservation that every defendant may later make object. To
the correctness of the chart. However, with regard to the quotation
which Mr. Spreeher h s made, I want to point out the following. He
read-and please excuse my English-the "Central Legal and Patents
Departments." I wanted to pint out that the words "Central Legal
and Patents Departments" are in inverted counts. That is important
for the sense of what Mr. Ter Near wanted to express.

MR, SPH.Chik: I completely agree. I am sorry I did not quote the quotation marks.

THE FRESIDENT: Very well.

because in the discussion in the afficient by Dr. Ter wear, NI-5184, we began to run into a mumber of these departments and agencies of I.G. Jerben in a certain amount of Setail, and I think it will be propably well if we detain curselves for some time an some of these points in order to keep clearly in mind the relationship between those instrumentalities by which I.G. Farben accomplished its job and the relation between the personalities who were most important in seeing that this job was accomplished through those various agencies. On page 91 of the Inglish, page 75 of the German, reference is made to the large size of the first governing bodies of I.G. Farber.

There the defendant for hear out that at the time of the marger in 1925 the whole Verstand members from the elder firms all wars moved down to the Verstand in I.G. Ferben and similarly with respect to the Aifsichtsrat. Then he was on to state that both these governing bedies were entirely too large to effect a proper direction and to perform their functions and that each actually worked through a smaller group.

New if you will look at prescoution exhibit 331, the chart from 1932 to 1937, you will see to the right hand side of the Aufsichtsrat that these was . Verwaltungsrat. The word we will not translate by: really more or less means administrative counsel, and then you will note within the Verstand that there is shown a smaller committee called the working committee.

These are the two bedies to which the defendant for Meer is referring, and indeed we cortainly accept this are correct.

New there is a discussion beginning on the next page of the functioning of the Aufsic teret and we think it important to note vertain matters there. This will run to prove some of the natters we placed before your Heners in the basic information which we think is fairly objective of how A.G.'s or joint stock corporation operated and also it will run toward some of the things in the opening statement as well as to the corporate structure in so for as it is necessary to go intit now.

Toward the middle of the parc 92, you will note that the Aufsichtsrat mot only three or four times a year in order to have a report prosentend from the Verstand which was read by the chairman of the
Verstand, and them after the nature of that report is gone into,
there is one sentence which is particularly important:

"I know of no case where the Aufsichtsrat oppreed any of the policies carried cut by the Verstand or where the Aufsichtsrat directed the Verstand to carry out a particular policy."

Then going on a little further, the Aufsichtsrat selected the Verstand members under Gurman law. However, as is noted here in I.G. Parbon, the chairman of the Verstand, after having consulted with the members of the central committee of the Verstand, the Central Committee being the senior Verstand members prelimarily and the persons and some of them the leading Verstand members, who were over whole groups of plants

in a certain sense, certainly all the Sparte leadersm and after the Chairman of the Verstand had had such discussions, he in turn talked to the chairman of the administrative council of the Aufsichtsrat or later on the Aufsichtsrat committee, which group were respectively at different points little administrative, executive committee of the Aufsichtsrat, and they discussed these appointments to the Verstand.

You will note that the defendent for Near states that he knows of no case of disagreement in these app intents, or where the Aufsichtsrat did not appoint the cambilists proposed by the chairman of the Aufsichtsrat and the Verstand. New, there may be a certain amount of importance to the whole question of what the Verwaltungsrat did between the years 1933 and 1937 because the Verwaltungsrat vertainly was the only part of the Aufsichtsrat which in any way engaged in the actual management of the I.G. Parbon concern and we want to the upon that and I think we need go no further than this officients.

On page 93 of the English, page 76 of the German, the defendant

Ter Neur points out that the Verwaltungerat from the beginning in 1925

consisted of 11 members of the Aufsichtsrat including the most important old heads or owners of the predecessor firms. And he notes that the

Verwaltungerat remained in existance until a rather substablial change
in the entire organization of Farbon and indeed all of German stock

corporations in 1937 efter a new law was passed.

Now the Aufsichterat designated particular members of the administrative council - that is the Verwaltungerat - to sit in several of the consistees of the Verstand.

Several of the numbers of the administrative council continued to sit in mattings of the TAA and ME, for example -- sorry I used the German there--that is the technical committee and comme cial committee, for example more or loss regularly until 1937. Then may I quote the

next sentence: "Meny of them were quite inactive in their later years and their participation was increasingly leass."

Then there is a notation that send of them were Jewish and that that led to a statement by send representative of the maxis that I.G.

Forben was a Jowish concern. On that point, if I may depart for a moment, I think most of the gontlemen in question were so-called Christian Jews, if that make any difference here, in that send of them received certain concessions at a time even before Explor when it would have been rather difficult for a person who was completely of Jewish faith, I think, to have obtained these concessions in Germany.

"In my opnion, the a ministrative occurred was formed principally
to give a certain satisfaction and recognition to some of the more
important leaders of the producessor firms. But due to the age of those
gentlemen, I don't think the institution of the Verwaltungsrat was
really very important."

That is the position of the prescention and I thought I had best bring to your attention rather emphatically. The Committee of the Verstand which was actually did business with the Verstand between 1925 and 1937, when the Aktiongosetz or joint atrok corporation law was passed or revised, was the working committee of the Verstand referred to in the German as the arbeitsauschuss or the AA. You will often see AA. The working committee contained from the beginning about 26 persons.

"This group" as the defendant for moor points out on page 9h, "this group was actually delegated by the entire V retained to earry out the activities and to exercise the responsibilities of the Verstand from 1925 until 1937, when the Aktiongosetz was passed.

This working committee included the more active and imporant members of the Verstand of each of the predecessor corporations and, in effect, constituted the responsible management of I.G. Farbon from 1925 to 1937.

10 Sept. 17-16-6J-9-6-Uracy-(Nota)-Court 6 case 6

Then there is a discussion which I think will have some important here. Before 1937, or before the early part of 1938, went the law of 1937 teck affect so far as Farben was concerned, before that time, there were both full members or ordinary members and deputy members of the Verstand.

10 September 47- M-SW-10-1-Urmsy(Netz) Court vi Case vi

Now no such thing is provided in German 1 w but it existed in Farben , legally any Vorstand member had the same rights and responsibilities as any other Vorstand member, but I suppose that, just as senior members or persons with more dominant personalities, often have a greater influence regardless of the legal rights or responsibilities, certain people came to the fore and here, to begin with, some of the younger men only had the title of deputy Vorstand member.

Now the defendant Ter Hear points out that many of the acputy members were important technical or comm rotal leaders and further that in the last years before 1937 the deputy members were allowed to participate in the working ecommittee meetings.

THE PT SIDENT: Pardon, me, ar. Sprecher, the Tribunal will rise at this time for its acon racess and will reconvene at une-thirty.

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

10 September-A-IL-13-1-Primeau (Int.Katz)
Court VI Case VI

AFTERNOON SESSION

(The hearing reconvened at 1330 hours, 10 September 1947)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: The prosecution may continue
with the presentation of its evidence.

MR. SRECHER: May it please the Tribunal, this morning I had reached that portion of the affidavit of the defendant Teer Meer which speaks of the Central Committee of the Vorstand. That is at page 95 of the English and page 78 of the Germand document book.

Now on the chart you will notice the Central Committee branching off from the Vorstand, both before the organization of 1938 and afterwards. Since a number of these defendants were members of the Central Committee, I think it important that we mention the general functions.

The defendant Ter Neer notes that the working committee of the Vorstand with 26 members was too unwieldy to conduct the affairs of the Vorstand and, therefore, about the year 1931, a Central Committee of about 8 or 9 members was formed. The Central Committee, of course, was under the chairmanship of the Chairman of the Vorstand, at first Dr. Bosch and later the defendant Schmitz. After Bosch became chairman of the Aufsichtsrat he was the only member of the Aufsichtsrat he was the Central Committee meetings. The Central Committee, therefore, was purely an executive committee, to begin with, of the Vorstand to which one representative of the Aufsichtsrat came.

Now, in the middle of the page the defendant

10 September-A-IL-13-2-Primeau (Int.Katz)
Court VI Case VI

Ter Meer indicates that the Central Committee at this time considered important business in technical questions as well as major personnel questions and he enumerates a number of these major points.

Then he notes that when Bosch went to the Aufsichts-rat and the defendant Schmitz succeeded Bosch as head of the Vorstand thereafter the importance of the Central Committee declined very considerably and he states:

"Major business and technical questions were handled less and less by the Central Committee, and by the time of the Aktiengesetz in 1937 the activities of the Central Committee were largely restricted to considering personnel appointments from the rank of 'Prokuristen' and above and financial contributions."

The next pages contain some listings of the members of the Central Committee and members of the Vorstand Committee. I will pass from that very rapidly.

On the top of page 97 of the English and 79 of the German the statement is made: "Both before and after the Aktiengesetz of 1937 the Vorstand under German law was responsible for the direct management of the concern."

Now, if your Honors will look at the chart again, looking at the left and right we see the Technical Committee and the Commercial Committee. In the affidevit the defendant Ter Meer goes on to discuss some of the functions of the Technical Committee and the Commercial Committee and he notes that most of the Vorstand members were

10 September-A-IL-13-3-Primeau (Int. Katz) Court VI Case VI

advised of what was going to transpire in the Vorstand meeting by virtue of the fact that the respective members of the Technical on the one side and Commercial on the other had just previously met in the meeting of these two most important sub-committees of the Vorstand.

He indicates further that, with respect to the Technical Committee, the defendants Schmitz and von Knieriam participated very regularly and the defendant von Schnitzler quite often in the TIA meetings.

THE PRESIDENT: Pardon me, counsel. Since you have indicated that this exhibit is of some considerable importance in showing the organization of the Farben setup, I am sure the Tribunal will wish to read it quite carefully and, if you can conserve any time by just suggesting the parts of the document that you consider most important, perhaps we can move along a little more rapidly.

MR. SPRECHER: Yes, your Honor.

The next several sentences we consider particularly important because they indicate that decisions made at the level of the Technical Committee were in effect decisions which the Vorstand almost automatically approved.

THE PRESIDENT: You are now referring to grammatical paragraph 9.

MR. SERECHER: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

MR. SHRECHER: And he also points out that there was never sufficient conflict between the Vorstand members so that they ever had to come to 10 September-A-IL-13-4-Primeau (Int.Katz)
Court VI Case VI

the question of taking a vote. The matters were sufficiently adjusted and the decisions taken without such a formality.

Now, in the next paragraph, paragraph 10, there is a statement concerning the normal order of business at the Vorstand meetings and the prosecution feels it important to note that each of the chiefs of the major committees in succession made a report on the activities of those committees before the Vorstand. You will note the fact that personnel appointments and contributions which had been made or proposed by the Central Committee were parried to the Vorstand for approval.

The careful way in which the agenda was drawn up is mentioned on the next page at the end of paragraph 10, and we note in paragraph 11, if your Honor please, that the minutes of the last Vorstand meeting were always read in full and approved at the next Vorstand meeting. We believe that important again on the point of knowledge because if a particular Vorstand member missed a particular meeting we think that, quite apart from talks that he might have had, he got general advice concerning what went on in the next meeting.

Now, there is a discussion in paragraph 12 concerning the pursestrings of the corporation, if I may phrase it that way, in that the discussions go into the manner in which credits or in which new investments and extensions were made; and these matters, after having been consolidated in the office of the Technical Committee, had to pass

10 September-A-IL-13-5-Primacu (Int. Katz) Court VI Case VI

through the Commercial Committee in full session before being approved. We think this very important on the question of the knowledge of affairs transpiring in the corporation.

With respect to those things involving engineering the TEKO first passed upon the matter, and there again we think knowledge was brought to a large number of people in connection with these projects which had to do with rearmament.

document book, page 84 and 85 of the German document book, there are some paragraphs concerning several of the giant figures in the history of I.G. Farben, Carl Duisburg and Dr. Carl Bosch. We think it important to note that, despite the force of the personality of Bosch which no one can doubt, the defendant Ter Meer indicates that after the Sparten was formed in 1929 -- your Honors, I have turned to page 104 in the middle of paragraph 16:

"After the Sparten were formed in 1929 Bosch consulted and advised often with the Sparten heads but he had no legal right to direct them and that was not his practice."

Now we come down to another very important figure and that's the defendant Schmitz and in the affidavit in paragraph 17 there is a discussion of his position. Now, under the law of 1937 the Chairman of the Vorstand could either be the Fuehrer, or the entire Vorstand could be considered the Fuehrer of the concern. Now, the Chairman of the Vorstand thus could either be "Primus inter pares," the first among equals, or he could be the

10 September-A-IL-13-6-Primeau (Int. Katz) Court VI Case VI

complete Fuehrer of the corporation and we will present extracts from the law concerning that point; but here we have the defendant Ter Meer's summary concerning that, and he notes that Farben chose the second elternative, so the defendant Schmitz was the first among equals.

It is noted further on that, since he was one of the - or perhaps the principal, financial expert of Farben, his determinations concerning that particular branch of activity were quite decisive.

The next page -- that Schmitz got around into the various committees is noted. He was ordinarily present in the Technical Committee during its meetings and when there was not a conflict at the time of meeting with the Commercial Committee he was often found there also.

Then, going on to the next paragraph, 18, the position of the defendant Karl Krauch in Farbon is mantioned and we feel that is a very important paragraph. After the year 1938 it has, I think, by this time become apparent that it is sometimes difficult to tell when the defendant Krauch was acting as Goering's first deputy in the chemical field and when he was acting on behalf of Farben, but here Ter Meer takes up a number of points that arose because of Krauch's dual position, and at Ter Meer's suggestion the defendant Krauch was removed as the chief of Sparte I and the defendant Schneider came into his place as the Chief of Sparte F.

582

10 September-A-IL-13-7-Primeau (Int. Katz) Court VI Case VI

Toward the end of the paragraph the tremendous force of the Krauch office and the tremendous force of the figure of Krauch in the Four Year Plan is mentioned and later on, if you will turn over to page 110 of the English, page 90 of the German, you will note that the local Gauleiter of the Party in Frankfurt, or in the Frankfurt area, the headquarters of Farban, made an attempt to put some Nazi officials or some Nazi favorites in its three main Vorstand positions, namely, those held by defendants Schmitz, the defendant Ter Maer, and the defendant von Schnitzler; and Farban was able to reject this proposal completely and Ter Maer remarks that this was because of Krauch's high position in the government.

MR. SPRECHER: Going back to Page 108, the membership of the TEA during the Mazi Regime, that is membership of the im ortant technical committee, is given with the periods when the various people held those positions. Paragraph 23 is important in that it shows that the Defendant von l'nioriem and von Schnitzler perticipated appropriately when reguired in the TEL pastings, largely in the description of the Defendant Ter Meer, when he thought it was important that they be there. In the next paragraph the amphasis of the definee upon decentralization becomes apparent and I fel it fair to bring out the defense position concerning that. .ctual production planning was principally a matter for the local works menager. If the leader of a works combine thought production planning in one of the smallerplants was not in order he took steps on his own initiative to correct it. If the Sparte leader considered such steps did not have to be taken, that ordinarily the difficulties in the verious plants were ironed out in various subcommittees of the technical committee. Now, the question of voting Farbens shares comes up in Paragraph 29. We will pass that rather wriefly. The important point, we believe, is that the beaus owned most of the shares and the voting rights in the shares and voted them in block in the same direction and always in favor of the Vorstand proposals. That, in effect, means that the comust shareholders' meeting of Ferben was a nominal meeting and, in effect, wielded no influence upon the affairs of the concern whatsoover. In Paragraph 30 the function of the aussichtarat is a repository for honorary characters and for some of the persons whom Forben wanted to hoppy is indicated, but from the chairman and perhaps the ufsichtsrat committee our view point is that the ufsichtsrat was relatively unimportant. It's noted in that paragraph that, in effect, the chairman of the .ufsichtsrat.

and the chairmen of the Vorstand agreed upon the candidates for aufsichtsrat membership. Now I come to the next document, Ni-5186. With your permission that incument may go in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 333. Here is another officevit of the Defendant Ter Meer concerning the techmical organization of I.G. Farben. On Page 115 of the English, Page 96 of the German, the note is made in Paragraph 3 that the technical members of the Vorstand who constituted more than a majority of the defendant in the dock, had their seats in the works - that is, in the plants themselves, - excepting the Defendant Ter Meer who as chairman of the techmical committee , had his office in Frankfurt. 'e point that out. Your Honor, because it shows again the knowledge which these individuals had of the Farben set-up and then these same individuals come together in the TEA, in the technical cosmittee, and again the communications with such gentlemen as Struss, the Chief of the Office of the technical committee, in order to keep the affairs of Farben on a unified plane. The Defendant Tar Meer underlines the importance of the individual plants in Paregraph 3, and concludes by saying, "If course they had to adjust themselves to the gen ral policy of the concern," Now, Your Monors, referring to the chart before you, I would like to point down to the green on the left-hand bottom side. There we have the works combines on the left and the plants on the right, and the chain of command or the chain of policyforming is indicated by a line which runs directly in between the plants and the works combines. The t was done advisedly because in many cases it is very difficult to a scertain, particularly over a period of year, just when a particular works combine was important in connection with certain of the data sions important in this case. And we have left that open so that decision can be made in the individual cases. But in Paragraph 4 of the Ter

Goar affid wit a disquesion is made concerning corrdination of the works-of the plants-and the first element discussed there in the ports combine. The Defendant notes that these various plents in a uniform geographical district were brought into closer contact through regular meetings in which took part the respotive numbers of the Vorstand and the titulary directors, both of the books and the smaller plants. The head of the works combine would regularly relate the major topics of the 1 at mosting, of the technical committee and of the Vorut ad. and then representatives of the sales combine, to other over on the comnercial side, would also report the details of their activity, to underline, Your Monors, thouniform nature of the concern and the oracasive coordination, at least at the higher levels. Bon, in sub-paragraph wow of Paragraph 4, the Defendant Ter licer lints the various sub-committees of the technical committee the Time. altogether the technical committee itself had thirty-sim subsidiary consisting. These are not shown on the diart. I think that the listing by the Defendent Ter Neer is very accurate with respect to these thirty-six committees, and no myo and both the German and the English there so that there can so no expection about the transpostion. The Engineering committee, in turn, had five sub-committees. Now, already we have had tosicorable discussion concerning the three Sports. Ter Meer indic. tos the production fields of the three Syrte at Page 120 of the lightish and at Page 101 of the German. This seems to us (chernly quite accurate. How, again, with remost to the cowilantice, you will note that the Sparte held meetings before We technical committee, and you will note that the minutes of to Smale meetings were circulated appropriately so that again the sociac of the techican committee, the Defendent Schmitz's frice, and the sales combines in the cases where the sales

representatives had been present and were involved, all had knowledge of what was going on at that level.

this line of evidence that you're now offering is naything other than to cotablish knowledge of and responsibility for the Furbon melicies on the part of these defendants?

IN SPRICHER: That is the larger purpose, I should say,
Your Honor, additionally we had thought that it would be nelliful
in choring the functions of the various defendants in their
individed 1 especialists.

subject-matter? Well, in turn, would relate to the same

in. SPEC Day You, sir.

And Th STDEET: Very well.

ours you will want to read, and with that I will pass to the next Commont, NI#5187. We will mark that in evidence as Prosecution Debibit 334. The importance of this officient Ter New is partly because it includes a rather thorough study which he made in Errorstory prison in the year 1935, with the assistance of a number of the defendants now in the took. And therein he includes several statements.

IR. SILCHER: Dr. Silcher for the Defendant von Knieries.

IR. President, before this is submitted may I clarify the following point. In this affidavit of Mr. Ter Meer a number of statements of other persons are quoted, and I only wanted to clarify that these quoted statements cannot be introduced as pieces of evidence themselves. May I ask you if that is correct or not?

officavit of Fer Neer which includes the statements is evidence

and that he was given copies of them, and it seems to us that there is statement herein, emergy where the Defendant Tor Near himself these issue with these particular statements and indicates that he personally subscribes or does not subcribe to those particular statements. It is a question whether or not the various personal und subcribe to those statements are telling the truth or not. I think those are questions which a 1 to to whight rather than a missibility of these individual statements contained in this efficients.

DR. SILULE: I believe that I didn't empross a real closely amongh. I wanted to ask emether it was not the intention of the Prospection to introduce the statements of these other gentless: which are moved only as to their context and not literally, whother it we not the intention to introduce these quoted passages as emiliate the solves separately, or by including them in the affillowit.

perconcion and get the natter quite fairly before the Tribund by including the entire statements within the Efficavit rather than encorpts, thereof, and if the defense counsel has any question as to whether or not the full statement is included, that would run to an important point, and we would be glad to have him check the original statements.

unity to read the exhibit, and, therefore, is not fully edvised on to that those statements may be, but I think that counsel may well understand that if the purpose of the quoted statements in the affidavit is calculated to bind a defendant or to constitute substantive evidence against a defendant, we would take notice of the fact that such evidence would be hear say. However,

10 3cm - A-MS-14-6-Stone-Ints. Schon-Remier Court 6, Caso 6

no conto, at this time, pass upon the pertinoncy of those quote attents, because we haven't had an op ortunity to expand the focusent and unless counsel can point out a little nore specifically the carracter of the quotations bout which he could ins, we will not be in a position to intelligently pass on this objection at this time.

II. ET. (MER: May I make the suggestion that the exhibit be considered marked only for identification until I have had an expertunity to go through it a little bit more, Your Honors, and then we can hear from Dr. Silcher again.

be lone.

10 Sept: 47-1-BC-15-1-Stone(Int. Ketz)
Court VI. Case VI

MR. SPRECHER: At the bottom of Page 126 of the English and at the bottom of Page 107 of the German, there is a notation. -I'm sorry. that is the next page -- Page 127. .. that in the early part of 1946 an American investigator informed one of the defendants that they were from to talk over their defense in case there was any possible trial against the leaders of I.G. Farben, and further, that there-after many of these defendants availed themselves of that opportunity. And then reference is made to a statement which Dr. Schmitz had given to the American investigator on the 17th of September 1945. and that in werch, 1946 Schmitz produced what he described as an exact copy of the demorandum. And the memoranium which we had copied into this affidevit was the exact copy according to the defendant Ter Leer the gave the memorandum to me personally. And it was thereafter included in this effidevit. On that point if there is any contention by Dr. Girlichs, defense counsel doe Dr. Schmitz, that that is not a true copy, we shall be very indebted to him if he would point that out. Now, the statements made in the ...

The FRESIDENT: Now, counsel, let us understand ourselves here. To though that you had this marked only for identification, and it was subkect to further consideration as to its edmissibility. If that's true, it would be more or less a waste of time to so into the contents of the document at this time, would it now?

MR. SERECHER: Well, it is my feeling that having told this much of the history of the document, Your Honors, that there could no longer be any question of its shrissibility.

THE PRESIDENT: We may be laboring under a misapprehension, but I understand that counsel for the Prosecution and Defense were going to have some negotiations with reference to these quotations before the document was introduced. Now, if that is not true, we will be very happy to hear you, but we want to have a clear understanding about the matter. What is the position of counsel for the defense in respect to this matter?

DR. GIRLICES: Mr. President, I should welcome to have accepted your

10 Sept. 47-A-BC-15-2-(Stone) (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case VI -

suggestion that the admissibility is to be reserved and be suspended until on agreement has been resched between the Prosecution and the defense, in order to clerify the questions mentioned just now. At the same time I should like to give you my view about the quoted statement of Goboinret Schmitz, and I should like to say that I am in no position to make any statements about the fact, whether the document in the form in which it is here quoted is identical with the surrendered original statement which was made, for the original statement is not available to my client and it did not rest and ressin with him. It is a fact that the document was, first drafted by one of the interrogators and that changes perc made repeatedly before my client decided to sign it at last. The history of the document is pertly contained in the second document in -hich Gehelmret Schmitz revokes his first statement. However, any discussion about such a quastion would load us far astray at the moment. and it would be more expedient to discuss this question internally betwoen the Frosecution and the defense, if the Prosecution is at all desirous of doing so. Therefore, I should like to agree with what was said by Dr. Tilder, whether the statements contained in the new offidavit of Ter Meer should be introduced as independent exhibits.

THE MESSIDENT: So think that the Tribunal is in a position to make a disposition of this matter for the time being. I may say that the Tribunal is deeply appreciative of the fact that by informal conference you gentlemen -- and we speak of the Prosecution and the defense alike -- have been able to solve some of the problems that otherwise would have consumed considerable time of the Tribunal. We are going to ask the Prosecution, since it has had the exhibit identified, to hold it for the time being until such time as you gentlemen have an opportunity to confor in the hope that it will expedite the termination of this controversy If it does not, then will be time enough for the Tribunal to pass upon such. The record, therefore, will show the identification of the document, and its admission in evidence is held in abeyance until counsel

10 Cept. A7-A-DC-15-3-(Stone)-(Int. Katz)

have had an opportunity to confer.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, may I make one further suggestion, that if you find it convenient, could you read the document between now and tomorrow's session, or between the next time we take up similar matters?

THE FRESIDENT: I'll say to counsel that that was one of the unexpressed ressons for the ruling just made. We shall have read it before
the next session.

MR. SPRECHER: Excuse ,e sir. The next document NI-5178, may be marked in as Prosecution Exhibit 335. Your Honors, this is a copy of the by-laws of the Verweltungerst or the administrative board, here called administrative committee, the Vorwaltungarat of the Aufsichtarat. That appears on the 1932-1937 chart if you recall, and I don't think. in view of the Tor Meer atetement concerning the Aufsichterst, we need detain ourselves over that document at this time. We have put in. Your Honors, many of these basic documents so that you would have a full record, in case it was necessary to check some of these fundamental docaments of authority, but I don't believe it's necessary to either read from them or that you should necessarily read all of them unless the matter becomes an issue of contention. Document NI-9939 will be marked as Prosecution Exhibit 336. These are the by-laws of the aufeichtsrat of I. G. Ferben in the year 1938. We point out the time particularly because this is the by-laws efter the reorganization in Ferben, pursuant to the Aktiengesetz of 1937. In Paragraph 5 the normal functions of the Augeichterat are mention od. In Paragraph 6 there is mention of the selection of the Aufsichtsrat committee and with this reference I think we can pass from any further explanation of that part of the chart which has to do with the Aufsichtsret committee. It's compentency, was particularly in the field of remuneration of the Vorstand mombers and the approval of credits to Vorstand members and principal employees. More important is the next document, NI-8934 which may go in as Prosscution Exhibit 337. Here we have the by-laws of the Vorstand of I. G.

10 Sept. 47-A-BC-15-4-(Stone)-(Int. Matz) Court VI, Case VI

Farbon, dated December, 1938. This is, in effect, the whole charter of the Vorstand and states the bosic legal basis upon which it existed. In Paragraph 2 it is indicated that the Worstand should be called together about once a month for a general session, and further, that it is the duty of every Verstand member to call attention to matters, the knowledge of which is of important to other Vorstand mumbers, especially as it may facilitate for the latter an over-all appraisal of the entire business. And then in the next paragraph, and to think this quite important, is indicated that, on a rule, the individual Vorstand member has to submit matters going beyond the framework of his ordinary business to the full Voratend for decision. Going over the next page, Paragraph 3 we see an exception. There the individual Vorstand member is permitted to take independent action without the prior approval of aufsichtsrat, where he is unable to give notice and where a serious disadvantage to concern might occur if he did not take such unannounced ection. The jetagraph concludes by indicating that it is the duty of the Verstand member to report his independent action at the next meeting of the full Veretand. Here now, if we may so into the topics which were considered very important and which were required to be discussed before the Verstent, I think you will find that they are listed in Faragraph 2. Just above, on Page 178 of the English and 158 of the German. Meet of the basic matters which would be involved is most of the things upon which the Prosecution relies in establishing the besis for criminal responsibility in this case. In Paragraph 4 the fact that there was a central committee of tur the reorganization, as well as before, is brought out, and I think it's important to note, that there were certain matters desiring confidential tractment which might be brought up in the central committee initially and then might or might not be brought to the ettention of the full Vorstand. And it's noted at the end of Paragraph 4 that the central committee omed the duty to the full Vorstand of making a report on actions it had taken between the Vorstand meetings, "unless very exceptional reasons make this appear

COURT VI CASE VI

May we pass then to the next document, NI-6120, that will be marked in as presecution exhibit 338. This is an affidavit of the defendant Krauch, which starts off with a description of the complicated situation prevailing at the Oppau plants. Then in paragraph 3, Krauch discussed the functions of the Technical Committee and the Commercial Committee as he sees them and he notes toward the end of paragraph 3, which we think is particularly important:

"In the Technical Committee and the Commercial Committee all
matters relating to I.S. business affairs on the technical, production
and commercial sides were thoroughly discussed, so that the Vorstand
members sitting on these committees were fully informed about projects
requiring Vorstand approved when they were submitted to the Vorstand
mitting as a body. The Technical Committee and the Commercial Committee
had no matherity to make decisions, only to deliherate and to recommend."

And it the beginning of paragraph 4 the defendant Krauch says that the entire Vorstand was fully informed of the credit needs and production requirements of the individual plants.

And at the beginning of paragraph 5,

"The Vorstand leaders of the plant directorate were directly responsible to the Vorstand." Sometimes they reported through the channels indicated above, the Sparten, and sometimes they reported directly to the Vorstand, which in turn regarded the Vorstand members of the plant directorate as responsible."

Mention is made that this is true through Farben and that this is not only the legal responsibility, but that the Vorstand is regarded as having direct knowledge of plant operations, acquired directly through the plant directorate or through the joint direction of the Sparten, the Technical Committee or the Commercial Committee.

In paragraph 9, a reference is made to the numerous sub-committees which performed certainly tremendous functions in Farben and the way

COURT VI CASS VI

in which their activities were coordinated by proposals to the Sparten, to Tea and to the Vorstand, as the case might be.

Now in paragraph 12 I think Krauch supports the view that Ter Meer head of the functions of the Working committee notices that that committee in effect the Verstand worked with the full authority of the entire Verstand.

He notices at the top of page 183 about the middle of paragraph 12, "In effect the Varstand after 1937 was the same as the Working Committee before 1937."

Now by checking the list of Vorstand members after 1937 with the list of members of the Working committee before 1937, Your Honors, I think you will see that thet statement is well based and it is indeed the view of the Prosecution.

I don't think we need have to be detained with the reaffirmation in paragraph 13 of the functions of the Aufsichard and Verwaltungerat.

The next paregraph agn'n goes into the work of the Central committee and there we might note again that in the early years the Central committee was the top management unit of the Vorstand. After 1937 Its authority was decreased and Vorstand was given authority to prepare the program for themsetings, based on financial contributions and personnel appointments.

In the last paragraph - paragraph 19 - I think it is fair to point out that the defendant Krauch cutlined the general theory of the Recentralization, which is underlined by most of the defendants in this case.

With your permission, I will pass to the next document, NI-6649, which is and affidavit of the defendant Ilgner, which may go in as prosecution exhibit 339.

DR. BACHHEIM: (Counsel for defendant Ilgner.) May it please the Tribunal, I should like to suggest that this document be supplemented 10 September 47-A AK-16-S-Mochan-(Katz)

and completed. In the German translation there are a few ommissions which have been put in handwriting by Dr. Ilgner and which apparently the lady who copied this document could not rend. I assume that the English document also has a few ommissions, and I should be grateful also if they are corrected.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, I propose that that be considered marked only for identification until the required corrections in the copy in the German book can be checked with the translation, so that we are cortain the books we are working from correspond to the affidavit in evidence.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, that will be antisfactory to the Tribunal.

WR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, I only wint to point out then that
we will not have to come book to the document, assuming that it is
checked properly. The one thing I have in mind here, I was not intending to use the document at this time is correction with what is on
page I of the original, I morely wish it to indicate the consideration
beginning with page 2 of the original which I have personally checked
with the German insofar as this sontence is concerned, "There was no
difference between the logal responsibility of the deputy and the
regular members of the Vorstand." Then the statement: "The Gentral
Committee could be considered the nucleus of the Vorstand and had
a special responsibility." The defendant Ilgner, who was not a member
of the Central committee, notes that sometimes decisions made by the
Central committee were only later referred to the Vorstand for
approval. With that explanation, I will not have to come back
to the document, assuming that we find the copy is correct.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal doubts if that is a very good

practice for this reason: Technically at least the document is not

before the Tribunal until it has been introduced in evidence and if you

COURT VI CASE VI

don't come back to it our record will never show that it was introduced in evidence. I believe it will be more orderly hereafter, - we will not disturb what you have said, - I believe under these circumstances it should be marked for identification, held in abevance until it is checked, marked for identification and then be before us for all purposes.

MR. SPRECHER: The next decument is NI-8935 and that may be marked in evidence as prosecution exhibit 340. These are the articles of incorporation of I.G. Farben beginning with the year of 1938 and then annotated alterations in the articles thereafter are made by "r. Buhl. A deceased Verstand member, are contained in the notes at the end.

At the end there is a certificate which explains the decument.

Your Honors, it has just been pointed out to me that the German document book contains English copies instead of German copies and therefore I propose without further ado we consider this marked as presecution exhibit 340 for identification. Until we can deliver German copies to the defense, no further reference will be made to this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. SPRECHER: The next document is NI-7306, which may go in as prosecution exhibit 341. This is an affidavit of Herman Baessler, concerning the history and organization of the Central committee. The affidate Baessler was the chief of the office of the Central committee and in this affidavit he describes the members of the Central committee from the time of its formation in 1930 on down to the and and he shows the changes that were made. I think it is important in passing to point out that the defendants Schmitz and Schmitzler were members of the Central committee from the very beginning, that is 1930 and that on the 1st of January 1933, the defendants Cajowski, Moerloin, Krauch and Ter

10 September 47-A-AN 15-5 Machan-(Kata)

was adding the three then Sparte heads to the Contral Committee

The defendants Knieriem and Schneider did not become members of the

Contral Committee until the end of 1938 and, of course, the defendant

Krouch when he became chairman of the Aufsichsrat could no longer be a

formal member of the Verstand committee. At the end there are certain a

compilations which Beessler makes concerning payments which have bearing
in connection with paragraph 1-A of the indictment.

DR. GIRLICES: Way I point out to the Tribunal that according to
the affidevit itself the witness Baessler was not the head of the G.A.
but that he was the director of the offices, a man who was concerned only
with the technical workings in the office itself, whereas the actual head
of the office was another superior person. I consider it important
to point this out since the man who is in charge of an office is only
concerned with the organizational questions, whereas he is not so
well informed about convections.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a matter that will may be taken into consideration in attaching what weight the affidavit is entitled to receive from the hands of the Tribunal.

Court 6, Case 6

will mark in evidence as prosecution exhibit 3h2. This document gives the by-laws of the Technical countities and of course it refers to the original by-laws of 1925. We do not have in our possession, nor have we run across any amandments to these by-laws which were formally made at any later time. If there by any, we would certainly agree they would be relevant for Your Manor's consideration. We just want to point out that the functions of the Technical countities and the field of its consideration is indicated in these original by-laws, which are very important and very far reaching.

On page 219 of the English, 201 of the derman, yet will note that the remagers of the TL wave orlinably chosen according to the principle works combine. We repullations for the handling of credit requests, a point to bick we mill one book again and again in this proceedings, are given at the and, beginning at page 221 of the Inglish and page 203 of the German.

Fasaing to the next document, II-10003, may we mark that in evidence as prosecution exhibit 3h3. This is an affidavit by Dr. Lin'made, concerning persons the wars mathems and quests of the Technical countities. I think it is in general agreement with the statement previously in avidance where Dr. Ter liver mentions the numbers. It is a little bit more full - a little bit Paller with respect to the quests or visitors. I don't think that is a determining point, Your Tomors, because it has generally been sersed a number may be relatively inactive and a visitor may be relatively active. In our event periods are shown.

We can then was to the most securent book.

THE RESIDENT: Just before you do that, lot the Writemal remind the Prosecution and the Counsel for the defendants, who are interested in the matter, that Estimate 33h, 339 and 3hD have been marked for indentification only and are not in evidence. To will appreciate any cooperation that counsel can give us in the way of informal conferences that will iron

Court o, Case 6

out the difficulties with respect to those documents.

W. SPECKER: Your Honors, may I make one observation in connection with what you have just said? There are a number of matters which a number of defense counsel have been taking up with me concerning some of them. I can make a preliminary statement at this time, but most of them will inquire a little more time. With the permission of defense counsel, I would like to suggest next Tuesday at the latest we should be able to go back and not only check a lot of these atters, but that would give me time to consider some rather streamous objections which some of the defendents have to a number of points which they know to be the Presecution's task concerning the proof. It has becoursed in a number of cases, we have been colle to avoid bringing contentions issues to you. If that is not too for off, I would like that much time, Your Honors.

THE PRESIDENT: Coursel my use its own judgment as to when the matter may be called to the Tribunal's attention and again way we say that we are grateful for the success that has been attained by these informal conferences and we would like to suggest that if counsel for the defendants can anticipate that some document is about to be introduced or will be introduced, with respect to which there may be some question with reference to translation or omission, or whatever type of character, if you can call it to the attention of the presecution staff in advance, it will certainly conserve your time, as well as ours in the trial of the case.

in. SPRECHE: Passing then to decement book 13 and to the documents contained therein. The first document is VI-6505 which we would like to mark in evidence as presention exhibit 3hh. This is a letter of the defendant Ter Meer to a number of persons, including the defendants Ambros, Murster, Autenschlaeger, Muchne, and Buergin. The year is 17 November 1939. Our principle purpose is to show that the defendant Ter Meer in his capacity as chairman of the Technical consistee did take action to see that on such a matter as maintaining a co-mon attitude, a uniform attitude, in

dealing with certain Foreign delegations wrote a letter and attached to it another letter from the defendant Ter Neer and the defendant Ambros, which had been written to the defendant Krauch, already at the time Goering's deputy.

I might point out in the attached letter from the defendants Ter Meer and Krauch, page 3 in the English and page 5 and 6 of the German, there is the following statement in paragraph 5:

"We should be very pleased if other German firms of the chemical industry were also called upon to make processes available to the U.S.S.R. We are included to think that other firms are, at present, considerably less busy that we with the installation of new plants."

The next document is NI-L859 and may we mark that, with Your Gonor's a raission, as prosecution exhibit 345. Those are extracts from the minutes of the Technical coimittee from 19 March 1941. In the first paragraph there you note that one of the speakers gave a survey of the developments of the two works, Ludwigshafen and Oppau, in the beginning up until the time of this meeting and had charts present to explain it. Our purpose is with respect to knowledge.

Scot 47-A-PM-18-1-Stewart (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case VI.

Passing to the next point, Activities of I.G. Farben in the new eastern territories, will your Honors note the entry, "Details are briefly given regarding the project of this new large scale plant which is also scheduled to carry out part of the production of the new varnish schedule.

Then turning the page, entry two concerning the -before I get there that report on Auschwitz is made by the
defendant Ambros, and concerning paragraph 2, the report
was made by Bustefisch concerning the Everstengrube, which
was a mine located mear to suschwitz, which will come up
again later on in our discussion and presentation of Count
III.

Our main purpose here is the Irrger sentence below, the second sentence below which indicates the Ausematz work
is to be combined with a larger plant planning to come to the
area of control of main Group I, Main Group I, being Sparte 1,
the Sparts which at this time was under the direction of the
defendant Schneider.

Note that the defendant Bustofisch is making the report, and that the prior report concerning auschwitz is make by the defendant, ambros.

without anticipating the materials we shall present under Count II Your John, I think it advisable to woint out a number of matters here which go to the point of view of knowledge, and the organization of Farben in connection with some of the matters that will come out in Count II.

In the next paragraph you will note that Ter Hoper makes reports concerning negotiations and dealings with the French dye stuffs group and in the next paragraph with the Praga Verein which was a Czech chemical concern. It must be recalled that over one-half of the defendants in the dock were members of the technical committee to which these reports

9-Sept 47-A-PM-18-2-Stewart (Int. Katz) Court VI Case VI.

were being made, and note specifically that the minutes of this particular meeting show the following defendants ca being present; Schneider, Bustefisch, Ambros, Fer Meer, Wurster, Lautenschlaeger, Vashne, Hoerlein, Kuchne, Buergin Gejenski and von Knieriem.

Your Honors, the next document is NI 1029, already in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 47.

Now yesterday, evening after the session a number of defense counsel and I had a discussion concerning this chart, and I promised them at coast time I would lay this point before your monors, namely, that there is some possible confusion concerning the direction of the plants on the left hand side, under Sparts 1; in any event, the defense so claims, and the prosecution wants to addit the possibility that the relationship of the defendant Schneider and Suptofison, to each of the plants shown there, can possibly be clarified by additional proof, and the defense wanted that to be made plain now, and I have agreed to make that plain to you so that you won't have that clara is going to be some reservations made. We can possibly agree.

Now your Honors, there is one further point which I meent to come to at the noon recess, and it decreed my attention.

This morning I made a remark in connection with the Ter Meer alfidavit in which I stated that certain of the alleged Jave in the Aufsichtsrat and I.G. Farben could not have obtained some of the rights and rpivilogus they implify had not been Christianized.

I want to make myself very clear and certainly to withdraw some of the inferences which defense counce, took

% Sept 47-A-PM-18-3-Stewart (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case VI.

from that statement. I meant merely to indicate that some of these gentlemen were entitled, as you would notice from the "von" in front of their names, that those titles were derived under the time of the Seperor and not under the second Republic, and if there was any contrary indication, or any further indication beyond that, I shall withdraw it.

PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will take advantage of this opportunity to observe that incidents of this character, -- and certainly we do not say it critically, - but incidents of this character can, to a large measure, be avoided if counsel of ring documents will simply state what they contain and refrain from rating the significance or the well-int of the document, and removed that this Tribunal intends to be liberal in the allowance of time for argument when we reach the stage of argument in the trial of the case.

MR. SPRECHER: Dr. Magner, defense counsel for Murster, also points out that with respect to surster, some further affidavits have been obtained from Struss which will clarify his position there. I do not have them before me now, due to reproduction problems, but we shall certainly present them.

The next document is NI 7895, and may be marked in as Prosportion's Exhibit 346. This document is n copy of the by-laws and the functions of the works combine Mittelrhein, date May 1929. It is pointed out that the works combine is to hold regular conferences, and the bit is to accomplish certain missions, which we believe are self-explanatory.

The next document, NI 6105, may be marked in as
Prosecution's Exhibit 347. This is a certificate by a
netary of the filingof a contract with the Nobary, and
the date of it is theyear 1938. This is a contract between
I.G. Farban, and anotherGerman concern, Hibernia, concerning

Sept 47-A PM-18-4-Stewart (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case VI.

the formation of the Chamisone Worke Huels; the I.G. Farben controls a majority of the snares of Brabag, and also is one of the important Buna concerns.

You will notice that here two concerns are the partners in another concern, and therefore, if you will go over to Page 20 of the English, on page 26 of the German, I believe you will find that powers of the Aufsichtsrat are nore than is ally strong, and that the aufsichtsrat has powers so as to insure control over the menaging, - actual local managing directors of the concern in a way that would not exist for the extension and arrangement.

Now, your Honors, I was a little troubled by your remarks before, because we want to present this evidence in the most understandable way possible, but sometimes I think that it would be utterly immessible for you at this sing to understand entirely what we have in sing unless we make some comments concerning the value we place upon the focument.

I fully agree with you that at times we may have tended to be on the argumentative side, and we appreciate having that pointed out, but I trust that you take no exception to such a comment as the latter.

PRESIDENT: We are inclined to rely on the good judgement and discretion of counsel for the prosecution as to what ought to be observed, but we do reserve the right once in twhile to remind you that you are departing a little bit from it, and we may say that in many of these documents that have been introduced, the significence of the document from the Prosecution standpoint is very well epitomized in your description of it in the index, which we understant, of source, is not in the record. That is a matter for your

% Sept 47-A-PM-18-5 Stewart (Ketz) Court VI, Case VI.

convenience and ours, and counsel for the defence, but all we ask, and what we would like for you to do is to refrain from argumentative comments as much as possible, and to make your statements with respect to the contents of the documents, and the purposes for which you are offering it as precise as possible, and we are not at this time, at least, undertaking to prescribe a rule or lay down an iron-olad formula as to how you shall do that.

MR. SPRECHER: Thank you.

The next document is NT 5142, and may be marked in as Proscoution's Exhitit 348. These are minutes of the first meeting of the Aufsichtsrat of Chemischs Worke Muels, and the document notes the defendant for Meer was elected cheirman of the Aufsichtsrat and it editely for licer proceeds to talk about the state of negotiation with the Roles and indicates that the business of the concern and buch going on very notively for some time, and I think the indication is that the Aufsicherat here is merely a control organization by Farbon and Hibernia to some that the directors are properly supervised.

We would pass thum to NI 5145, which may go in as Prosecution's Exhibit 349. This is the contractual note Motween muchs and the two controlling companies, IG and Ribernia, with the Jeutsche Ravisions Und Treuhandektien Jeschlachaft, which was reached in the German Roich. This is the contract which has to do with the financing by the Reich of Bunc Blant II.

The contract was signed at various times in the early part of Haron 1939.

PRESIDENT: If you will person the interruption, it is

(In Recess until three-fifteen)

10 Sept. 47-A-BC-20-1- (Schuab) (Int. v. Schon)

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunel is again in session:

MR. SFRECHLE: The next document is NI-678B, which is an affidevit by the Forendant Ambros, which we would like to mark for identification as Prosecution Exhibit 350.

DR. DFISCREL (Counsel for intros:) Mr. President, I should like to ask the Prosecution merely to offer this document for identification and not to offer it in evidence as yet. Through the kindness of Mr. Sprecker, I have had an expertunity to see the original and the English text. There are centralistions in the translation in the original, and the document is not complete. For example, on page three of the German text, No. 4, at the top, it says "Nitrogen-lost was produced for the first time in our laboratory." The same sentence is crossed out in the English document. That is because Dr. Ambros pointed; out to the interrogetor that the production of Nitrogen-lost was an american invention of the Hercules fowder Company, and that he did not want to claim the honor of inventing it. That is thy this sentence was crossed out.

Cher parts of the document are incomplete -- whole sentences are missing, and another inaccuracy is claimed on page 9, where something is missing.

I should like an egypartunity to discuss this end then offer the document when it is complete.

Mr. 21RECHER: I will pass then from that document, it having been carried only for identification, until me can see that the transcriptions are proper in both the English and the German, as you appreciate the difficulty coming about because of interlineation in the handwriting of the defendant.

The next document, NI-5681, which may be marked in as Presecution Exhibit 351, is a copy of a document which is a contract between I. G. and the firm Organia, O-r-g-a-c-i-d, and the contract was signed at different times in July of 1935. The Article 1 indicates that the Montan Industrie which was a set-up which hold trusteeship over a large number of plants on behalf of the Reich; that the Montan Industrie had commis-

sioned the Organical to build a certain plant at Assenderf for the production of othyl-exide from alcohol. This agreement indicates that Organical in turn, commissioned the I. G. to build the factory and to give all the necessary chanical-technical advice during the time of the setting-up of the factory and putting it in promotion.

In Article 4. Your Honors, will note that the agreement was made that any know-how, any practical experience, any trade secrets that were passed on sould be made use of by Organia only in this plant which was manufacturing on behalf of the Reigh.

In Paragraph 5 it is again pointed out that any of the manufacturing secrets gained shall be kept secret.

In the next paragraph, amployees of Organia core soom to secrecy to the extent that they could not even accept employment with other compotitors of I. G., except with special permission. That document will have more assuing them a prior document, which has only been marked for identification, has been cleared up.

The next document is NI-5682, and may be marked in as Prosecution Exhibit 352. This is one of a series of documents which we are about to introduce concerning the Montan plants. The full significance—and purhaps the most important significance of some of these contracts—vill come out in presentation of our material at a later time. My object here in connection with the presentation of material on the corporate structure is assay to present before Your honors amongh of these mater—isls so that you have clearly before you the legal techniques and the comporate means and instrumentalities which were employed in connection with these matters of substance.

This document, NI-5682, is a letter from Dr. Buhl, a deceased

Vorstend member, who is also a lawyer, in which he discusses, in the

month of January 1939, c rtain of the problems which come out of these

various aufsichtsrate in these trustee firms where the Montan concern,

the Reich concern, was involved. Our point in showing it is to show that

10 Sept. 47-A-BC-20-3-(Schwab) (Int. v. Schon)
Court VI, Case VI)

I. G. Farbon was concerned about what Reich and other representatives might learn about its weret processes, and was questioning the activity of the verious Aufsichtsrats which were set up allegedly to supervise those special concerns which were comed by the Reich--but operated in affect, and in fact, by I. G. Farban; and the conclusion is made that we far the Aufsichtsrats mackings of those various conc erns have so far been of a purely formal nature, and no serious discussions or debates care up.

I think Your honors will recognize that this has some connection with our theory that I. G. Farben and the top Maxis more not identical but that each, in his own day, had a reason for joining in a partnership, in connection with those events.

MI-5685 we would like to mark in as Prosecution Exhibit 353. This is a file memoranism of 31 Jenuary 1939 concurring a conference held at Projected-that was the headquarters of DaG--between Dr. Buhl, a deceased Verstand member of I. G. Forben, and a Dr. Schmidt, representing Perben's indiviguhation plant. And you will note that there is discussion again about the whole question of the Monten scheme as against the I. G. scheme.

Now, under the Montan achese it was normal that the operating concern should pay a rent to the Montan concern, and thereby ultimately to the Reich, which had financed the plant, which was besed on the gross profits derived from the plant. Now, that would mean, as is described herein, that the Reich would have to institute in some cases some invotigations to see what those gross profits were, and thereby get into the management of those businesses, at least to an extent which come of the I. G. Ferben people considered undesirable.

Now, in the I. G. scheme, which is telked about in paragraph 2.

The rent or the payment to the Reich would not be based upon the profits in the factory but upon an amortization and interest basis, and thereby the necessity for prying into I. G.'s affairs with respect to these

10 Sept. 47-1-BC-20-4-(Schueb)-(Int. v. Schon)
Court VI, Cese VI

financoial matters, and with respect to trade secrets, and so on by the Reich representatives would be less necessary.

On page 54 you find the DAG representative indicating that DAG's experiences under the Montan scheme have been quite actisfactory, and that the Army Ordnance Office, representatives of the Aufsichterat of the intermediary concern which was holding the Montan plant; had been morely of a formal significance.

Now, if I may maintion it, the inference we draw from that and other documents, so which we believe is well-established by this and other documents, so long as I. G. Furbon and DaG performed these military tasks, so that the and result was feverable to the military authorities there was no interforence by the military authorities in the operation of the concurs.

In the middle of the page you will note the statement, who do not desire the actual cost and selling prices to be sugmitted to the possibility of Reich control. On these grounds, preference is to be given for an inctoriou to the I. G. scheme and the Montan scheme, so far as possible, is to be rejected.*

The next document, NI-5591, Prosecution Exhibit 354, is a file note which describes the general scheme of the Montan organization in relation to these military plants which more Feich-owned and operated by I. G. Ferbon.

Passing to NI-5988, blob will become trascoution Exhibit 355, we have a mamo concerning the discussion on lumenti, L-u-r n-n-i-l. The defendant Ambros was present and opened the conference, and he indicates that: "It has to be excided that so for as cartain projects built by I. G. and financed by a third party, that I. G. should appear on the outside as a connected party."

Now. Luranil was a construction firm owned by I. G. Farben, and here is some discussion about the computation of costs, and so on. The proof of the fact that Luranil was a hundred-percent-comed by Farben is on the next page, just +.fore 3.

Down on paragraph is "Larandl will carry out its tasks chiefly with I.C. personnel."

And in paragraph 5 the advice to the OKH - that is the High Command of the Army - is mentioned in commention with the whole project.

This is a secret copy of any audit which was made of the Amergana Plant in Furch 1962, as of the end of Parch 1962. With respect to the Gendorf Works, you will note, ever on page 63, undermeath "the basis of the contract," that the Army Migh Command has nominated the Montan as the responsible party for the Condorf Works, which were ear-marked for Wehrmacht projects.

The Bendorf Works is one of the works which produced poison gas.

The next document, 6131, may go in as Presecution Exhibit 357. This document is a similar audit of the Dynamfurt Works of Amergana, as of the end of Warsh 1962. If you will turn to page 69 of the English and 109 of the German, the contractual besis is set forth:

at the expense of the army with Command shall serve Tehracest var-const.

The ONE has appointed the "contan as manager of the undertaking. The

Montan in turn has concluded a loane contract, such and such a data,

with the Amergena, whose shares are whally owned by I.C. necessing to

which the maintenance and operation of the plant are in the hands of the

Amergena."

This document, we believe, may be helpful in showing you at one time or enother when questions come up concerning the matter in which the Reich exercised the necessary influence over plants during the war. The paragraph 1 shows those plants of 1. G. Farben. You will note Czechoslovskia plants and some plants in Austria are included, among others. The plants which were under the direct supervision of the General Plenipotentiary for Chemistry, and those which came under the Reich Ministry of Economics.

Now, the last paragraph on that page states that the control and planning of the plants is understood to cover the steering of production as well as the supplying of the descade for raw materials, including coal, power and the procurement of workers, etc.

This will be important in connection with the responsibility in connection with Count 3 on Clave Labor, among other things.

The next document is MI-7015, and may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 359. This is a discussion by Dr. Verner Mansfeld, who was an important official in the Reich Ministry of Labor, concerning the Betriebsfuchrer and there may I stop one moment? A number of the defendants were Betriobsfuchrer -- Which may be translated as "plant loader." In the sonse of the law of January 193h, by which it was required that each industrial astablishaunt have a Fuchror, or a leader, who was responsible for that concern in commetten with labor authors, and under that law which we shall introduce in a later document book, it was the duty of this person - the Putribafucker -- to be the locder in the sense of the Leadership Principle Mich was the most besic governing rule in the Maxi political, economic and social life. And Mansfeld, who had quite a bit to do with drafting this law, discusses it here, and I think it will assist you in attaching whatever significance is to be attached to the Betriebsfuchror who are to be found in the dock, particularly in connection with Count 3.

On page 78 of the English — and that will be on 138 of the German — Mansfeld discusses the transformation from the more or less democratic basis in dealing with social welfers matters under the Weimer Republic and the transition to the Fuchrer Prinzip under the National Socialist Program. He notes on the bottom of the next page that the "employer bimself is primarily liable for the social welfare of the workers. But where the employer was represented by a manger be was responsible for the solection and retention of the manger, and thereby indirectly liable."

And then, for our purposes, since I. A. Farben was a stock corporation, the description at the middle of page 80 is significant:

"If, however, the logal person is marely a cover for an individual, or individuals, who in fact determine the economic aims of the industry and operate its technical means, the person or persons in questions are employers."

innsfeld notes that he wrote a book concerning the whole question of Botrlobsfushrer and the law for the regulation of national labor which had very broad circulation.

We go no further into that at this thee but we did think it important that the T m: Betriebsfuckrer" of some significance at this thus, before we want on further.

The next document is H-5159, and it may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 360. This is an affirevit of or. Thank Follo, who was the Secretary or Protokoll Parker of the Gos arcial Cornition from the time of its re-establishment in 1937 until the Corner colleges, with the exception of cartain priods when he as may on army survice.

We now get over on to the convercial side of Farben's organization, and I would like to mention that at page 4, about Fahle's view as to way the Convercial Condition was re-established in August 1937:

Excuse me, that is at page 85 of the Phylish and on pages 115 and 146 of the Cervan. In the middle of page 4..." Perhaps apong the connercial Vorstand members, who later on became members of the MA, the following reasons for the revival of the MA were more important. The discussion and clearance of questions of general and mutual interest of a smaller group than the Verstand, or the old Connercial Committee would help to improve the then existing situation where more and more often the various cornercial departments and the sales combines and different and senetimes even opposing decisions on business questions of general importance."

Court VI Case VI

MR. SPRECHER: On the next page, page 86, the members of the Commercial Committee are given. Your Honors will note that the defendant Schnitzlar was the Chairman until 1937 to the snd and of the defendants who ware mambers were Paul Haefliger. Max Ilgner, Wilhelm Mann, Heinrich Oster and the defendant Kugler was a member from 1940 to 1945. You will also note Itam 10 Paul Mueller who was an Aufsichtsrat of the Four Year Plan attended the mastings of the Commercial Committee aven though the Commercial Committee was a Vorstand Committee and normally only Vorstand Committee members attended and this is not bacause Mueller was an Aufsichtsrat member of the Four Year Plan but because he was a Chairman of the DAF as we will directly hear from the first minutes of the Commercial Committee.

Over on page 88 and 89 of the English, 149 and 150 of the German some of the questions which came up for discussion before the Commercial Committee are noted.

On page 93, Garman page 155, there's a discussion of the manner in which the minutes of the mastings were made and the broad distribution which was given to the minutes of the Commercial Committee mantings.

Now, may I ask your Honors' parmission to turn to page 97? That's paragraph 15 of the document and page 160 of the German Document Book. There's a reference made to a number of committees which we feel is important in connection with Count 3 on spolistion and we would like to have the basic

Court VI Case VI

outline of the committees laid before your Honors in this connection because they had some relation to some of these important agencies in the organization of Farbon. The minutes indicate that during the mixed committee both commercial and technical as sither membors or guests were asked to doal with greater Farban problems. Particularly for the maintanance for industrial participation in foreign countries. The first committee of this kind was the Far East Committee headed by Waibel. The next was the Southeast Committee headed by Dr. Kuchne, the defendant Kuchne. Dr. Ilgner was an active member of that committee and the third committee was the Africa Committee headed by Dr. Mann. It concerned itself with I.G. matters in Africa and the fourth was the Russia Committee, also the defendant Menn, formed after the invasion of Russia in 1941.

Now, Frank points out on the next page, that is, both committees dealt with both technical and commercial problems and consequently neither or none of them can be considered as subordinate to either the Commercial Committee or to the Technical Committee.

The report from these committees to the Vorstand or to the main Vorstand Committees took place in different ways which Frank mentions here.

Now, your Honors will find that at the bettom of page 98 a list of the members of the Southeast Europe Committee and that committee included the defendants Kuchne, Bustefisch, Cajewaki, Haefliger, Ilgner, Menn, Gattinsau and Kugler. The Fer East

10 September-A-IL-22-3-Burns (Int.von Schon)
Court VI Case VI

Committee includes the defendants Haefliger,
Ilgner, Mann and Oster. As guest the defendant
Buorgin.

I would like now to pass to Document NI-653 and will go in svidence as Prosecution Exhibit 361. This document is the letter of the defendant Schnitzler to Geheimrat Bosch who then was the Chairman of the Aufsichtsrat of I.G. Farbon concorning the re-establishment of the Commercial Committee in August of 1937. You will note in the second paragraph of the letter that Schnitzler points out that there are a number of political, cooncaic and financial questions referring to political, decommical and financial policies which transcand the competence of the Sparte and are of concern to the whole I.C. and whore decisions made by one group are likely to influence the decision or the interests of another group. Then he notes that in connection with the Four Year Plan there are certain problems to a certain degree over defense politics and Schnitzler indicates a need for more comprehensive surveys in a smaller group than the big I.G. meetings and Schnitzler notes that these commercial committee meetings can be best held in Berlin because of the public interest which are connected to Berlin. Your Honors will recall that one of the principal offices of I.G. Farben, Berlin WW 7, was in Barlin.

I would like to pass then to NI-5198. Pardon

DR. BACHEM: Excuse me, I believe the

10 September-A-IL-22-4-Burns (Int.von Schon)

translation was wrong just now. The German text says that the execution of the Four Year Plan, which to a certain extent had to precede the december one. The translator just said it was co-ordinated with the defense plan. I would like for this to be corrected.

MR. SER MHER: Your Honor, that's another question of translation. I think we can perhaps clear it up by a discussion outside. Dr. Bachem, will you take the initiative in taking that up.

The next document NI-5198 is already in evidence as Pressention Exhibit 251. For a minute I would like to read at this time only the short paragraph in paragraph two where the defendant von Schnitzler refers to the Commercial Committee: "The KA --" that's the commercial committee -- "was not entitled to make I.G.'s policy or to decide over problems which did arise in the different domains of I.G.'s activity, the decision and responsibility was alone with the Vorstand. The K.A.'s main task was to bring these different activities of I.G. as far as the commercial side is concerned on a commen line. All problems of actuality were being discussed."

At the end of that statement, the defendant schitzler notes that if he didn't report concerning a recommendation of the MA to the Vorstand, then it as left to individual Vorstand members to make the report. Now in-that was taken from the statement that Schnitzler and in August 1945 and in 1947 he made an addition which follows on page 2, and he indicates that underneath the desent in achitzler sometimes things didn't run in I.G. Farben as Schnitzler claims, according to the way in which some of the commercial men would have preferred.

I regret that I can't read at this time another affidavit which is in the next document book on that same point. That completes Document book 13.

The resident: Are you slong next to book 14?

LR. Stalin: Yes, your honor. Bear with us just a minute. I am short a book till I send to the office for it.

This restrict: You may so shead if you are ready.

I will catch up with you in a moment.

Question has just been raised by Dr. Bachem concerning which I think the prosecution should make its view clear. Dr. Bachem asked me if these materials were intended to to only in support of appendix B or If they had to do with understanding the over-all allegations of the indictment.

I should say that it certainly woes beyond appendix

B. As I said quite some time back, and it woes to presenting evidence from which we think the individual arts of the indictment can be better understood, and I think I should say it also woes further towards some of the various points underneath the various counts of the indictment, as the subject matter very well indicates.

lo Sept-A-12-23-2-Stewert (vom Schon)

The Finds Them: You are now speaking of book 147

Int. Structure: I am speaking of the mathers I have
been putting in concepting the corporat or wall tion and
relating to them and to san, other topins with high wa
are concerned and the oter as in the indictment.

That same Thin it says - old.

ATV. It is HI 4927, it may to in as prospection's exhibit 362; here, your monors you have the munites of the first meeting of the reconstituted Commercial commercial

The defendants present the gen length I. Reefliger, figure, and lead van letterteler refers to the feet that the commercial condities for an appropriate to the feet that the defendant leading, and confidence that the following the first commercial leading of I.C. Marbon. The ruture member ship is noted and your names will note that perors the first point DAG again comes into the victore.

This is august 1937 and this is before cornary has committed any sets of secression. Austria has not jet been invaded. It is noted that we will implied to be invited to the meetings of the conservated conserved as representative of the explosives group which in Cernary is the Spreadstoffaruppe.

Then going down to par. A. Lr. Algaer makes a nute, makes some comment concerning the E. 7-Cr. calization, and indicates its utility in connection with Perben problems.

Then just to say the tone of these meetings, and diter determining the tone I may be sale to avoid making reference to many of these entries in subsequent minutes. You will notice the constant explases in the commercial

lo sept-A-AB-23-3-Stewart (von Schon)

committee meetings upon happenings in foreign countries.

In 1937 there is a report on Latin America, at the bottom of page 4 are questions of dealings with the planipotentiary for iron and steel, which indicates cooperation between some of the commercial and technical monnersVermittlungsstelle, , in dealing with the question or iron supply.

Then there is scries of reports concerning the situation in South America, Spain, Chi.s and J.S. Treasury Agents and the attention to be given to the, etc.

The next uccument is document of 49 59, which may go in as prosecution's exhibit 303. These are the minutes of the second meeting of the commercial committee held on the loth of September 1937 in a meeting with the defendant von Schnitzler, and and Oster. You schnitzler, maeriller, finer, mann and Oster. You will note the references to loreign countries, and here you will note on rage 12 the interests in Skoda-works (S koda-worke). We think this is rather important because this is the time defore the time then positive operations under international Law could take place, but jet we think the notives of Parson in certain dealings read is indicated here in such a way that it is important to bring it out.

and ma. I read on page 12 seginning with the second sendence of par. 8 herr meser-addrag reports on measures to be taken in austria which are at present under consideration and then, "Their aim principle is to establish close relationship setween the I.G. and the okoda-merke wetzler a.G. as also between the czech and austrian branches of the D. manit a.G. formerly alfred hopel & Go. and the okoda-merke wetzler, in order to prevent General-director rollak in this way from seeking closer connection with other

lo Sept-A-RH-23-4-Stewart (von Schon) Court 6 Case 6

catini, and to determine him from pushing on his plans, either alone or jointly with one of these groups, for the industrialization of the chemical industry in Austria".

Then turning to persure h 9 on page 12, the defendant liann suggests that the IS Verbindungameenner, the considential agents across, invite various managers of the sales company selling IC products to attend informal discussions on general economic problems, which are or interest to IC.

The su_astion is _enerally approved and the Virbindun_smanner are to be so inforced.

You will note that how the commercial peo le determine to investig to cert in To oslav and deposits, that
agreement is reached to recommend this proposal to the
working committee, so I say have a major point comes up,
for discussion in the tomacrical committee, the matter
was passed on to the Verstand there again it would be
brought to the attention of a broader group of these
defendants.

Now going down to pare raph 14, we think again we are able to establish the intimate knowledge by these commercial Vorstand memoers, and other commercial leaders in the dock, with some of the matters closely related to the 4-year plan, and the rearmament.

It is noted that "In view of the problems accumulating in connection with the h-year plan export, and the foreign currency situation, etc., it appears absolutely essential for all agencies of the IG to maintain the closest contact with the Folitical Johnsy De arthent, with regard to conferences or negotiations with authorities, associations and political or anizations, so as to assure a uniform attitude of the I.G. to all of these questions. Dr. von Sch-

lo Se t-R-10-23-5-Stowert (von Schon) Court 6 Case 6

nitzler will report on this matter to the Central Committee.

In this connection, the question of collaboration between
the Political Economic Department and the Vermittlungsstelle
W is also discussed."

At this time your honor, the _efendant Gattineay was the head of the wiro, which was the head to get to-_ether with VB discuss these metters. COURT VI Cass VI

10 Sept 47-24-1-A-AEH-Stewart (Von Schon)

Faragraph 18 is important in thit the defendant Schnitz asks Dr. von Schnitzler to report periodically to the working committee of the Verstand concerning the basic questions which have been discussed in the commercial committee.

The next document-

JUDGE HURRIS: Mr. Prosecutor, I hotice that you have a long list of minutes, and you have stated that you attach considerable importance to those first two exhibits, 362 and 363. The first was the minutes of the meeting of the Commercial Committee held in August, 1937, the next one in September, 1937, apparently dualing with the consideration of the expansion of the Farban activities into some other countries, but before there was any acts of aggression, while this organization, so far as the recordshows here, was simply a big chemical commercial and business concern, the like of acid there were probably many throughout the world, although perhas for of them as large.

Frunkly, I am at a complete loss to comprehend where documents of this kind are of the slightest materiality to the charges set forth In the indictment, other than possibly snowing that Farben was a big business concern, and I, speaking for myself only and not for the other members of the Tribonal, express the view that there is much wholly irrelevant and unconnected testimony that has gone into this record so far, and that right before us we have wamples of it here, and it is not any wonder that the translators are begged down, and this trial is being slowed down by putting into the record a mass of contracts, minutes and letters, that seem to have such a slight bearing on any possible concept of proof in this case.

This is not a ruling, this is just a statement of an individual member of the Court, and I wish you members of the prosecution might consider it a little bit with the idea that if it has any merit perhaps you are going too far into details, and getting too far out into the woods with your proof. That is all I have to say. I might say, Mr. Prosecutor, you may continue. I did not mean to throw you completely

OCUAT VI CASE VI 10 Sept 47-24-2-a-AEH-Stewart (Von Schon)

off of your track, but I am really making these remarks towards the end of the day so that you might give them such consideration as you down proper and they might be considered by my fellow members of the Tribunal.

IR. SPRECKER: It might be a convenient time to break off, unless you want to go on, your honors.

to counselfor the defense that we are now on the starting of a new book, of a great any exhibits. Now, I have run over the table of contents far enough to observe that there are a number of affidevits listed here which will be offered, no nough, by the presecution, an one course.

If there are any errors of translation or any ordesions or any inaccuracies that you find in your examination of these documents as revealed to you, I suggest to you the propriety of contioning those matters to the prosecution before the next session so that the time of the Tribunal may not be unnecessarily consume by attempting to reconcile those variations during the time the Tribunal is in session.

That is just a suggestion in the interest of them, and orderly procedure

The Tribunal will now rise until 9:33 tomorrow worning.

(In recess at four-twenty-five o'clock until 9:30 c'clock
September 11, 1947)

11 Sont-Hi-MS-1-1-hochum-Int, Ramler Court 3, Case 6

> Official trascript of the merican Military Pribunal in the Matter of the United States of amorica, against Carl Krauch et al, defendents, sitting at Murnberg, Germany, on 11 Scotumber 1947, 0930, Juntice Shake, Presiding.

In limited: Persons in the Courtroom will please take their Books.

The Honorable, the Judges of Hilitary Tribuani VI.

... Ilit Ty Tribunal VI is now in session. God save the United Status of moriou and this Honorable Tribunal.

There will be order in the Court.

I 22 SIDEST: Mr. Marchal, ere the defeat at in the dec.? ard protent save the defendant Warster, who is cosent due to illamos.

In Figure The prosecution may proceed.

IL. SP CEER: May it placed Your Honors, the presecution, with the concurrence of the defense would like to ask for a briof (iscussion in chambers with Your Honors at the passe this morniz, or in case the witness to be called is finished, before the menne, we would like to have the privilege of discussing oden Your Lonors some questions of the procedure.

III PINSIDEFF: We will see you at the eleven o'clock recess, walon you finish with the witness at a carlier hour.

.... With Your Honors permis don, we would like to call a vitness out of turn, General Morgan, Wo originally inten od so have him come and testify in gonnection with our proof volating to production no the n ture of a caused industries in Germany. The witness has some of on ing engagements in London an with the Tribunal's permission ye would like to call the disess at this time. Nurribe

HID PRISIDER: Very well.

A.C. A.C. General Wargan, ple se

11 Sout-W-WS-1-2-Mechan-Int. Romler Court 3; Case 6

Brig. Her General Margan, is to be called according to information received by the Prosecution from the Secretary General of the Sul of Sertember 1947 to be asked about the following subjects, marely the strategic situation of the I.G. Farbon industry in Morld Mar I and its contribution to the development of application of the I.G. Farbon industry in the place forms this period of time.

The defense takes the liberty to noint out a misgiving that there we, from the fact that the prosecution his made as its subject the time, beginning with 1932, whereas this witness is to testiff. Boot events which took along from 1914 to 1918.

that alone defendants through the instrument lity of I.G. Farden project on all mand for this appropriate wir. We think it on-tively reliablity to show the nature of this instrumentality and the man lose of calling this witness is to show what the experience of the allied Governments were after the first World were in attention, to control this instrumentality is a potential arrestly and control this instrumentality is a potential arrestly and preventing an appreciate were, that the swidence we present to show as the instrumentality based on the experience of the Allied Governments after World War I is entirely relevant.

Di. Dollichia: Mr. Fresident, may I state oppressly that I
to not raise any objection here, but the defense werely points
out its Magivings.

or recoverage of testimony of a vitness, must necessarily dependent upon that the nature of the inquiry may be and she answer that any be anticle took to the question. The Tribunal is hardly in a position to pass upon such matters until the witness has been interrogated and we have some indication of the purpose of the inquiry. We accept this observation of counsel for the defence

726

11 Sont-i-WS-1-3-Mechan-Int. Remler Court 6, Case 6

as informal notice of the fact that there may be objecte as to the testimony of this edtness, and we will meet those problems if and then they do arise in the course of the origination.

COMU HUPPLAN MORGAN, a vitness, took the stend and testified as follows:

BY THE PRESEDENT:

Q. The witness will arise, hold up his right hand, state his none and say "I" and follow me in the administration of the outs. Hr. Litness will you hold up your right hand, state your name of say:

I, John Hopman Worgan, swear the the evidence I shall give chall be the truth, the whole truth, and nothing but the truth, so help me God.

(The idenose repeated the eath.)

PESIDE T: You may be seated.

DIRECT ELECTION

BY ITT.

- A General horgan, where doyyou reside?
- A In London.
- Q And most is your present culling?
- A I am a King's counsel and Barrister of Law
- C General, how long have you been a member of the British Bor?
 - A Since 1914,
- Q Were you a member of the British armed Forces in World
 - A You, during the Whole of the war.
 - I Am in what copacity did you serve?
- A I went out to France in 1914 and became staff officer on the Adjutant Gen eral's Staff.
- Q Were you a member of the British Kilitary delegation at the Peace Conference at Versailles?

11 Sort-W-1-WS-1-4-Mechan-Int. Rawler Court 5, Case 6 A I was, as an assistant adjutant General. you assigned one further duties? A I 105.

- I Upon the conclusion of the Treaty of Versailles were
 - C And what were they?
- A I was promoted to the appointment of Donaty adjutant General, with the rank of Brigadier General, to serve on the Control Court sion in Germany.
 - 2 Die ou hold my other offices of a rel ted nature?
- A You, I was producted by the British Government as Vice Chalman of the Government's Committee of Inquiry into War Crimos from 1918 to 1919.
- C General, is it a fair statement to say that the general function of the Alice Control Comi sion after World War I was to not the disurpment clauses of the Vers. illes Treaty word a rried out!

A Ton.

C Now, General, could you tell us very briotly and in a gonoral we the internal organization of the Control Commission and your position in that organization.

A The Control Commission possisted of an Inter-allied Commeil, which was the supreme governing body and general officers, of which was called Effectives was concerned to necure the Acresilization of the ordinary conscript army and the ost blishmen; of the new needs treaty army, known is the Reichwehr. The other sub-contingion which was called for short armoment sub-commission the concorned to dismontly and that down all the respect facorion at the munitions factories, including the chamical factories I.G. Farbon.

- C Coner. I, which of these sub-commissions core you a member of
- A I was a general officer commanding the Effective sub-

11 Scot-1-48-1-5-Mechan-Int. Remier Court 5, Caso 5

commission, do.ling with the my.

the that was the sub-commission that was charged with

A No, the sub-commission of which I was general officer commiding was concerned solely with the demobilization of the ordinary Army, as I have just stated, and controling the recruiting for the new peace treaty army. The other sub-commission—the Armanents-I was not a number of.

C Dir your official dities embrace the enforcements of the Vernailles breaty relating to the suppression of armament and the munitions plants?

11 Sept. 47-i-SG-2-1-icenen(Renler) Court 6 Case 6 A. Yes, because as the British milit ry representative on the governing body of the comission, the counsel, as we called it, and, of course, all massins relation to both sub-commissions on other words relating to the dismuntlement and suppression of the army and chemical facterios c'en a fere the Grandil, whomever they came up. A. In c muetica with your official duties, did you become familiar with the progress made in the dis remember f the chemical industries in Germany? A. You, vary to Dir. O. will you pie at tall us what you from to be time sition of the German chamical industries insurer as it relates to the distributiont clauses under the Versailles Treaty? a. The situation of found was this! Before the ar the German Chemical factories and made as explications want so ever, and in the Gurman goverament to found, but the same statements can be found in many German Military text bucks, like Curer I Schustok's book and Gemeral Aurabacher's book, the Corrent graver west grabled on the wer being ever in three to six menths. It was not, we the result was they been running out of their stocks of high explosives in porticular, ande not by the chemical factories. which never made may to mill, but by the privat explanive Instories. They thereupen called open the chancel factory, I.G. Barbon to turn over to the manufacturing of migh explosives. and I my anticipate here perhaps, what may be put to me alter on, the charactl factories immediately repended with enthusiasm - shell I saw - to the appeal, and they were respensible for the production of 73% for the wacle of the high explosives used by the Germans during the four years of the war. G. General, when you refer to I.G. Farban, I take it you mean the predecessor compositor of I.G. Farben, Badische, anilin, Baer and Rieshoim; is that c rroct? h. Yes, that is so. 7. What you just told us, General, I take it is vised on reports - 730 -

11 Sept.47-M-SG-2-1-Mochan-(Ranler) Court 6 Case 6

submitted by the investigators of the Allied Central Commission and its experts; is that correct?

- N. Yos.
- Q. Who were the principle experts of the Central Commission?
- a. There were ter, Colonel Eirer, a Prench officer and Dr. Wocks, a British civilian expert.
- Q. Those two experts, as you say, submitted reports to the Control Council; could you please tell us what the Control Council found to be the situative in the charical industries in Garmany?
- A. Well, in regard to war production, we found, as I just daid, that the chemical factories that had been completely mobilized for war produced, 73% of the whole of the high explosives consumed, and all the poison gas.
- Q. Did you flad that in 1915 there was an undue acceleration in the production of mitrates?
- the import of Chile nitrate, upon which the chemical factories were dependent before the war for the nitration of their products, was completely out off by the Blackade of British and French navies, and the supply of nitrates in consequence remout almost altogether. And there upon great developments took place for the first time in the development of the Haber process by the Brdische anilin Company and also of another process, both of which were surelin in the experimental stages before the war, the symmetric process for the production of synthetic manning, which was indispensable to nitrate-acid, which is the bottle nock or the key to both high explosives and propollent explosives to manufacture.
- Q. Did your infernation disclose that in about 1915 the supplies of nitrates in Germany was very low?
- A. It was so low that if the chamical factories did not come to the rescue Germany would have lost the war in 1915.
 - Q. General, you are not a chamist; are you?

11 S pt.47-M-SG-2-3-Loghan-(Ramler) Court 6 Case 6

- A. Ho
- Q. The information, which you received and analized, as I understand it, came to you from reports which you chemical experts on the commission submitted? Is that correct?
- A. That is so, but I also made it a point to study every Gorman military periodical I could get shold of, including Gomerel Surgitation's book and General Semmente's Book on the contribution ande by the chemical industries to mar production.
- Q. General, did you find at that time that I.G. Farben itself produced explosives?
 - A. High explosives?
 - Q. High explosives?
 - A. At that time?
 - Q. That is right.
- A. Oh, from that time forward, from about the spring of 1915, I.G. Farben was making nothing but explosives, except high explosives, poison gas and the intermediate products for nitro-collulese and nitro-glycerine, products which were mide in separate factories.
- Q. New, General, you state high explosives. Is there a differentein the kind of explosives in connection with this matter?
- A. Oh, yes, high explosives of course are very explosive, you could not put them in a rifle, for instance, they are used for guns and propollent explosives, on the other hand, I mean what is ordinarily called gun conder, particularly nitro-colluless and nitro-glycorine powder and they are used as propollents for rifles and for fuses.
- Q. Well, General, did you find whether I.G. Parbon was engaged in the production and manufacture of gun powder as distinguished from high explosives?
- A. Not the final stages, but the gun powder factories, if I may will them that, such as the Kohlen Ruppau factories and others were dependant on I.G. Barbon or the three proceed companies were dependant on then for the intermediate product without which you can't make gan powder

- 732 -

11 S pt.47-1-SG-2-4-Mochen-(Ranler)
Court 6 Case 6

or mitro-soid.

Q. It is a fair statement to say based on your experience that the companies in German, which were producing gun pewder, were totally dependent upon I.G. Farben is nitrates and similar plants for their manufacture?

A. Yos, certainly.

THE FRESIDENT: The Tribunal finds it necessary to admonish both counsel and the witness, that because of limitations imposed by the sound system and the necessity of translation that you are undely burdening our facilities. If counsel and the witness will pause appropriably between statements and sentences in order to allow the translation operatives an opportunity to catch up, it will facilitate the presentation of the evidence and the Tribunal feels we swe this to those very efficient and layal servants of the court.

11 Sept-M-AB-3-1-Putt) hata)
Court 6 Case 6

The william: Yes, m. Lord. If Your honors so desire,
I can repeat slowly all I have said originally if hr. amchan
cares to repeat his questions; I mean if there is anything fallen out of the record, I can so back.

The restlett: I think we are up current now but we just don't want to impose too heavy a burden in the future, and if Counsel and the witness will bear that in mind as much as possible, it will be very much appreciated by the Tribunal.

BY UR. ALCEAU:

Q General, may I call your attention to Article 168 of the Versailles Treaty which provides, and I quote:

"Within three months from the coming into force of the present Treat, all establishments for the manufacture, preparation, storage or design of arms, munitions or any war material whatever shall be closed down."

General, did the Control Council take any steps or attempt to take any stips in connection with the closing down of the plants and facilities use d by the chemical industry for the production of these explosives?

a We took such steps as we were allowed to take, but they were not satisfactory. By that I mean that if we had enforced that article which you have just read about the shutting down of all esbablishments devoted to the production of ar material, etc., we should and we could have shut down every chemical factory in Germany, in fact destroyed them, and the German Government refore we could proceed to do that appealed over our heads to a body in laris who were known as the Conference of ambassadors and who really succeeded the reace Conference after the Peace Conference was wound up. They represented the Allied Governments, this Conference of Ambassadors, and their

11 Sept-M-RB-3-2-Putty [Katz] Court 6 Case 6

duty was to supervise the execution of the Treaty of Versailles, not only in repard to disarmament but everything else, and the German Government, in order to prevent our shutting down all the chemical factories and the other factories appealed over our heads to the Conference of Ambassadors and said or ploaded that if we enforced this article and shut down the whole chemical industry, Germany would be unable to pay reparations. I won't venture -- it would be rather relevant for me to say that we often came to the conclusion that that argument was usterly false. that Germany never had an intention of aying reparations. but Conference of Ambassadors presumably didn't realize that and so they sent us a directive or instruction that we were only to destroy in the chemical industry such special plant as had been used for production of high explosives and intermediate products for propellent explosives and poison das.

appoal that was made over the head of the Allied Commission to the Ambassadors at laris was among other things that the destruction of these factories would origine the commercial production of the country. Was that one of the things?

A Yes.

Did your Commission ever point out to the Ambassadors at raris that these chemical factories which were now being represented as having a peacetime use were factories that here easily converted for war use?

A I don't remember that we made any formal representation to that effect because it was quite unnecessary.

The Conference of Ambassadors as the successor of the reace Conference were in posessession of official confidential reports made to the Tarks Peace Conference on this

Court _6 Case 6 very subject, two reports by a great authority on chemical warafare, Brigadier General Hartly, and the principal advisor to the ministry of hunitions, a great authority on explosives, Lord Loutham, and those reports the Conference of Ambassadors, of course, Lad in their possession, and they knew without our telling them what a menace chanical industry was to the peace of surope. & General, ar you familiar with those reports of General Hartley? A Yes; I have read every word of them. Q Do you recall whether there was an analysis set out in that report indicating just now these plants which had a peacutime use were readily convertible for war-time production? A Yes. Q Could you please tell us something about 1t? A Well, the rocesses used in the sanufacture of dyes on the one hand and of high explosives on the other are almost identical in the settor of nitration. For the purpose of making dyes there are two stages of nitration, For the surposes of making high explosives like tri-nitrate toluol there are three processes, and thr first two processes in the matter of nitration are absolutely identical, and the plant used for the nitration of products for the manufacture of dyes and for the nitration of high explosives is absolutely identical up to the last stage of work. & General, based on your experience on a Control Council, did you find whether any additional facilities were necessary to convert certain dyes to explosives? A Well, I wouldn't quite put it that way, if I may say so. It was not a case of converting the dye into and - 736 -

Court 6 Case 6 explosive. The point was that the intermediate products necessary to the manufacture of the dye went through, as I say, two stages of nitration. After that the resulting product of a high explosive went through two similar stages of nitration and then in the third stage when it was converted to a high explosive like ToT a special plant was necessary, special sheds, for instance. You say "special sheds". Did it require any extensive facilities to make the additional ditration process in order to convert it for explosives? A Comparatively little. Q General --The IRESIDENT: serdon we. The Tribunal sinds it necessary especially to remind Counsel to go a bit slower. This yellow light means just the same in his courtroom e. it does on the highway, to drive slowly, and if you pause appreciably between your question and the enswer of the witness and your next question, you will be very helpful to the translation staff. The WIRLES: well, thak you, Your nonor. I didn't realize until then what the yellow light meant. in. AlaChab: 1 cm equally guilty, General. BY MR. AMCHAN: G I understand, General, that you wrote a book about your experiences as a member the Allied Control Council during the World War, end the title of that book is "Assize of Arms", being the story of the disarmament of Germany and her re-armament from 1919 to 1939. Is this a true copy of your book? A It is. MR. ALCHA: May I ask that it be marked for identification? - 737 -

LL Sept M-RB-3-5-Futty (katz)
Court 6 Case 6

The radollant: That m

The redolbant: That may be done. Do you thaire to assign it a number for our reference?

and. As.Clan: I think that would be helpful.

The resoldant: that number will it be given?

MR. .MOHAW: 364.

THE RESIDET: 304.

IR. Aldiab: For Identification.

The ... MoIDAT: Thank you

BY MR. ALCH.II:

Q General, before coming to the witness stand did you refeash your recollection by rereading your book?

A I did.

Q In writing this book did you have before you at the time copies of the official reports and documents that came to you in your official capacity?

A I did.

and like to read two or three short encerpts of a page or two from the gook, and I up it soluly in the interest of expediting the proceedings.

Dd. Von instruct: Lay it please the Tribunel, Counsel von Netzler for the defendant, saul Nacfliber.

As far as I am informed, the Prosecution must submit documents to the Defense Counsel, and documents are also books, which they want to resent to a witness during examination in chief before they do this, and I believe this is also the practice of Eilitary Tribunals in this courthouse.

The indeliber: The Tribunal does not understand that Counsel for the Prosecution is undertaking to offer this book as evidence out rather understood that it Court 6 Case 6

was for the purpose of directing the attention of the with to certain subjects to refresh his recollection. Counsel for the Defense's observation would be entirely correct if there is any effort made to introduce this pook in evidence, but for the jurpose of refreshing the recollection of the witness or directing the course of Cousel's inquiry the objection is not well taken and will be overruled.

DR. von Maraban: May it please the tribunal, as far as I understand, this book is to receive an exhibit number. That means that it is to be introduced as evidence.

The rResident: The applement of an exhibit number to a document does not mean that it is to be introduced in evidence unless it is offered. It makes a reformance to the document whereby it may be identified for the purposes of such inquiry as Counsel for the opposition mandesire to make with reference to the future use of the document.

11 September 47-M-AK-4-1-Putty-(Knts)

The ruling will stend. BY MR. ANCHAN:

Q. In Volume I of your book at page 29 you quota Article 169 of the Versailles Treaty, which states:

Within two months from the cowing into force of the present Tranty
German arms, munitions and war material, including anti-aircraft
material existing in Germany in axpess of the quantities *llowed, must
be surrendered to the Government of the prin including and
Associated Powers to be destroyed or rendered usel as."

With respect to that Article the book continuos:

"The moment we began to examine the problem of war material, we realized that it was almost insoluble. The thing define definition."

And at page 30 of the book we continue:

"How were we to regard the west stocks of nitric acid and the plant which measuretured it in the German chemical factories? Nore we to stipmatize them as war material and destroy them and all the plants which made them? If we had, we should have wiped out the German chemical industry. I now think it unfortunate for a stricken world that we did not. Germany would then have been disarred effectively enough. Nitragen compounds are at once the most lethal and the most vital of chemical meants, a sourced of life and an instrument of death. Fittates are equally indispensable as fertilizers and as explainted. Or chloring, the innocent and unsuspected agent of the dyeing and bleaching industries before the for, until it revealed in March. 1915, on the fields of Spres the dark secret of its putency for the most insidious of all forms of warfare."

And on page 31:

"I he German Government took full advantage of their credulity by pressing upon them (that is the Feris Commission) the argument that the wholesale destruction of so many things capable of 11 Soptember 47-M-AK-4-2-Putty-(Katz)

Commorain use would seriously projudice Germany's empecity to pay.

We wore instructed accordingly. At this time more than one member of our Government was also exhorting the British public to help to get

Cormany on her fact. The result of our decision was that by far
the greater part of Germeny'was material remained in German hands
and the Articles of Peace prescribing its total destruction was never
anforced, but irrespective of such considerations as the effect of its
enforcement on the payment of reparations, Article 169 of the Trenty
was in fact largely unemperable. Setween was natural and peace
material it is as impossible to draw a hard and fast line as it is
to delineate contraband and conditional contraband or to distinguish
am armament factory and a shadow factory.

"Yours later, after the Commission of Control had been withdrawn the Disarmament Conference of Geneva rever so much as faced the problem it ignored it. In ignoring it, it abdicated. In other words, disarmament is and must forever remain largely as illusion. It can never be more than relative. You may beat your swords into plaushands and your speers into pruning hooks, but there is nothing to prevent the plaushand being reforged into a sword and the pruning hook into a speer.

"Therever a country has reached a high degree of engineering, mechanical and chemical development, however discreed she may appear to be, the rese will be to the industrially swift and the battle to the industrially streng."

Gonoral, do these quotations that I have just read fairly represent your experiences based on your activities with the Allied Control Commission?

A. Yes.

Q. Gonoral, I should like to call your attention to Article 172 of the Versaillos Treaty, which states: 11 September 47-M-AK-4-3-Putty-(hetz)

"Within a pariod of three months from the seming into force of the present Treaty, the German Government shall disclose to the Governments of the principal Allied and Associated Powers the units of and method of memufacture of all explosive toxic substances or other like chemical preparations used by them in the war or prepared by them for the purpose of being so used."

Could you please tell us, Gororal, what the experience of the Allied Control Council was with respect to a coforcement of that clause?

A. It was very ansatisfactory. We tank two uters to anforce it.

We, first of all, addressed a long questionnaire, a series of questions to the German Government and they appointed a committee of chemical and other experts, presided ever by Dr. Haber, to answer our cuestions. In fact, we really conducted a sort of written examination of these professors, and they all did their best to fail in the examination. Their replies were very clusive and very unsatisfactory and we had no means of compelling them to give us are information except by asking the German Covernment to put pressure upon them, which the German Covernment to put pressure upon them, which the German Covernment for abvious reasons - obvious to us -

Our second method was to interrogate. I rean for instance for Colosel Mirar and Dr. Letts to interrogate the heads of 1.0. Farben on their inspective of the factories, Leverkusen and Ludwigshafer and so on, and whenever they did that, they ame up against a stone wall. The discussor always said, oh, they couldn't disclose these scoret processes for texic gases because they would involve the disclosum of commercial secrets and thereby would injure the expert trade or the commercial activities of I.O. Farben, so we really never get further. And later on a certain commission, Heores-Friedens-Rommission, was set up in Berlin, which saw to it (as I will explain later) that questions like that, inconvenient questions, were not

11 September 47-W-AK-4-4-Futty-(Kots)
COURT VI CASS VI

answered.

Q. Gonormi, may I call your attention to Article 171 of the Versailles Troaty, which states:

"The use of asphymicting, poissanus or other gases and all analogous liquids, natoriols or devices being prohibited, their manufacture and importation are strictly forbidden in Germany. The same applies to materials especially intended for the manufacture, storage and use of the said products or devices."

New sould you tell us, Gororal, what was the experience of the Allied Control Commission with respect to the enforcement of that Article?

THE TITNESS: Low Homors, my answer to that question will be rather a long and technical one, and, therefore, I have written out not with your a present I will read to the Court by answer. And if it wants to be put in alterwards, of sourse, copies can be taken of it. I have only getter what I have written out here. May I--

THE PRESIDENT: 15 you have rore than one copy of that, Mr.

THE FITTESS: E., There only got my own copy, which I brought from Lendon.

reading an ensure, but it issues take the liberty of reminding him that semetimes we read more rapidly than we speak and will ask you please to read it rether slowly and deliberately and provide sufficient pages for the translation stoff to keep up with the reading.

THE ATTESS: Tos, Your Honor. Well, if I road too quickly, I shall see the yellow light.

Tell, our attempt to execute this clouse was not very success.
ful. As regards the words of the inticles of the Treaty of Versailles

11 September 47-N-AK-4-5-Putty-(Katt)

just rend by Coursel, forbidding the memufacture of liquids, materials and devices analogous to the manufacture of poisonous gases, this provision simply could not have been executed without exterminating the chemical industry. The whole of the poison gas -- as I have said, I think, in an enswer to a provious question -- used in the war of 1914 to 1918 was preduced in the I.G. Farben plants, and the earlier and simpler gases used in the war - of which I had some experience when I was serving at the Front in Lpril, 1915 -- the onrlier and simpler gases used in the war, such as chlorine and phospeno, required no new installations was tacover for their production in the chemical factories. They were already being produced for ordinary commercial purposes. But we did was vary briefly this: The rever was found that a special plant had been installed, in extra plant really, a special extra plant had been installed by the cherical factories during the war for the later stages of a texic process, the manufacture of poison gas, we wither serapped that special plant or transformed it. For example, the mixing vessels, the remation vessels and the stills used for the remufacts ture of the most dendly of the gasses or almost the most dendly, namely, mustard gos, we destroyed, but it was impossible to apply any universal rule.

To had to take into account the commircial history of each separage charical firs that we don't with. For example, when we found
that a firm such as the Rieshein Blokts. We ke at Bitterfeld had
installed a plant, extra plant, during the war with the sele object
of manufacturing phosphae for war purpose for use as poison gas, we
serapped the plant, regardless of the fact that phosphae is an
important element in dye wanufacture.

AH I spine too quickly, by Lord?

The PRESIDENT: As long as you don't receive the yollow light you may proceed. I warn you, when you have that, you should slow nown.

Gradual Mondan: When, on the other hand, the chemical factory or first concerned, as at Loverkisen, had been making this gas, phosphase for its dyes before the war, entirely different considerations arose, and we could not scrap the hole of the plant without laying ourselves upon to the imputation that we were destroying the industry itself. In fact, the German nationalist pross the whole time were violently attacking us, the Control Commission, on the ground that we were both sabotours, saboraving the German chemical industry, and commercial spies; and the government of the Weimar Republic took no steps, no proceedings attacks.

I recall that quits ourly on our arrival one newspaner of extrame nationalist views, the Dautsche Zeitung, had an inflammatory article haeded with the words "hill the Loty", inciting the German public to marger all of us centrus officers. The German povernmenttook no proceedings against the paper, but we were not worried. We hadn't get the wind up.

Well, without having any re and to those newspaper attacks, which we took no notice of, what we did was to limit the capacity of this prewer dye plant for the manufacture of phosphene at Leverkusen, to reduce its capacity by one half. That didn't mean one half of its capacity for dyes before the war, but one half of its enormous expansion for the manufacture of poison was during the war. That was at Leverkusen.

at Ludwigshafon, which was reponsible for fifty percent of the total production of poison gas used during the war, we made a similar reduction of its plant capacity; namely, fifty percent. The di-phosgene plant, which represents a step further in the manufacture of poison gas, at Hoechet and Loverkusen we completely destroyed.

And so with the plant for makeing thio-diglycol, the intermediate for the deadly mustard gas, at Loverkusen and Kudwigshafen; that

eď.

Yet, even here, discrimination had to be made. The othylene plant in those factories had been diverted to the production of synthetic alcohol for ordinary emmercial purpose, and so we left it untouched. Only the chlore-hydrine plant was destroyed.

On the other hand, the plant of Leverkusen for producing the brominuted and arsenic gasses which were made in the ordinary shops, we left alone because it could be used for peacetime products.

NR. ANCHAN: General, excuse the interruption. Could you try to emit some of the detail, and give us the general picture of your experience?

GENERAL MORGAN: Yos. I was going to proceed to deal with that we call the insoluble problem of chorine, but I will omit that if the Court so wishes.

MR. AMCHAN: Well, would you please, in a general way, give us the benefit of your experience on chlorine?

GENERAL FORGAN: They I read than what I have on the subject?

THE PRESIDENT: The problem is one of counsel and his witness. The force of the inquiry is within the control of Counsel in the absence of objections.

MR. AMCHAM: Coursel will use discretion in controlling his witness.
BY MR. AMCHAM:

- Q. Will you proceed, and give us in a general way the benefits of your experience on your attempt to control or de-control the chlorine plants, without going into detail?
 - A. Yes, I can do that in two or three sentences.

ment of plant and installations for the manufacture of liquefaction of chloring, which chloring is the bottleneck of poison gas production. But chloring might, with, almost equal truth, be described as the bottleneck of many branches of commercial production, such as the production of Mudro-chloric acid, dyes, phermaceuticals, textile bleaching, and many other industries. The chloring plant in the German chemical factories had been

11 Sept 47-14-86-5-3-Schwab (Katz)-PM Court 6, Case 6.

of poison gas, in fact, the earliest gas used was choloring but the chemical industry put in a strong claim for the retention of about five-sixths of it; and as regards the plant for the liquefaction of chlorine, although liquid conforms is — or rather was, until the development of respirators used by the troops for protection against gas — estential to cloud attack, the plant for liquefaction of chlorine was in growing lement for commerce. And in consequence we only reduced this plant at Ludwigshafen and Leverkusen very slightly.

I can sum all that up by saying that the not result of the Commission's operations in regard to enforme was that Germany's productive capacity of chlorine was left by about twenty-five percent greater, when we had finished with the chemical factories, than it was in 1914.

Q: General, did the Control Commission succeed in

A: No.

MR. ANCHAN: You may exemine.

DR. BERNDT (Counsel for def adant Dr. Ter moer): iir.

President, may I ask you, first of all, to grant the

defense a short recess because we would like to discuss
a few questions before starting cross-examination?

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal deems that a proper request, and we will rise for a few minutes. About how long do you think would be necessary?

DR. BERNDT: about a querter of en hour.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, we will rise for that time.

THE Marshal: The Tribunal is in recess for fifteen minutes.

(A recess was taken).

Court VI, Cesa VI

THE M.FSELL: The tribunel is again in session.

THE FF SIDENT: The Pribunal wishes to announce that it has concluded that we shall adjourn tomorrow at the end of tomorrow's session. I am in error. I have my dates of the week in confusion. We shall adjourn when we have finished with the cross examination of this witness and the completion of Book 14 until Puesday morning in order to afford Counsel an opportunity to compare their books and Counsel for the Defense to consult with their clients. We would wish to make it plear, however, that this is not to be construed as the astablishment of any precedent that will in any sense be binding upon the Tri bundl with respect to any subsequent requests for a longer weak-and time out of the Court. We are doing that because of the peculiar circumstances with which Counsel and Tribunal are presently confronted. The defense may proceed with the cross examination of the witness on the stand.

The SEFECT: if President, this comming there were the interruptions, then you emphasized her difficult it is to conduct this trial due to the fact that we have to use the immunger. To, the atternays, find it very difficult, due to the same directances, to follow an exemination of a witness, perticularly than the exemination covers a field which to legal then are not familiar with! today the witness has touched upon certain technical chapters and jects, and it is remarkable her he, as a non-chemist, acquired the knowledge conclude. We should like to suggest that, with regard to these questions which he has touched upon, we too should be permitted to put a few questions to him.

We surselves, that is, the counsel, eshnot, however, do so because to lack the export knowledge, nor to be have the time to acquire the knowledge, because it was only this marning that we heard which technical and chemical questions this witness would be speaking about.

I, therefore, take the liberty of suggesting to the Tribunal that the questions be gut by an export, and I feel that the suitable export would be one of the defendants the has at his command the technical knowledge necessary to put the questions to the mitness.

11 Sop 47-7-AJ-9-2-Burns-Frank Co rt 6 Caso 6

For this purpose the defendant, br. ter Moor appears to be particularly qualified, since he was the chief of the Technical Office. I telieve that if we were to adopt the procedure, we should simplify and eccelerate the procedure, and I also emphasize that in another trial that is, the medical trial, the doctors were parmitted to put technical constions to witnesses; and may I meally cention that the Prosecution told no that they, for their part, have no objections to this suggestion of mine.

THE MENIBERT: Does to need for the Presention desire to add applicant to what has been paid?

IN. A C Mi: Just a word that no appropriate the difficulties that infense Counsel is laboring under, and on our part we have no objections; because of the tenimical mattra that they say they want to interrogate on.

and will do so, but we fool that it is entirely possible that stree the defendant who is to interrogate on this question is permitted todo so, or requested by histocrast to do so, recesse of his familiarity with technical questions, he may in turn find hisself in some difficulty with reference to logal procedure, and we would admonish Counsel as well as the Defendant, Dr. ter hear, that this is not his time to testify, and that it will be necessary for us to confine him to the established procedure with reference to limiting his amanination to the field of cross-confine with reference to limiting his amanination to the field of cross-confined and that he will be afformed a timely opportunity to testify as a mixture, and that this is not that occasion. If found has admonished the Defendant in that regard, or will undertake to do so, and will cooperate with the court in confining this opens-examination to the proper field, Counsel's client may interrogate this qitness on cross-question.

Bit. EMENT: Thank you, ir. Prusident, and may I then ask What the Uniondant tor worr by allowed to proceed to the restrum.

THE PRESTRIMT: That may be done.

Court 6 Case 6

BY DR. TER MEER:

Control Commission commonced its activities in Germany?

A We actually commenced our activities on the date on which the Treaty of Versailles came into force, that was on January 10, 1920, but at the special request of the German Government, addressed to the Peace Conference, a sort of advance guard of the commission, a small body, arrived in Berlin, and I was one of them, in September preceding — September, 1919 — in order to discuss with the Reichswehr-Ministerium the questions of billeting and procedure and so on.

General, up to what year did the Control Commission work in Germany?

A That question is not quite so simple as it sounds. The Commission was withdrawn altogether in January, 1927, in other words, after we had been there in 7 years; but when the French Army, French Military authorities occupied the Ruhr, the and of 1923, I think -- I have forgetten the exact date -- the German government intimated to us that owing to the excitament produced among the population of Germany by the French occupation of the Ruhr, the state of feeling was so disturbed that they, the German government, would not be responsible for our safety, the safety of the Control Commission, the control officers, in making our inspections of factories and of units in barracks, and in fact, there were a number of

11 Sept.47-M-AJ-9-3-Burns-Frank IL

violent assaults upon French control officers, although there were no assaults upon British officers. I need not comment on that curious distinction.

However, as the result of the representations of the German government to the Allied governments, and to the conference in Paris, it was totally suspended from that date, either at the end of 1923, the beginning of 1924, until it was resumed in the latter part of 1926, the German government expressly stipulating that the inspections resumed at the end of 1926 should be the last.

11 Cop 17- -AJ-9-4-Durns-Frank
Court & wase 6

Q. Cemeral, I gather fro

- Q. Comersi, I gather from your remarks that it was the task of the Commission not only to destroy cristing plants which had been created during the war, but to carry out a utinous supervision of a why constructed plants and thus prevented how war naterial production.
 - A. That is correct.
- Q. At the time I myself at the factory at Derein an God makes

 From intlemen belonging to the Control Commission, and I present that
 the Control Commission to a very considerable ortent and continually
 visited the plants of those firms which later was analyzated into the
 I. C. Farben Industric?
 - A. Yes.
- In column this norman you said that the firms which later for ed the I. C. Farbon Industria in antiactured only redesives end notion as a during the sar. West that to my own impresses, this reark of yours in somewhat any make, since the works concerned also so timud to manufacture the state. It is, though to a more restricted products more also manufactured, and so a very considerable states heavy changes of all kinds which were put to other moun than a distriction of explosives. Noted you believe that this statement is not rect?
- A. Yos, I think at state and learn memberstood that corning. I did not say, or I did not income to say, that all the chemical Inctorios were engaged during the far only in the samufacture of high replositions and poison as. That I did not use that they were the only factories which made poison as, and made the whole of it, and that they said 73 per cent of high explosives, but they certainly your containing to make, as you say, does, drops to a restricted extent, as you put it, but I think I had better add to forman chargeal factories decided applications the decided factories decided the bur on off the exist of chargeal factories to some autout. For inst nee, the Grussinia lectron firs rectice a special factory at Decorits, I think, and no blow it up.

11 Sop L7-1-M-9-5-Burns-Frank Court 6 Case 6

Q. Schoral, this morning you pointed out that the microgen industry had been completely destroyed, since the nitrogen industry in formany condidered it as its chief task to produce nitrogen fortilizer for the production of food?

A. Yes.

Q. Now, is it correct to say that the large extensions of the nitrogen industry carried out approximately between 1920 and 1925, both at the Louis Morks at Hersabur and at Oppon, were not objected to you?

A. That is correct. There was another factory, if I recollect rightly, for the production of synthetic ammonia, apart from the Oppaulous factories, and that was a state factory greated at Mittanaurg, in Saxony, for which the Blich votes, I think, 15 million poends for construction. However, that our ears, is irrelevant.

It is quite true to say that we did not object, in fact, we felt that we could not object, to these wast plants for the production of synthetic amounts, because the could be used, and no doubt were at the limit being used, for the products of artificial manures; but their productive capacity was so energous that we had some doubts as to whether their productive capacity was not in secess of the demand in formary, and in countries importing furtilisers from Germany, was not considerably in uncess of the need for firtilizers, but that was, I actit, only a summission our part.

O General, you no doubt know that

Q describ, you so doubt know that the German sitrogen industry exported sitrogen fertilizer to other countries on a large scale and that the expansion of the plants in Germany was due to a considerable extent to the expert activities, since the chanical industry at the time was expecially called upon to obtain foreign currency for Germany and in that manner to support the life of the German population. Would you say that this is a correct representation off the fact?

A Yes, except that as I say, I think the allest in our orinion and in excess of the actual design, expert design for fortilizers, and obviously it could be utilized at any nement for
our production, but still we disit object, no.

Q. The use of the large nitrogen plants for war production would, as is known, necessitate the conversion of assemia into highly concentrated nitric soid. Bid you, yourself, not say this forming that those plants had been destroyed at your instignation so that the amount of nitric soid which was still permitted to be harmfactured in Germany was exclusively used for the production dynamics, pharmaceutical products, nitrate fertilizer nitrocollules forfilms and celludid and similar percentine articles, since the production of explosives for military purposes, as for as they didn't serve the needs of the small German army man, had been prohibited?

A Shot's rather what we call in England on Connibus question, I but I will try to break it up and simplify the maswer. I did not a - this corning, if that is what is suggested, that we destroyed or attempted to destroy any of the Oppose, Leuna . Marburg factories for the production of synthetic amonia. We nower fit that. But as regards your question about mitric actio, we-I thist, if I may say so, -you are confusing what I said about soison gas installations and mitric acid installations.

We considerably out down the poison gas installations, because in particular cases we found that factories had been erected during the ner for the sole production of poison gas, and, although they could be used for conserved purposes, we said.

While in a ner institution, we'll destroy it. As regards nitric acid, I foult remember saying this norming but perhaps up majory can be refrushed with pererence to the record-I don't remember saying that to destroyed any plant, for the production of nitric acid. I don't think I said that.

C Sometimes plants for the production of concentrated cold were attackly destroyed as fir as they went bayend the noofs of percetime production in Germany. Wy question, put briefly and precisely, means just this; you said earlier that in your coldies the nitrogen production in Germany during the 201s was considerably in excess of Germany's own needs, and I answered that not only Germany's requirements but considerable expert requirements for nitrogen fertilizers had to be filled and that the danger that the large mirogen industry in Germany might be used for war purposes or for forbidden rearmanent was averted because the large plants for the production of concentrated nitrogen fertilizer and so only production were destroyed and the production of concentrated nitrogen fertilizer and so only production were destroyed and the production, must be kept separate

THE PRINTPENT: The Tribunal finds in necessary to remind the witness -- pardon no, the defindant now questioning the witness that he is not presently to testing. Faither is he to employ in a colloquy with the witness on the stand. He is privileged, however, to cross examine the witness fully with row out to any subject matter concerning which the witness testified in chief.

11 Sont-La-15-10-3-Burns-Int. Frank . Court 3, Case 6 M.E JITES : Thank you, Your Honor, I was going to observe, whom I was about to answer that question, that apparently what the folderent was expecting no to answer was not a question but c. chouch. C. General, do you know that in 1923 I.G. commenced synthouse ever action of methanol and related aconol in their of me at Lama? A I cous to recall that, yes. Di the Control Camiesian raise object; is to this trio of A III. Curo? A Symphotic alcohol, no. Q Dar the Control Commission don't with the question of graphicale genelias, by hydro countion of coul? A To, we didn't doel with the at il, secure, gooding to our information, although there was already americant going on in the production both of synthetic oil and synthetic rubber, vac core in such a very alementary, experimental state and involved such an enermous expense that we didn't think it our buckno c. We didn't enticipate their developments during the law WHI. Q according to a report from the manager of the Bitterfuld plant, the Control Commission, also inspected the Dagnesium factory of the I.G. at Bitterfeld and came to the decision that dignosital could be produced as before. Do you recall whether with infor otion is correct? A Do you near na nesign or nanganese? a licenceius. A Not the medicine but the light metal? Q The light notal, yes. A No. I don't recall that. I news, I have hundreds of documents at home in England which throw light on that subject - 755 -

but, of course, I didn't bring them all over hers.

- Q Do you know, General, that Major Ball, was at the time a member of the Control Commission?
 - A I never neard of him. Was he French or Biitish?
 - C Drittish/
 - A Boll?
 - Q Ball. B-a-l-l-.
- A It's mossible. He wasn't a very important momeor ifno was I in a under my command about 170 British of floors. I comit recall, his nesse, but I don't dispute it.
- Q I simply wenter to ask whether you know that Major Ball
 at that the gave permission in person that in the Verdigen Plant
 the production of powder stabilizers could be continued as far
 a needed for the requirements of the small floot as well as of or
 arbicles of peacetime production?
- A You, that's quite possible. Under the Treaty of Verenillon one of the Articles provided that while all factories ongueed in war production should be closed down-the article quoted by Mr. Muchan this norming a certain master of factories must maturally be licemsed by us or entherized for the needs of the Reichmohr, in other words, to make guns, to make rifles and to make muntitions. In the particular instance you put to me, this particular factory with which Major Ball was concerned I don't read by remarker because I haven't in front of me a list of the contieries, factories.

but, of course, I didn't bring them all over hora,

- Q Do you know, General, that Major Ball, was at the time a manhor of the Control Commission?
 - A I nover neard of him. Was he French or British?
 - Q Drivish
 - A Bally
 - Q 3:11, 3-a-1-1-.
- A It's mossible. He wasn't a very inport at number if no meet I'm a under my command about 170 British of acces. I can't rocal, its ness, but I don't dispute it.
- Q I simily wented to ask whether you know that Major Ball
 at that the gave permission is person that in the Verdigen Plant
 the reduction of pewder stabilizers could be continued as far
 a monded for the requirements of the small flacet as well as one or
 explicing of peacetime production?
- A Tos, that's quite possible. Under the Treaty of Versaillos one of the Articles provided that while all fa tories engaged in war production should be closed down-the article should by Mr. Muchan this norming a certain number of factories and naturally be liceased by us or anthorized for the needs of the Reichwohr. in other words, to make guns, to make rifles and to make munitions. In the particular instance you put to me, this particular factory with which Major Ball was concerned I don't really remember because I haven't in front of me a list of the authorized factories.

11 Sept-M-FL-11-1-Primeau (Int. Frank)
Court No. VI, Case VI

Q.- General, this morning you pointed out that in the chemical imdustry the conversion from the production of peacetime products to the production of war material is a comparatively simple matter, because for instance, the intermediate products for dye stuff production are also nitraogen products which, by greater nitration, can be converted to explosives.

Is it correct that similar conditions existed in the remaining industries; outside of the chemical industry that, for instance, the steel industry could be converted relatively easily from peacetime production to the manufacture of tanks, or the motor car industry from car production to truck production, or the civilian aviation industry to the production of military aircraft.

These examples could be multiplied, I believe, and I should like to ask you whether this idea of a conversion of a peace industry to a war industry could with he assumed to be a general state of affairs.

A .- Yes, I think dect is true. For instance, in Krupp's, which I had a good deal to do with, in Shop 60, I think it was, that shop was devoted to making heary gens. After the ingots had been forget they were, of course, rifle of polished and all the rest of it on big gun lathes; and we were going to destroy that shop altogether on the ground that is had made nothing will heavy guns during the war, and Krupp's put in an appeal to us as where a certain number of the gun lathon because they could be used for commorcial purposes, such as making Badische aniline cylinders, and also they could be used for making propeller shafts of ships, and that was true, and so we spared them a certain number of machines. But I souldn't say that the steel industry generally could be converted to war production with anything like the ease and rapidity with which the chemical industry could be, because you had to put in, after all, special tools onto your gun lathes for rifling the interior of the guns; but the chemical industry turned over to producing chlorine, which was the first gas that was used. I had

11 Sept-M-FL-11-2-Primeau (Int. Frank) Court No. VI, Case VI

some experience of it in France when a surprise attack of gas was made at Tures — the chemical industry produced that in 24 hours with the same installations they produced ordinary commercial chlorine; So my answer to your question, summing it all up, is that the chemical industry was much more immediately convertible to warlike use than that of any other industry.

DR. TER MEER: Thank you.

DR. SERNDT: Er. President, there is no further question. May I present my thanks to the High Tribunal once again for giving permission to my client to put questions directly to the witness, and may I perticularly thank you for not confining him or limiting him excessively?

THE PRESIDENT: Any redirect examination by Counsel for the Prosecution?

HR. Al CHAN: Some short questions on redirect.

THE FRESIDENT: Just a moment, please. I think Counsel for the Defendant has something to say.

DR. LUBSERT (Counsel for Defendant Kuehne): Seneral, I have only a brief supplementary custion. May I put this brief question to you, General, in cross exam: = Mon?

Concral, this morn you said that the powder factories in their production were dependent to the chemical industry. Now, my question is this: was this a characteristic of German powder factories, therefore, confined to the lagal procedure attached to the later founded I.G. Farben Industrie, or did this dependence of powder factories on chemical factories exist in all countries of the world in the same way?

A.- Yes, I should say it did. Of course, I don't know all the countries of the world, but I should say probably so.

DR. LUNGERT: Iam sorry, I am afraid the switch was wrong. May I have the translation repeated so I can hear it?

(The interpreter repeated the answer.)

Thank you so much. That's enough.

11 Sept-M-FL-11-3-Primeau (Int. Frank) Court No. VI, Case VI THE PRESIDENT: Counsel for the Prosecution may proceed with their redirect examination. BY MR. AMCHAN: Q .- General, at the time the Control Commission arrived in Germany to take over its duties and during the course of its work, did the German government set up a German Commission of industrialists to assist the Control Council to carry out and enforce the disarmament of the treaty? A .- Yes, except that the object of that commission, the nature of which I will explain in a moment, we wery soon found out was not to assist us, as the German government suggested, but to frustate us. Q .- One moment, please. Was that the Heeres-Friedens Kommission, or the Army Peace Commission? A .- Yos. Q .- Now, could you tell us very briefly just what this German commission was that was appointed to assist you to carry out the duties and your experience with that commission? A .- Yes, That Heeres-Friedens Kommission consisted of two departments. There was the purely military department, which was concerned with assisting - as I have said, in fact, frustrating, but nominally assisting, otherwise, we shouldn't have recognized it. We thought it was going to assist us, but we were rather simple in those days. Number 1 was the military section, which was concerned to assist us with limison officers - Those were German officers "zur Disposition", as they say in German, not retired, but sort of helf-pay - to assist us in demobilizing the whole army and inspecting the strength and the enlistments and all that sort of thing of the new peace army. The other section was called the "Geschaeftsstelle, fuer Industrielle Abruestung." That is to say, it was a separate department, again nominally to assist us in industrial disammament, in other words, in the dismantling of war factories and of the special plant, in the chemical 11 Sept-M-FI-11-4-Primeau (Int. Frank) Court No. VI, Case VI factories; and all the big industries of Germany - Frupp's and so on,

and the heads of the chemical companies were represented on it. Q .- As a matter of fact, did the German representatives always

accompany the allied representatives on inspection tours?

A .- Yes. There was always a military liaison officer. In fact, the German government made a great point of it, that they couldn't agree to our conducting any inspections, either of units in barracks or factories, without the presence of a liaison officer, estensibly for our protection; but whenever there was any trouble he mysteriously disappeared. At least that happened pretty often.

Q .- And with respect to your Inspections regarding the chemical. factories, was it likewise true that German officers or German representatives of industry accompanied your officers on those inspections?

A .- Yes, generally officers.

Q .- That is all, Thank you, General.

A .- May I add something to this?

Q .- Unless you think it really pertinent, General, I would prefer, in view of the hour, to close.

A .- I think it would be eliminate the matter, but I will leave that to the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Does Counsel have any further questions?

MR. AMCHAN: No further questions.

THE PRESIDENT: Any further cross examination of this witness?

The witness is excused.

The Tribunal will now rise until one-thirty.

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours.)

11 September 47-13-1-A-AK- Primenu-(Frank)

THE W. ESPLL: P reces in the courtror will please find their scats.

The Tribunel is secin in session.

WR. SPHECHER: May it please the Tribunal: The connection of some of the foruments on corporate structure to the allegations of the indictment is certainly difficult to establish at this time, perticularly since some of these decuments are being effored with a view in pird to assist in later connecting up other decuments and other proof; and I feel assemblet remiss for not having underlined this in connection with some of the documents where I am very certain the connection was not apparent on its free, and for that emission on my part I would like to state my regret to the Tribunal.

The rest decument we same to is 11-4862 which may go in as

Presecution Exhibit 3:5. I think the correction of these particular

minutes -- deferse counsel will recall that this rurning Mr. Amehan

used one of the numbers -- these minutes we feel are much more readily

connected than some of these we offered before.

You will note that Paul Fuellor of the Amende A.G. makes his appearance in this meeting and you will further note, Your Honors, that there is a report concerning the mubilisation projects.

Then over on the next page we feel that the close network of I.G. is again shown by the entry under the numeral "6" bearing again on the intimate working community which we think Farben was and on the point of knowledge in this copy.

Passing or to the next dreument, FI-6416, that may be marked in evidence as 366, again Your Monors will note that those minutes of the Cormercial Cormittee, dated the 5th of Nevember, 1937, concerns willication questions and the collaboration of I.G. Farbon with the Nazi organization abroad and the all and thought trouble you, by referring you to 9-E at the bottom of the page, reference is made to the sponsor-

11 September 47-A-AL-13-2-Primuru-(Frank)

ship of the Fetioral Socielist editors abreed which we submit in correction with the charge that there was and alliance.

as well as in the next one I am about to refer to which is PI-6074 which may go in as 367. This, your Honors, inafter austria has been occupied and you will note that Feul Fuellar is present and that reference is made to I.G. plans in D...G. Plants with respect to the occupied cocupied fustric which bears on our Speliation Count as well as Count I.

Dr. Ilgner report : discussions they are and with certain high
Reich representatives including Gerard Thomas, the Chief of the
Wilitary Economic Staff of the High Command, and that those discussions
devered the rebilization questions; and, darting down, you will note
again, in annuation with the whole question of knowledge with all
those defendants, that the procedure sateblished was calculated to
guarantee the uniform treatment of emmercial internate in hese matters
and to have a uniform treatment to anguest, your Memors, that you had
to have knowledge. Otherwise, in cating with the verious agencies you
could not protond to behave in a way that would give a uniform pattern
to I.G.'s policy in practice.

The next decument is NI-6160 and, with your permission, may go in as Presention Exhibit 368. Here again are minutes of the Commercial Countities We are not in the year adjust 1940 and you will note that the first entry concerns the state of negotiations corcorning France.

Way I have the liberty of pointing out that the following defendants were present: Schmitzler, Heefliger, Heyde, Ilgner, von Knierien, Urueger, Kugler, Mann, ter Meer.

I should indicate that two of the contlores are only present

11 September 47-A-AE-13-3-Frimenu-(Frenk)

temperarily.

the Frence at this time having been overrun, were discussed before this large g: up of defendents and there is one point that is interesting -- and that is particularly interesting -- and that is to note that the negotiations in appropriate measures have already been carried out in the photographic section so for as France concerned.

Now, your Hanors, we are dealing in connection with Count I, found indeed a difficult problem of prof. Sometimes the contacts of the Nazl landors are important in correction with understanding the metive for certain actions which were taken and, if you will turn to the next page 24 of the English and page 37 or thereabouts of the German and under the entry, "Viscollaneous" entry "e" -- there is the following.

Or. con enterior has drawn up some kind of a more random after there had been conferences of correspondence to the Reich Ministry of Sconomics and Dr. v. Enterior makes cortain suggestions for the peace treaty in connection with industrial legal protection and the position of German patents -- and here is the cause -- " in a European economic sphere under German leadership."

The presecution omphasizes the claim --

THE PRESIDENT: Pordon was just where is that found, that we can mark it?

MR. SPRICHER: Just before the entry. in the middle of the proc that enys "page 5 of the original."

THE PRESIDE T: You, thank you.

FR. SPHECHER: The prosecution has indicated that the two
alleged partners, the High Natis and the Farben leaders, were interested
in conquest and we believe that is some evident thereof.

On the next par . under entry 5, you will note a discussion of the

11 September 47-A-AE-13-4-Primeau-(Fank)

Commercial Cormittee of the activity of the I.G. abroad in the international organization.

I'my we pass that to EI-6161 -- that may go in as PresecutionExhibit

569 -- again minutes of the Commercial Committee from September 1940.

Now, in the first paragraph, Dr. was Enterior is reporting of some

discussions he had had with the Roichsgruppe Industry and you will

note that stress is Inid upon the fact that in the occupied territories

-- these are territories which have been everyon by the German war

machinery -- Dr. von Enterior indicates that negatiations may be

only parried out in closest agreement with the Roich Ministry of

Economics.

To feel that this is connected in its face in connection with Count II and there is an alliance of Farben in actual conquest. This is an alliance of Farben and the Lazi authorities in actual conquest.

You will note on the next page that them is further discussions a nearning France which involve reports by the defendant kenn and the defendant Schnitzler and in both topics concerning the reorganization of the chemical industry in the occupied countries are taken up.

Going over to par 28, which is pare 44 of the Gorman, under the entry "7) Southers: Surope" I think it becomes apparent that the listing of members of the Southeast European Cormittee, which I read yesterday, now has a little more relevance. On the basis of a report by Dr. Suhl, the deceased Verstand member, Dr. van Schnitzler indicates that the Southeast Cormittee has no power to make decisions but only advisory functions; and a little later on what the Southeast European Committee was doing at this time, which was after war was started and after there was occupation of the enemy countries, will become more apparent.

The next document, FI-6083, will become -- I beg your pardon -- that should be NI-6086 -- will become Prosecution Exhibit 370, with

11 September 47-A-AK-13-5-Primeau-(Frank)
COURT VI

your permission. I want to very briefly mention one thing on page 31 of the English, which is page 48 of the German, under the heading "France" which is heading "5". There is reference to an investigation in France, an inspertionary investigation in France, by the defendant von Schnitzler. I beg your pardon -- von Schnitzler reports on inspection in France by Engineering Committee. That is the TEKO, Technische Kommission, which was headed by the defendant Jachne. I do not know whether the defendant Jachne was present on the actual trip ornot.

Now you will note a rather interesting entry under "Italy", items 6, the 3rd paragraph: "the demarcation of the mitual spheres of interests in other fields in Italy, Crostia and Graces is discussed." The object of conquest we find is rather apparent. Again going into the knowledge point in connection with spoliation, that is illegal activities in the occupied countries.

May I refer you to the reports on South Eastern Europa on page 32 of the English and 49 and 50 of the German and turning over to page 33, paragraph 10, you can see the anticipation of the fruits of conquest in the discussion on africa, which you will note, Your Monors, has something to do with the so-called Colonial Committee. Farbon had its Colonial Committee although Garmany had no colonies.

The next decement I shall skip and NI-57hh may be introduced as presecution exhibit 371. Your Reners, may I ask you to correct the index on that document. Dr. Bachem pointed out to me that the last sentence has been copied in by mistake. Namely the note concerning I.G.'s production, etc., it has no reference to that document. Now, there are only a few things which we want to point out new in connection with this document, heavily that the Verbindangsmanner, the I.G. linison officers or their deputies would be appointed only through the Commercial Committee and secondly the importance of the defendant venKnieriem in the whole legal set-up of Farbon in that the Berlin office after this time no languages would be appointed a thout his approval. The defendant venKnieriem had his headquaters in an antirely different part of Gormany at Ludwigehafen.

I will pass the next document, as well as the next, and with your permission I will come to WI-7318, with your permission that will go in as prosecution exhibit 372. There is a typographical error in the name, it should be Heider - H-E-I-D-E-K. Von Heider was in the sales combine chamicals and this is a report by him, giving his view on the function, on the functions of the conservial side of I.G. Frien

Now you will note a rather interesting entry under "Italy", items 6, the 3rd paragraph: "the demarcation of the autual spheres of interests in other fields in Italy, Crostin and Grocce is discussed." The object of conquest we find is rather apparent. Again going into the knowledge point in connection with spolistion, that is illegal activities in the occupied countries.

May I refer jou to the reports on South Eastern Europe on page 32 of the English and 19 and 50 of the Gorman and turning over to page 33, paragraph 10, you can see the anticipation of the fruits of conquest in the discussion on Africa, which you will note, Your Henors, has something to do with the so-called Colonial Committee. Farbon had its Colonial Committee although Germany had no colonies.

The next decument I shall skip and NI-57hl may be introduced as prosecution exhibit 371. Your Honors, may I mak you so correct the index on that document. Dr. Bachem pointed out to me that the last centence has been copied in by mistake. Namely the note concerning I.G.'s production, etc., it has no reference to that document. Now, there are only a few things which we want to point out new in consection with this document, namely that the Verbindungsmeasure, the I.G. Haison officers or their deputies would be appointed only through the Commercial Committee and secondly the importance of the defendant vonKnieriam in the whole legal set-up of Farbon in that the Berlin office after this time no lawyers would be appointed a thout his approval. The defendant vonKnieriam had his headquaters in an entirely different part of Gormany at Ludwigehafen.

I will pass the next document, as well as the next, and with your permission I will come to NI-7318, with your permission that will go in as presecution exhibit 372. Twore is a typographical error in the name, it should be Heider - H-E-I-D-E-K. Von Heider was in the sales combine chemicals and this is a report by him, giving his view on the function, on the functions of the consercial side of I.G. Farmen

and he makes reference to a number of special committees and indicates how they were consected up.

May I trouble you to turn to page 6h - page 90 of the Berman - at the bottom of the page. Mr. Von Heider has been talking about these various meetings of all the sub-committees and he notes in the last sentence: "Since all the meetings had been held immediately following each other, the impression of the persons reporting to the Verstand were fresh, and it can be said that theentire Verstand was well informed about all important matters."

On the next page it will unnecessary to read, but only to note,

Your Honors, that the entire membership of the Chamical Committee and
the times when the different persons became members of that committee
are given.

May I pass down to document NI-9267 and mark that in as proscoution exhibit 373. This is another affidewit of Remann Basssler.

THE PRESIDENT: May I inquire what number, NI number?

MR. SPRECERE: NI-9267, just down one document, Your Honors. This is a very brind reference to now the sales combine and other sales organizations were managed and the persons involved in that management and the period of their involvement are mentioned. I will pass directly from that document.

The next document NI-5177 may go in as 374. This is another affidavit of von Heider from the seles combine chemicals. In the second paragraph he refers to the Schnitzler affidavit, which is NI-5198 or prosecution exhibit 7 1, in which the defendant Schnitzler made some community concurring the working of the Commercial Committee, although generally rediffirming the statement that the defendant Von Schnitzler made there, Mr. won welder points out that in his view in the sales combine chemicals there was complete agreement with the technical people, so that even if there were hard bettles agreement was reached between the technical and commercial men in all cases.

NI-5668, which is elready in ovidence as prosecution exhibit 127 --

MR. SPAJONER: 127.

JUDGE MORRAS: Thank you.

MR. SFRACHTR: I only draw your attention to the entry on page 82, which is an pages 109 and 110 of the German. It is noted that after there was a shortuge of nitric and the Reich Ministry of Economy intervened there was no necessity for any direct control by the Reich because the distribution system already in effect was ample. The time, Your Henore, is December, 1937, and the Reich was taking a direct interest in the distribution of nitrogen, which as we learned this morning had a very specific meaning given the program of the maxis at that time.

The next affidavit NI-9262 is a further affidavit of Facustor, which may go in as Prosecution exhibit 375. Here we can to another mixed committee, the Lye Staffe Committee, which had some variation in that there was a stoering committee of the committee and a full committee.

The time when vertous persons came on to this countities, I have noted.

Would you like to have me underline the times when the defendants came to this committee, Your honors?

THE PRISIEDAT: If you plosse.

MR. SPRSCHTR: You Honors, you will note in paragraph 2 that the defendant won Schnitzler was on this committee from the time it was founded in 1926 and was its chairman after 1928. Then in paragraph 6 there were additions made in the year 1936 and the defendant for Meer and defendant Kluger become members at that time.

Turning the page and going to paragraph 12, you will note that
the so-called select eye-Stungs committe, often referred to as the
steering consisted, consisted of among others the defendants Schnitzler
as chairman and the defendant Kugler.

The mixt document is NI-6351, which may go in as Prosecution exhibit 37%. The relevancy of the next document we find is practically shown by this document in that you will note that in the full Eye-Stuffs committee in March 1936 at the time when Austria was about to be invaded, the mobilization project was discussed in proparation for the next meeting of the Commercial Committee. That goes again as to integration and to the point of knowledge.

The next decurent, Your Henors, NI-6500, is an affidavit of the defendant Ilgner, which may go in as prosecution exhibit 377. I might remark in process that the directioned under which certain of idavit given or certain state onts made in 1965 were drawn up, then later reaffirmed or corrected, are indicated in paragraph 2. I have the intention, at this time, only to point out several dates which are brought out in this affidavit and several names in connection with the enhantzation of Berlin No. 7, that central branch of Ferban, Your Honors, on the right had side of the chart, which is under the direction of the defendant Ilgner. You will note in that paragraph b of the affidavit that Yowi, that is Volkswirtschaftliche Abteilung - we will always refer to it as Yowi here, it is difficult to translate, perhaps a close translation would be People's Leonesic Department, but that does not have much meaning. We will refer to it as Yowi. You will note it was established in 1929 with the assistance of Ilgner.

Turning the page, in paragraph 5 reference is made to the astablishment of Wipe, which in the German is Wirtschaftspolitische Abteilung, which may purhaps be literally translated as Economic Political Division or Economic Political Policy Division of I.G. Farban. Again we shall refer to it as Nipo. It was formed in 1933 or 1934 and from the year 1934 until I believe 1938 is was under the direction of the defendant Gettlineau.

11 Sept.47-A-GJ-14-5-Mechan-(Katz)-Court 6 case 6

New on page 92 of the English, pages 121 and 122 of the Gorman, there is a brief survey of the management of NW 7 in Berlin, which shows its various department and from time to time, Your Henor, may want to come back to that in connection with the documents which we might introduce. You will note that the names of the defendant Ilgner and the defendant von Der Heyde come up in several instances. May I note under VIII Press Office the name because one of the documents which we introduced here and which was mentioned in the opening statement, General Telford Taylor mentioned in the opening statement, that Passarge was addressing a rather important letter and we want to establish who he was in this way.

Now, may I go over to page 98 of the English, page 132 of the German, page 13 of the original, the defendant Ilgner gives the list of I.G. officials and I.G. Gremien, perhaps best translated as brain trusts, although that is purhaps a little farfetched, are listed and he says these are Gremium who for efficial I.G. purposes maintain contact with governing ag noise and later on also with party offices. I wen't trouble you to run through all of those new, but you may feel it important in connection with many of the documents and in deciding what was the significance of the high positions we claim was held by these defendants in connection with the crimes alledged.

NI-4928, the next document at 109 of the English, may go in as prosecution exhibit 375. This is an affidavit by Kurt Krueger concerning NW 7 and some details concerning the defendant Ilgner. Dr. Krueger was Ilgner's deputy in the NW 7 organizations for most of the time during which we are here concerned and we though his observations might be of some assistance. There are a number of references throughout the document to the way a number of thinks were done which will be connected later on. For the time being I will not make any attempt to indicate the background.

NI-4928

11 Sept.47-A-GJ-14-5-Mechan-(Kats)-Court 6 case 6

THE PRESIDENT: That is the one you just introduced.

prosecution exhibit 379, is a letter in the year of 1942 signed by a deceased Verstand member and the defendant Ilgner on behalf of the I.G. Farbon and addressed to the Foreign Organization of the NSDAP. The functions in dealing with the Reich authorities has been brought out before and will some up many times. Here we should like to point out with this principle agency the Nazi party dealing with foreign affairs, the Auslands-organization or foreign organization of the Farty and I.G. is dealing intimately and the statement is made at the bottom: "hope that the proposed arrengement will contribute to bringing about a closer and successful collaboration between yourself and us. "

The next decument, NI-7601, may go in as rescention exhibit 380.

These are the -by-laws for the legal department of the Morking committee for the year 1926.

The next decusent NI-7597, Excause me, the defense counsel wants to see the original. The next document is NI-7597, which are the by-laws of the Patent Commission of I.G. Farban of 1926, that may go in as presecution exhibit 381.

JUDGE MDRRIS: Ar Spreener, I understood you to say that the procodion exhibit 380 was also in 1926; as I in error in that?

IR. SPRECHER: No, you are not in orror. That was my impression.

JUDGE MORRIS: I have noticed it says undated in the index.

in. SPRECHER: You sir, there is nothing upon the fact of it to indicate its date, that is why in the index it is undated, but at the time when Farben was set up in 1925, it started to work on a new basis in 1926, both of these departments did come 'into being and there are statements, I believe, in other parts of the record concerning that, that is why I said in 1926.

JUDGE HORRIS: .lright, thank you.

MR. SPRECHER: To have no indication, Your Honors, from our investigations that these by-laws were amended and if defense counsel should
think it important to show any deviations there from, we invite then
ti undertake that. To have nothing further.

THE PRESIDENT: You are sayin, it would be at least a prima facie of the by-laws. Of course if there are any accordments or modifications that is a matter for the defense and it might be efforced subsequently.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honor, that completes the presentation of book

THE PRESIDENT: May I inquire if counsel for the prosecution and
the defense has had an opportunity to reconcile the matters that resulted
in the holding up of the introduction of some of the exhibit that were
offered yesterday?

772

11 Sept.47-A-SG-15-2-Kechan-(Natz) Court 6 Case 6

MR. SPRECHER: In some cases we have been able to discuss them, but in order to present them altogether after others have been discussed, a little more time should be required.

THE PRESIDENT: I would perhaps be just as well to let that matter stand until you have surveyed the whole field and make the proper disposition at one time, and we will have that understanding.

MR. SPRECHER: There are also right from the first day or two a couple of holes in the record, which we intend to catch up before we go too far, but we have been rather pressed to have time autside of court to work on some to these older matters because of our desire to present some of the new documents.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, the only concern of the Tribunal is that it is a little bit difficult to keep those matters in mind, and when you can get around to it, we will appropriate it, because otherwise we migh everlook it and leave a larger in the record. We are not pressing you on it, we know you have been busy and you have made very fine progress and we containly are not chastizing you, but just keep it in mink that we do have some of those matters and in due time should be given some consideration.

Now, are there any other matters which are to be presented, aside from the discussions of the presecution's evidence, at this time before we recess? Have you anything in mind, Mr. Presecutor?

MR. SPRECHER: Could I have just a moment to talk to Defense Counsel?
There is nothing further at this time from either of us.

THE PRESIDENT: If Prosecutor, may we inquire in the book 14 which we have been working from you passed sume four or five tentative exhibit.

Is it your intention to come back to those or are they to be dropped from consideration?

MR. SPRECHER: Well, certainly dropped from consideration for the present time. We would like to maintain the reservation that it may be important to being them in when they can be better connected of some of the documents at this time, we thought it best to pass over them and it may not be necessary to ever come back to them.

773

11 Sept.47-k-SG-15-3-Rochan-(Katz) Court 6 Case 6

THE FRESIDENT: Well, then the responsibility will be yours to determine whether or not they are to be affered later and otherwise we will just forget the matter.

There is nothing else to came before the Tribunal. I will now rise and be in recess until Tuesday morning at mine thirty o'clock.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal will be in recess until nine-thirty Tuesday merning.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 16 September 1947, at 0930 Hours.)

NATIONAL ARCHIVES MICROFILM PUBLICATIONS

Roll 2

Target 3

Volume 3, p. 775-999

Sept. 16-18, 1947

OFFICIAL RECORD

UNITED STATES MILITARY TRIBUNALS NÜRNBERG

U.S. vs CARL KRAUCH et al VOLUME 3

TRANSCRIPTS

(English)

Court VI -- Case VI 16 Sep 47-M-1-1-EHM-Burns

> Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal No. VI in the matter of the United States of America sgainst Karl KRAUCE et al, defendants, sitting at Muernberg, Germany, on 16 September 1947, 0930, Justice SHAKE presiding.

THE MARSHAL: The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunal VI.

Military Tribunal No. VI is now in session. God save the United

States of America and this Honorable Tribunal. There will be order in
the courtroom.

THE PRESIDENT: Are the defendants in the box, Mr. Marshal?

THE MARSHAL: May it please Your Honor, all defendants are present save the defendants Wurster and Ilgner, who are absent due to illness.

THE PRESIDENT: Is counsel for the defendant Ilgner present? The Tribunal has unofficial information that the defendant Ilgner is temporarily ill but it is anticipated that he will be back at the trial in the course of a very few days. Under the circumstances the record may show that he has been excused from personal attendance on account of illness.

Now, gentlemen, we have been in recess since last Thursday. The Tribunal hopes and trusts that the intervention has permitted an orderly organization of the material on hand so that the Tribunal may proceed in an orderly way in the reception of the prosecution's evidence without any unnecessary delay. If there are no interlocutory matters to come before the Tribunal at this time, the prosecution may proceed with the presentation of its evidence.

MR. SPRECHER: May it please the Tribunal, before proceeding with the introduction of our evidence, we thought it might be helpful if we presented Your Honors and defease counsel with a rough outline of our present intentions with respect to the presentation of proof during the next week or two.

By the recess this morning we should be gole to conclude with the documents contained in Document Fock 15 These documents are being presented because they have a direct relation to the corporate structure and the organization of I.G. Farben even though many of these same docu-

Court VI - Case VI 16 Sep 47-W-1-2-EHM-Burns

ments, of course, are most relevant in other connections. Thereafter Mr. DuBois, granted the permission of the Tribunal, will present a very short survey of the prosecution's view as to the general purpose and relevance of the proof so far introduced. Then we shall go further with evidence bearing principally, though not exclusively, on Count C of the indictment, namely, that Farben participated in preparing the Four Year Flan and in directing the economic mobilization of Germany for war.

Your Honors and defense counsel will please bring into court in this connection Document Books 16 and 17. We will have two documents from each of these books and after the subject referred to it will not be necessary to bring those two books to court again and thereafter we will go on to Books 19 through 25. Those seven books are dealing entirely with Count C. Now, because of certain problems in reproduction and our desire to get the German document books before defense counsel at the earliest possible time, some of the documents in the English document books will be found in a differently numbered volume of the German. However, the prosecutor presenting the documents will note the variations as he reaches them and there should not be any great trouble. The document books, Your Honors, have been re-arranged so that each document. more or less, will full in the order that they are listed. However, some of the defense counsel document books are slightly out of that order because we wanted to get the document books to them at an earlier time.

Now, all of these document books have been in the hands of the defense since 13 September at the latest and some of them have been in the hands of the defense since 8 September.

Now, in connection with the presentation of the material under Count 1-C of the indictment we plan to call as a witness General Hermann von Hannecken, formerly a Ministerialrat Director in the Reich Ministry of Economics and a Plenipotentiary General in the Four Year Plan. As noted in the formal notice served yesterday upon the Secretary General, General von Hannecken will testify concerning the field of authority of

Court VI - Case VI 16 Sep 47-M-1-3-EHM-Burns

the so-called Krauch office and other matters relating directly thereto.

The above named should carry us to the end of the week or well towards the end of the week, judging by our recent rate of progress.

Thereafter, we shall come to the proof principally related to Count 1-D.

Farben participation in creating and equipping the Nazi military machine for aggressive war.

Under that sub-section we plan to introduce a greater number of documents than under any other sub-section of Count 1. Already three of the document books, 26 through 28, have been served upon the defense. In connection with these documents under sub-section 1-D we also plan to call an American chemical engineer as our principal expert witness on Count 1. He will give an expert's analysis in connection with the developments in the chemical industry of Germany during the years of the Mazi regime.

Concerning developments arising in conference between defense counsel and the prosecution I think at this time I can only report that we have made some progress and that there are a number of things pending. I don't think it will be helpful to report on any of the intermediate stages at this time if that's agreeable to Your Honors.

However, I would like to give open notice to defense counsel that
the prosecution would like to clear the record by Tuesday next with
respect to all questions concerning the documents now marked for identification because of objections of defense counsel, and that will give us
ample time, I believe, to clear those matters up. In that connection,
if any defense counsel should note other claimed errors, we will be glad
to take them up whether or not official objection has been noted on the
record or not.

May I request that you turn to Document Book 15. Your Honors will note that the first four documents are all affidavits of Baessler from the Office of the Central Committee of the Vorstand. In each of these affidavits Herr Baessler states his former position and the recent access he has had to certain official records from which he drew up the contents

of these documents. I think they may go in rather rapidly.

NI-7957 may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 382. The affidavit shows the officers and members of the Verwaltungsrat and Aufsichtsrat of the I.G. Farben.

The next document, NI-10045, may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 383.

This document shows the members of the Aussichterat Committee, the Aussichterat-Ausschuss, between 1938 and 1945. Only one defendant, the defendant Krauch, was a member of this committee. Your Honors will note, however, that throughout the life of that committee Paul Mueller, chairman of the Vorstand of DAG, was also a member of the Aufsichterat Committee.

The next document, NI-7956, may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 384.

In this affidavit Basssler takes up the I.G. Farben Vorstand members throughout the period from 1926 to 1945. I don't think it's essential, Your Honor, that I underline the Vorstand members. I am sure by this time they are rather obvious. I would only like to point out over on page 11 of the English and page 13 or 14 of the German the name Constantin Jacobi. Jacobi is the only living member of the Vorstand of I.G. Farben who was not indicted in this case. He retired from the Vorstand in 1943. At that time he was already in rather bad health. At the present time it did not seem that he was able to stand trial.

Going over to page 13 of the English and page 14 or 15 of the German, you will find the name of Erwin Selck, often referred to as Professor Selck. I would like to remind Your Honors that Selck was on the Central Committee of I.G. Farben as is indicated in the third column and that as one of the gentlemen who signed the communication forwarding the 400,000 marks to the Delbruck-Scheckler Bank in February 1933 in connection with the March 1933 elections. Professor Selck was an SS member. He was also the first Hauptbetriebsfuehrer.

16 September 1947-M-AX-2-1-Burns-(von Schon)

THE PRESIDENT: Pardon me, counsel, this morning I am having difficulty finding that name. Is that on page 13 of the book and 3-4 of the document?

MR. SPRECHER: Yes.

THE PERSIDENT: And which name?

MR. SPRECHER: The name is about two-thirds of the way down the page 3 and the name is Selck, S-e-I-c-k.

THE FRESIDENT: Yes, Ours is a little obliterated. Thank you.

MR. SPEECHER: In addition to being an SS member, Selek was the first Hauptbetrickefuehrer (the first Main Flant Leader) of I.G. Farben undermeath the law for the regulation of National Labor which the Nasis passed or which Hitler issued in January 1934. When Selek moved into the Aufsichsrat as the leader from the Verstand, he was succeeded as Hauptbetrickefuehrer by the defendant Schneider. Schneider was also an SS member. We point that out because throughout the period when Farben was required to have a Hauptbetriebsfuehrer, an SS member was chosen.

The next document is NI-100hh. That may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 385. I think this document should be helpful to your Henore in encompassing the period just prior to and just after re-organization of German Corporate Law in 1937. Bassalor points out here that there were two meetings of the old working committee in 1938 after the law had already been passed and he notes by the asteriks which one of the gentlemen listed attended those me tings. You will note that Baessler includes the defendant Gatincau as having been a member of the Working Committee from 1932 to 1935. I understand that is quite hotly contested but that's what kr. Bassaler informs us the records show. Your Honors will note that 15 of the 20 Verstand members who have been according to Baessler were on the Working Committee of the Verstand, before the Verstand was re-organized in 1938. The five defendants who later

16 September 17-14-Ax-2-2-Burns-(von Schon)

Working Committee prior to 1938 are the defendants Ambros, Buergin, Hasfliger, Jackine and Lautenschlager. If you will recall one of the Turner affidavits mentions that the Deputy Vorstand members and several of the other leaders were called in to the meetings of the Working Committee before 1938 in a limited connection.

The next document NI-5173 may go in as Prosocution Exhibit 386.

This is an officiavit of the defendant von Knieriem in which he goes into the history of the changes in the Working Committee. You will note in the middle of Paragraph 2 that the defendant von Kni riem indicates that prior to the re-organization the Verstand never mut as a whole but rather that the antire business of the Verstand was carried on by the Torking Committee.

DR. SILCHER: br. President, in this document ther are a few inaccuracies in the translation. The original affidavit was in English. what you have in your document book will be the original of the remarks referred to in the German translation which we have in our document book. There are a number of minor matters which we will discuss with the presecution directly. This is a re-translation because the original terms were German; Mr. vonEnteriom made the statement in English and thro-translation into German is not quite accurate. One thing is so important I think I must mention now - that'. on page 21 of the German book. It's towards the end. I don't know what page it is in the English when he said: "I attended meetings of the Commercial Committee (Kaufanennische Ausschuss) and on many occasions I attended acctings of the Working Committee." That's translated in this case as meetings of the "Arbeits-Ausschuss, the Working Committee. That's a mistake. That is important because at the beginning Mr. von Knierien says he wasn't a mumber of the Workin-Committee of the Vorstand; and at other points the presecution

16 Soutember 17-M-AK-2-3-Burns-(von Schon)
COURT VI CASE VI

maintains that he was a number of the working commistee. Therefore, I consider it important to state right new that this is an error.

HR. SIRECHE: It some to me that the whole matter is one of those things whichwe probably should have taken up cutside the session. However, the particular translation into the German which Dr. Silcher points out is incorrect and his suggestion is quite correct.

THE PRESIDENT: Under the circumstances we shall trust counsel to reconcile the German copy with the English which we understand is the original of the document.

MR. SPATCHER: I hadn't realized until Dr. Silaher spoke that
there was any possible question about the defendant von Knieriem being
a member of the Arbeits-Ausschus or Verking Cosmittee. If your Honors
will turn back to the last Document, NI-100hk, you will note that
Bacssler lists him as a member. You will note that von Knieriem in
Document NI-5173 in Faragraph 3 talks with some showing of authority
concerning the authority and function of that Cosmittee and that that
Committee did, in fact determine the policy and direct the management
of the affairs of the company. I think that the Paragraph 4 is generally
a confirmation of weat we have had from other sources in connection
with the way the Verstand meetings operated.

I morely point out again the re-affirmation of the fact that Dr.

Tor Moor and Dr. Schnittler in effect, put the policy of the Verstand when they did report to the Verstand on what had previously impressed in the Tachnical Committee and the Commercial Committee and youwill note that you Kniericm states that he can recall no incidents when the recommendations of these two gentlemen were not put before the Verstand.

In the next Paragraph 5 reference is made to Dr. Schneider, the defendant Schneider and a committee he headed called the Betriebs-Con erence or factory conference which has to do with labor matters and he notes that any credit requirements which were because of labor

16 September 47-M -AK-2-4-Burns-(von Schon)
COURT VI CASE VI

with the personal responsibility of the defendant von Knieriem we morely note in passing in Paragraph 6 that he was regular attendant at most of the meetings of the TTA and that he attended meetings of the Commorcial Committee as matters of interest of him came up. In Paragraph 7 he mentions that he was the Chief Attorney for Farben and head of the two most important committees dealing with legal affairs, the Legal Committee and the Patent Committee. In the last sentence you note that he was in charge as Chief Legal Advisor of all I.G. Farben Patent matters.

Then we pass to NI-6526. Your Henors, that's already come in avidence as Prosecution Acribit 280, when it was introduced principally because of its reference to the position of I.G. Farben. I would not like to mention it because of what is contained in Paragraph 4. That's page 28 of the buglish and page 2° of the German. May I suggest that remark refers to the knowledge and to the participation of the Vorstand members in the whole flow of events. An agenda of the Vorstand was compiled after the Vorstand members has submitted topics for this agenda. Then during the actual Vorstand meetings Processes who had given any particularly long report and got an excerpt from them for the Minutes of the meeting. Then the proposed Minutes were submitted to the defendant Schmitz who seemetims made slight changes. At the next meeting of the Vorstand the Minutes were read and approved.

16 September 47-M-ATD-3-1-Leonard (Int. von Schon) Court 5, Case 6

JUDGE MDRRIS: Where does that appear in the book? I was unable to quite follow you, coursel.

MR. SPRECHER: Page 23 of the English and it's on page h of the affidavit.

JUDGE MORRIS: I found it. Thork you.

MR. SPRECHER: The next document is NI 10037 which may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 387. This is obviously a law of which Your Honors should take judicial notice. To are placing excerpts of this law before you because it is the basic law concerning the reorganization in German corporation law of 1937. Under paragraph 70 on the first page of the excerpts, the authority of the Vorstand is discussed. You will note under Paragraph 77, over on page 30 of the English and on page 31 of the Garman, that Vorstand numbers are allowed to participate in the profits of the concern in addition to a salary. This was true in the case of Farben, At least, with respect to a number of these defendants. Seginning at paragraph 61, the report of the Vorstand to the Aufsichtsrat is discussed, and over in paragraph Bb, the statement is made that Verstand numbers must exercise the care of an honost and conscientious business manager. They must keep silent regarding confidential matters. Considering the time this law was passed and what was to collow within the next two years, we attach some importance to that.

Beginning with paragraph 86, there is a discussion of the Aufsichtsrat. That may have some interest to Your Honors because many of the defendants were Aufsichtsrat numbers in a number of subsidiary concerns.

Beginning in paragraph 95, the duties of the Aufsichtsrat ere discussed.

Then, beginning with paragraph 102, the functions of the stockholders' meeting are mentioned, and if you will turn over to page 44 of the English, page 45 of the German, reference is made in sub-paragraph 4 to the fact that banks may only exercise the voting rights of shares which are not owned by them but which they are authorized, in writing, to vote.

Of course, that was very much true in the case of Parben as we pointed

out through an affidavit by Paul Denker, the chief bookkeeper of Farben.

Your Honors, apart from the sections I have mentioned, I don't think a great deal of this has any particular relevancy. However, it's one of those basic laws and to excerpt less of the law than we have we thought might be considered projudicial or unfair. If the defense wishes to point out other sections of importance we, of course, welcome that.

Your Honors, at this time I would like to introduce the document which was hunded up to you this morning. That is MI 10159. Defense counsel have also received the copies in German. That may go in as Provecution Echibit 388. This document is a commentary on the law of stock corporations which we have just placed in swidence. You will note that the gentlemen making the commentary were all in the Beich Ministry of Justico. Therefore, we believe that the document speaks with some force concerning the rights and duties of Vorstand members over on the next pages. We only wish to point out that the Verstand was made, by plain words, the trustee of the Nazi state so far as large corporations were concerned. If you will look at the widdle of the page, under Article 2, you will note that the new law is intended to establish a supreme rule of conduct for the management of the corporation, based on the National Socialist principle that public interest comes before private interest and unking this principle an integrating part of the law of the capitalistic type of enterprises. The Vorstand is to manage the corporation in such a way, as the welfare of the enterprise and of its staff and the common interest of people and Heich require it."

Below are mentioned the fact that there are two basic postulates: a social political one and an economic political one. Indeed, all of these major corporations were geared by extreme calculation on the part of the Nazis to fit into the social political and economic political scheme. The next document is NI 10038. That may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 389. The only thing we'd like to point out by this decree is that the joint stock law, as revised, was to enter into force as early as October, 1937. However, in the case of Farben, some of the reorganization fid not fully take place until early in 1938.

The next dominant NI h890 may go in as Prosecution Byhibit 390. We introduce bless minutes of the chierins countities, under data of 23 September 1961, which minutes are signed by Sucrein, in order to show the thoroughness with which matters were discussed in the various technical sub-considerate undermeath the Technical Cormittee, and to show again how great was the responsibility of individual Yorstand mombers and how great was the knowledge in the upper brackets of the concern concerning matters, both within Germany and abroad, so far as Farben was concerned. Over on page 62 of the English and page66 of the German, sub-paragraph h, Buergin reports on the contemplated production of magnesium at Moosbierbaum. That was in occupied Austria, and, in the next paragraph, underneath the heading "page 5 of the original", you will note that the possibilities of erecting a chlorine electrolysing plant at Ausehwitz was discussed in this comitter, and, if you will go over to the next page, under Item 5 "Maccellansous", you will note a discussion concerning the possibilities of Perben participating in northin special companies which were being set up in complet Russia, We don't want to get into the details of count II at this time, but the object is to show the discussion and the knowledge which these defendants had, because of the concrete structure of Farben, of the elements which we have alleged as crimes.

Now, Your Honors, the next document, should be NI 9187, and that may go in as Prosecution Exhibit 391. The prosecution was somewhat troubled during the course of its investigations to find out precisely what were the limits of authority of the various works combines. We contacted Dr. Struss, the former head of the Office of the Technical

Committee of Farben, and asked him to draw up a monograph on this subject in connection with one of our investigators. We had not anticipated, Your Honors, that the product would be this long, but, in order to describe the function of the works combine properly, Dr. Struss thought it essential to discuss in detail a number of things which have come before you in other connections. I just want to point out that in the first paragraph he states his purpose "to describe the nature and organization of the works combines of the Sparten and the individual I. G. operational plants", and he then gives an index to the whole document which I think you may find helpful when particular points on questions of enthority come up.

I would like only briefly to point out several passages in this rather long document.

If you would turn over to page 67 and 68 of the English, which is page 72 or 73 of the Gorman, there is a paragraph headed "Main Purpose and Founding of Works Combine". Dr. Strussappoints cut that the works combines were calculated to secure a pertain central direction of medium-sized and small plants through a larger central plant, in connection with technical, scientific and administrative problems, to avoid duplications, to economica, etc., and then he notes, in the last sentence before "page h of the original", that this grouping of plants on a geographical basis cans to break down to some extent in that historical developments and such things as personal factors affected the various combines quite as much as their geographical location.

16 Sept 47 M.PM Leonard (Int. von Schon)-4-1-Court VI, Case VI.

Over on page 72 of the English, page 78 or 79 of the German, reference is made to the Alluminium Werke I, the first aluminum plant. Ultimately, there were three. This is merely a notation that Farben owned but 50% of the aluminum works and the Metallgesellschaft controlled the other 50%. However, you will note that the technical and administrative management was entirely in the hands of I.G.

On page 76 of the English, page 83 of the German, in the last paragraph before sub-paragraph 2, Struss has noted that Bosch originally intended the defendant ter Meer to more or loss have a superior position over the Sparten heads and further that this did not completely work out until Tor Meer became the head of the Technical Committee, and then it worked out only to the extent that one could say that Tor Meer was the first among equals, (primus interpares), and I think it is noteworthy that Ter Meer, according to Struss, did not exercise any special influence on the internal workings of Sparte I and Sparte III. You will recall that Ter Meer, throughout this period of time, was the head of Sparte II as well as the head of the Technical Committee.

Over on page 79 of the English and pages 86 and 87 of the German, there is a reference, toward the bottom of the page, to the three mixed committees which are shown on the chart before Your Honors - the Dye Stuffs Committee, the Chemical Committee (or the CHEMA), and the Pharmaccutical Committee.

Over on the next page, page 80, Struss notes that the works combines were a concept no longer used except in Sparte II. He points out that Works Combine Berlin was almost identical with Sparte III and therefore it can drop out as a concept and that the other four works combines were

L& Sept 17 M-PM 4-3 Leonard (Int. von Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

largely under the Sparte of the defendant Ter Meer.

Over on page 83 of the English, page 92 of the German reference is made to the coming to high position of the defendants Wurster and Ambros. In the middle of the page, Just under the discussion of Works Combine Oberrhoin, Struss points out that it was not until 1933 that all sections of Ludwigshafen showed a cortain lively activity and that this was due to the replacement of some of the older gentlemen by the defendants Wurster and Ambros. You will note that the time coincides with the coming to power of the Nazis.

Over on page 84; under the Hocchst plant, you will note that the defendant Jachne was called in there in 1931 as a deputy.

I think the way the document is drawn up, the position of the various deferdants in the various plants comes out rather clearly. I won't paute longer on these things unless you so suggest.

On page 85 of the English, page 97 and page 98 of the German, Struss notes that during the early Nazi periody the outstanding developments in central Germany were the expansion of magnesium production through the construction of the Aken Works, the Stassfurt Works, and the supplementary works at Taufschenthal. You will note the periods, 1934 through 1936.

On page 94 of the English, about page 105 of the German, there is further reference to DAG. Initially, DAG was attached to Sparte III for reasons which Struss goes into, but he points out the dissimilarity between the products of Sparte III, as a whole, and the explosive industry of DAG, and the explosive industry of DAG,

16 Sept 47-M-PM-4-3-leonard (Int. von Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

himself - Mueller being the Chairman of the Vorstand of DAG - Mueller himself participated in the TEA. The note is made that beginning from a time early in the war, money for now constructions in the military sector was only submitted irregularly or n t at all to the Farban technical committee. I think other documents show that the connection between DAG and Farban was not limited morely to these formal representations in committees, but to much more real joint exploits in connection with the development of munitions.

As the years go by, you will note the frequency with which the names of the various defendants came forth in connection with important technical research and commercial matters which were basically essential to the preparation for and the waging of aggressive war.

Now, over on pages 106 and 107 of the English, page 121 throught 125 of the German, reference is made to the establishment of the four different Buna plants. All of these were under the top direction of the defendant Ambros. That was true even in Auschwitz where the local factory management was under the defendant Duerrfeld which is noted under Buna Plant IV, Auschwitz, on page 107. May I point out, Your Honors, that Buna Plant II (Huels) was technically owned by the Chemische Worke Huels, in which Farbon owned a 74% participation, and another concern, Hibernia, a 26% participation. The operation was in I.G. hands, you will note, first under the direct direction of Ambros and later under the direction of an assistant to Ambros.

Follwing right al ng, we come to the Reich owned and I.G. operated gas plants. We have previously put in some of the contracts concerning those. Here's a rather brief

16 Sept 47-M-PM-4-5-Leonard (Int. Von Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

managors were trained by ambros at Ludwigshafen and then installed in Gondorf and Dyhernfurt. It's mentioned, at the top of page 109 of the English, that credits with respect to these poison gas factories did not pass through the TEA.

At page 115 of the English, there is a reference to the three aluminium factories. It's noted that all three were only half owned by I.G. and half by the Metallgesellschaft, but the technical control and administration was in the hands of I.G. Light metals, Your Honors will note, was particularly the field of concentration of the defendant Buergin, end if you will turn the page you will note that even during the war, I.G. acquired some old concerns in connection with the further processing of metals.

Over on page 121 of the English, page 138 or 139 of the German, reference is made to the seven major plants of I.G. and the fact that the technical management of other I.G. Farben factories was directed through these seven larger plants. The sighth type of establishment which could be added would be the mines management at Helle. I would like merely to pass down that list with Your Henry to not a wideh defendants were affected.

Sporte I, at Leuna, the defendent Schneider, and in technical charge, the defendent Bustefisch. Oppou, the defendant Wurster was Setriebsfuencer of that particular plant in connection with labor matters, as well as well as the Betriebsfuencer for the plant Ludwigshafen. Ludwigshafen, the next plant, was under the joint direction of Eurster and Ambros. The Hoschst plant was under the direction of the defendant Lautenschlacker. The Leverkusen plant under the direction of the defendant Auchne, Bitterfeld under the direction of the defendant Buergin, and, of course, the Wolfen

16 Sept 47-M-PM-4- Leonard (Int. Von Schon) Court VI, Case VI.

Film Factory of Sparte III under the defendant Gajowski.

This refers orincipally to production planning between the various plants since a large number, or at least Farben jointly ordinarily produced the same products and there had to be a connection between these various plants. This question is discussed on these pages.

"ith respect to Sparte 2, where the number of products was the greatest, again you will note that the Sparta office had less to do with it and the various sub-committees of Sparte 2 had more to do with it than in the case of Sparte 1 and Sparte 3. You will note also that the heads of these various technical committees were all members of the Vorstand. That is at the bottom of page 122.

Sorry to have detained you that long with that document.

Now, the next tocument has a occuliar history, NI-10158, may we out that in as prosception exhibit 392 with Your Monor's permission.

Dr. Magner, defense counsel for the defendant Wurster and his assistant, called at my office in connection with the chart on the I.G. operated plants. Your Monors, that chart is prosception exhibit 47, otherwise designated WI-10029. You will recall that as the chart which shows the various plants functioning under the three spartss, as well as under various Works Combines. Dr. "agner was concerned less with that chart and pressed, Your Monors, too much with the defendant Wurster as the head of the Works Combines Upper Rhine. In the last affidavit which we have just gone through, I think you will observe that the Works Combine did decrease in importance considerably.

In paragraph 1 of this affidavit, WI-10158, Struss points out that
the chart was prepared from a purely technical point of view and he
indicates a number of other things which tell the authority of the
defendant Wurster as compared to two other Verstand members, who are
also active in one way or another at Ludwigshafen and Oppau. This
affidavit was drawn up by Dr. Struss after conversation with represent-

16 Sept-W-TB-5-2-Wechan(VonSchon) COURT VI, CASE VI.

atives of both the defense and the prosecution.

The next document NI-1861-PS may go in as prosecution exhibit 393.

This is the famous law of January, 1934 which put the leadership principle, the "Pushrer Princip" into the factories of Germany so far as labor relations and social welfare are concerned.

We introduced before a commentary on the functioning of this law by Werner Mansfeld. It will come up again in the future since so many of the defendants were "Setriobsfushrer" or the plan leaders in the naming of this law.

The next document WI-5095 may so in as prossecution exhibit 394.

In this affidavit of the defendent Leutenschlager reference is made to a rather osculiar institution of lied the Betriebsfuchrer conferences. These conferences were convened, according to Lautenschlauger, five or six times a year by the Houpt Betribsfuchrer, the defendent Schneider, who acted as chairmen of those conferences. You will note at one conference the defendent Gajowski docutized for Schneider and further the following defendents attended these conferences: You Schnitzler, Wurster, Ambros, Lautenschlauger, himself; Kuchne, Buergin and Gajowski. You'll you also note, Tour Honors, that these gentlemen attended ordinarily in connection with more than one plant. For instance, Schneider is reported by the defendent Lautenschlauger to have represented the biggest German group of Farben factories at these meetings. Ambros naturally represented the Buna plants and ocison gas, Lautenschlauger himself the Mittel Resin group.

In connection with knowledge under Count 3, we submit that this be important.

The next document NI 9201 may go in as prosecution exhibit 395.
We submit that document solely to show egain the wide screed distribution of the munutes of the important committees.

The next document MI-7831 may go in as prosecution exhibit 396.

This is an affidevit by Gustav Kuepper, who was himself a member of

16 Sept-1:-TS-5-3-Meeham(VonSchon)
COURT VI, CASE VI.

the Dyestuffs Committee. He discusses in this effidevit the functioning and the membership of the Dyestuffs Committee.

This document has principally to do with Count 2, Spoilistion, However, I introduce it at this time bucause of the first persgraph. Will Your Honors note that the officat Eckert states that in his capacity as a member of the Dyestuffs Committed and as secretary of the control office for international dysstuff conventions he attended the internal Farbon negotations on the trusteeship and the acquisition of the Polish factories. We submit that that was a rather lowly position in contrast to the positions held by most of the gentlemen in the dock and if Mr. Eckert in that connection, as a member of the mixed committee and in the central office on Dyestuff conventions was called in and informed on these matters, as he inter states, all question of knowledge as far as these defendants are concurred it seems to us should be established.

The next document NI-9264 may go in as prosecution exhibit 398.

This is an efficient by one Paulsens, concerning the third mixed committed, the Pharaceutical Committee. He gives the history so for as membership is concerned. You will note that the defendant Mourlain was in charge of that committed and that the defendant monnion was a member of that committee and that the defendant monnion was a

The 1-st effidevit as WI-9263 may go in as prosecution exhibit 399 and has no substantial importance, but since Bressler insisted that there were certain corrections to be made in the effidevit, we did not think it would be fair not to introduce the last effidevit.

The t concludes, Your Honors, the presentation of the documents in document book 15. Dubois, with Your permission, will address the Court.

MR. DUBOIS: May it plasse the Court, I think this might be an appropriate time to make = few comments concorning the evidence which

16 Sept-M-TB-5-4-Moshin (VonSchon) COURT VI, CASE VI.

has been introduced to date. My remarks should not take over twenty five to thirty minutes I would say at the most.

of counsel for the prosecution with reference to the significance of the documents that have been offered. However, in the interest of orderly procedure it would perhaps be better if in the future we might have such observation before the affidavits or exhibits are tendered in evidence. That will at least eliminate the consibility of encroaching into the field of argument when discussing the significance of the documents. Containly we are glad to have the observations of counsel for the prosecution of this time on this subject, we are not saying that at all critically, but as a uniform procedure applicable to both sides. The Tribunal believes it would perhaps be more orderly and more helpful if we could have in advance a statement of the objects that counsel has in mind when offering the exhibits.

You may proceed or. Dubois.

IR. DU BOIS: In the future we will try to conform to this procedure. The purpose of these remarks is to try to be as helpful as possible in a matter that is admittedly very complex, particularly in view of the fact that the inherent complexity of the case has been somewhat aggravated by the rapid page at which it has moved.

The prosecution has already put in evidence approximately 400 exhibits relating primarily but no exclusively, to the following: First, the allegations contained in Section MAN of Count 1 of the indictment concerning the alliance of Ferben with Hitler and the Nexi Perty beginning in 1932 and ending with the collapse of the German armod forces in May, 1945; Second, the allegations contained in Section MBN of Count 1 of the indictment concerning the way in which Farbon synchronized all of its activities with the military planning of the German High Command; and Third, the organizational structure of Ferben with particular reference to the positions held in by those Ferben defendants during the year 1932-45 in the financial, industrial and economic life of Germany.

Before proceeding further, the presecution believes it would be helpful to summerize briefly the relevency of these documents to the charges contained in the indictment and to the crimes defined in Control Council Law #10, which form the basis of the indictment.

The thirty-semi documents contained in Document Books I and II were offered to show, among other things, the way in which the Maxi locdership with their program of war, and Ferbon, whose resources and power were assential to the weging of war by Germany, joined forces to carry out the Nazi program — first by helping put Mitler into power; then by anabling him to consolidate his power by crushing the forces of freedom within Germany; and finally by attempting to crush the forces of freedom thoughout the world. These documents reveal the nature and purpose of Mitler's program, on the one hand, and the indispensibility of Mitler's pertner, Farbon, in the carrying out of this program on the other.

We do not stress the power and indispensibility of Farbon because we believe that any social or sconomic questions relating to the size of a 16 Sopt 47-1-FjC-6-2-Mochan (Von Schon) Court VI Caso VI

corporation are in any way germane to this case. As we pointed out in the opening statement they are not. But the size of the Farbon empire and the strategic importance of Farbon techniques must be grasped in order to understand the significance of the events which took place during the period covered by the indictment.

In the first place, we must understand that Ferbon was not an ordinary business enterprise but an enterprise which asserted substantial influence in the German government, influence of such importance that the government used the concern for political ends and the concern in turn used the government for its own ands. It is most significant and relevant in connection with the charges which have been and that Ferbon was no ordinary little follow that could be pushed around. On the contrary, here was a big and powerful follow that was in a position and had demonstrated its apparent to push other people around. It was an organization that was in many respects as powerful, and in some respects more powerful, than the German government. The fact that Ferbon was so powerful, particularly in the early 30's, when the Hitler government was attempting to consolidate its power, is of extreme importance in order to be able to properly appraise the actions of those defendants.

DR. VON METZLER: (Counsel for the Defendant Haefliger). It is my impression, and I believe it is the impression of my colleagues too, that the statements of the presecutor are taking the form of a formal final argument. I protest since this is not the place for argument. The presecutor has just announced that he morely intended to explain the relevency of the documents which have been effered, but I believe that his statements are taking the character of a real argument.

MR. DU BOIS: May it places the court, in the judgment of the prosecution the remarks which I am now making, - which have only been one-third completed and which will take better shape as I go on, - we believe are very important to understand the relevancy of the documents which have been put in today.

DR. PRIBILIA: (Counsel for the defundant Lautenschlaeger.) Mr. Prosident, I join in the statements of my colleague von Motzler essentially.

In the event, however, that the Tribunal considers the statements of the prosecution useful at this time, I should like to make the following brief comment. I can understand well that after these fifteen document books have been affored, the prosecution feels it necessary to explain why those books have been affored, because it is my impression that vary many of these documents are an such general terms that one cannot see to what extent they are relevant. As I said, in the event that the Tribunal wishes to hear these statements of the prosecution, I have the following remarks to make. We were surprised by this intention of the prosecution. Document book 15 did not premise to be particularly interesting, so that a large portion of the defense counsel of the most important defendants are not present. I should like to have this statement continued after the peace, after the recess.

THE FRESIDENT: It is now within seven or night minutes of the time for our merning recess and that will offered the numbers of the Tribunal an opportunity to discuss this ratter among themselves. We will rise at this time for our usual recess.

(A roques was taken.)

16 September 47-41-AE-7,5-1-Jutty-(Samler)
COURT VI CASE VI

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection to the statement of the Prosocution now being made to the Tribunal will be overruled. The Tribunal may, however, have some observations to make with respect to future policy at the conclusion of the statement.

The Prosecution may continue with the statement.

MR. DUBOIS: As I was saying, the fact that Farben was so powerful, particularly in the early '30s when the Hitler Deverment was attempting to consolidate its power, is of extreme importance in order to be able to properly appraise the actions of these defendants curing that period.

The ovidence in this case will show again and again these defendants, through the instrumentality of Parbon, taking the leadership in proparing Germany for aggressive war; and, of course, for there to be an aggressive war in modern times there must first be intensive preparation, and only by understanding the power of Farbon can we understand how these defendants were able to take such leadership.

Standing alone, however, the proof which shows Farben's bigness and power obviously proves notine. We are not trying these defendants because they possessed great power. We are trying them because they used that power criminally.

We are not trying these defendants because they possessed the power to set in motion a force which resulted in the death of millions of people, We are trying them because they actually set such force in motion and because they did it under circumstances and for purposes which are recognized as criminal by international law.

That distinction we urge with all the carnestness we can summon.

It is the tragic abuse—the criminal use of great power by these defendants which we seek to show—and for which we shall ask a finding of guilt. To show that the defendants used that power criminally we must first show that they possessed it and what the nature of it was. Where one man shoots another in cold blood, proof of the possession of

16 September 47-M-AX-7, 0-2-Futty-Samler COURT VI CASE VI

a pistol is sufficient demonstration of the power to kill. But where, as here, a criminal assault upon a whole world is charged, the proof is more complex. And, unfortunately in some respects, it is often extremely dull. It is nonothaless basic and vitally relevant to show what power it was that the occused commanded. To cite one example. The indictment energes these defendants, through the instrumentality of Farbon, with carrying on world-wide propaganda, intelligence and espionage activities as a vital part of German proparation for and weging of aggressive war. Such a charge is inoseprehensible and the specific evidence we will introduce later in direct support of such charge cannot be fully appreciated unless we first understand that Farbon had its toutacles in practically every country of the world, and that its world-wide capir, was in many respects stronger and better fitted for this purpose than the foreign governmental aissions of Germany and in fact of most countries of the world.

The approximately thirty decuments in Decument Book III relate primarily to the allegations contained in paragraphs 6 thru 13 of the indictment concerning Farben's part in bringing Hitler to power, knowing full well his purpose to seize power by victance if necessary and the program which he proposed to carry out.

The twenty-sero documents contained in Document Book IV

relate to the support which Ferbengave to the Mesi Party and Party

Organizations, including the SS and the SA, whichware heavy burdens
on the Party treasury. Your Honors will recall that this support included,
among other things, financial contributions b. Farbon to the Party

Organizations between 1933 and 1945, amounting to over 40 million

Reichsmarks.

Document Sook V centains forty-same documents relating, first, to how Hitler unde good to Farben the assurance he had given in 1932 concerning sythetic gasoline and the revealing how these defendants COURT VI CASE VI

through Farbon began in 1933 cooperating with the German Military and other government agencies in preparing Germany for war. To use the words of Mr. Justice Jackson:

"This war did not just happen. "t was planned and propored for ever a long period oftime and with no small skill and cunning. The world has perhaps never scen such a concentration and stimulation of energies of such posple as that which enabled Germany twenty years after it was defeated, disarmed, and dismembered, to come so near to carrying out its plan to dominate Europe. thetever also may be said of these who were the muthers of this war, they did achieve a stupendrus work in organization.... Financiers, conscients, industrialists, joined in the plan, and proceed claborate alterations in industry and finance to support and unprecedented concentration of resources and omergies upon properations for war Thus. proparations were of a pagnitude which surpassed all need of defense, and every defendant, and every intelligent German, well understood that to be for aggressive purposes."

The defendants who are in the deak are charged with major responsibility for participating in this planning and preparation beginning years before Germany's netual sets of aggression.

The Control Council Law # 10 defines crimes against peace as including proparation and planning towage aggressive war. It washe judgment by the International Military Tribural of guilt for planning an aggressive war that will have its cark in history. If international law is to be of any significance in its import upon a future war, it must strike of the acts constituting proparation for aggression and not only at those acts which occur once the aggression has started. The very fact that the activities of these defendants in preparing Germany for an aggression against here neighbors entended over a long period of time prior to the actual aggression, is in large measure the guts of this case.

The over one hundred and fifty decuments contained in Document

Books VI thru X relate primarily to the llegations in Section "B"

of Count I comes ming the way in which Farben supported the Nazi

program for aggression by synchronizing all of its activities with the
military planning of the Gorman high command. Beginning as early as 1933.

16 September 17-M-AK-7,8-4-Putty-(Ramler)
COURT VI CASE VI

we find smoke screen experiments and mir raid procautionary measures being taken by Farben; and in 1935, we find Farben activities so closely intorwoven with the activities of the inhrmacht that is become necessary to establish a limited agency to act as a channel of command and limited between Farben and the military.

Here again it is submitted that it would be impossible to appreciate the true meaning and significance of Farben's activities in 1933, 1934, and 1935, without some understanding of tremendous compentation of commence and industrial power it then represented.

In 1933 when the Hitler government was still shaky and unstable,
Farben was and had been for many years, an immense empire. Farben's
influence and power particularly in the early years has, it is submitted,
the closest bearing upon and relevance to the charges made against these
defendants—that they were guilty of preparing and planning for an
aggressive war.

The approximately 135 decuments contained in Document Books XI through XV relate to the positions and responsibility which the defendants held in Ferben and in the financial, industrial and economic life of Germany, including their positions in the German Government. These documents also deal with the structure of Ferben in order to show the extent to which the defendants in the deck were responsible for the activities of Ferben and took initiative in the forming of its policies during the years that Germany was being prepared for war. Many of these documents make the connection of other documents much more apparent.

Germany for an aggressive war and in participating in waging that war.

To prove that charge we must show not only the total of power which they collectively commanded. To must also show the place and power of each individual in the collective whole. Lacking much of this proof, we feel this Court would not be able to properly assess individual

16 September 17-1-AK-7,8-5-Putt -(Remlor)
COURT VI CASE VI

guilt or immocence under law.

As we proceed in this case, we will attempt to make our views more apparent as we introduce the documents, and to the extent possible before we introduce a group of documents we will attempt to give the general purpose for which they are being introduced.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal would like to observe at this time that it is fully advised of the complexity of this evidence and that of necessity it must roly very strongly and heavily upon Gounsel for the Presecution and for the Defendants to advise us of their theories as to what the vidence does or does not establish.

At the same time we also appreciate very keenly the difficulty of drawing a definite line between the fields of statement of facts to be established and argument as to weat has been established by the evidence. It is our feeling that Counsel for the frescention and the Defense can assist us must by plain, simple and torse statement made in advance of the offering of evidence upon particular subjects. We are not yet ready to say that we will not permit any susperization after the documents have been introduced. Perhaps, likewise, that would be helpful, although, of course, we cannot allow the privilege to be abused.

In any event, whether the statement of theory is made before the documents are offered or by way of summarization after they have been introduced in evidence, in any event, we say, the elimination of pure argumentative discussion is necessary in the interest of time and orderly procedure. The policy that we follow with respect to statements and disclosures as to what the evidence will establish will apply alike to the Presecution and the Defense and we shall rely very strongly and heavily upon the demonstrated capabilities of Counsel for the Presecution and the Defense not to abuse the privilege. We think perhaps that is enough to say at this time.

15 September 17-K-AK-7,8-6-Putty-(Remler)
COURT VI CASE VI

The presecution may continue with the presentation of its evicance.

NR. CHARMATZ: May it please the Tribunal, I will try to comply with the observations of the Court, and to explain before I introduce each document here the purpose of this document.

Nay I first ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice of the findings of the International Military Tribunal, which can be found in Volume 1 of the official text of the trial of the major war criminals—Volumel which is to be found on page 1827 Do your Honora have the book before you, or may I read slowly?

THE PRESIDENT: I- which volume is it found?

MR. CHARMATZ: It is Volume 1. Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: I think perhaps you had better rend it, because we have those books in our offices but I am sure that my associates do not have then with us.

MR. CERMATZ: Very well, Your Honor, on page 182 of Volume 1, under the heading: "Measures of Rearmement", I quote.

"It was necessary to lay a necessary famous financial foundation for the building of arms cents, and in April 1936 the defendant Georing was appointed Geordinator for Rmw Materials and Fereign Exchange, and empowered to supervise all State and Party activities in these fields. In this capacity he brought together the War Minister, the Minister of Economics, the Reich Finance Minister, the President of the Reichsbank, and the Prussian Finance Minister, to discuss problems connected with wer mobilisation; and on 27 May 1936, in addressing these men, Georing opposed any financial limitation of war production." And he added that "all measures are to be considered from the standpoint of an assured waging of war."

"At the Party Relly at Hurnberg in 1936 Eitler announced the establishment of the Four Year Plan, and the appointment of Goering as the Planipotentiary-in-charge."

I will not read any more, Your H nors, of this excerpt which can be found on page 182. May I, with Your Honors permission, just Quote 16 Sept-M.JR-9-2.Ech to (Int. Rammler) Court VI Case VI

four more sentences which can be found on pages 307 and 308 of Volume 1 of the International Military Tribunel which deal with the findings in the case of the defendant Schacht, Dr. Schacht? New I quote:

"Schecht, by April 1936, began to lose his influence as the central figure in the German rearmanent effort when Goering was appointed Coordinator for Haw Materials and Foreign Exchange. Goering advocated a greatly expected program for the production of synthetic raw materials which was expected by Schacht on the ground that the resulting financial strain might involve inflation. The influence of Schacht suffered further when, on 15 October 1936, Goering was appointed plenipotentiary for the Four Year Plan with the task of putting the entire economy in a state of readings for war within four years."

The next seven document books — the document books 19 to 25 —
will be introduced primarily to cover the allogations under by the
Prosecution in Section C of Count 1 of the indictment. The first two
documents in this document book 19 cover the allogations under in paragraph
25 of the indictment, and may I introduce first, as Prosecution Exhibit
400, the document NI-53807 The first allogations of the paragraph 26
of our indictment should be covered by the excerpts from the findings
of the International Nilitary Tribunal. The Exhibit No. 400, as Your
Honors will find, is a "top secret" document dated 25 May 1936, which
is the record of a meeting of the Advisory Committee about questions
of raw materials.

Your Honors will find, on page 1 of the Domment Book, the list of the persons present; among them Minister-President Goering, then Dr. Schacht, Keitel (the defendant in Case No. 1), then a few lines further down Col. Loob, who will be mentioned here. New I point out that this document can be found in Document Book 24 of the German text, pages 1 and 25

Mr. Sprecher pointed out at the beginning of this morning's session that the documents as arranged in Your Honors' copies and in the copies

presented here by the Prosecution are differently arranged from the German document books since the Prosecution wanted to have the Defense have their documents at the earliest possible moment; and, therefore, the order how the documents are arranged hero—what we call the logical order!—is slightly different from the order in the German document books.

THE PRESIDENT: Counsel will take care to give the page of the German document book in each instance. Parkeys it will not cause too uch inconvenience.

HR. CHARMATT: I will do so, Your Honor,

This document can be found in the German Document Book 24, page

1. May I continue, Your Honor? In the middle of page number 1 we
find the name of the defendant Scimitz of I.G. Farben A.G. This name
can be found in the middle of page 1, and we find other ountending names
of German economy as Veegler, Flick and persons of the later Four Year

Plan, whose names will be mentioned more often in this presentation.

May I draw Your Honors' attention to page 7 of the English
decument book, which can be found on page 9 of the German document book
2e? At the top of the page Your Honors will note that the rew materials,
the increased consumption of raw materials here is mentioned as due
to the needs of the arm-d forces.

On page 8 of the same document book, which can be found on page 11 of the German document book 24, at the end of the first paragraph also Your Henors will note again that the Wehrmacht requirements are pointed out in this discussion.

On page 17 of this document, which is page 21 of the German document book 24. Your Honors will note, in the second paragraph from the bottom, Minister-President Georing speaking. It says that "Minister-President Georing exphasized that in the A-Case (A-Fall) we would not, under certain circumstances, get a drop of oil from abroad."

Here again the Prosecution alleges that from this excerpt can be

16 Sept N. JP-9-4-Schwe (Int. Eannler) Court VI Case VI .

seen that these preparations of the Advisory Committee were sixed for the preparation of wer.

I will skip the rest of this document, and may I now offer, as Prosecution Exhibit 401 the document PS-1301, which can be found in the English document book on page 30, and in the German document book 24 on page 34? This document, which was an exhibit in the case before the International Military Pribunal, and was U.S.A. Exhibit 123, is a collection of documents concerning Germany's economic proparations for war, which was found in the files of the Feldwirtschaftmant, which was before the Military Economics and Armanent Staff of the General Thomas.

May I drew your Honors' attention to page 49 of the English document book, where that is page 52 of the German Document Book 24, which contains the secret minutes of a meeting of ministers on 27 May 1536, which is the day following the meeting of the Advisors Committee which I just mentioned: In this document Geering pointed out — and Your Honors will note in the second paragraph of page 49 that two sessions of the Hoard of Experts had been held. This refers to the same Board of Experts has is mentioned in our document NI-5380, Prosecution Exhibit 400. We mentioned, however, here only the second meeting which took place on the day before, namely the twenty-second of May.

On page 30 of this dominant, which is page 55 of the German Document Book 24. George pointed out - and may I quote two sentences? I quote the second paragraph:

"It must be estampted to produce those rev natorials within Gormany which are accommically feverable for other raw natorials ready reserve for the case of mobilization (Nob-Fall)."

And one line fown, as underlined in the original Gorman document: "Sortain raw naterials for wer must be stocked."

Further down, the second paragraph from the bottom, page 50, which can be found on page 56 of the German Document Book 24: "Prine Kinister Goaring mays 'At first the specially urgent petroleum question is to be treated."

On page 52 of this document, which can be found on page 58 of the German Document Book 24, Minister von Blomberg, who was the Reich Minister of War — I quote — recommends further collaboration with the Kaiser Wilhelm Gesellschaft. And Minister Popitz, who was the Prussian Minister of Finance, mentions that Gebeinrat Bosch, at that time the Chairman of the Vorstand of I.G. Farben, should be appointed: "however, that his nomination is possible for this post when his resignation from the I.G. is possible."

Your Honors will see during the course of our presentation that in

16 Sept-N-JP-10-2-Schweb (Int. Rammler) Court VI Case VI

the case of the defendant Krauch Goering did not deen it necessary
that the defindant Krauch resign from the Vorstand of I.G. Farben when
he appointed him to the important positions within the Four Year Plan.

Exhibit 402, opn be found on page 73 of the German Document Book 24. In this document is an efficient of Paul Koomer, who was, as is stated in paragraph 1 of this affidavit, Undersecretary of State of the Prussian Minister of State from april 1933 to 1945, Under-Secretary in the Pour Year Plan. And persentent representative of the Four Year Plan. In this affidavit, in paragraph 2, Under-Secretary Koerner confirms that the defendant Krauch was appointed to the position in Goering's Raw Materials and Currency Staff at the suggestion of Voegler, the head of the German Vareinigte Stahlworke, who had discussed this matter with Xerl Bosch, the chalman of the Vorstand of I.G. Farbon.

I will not quote any more from this document, but may I be permitted to revert to this document later on?

The next document, ML-9767, which I went to offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 403, and which can be found on page 76 of this document book and on page 1 of the German Document Book 25, is an affidavit of Dr. Brich Gritzbach, who was the Chief of Staff of the Prussian minister President—that is Georing. In this affidavit Gritzbach points out, in the last paragraph of page 1 of his affidavit, that on the recommendation of Karl Boach Georing appointed Kreuch to the Four Year Plan.

DR. DIX (Counsel for defendant Schneider); I very much regret
that we had to leave this court room at various times in order to find
the proper document to iks and get then here. We had only brought along
the next copy, Document Book No. 19. Then we heard that the English and
the German document books were not synchronized and that we should bring
along Document Book 26 — at least that was what I was told. Now, I had
to get Volume No. 24 — and now I hear that we are jumping to Volume No. 25.

The Tribunel has already said that it is not easy to follow all those

documents, but in a case like this it is virtually impossible to follow them. In future, would you please ask the Prosecution to see to it that two editions of the document books should be synchronized? If, exceptionally, some irregularities should occur, this should be told us beforehend.

THE PRESIDENT: I am sure that Counsel for the Prosecution will do all that they can to obviate these troublesome natters, and I may say to Counsel for the Defense: if again you find yourself in the court room without your books, confronted by an emergency, if you will so inform the Tribunal, we shall pecess until you have an opportunity to bring your books into the court. You may continue, Nr. Prosecutor.

MR. CHARMATZ: Thank you, Your Honor,

The next document which I want to introduce as Procedution Exhibit 404 is NI-6525—I am sorry: NI-6525 was offered in evidence before and was marked Exhibit No. 280. Therefore, NI-6525 should be marked as Exhibit 280.

THE PRESIDENT: hill you please confirm the exhibit number?

This document was contained in Document Book 11 in which all personal affidevite of the defendants were introduced. This is on page 79 of the English text, page 1 of the Fernan Document Book 19. In this affidavit the defendant Kranch states that he joined Goering's staff for raw materials and foreign currency in 1936. Hay I draw Your Honors' attention, in about the middle of the page 79, a sentence begins.... "In 1930 "I joined Goering's staff." It should read "1936". Could Your Honors find this sentence? It is about in the middle of page 79 of the Paglish document book.

Court 6 , Caso 6

In 1936 I joined Geering's staff for Raw Materials and Forcign

DR. SIEELIBHS: (Counsel for the defendant Dr. Schmitz):

Tour Homer, unfortunately I am not in a position to follow this manner of presentation of evidence. I find my place which is at my disposal loaded with Document Books and yet I don't find everything which I netually need in front of me. We have Vol. 19 and 2h, which we had to divide into two parts because it was too extensive, and also Vol. 25. We had to use these three volumes within the last 15 minutes. I should be very grateful if the Presecution could tell us what German Document Books will be necessary during their presentation and if it would be possible for the Tribunal to recess for a little while so that the defense counsel will have a possibility to get all those document books which will be necessary. Otherwise, it is not possible for us to follow the presentation of Document Books.

in. SPRECHER: Your Monor, I regret to point out that this is a difficult situation for the defense but in this particular instance I can't help but feel it's invited. This morning in the first five minutes of this precedings, I requested both Your Monors and defense counsel to bring cortain documents into Court. At the same time I stated that we would be through with Document Book 15 before the pause. We had the pause and during the pause apparently defense counsel did not get the document books which we suggested that they bring immediately to Court.

THE PRESIDENT: In any event it does appear to the Tribunal that there is a bit of confusion with reference to the arrangement of the inglish and the German Document Books from which the prosecution is now offering exhibits. The Tribunal will rise at this time to give counsel for the presecution and the defense an opportunity to get together and arrange a schedule of the exhibits which the prosecution proposes to offer at the afternoon session and in order that counsel for the defense may have the opportunity to have the books arranged and on their desks as the exhibits are offered. The Tribunal will now rise until 1:30 O'clock.

A rocess was taken)

16 September 47-A-ATD-13-1-Burns (Int. von Schon) court 6, Case 6

AFTERNOON SESSION

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. BOETTCHET: Dr. Boottchor. Mr. Fresident, with your permission
I should like to announce that we have come to an agreement with the
prosecution to the effect that at the and of each afternoon's session
or when a morning session is the end of the day, they will announce what
document books will be discussed the next day and we will be very grateful if the Tribunal would leave a few minutes at the end of the session
for this announcement.

THE PRESIDENT: That arrangement will be helpful to the Tribunal as well as to counsel and we shall be very happy for the pleasure of co-operating with you to make it possible.

MR. CHATMATZ: Nay it please the Tribunal, the last document mentioned this working was the documents in the English Document Book 19, NI-6525 which had been introduced before under Embit No. 280. The next document which I want to introduce in evidence is NI-5930 which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit hold which can be found on page 82 of the English Document Book 19 and to be Gorman Document Book 24, page 108. May I be permitted, your Honor, to page here for a minute so counsel for the defense can find the document?

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

HR. CHARMATZ: This document which can be found on page 82, German Document Book 2h, page 108, is an original letter from Albert Voegler, the head of the German Vereigte Stahlworke, United Steel Works, to Mr. Krauch deted 10 August 1933, in which Voegler requests Dr. Krauch to got in touch with Mr. Milch, the State Secretary in the German Air Ministry. This document is introduced by the prosecution in order to show that the acquaintance of the defendant Ertuch with the Air Ministry, with Georing's Air Ministry, from which later on many of the leading members went over to the Four Year Plan, Entes as far back as 10 August 1933. Your Honorswill receilthat in the two exhibits which were introduced

16 September 47-4-ATD-13-2-Burns (Int. von Schon) Court 6, Case 6

this morning, namely, Exhibit h02, State Secretary Koerner also mentioned that the appointment of the defendant Krauch was made at the instigation of Albert Voeglar, the head of the German Steel Trust,

May I draw the attention of your Honors to two documents which were introduced before, namely, the documents NI-4718, which was introduced as Exhibit No. 136, in which it was shown that the defendant Krauch had suggested to State Secretary Milch already in September 1933 a Four Year Plant for mineral oil. The second document NI-7123 which was introduced before here as Exhibit No. 90 and in which was shown that this Four Year Plan of the defendent Krauch, again the suggestion in September 1933, was handed over by State Secretary Filch to the competent authorities. The next decement which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit h05 can be found on page 83 of the English Document Book. It's NI-5933 and it can be found in the German text in Document Book 19, page 4.

This document WI-5933, which is a latter of the defendant Krauch, dated 16 August 1935 to State Secretary Milch of the Air Ministry, is introduced solely for the purpose in order to show that the connection between the defendant Krauch and the leading personalities of the Reich Air Ministry continued also in later years before the defendant Krauch finally was appointed to Goering's staff in 1936. This document shows that in 1935, already Krauch acted as an expert in mineral oil questions for the Reich Air ! dinistry.

The next document MI-5932 which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 106 can be found on page 66 of the English Document Book, in the German text Book 19, page 8. May I pause just a minute, please?

This document, which is a letter of the defendant Karl Krauch again to State Secretary Hilch of the Boich Bir Ministry shows that in December 1935 I. G. Farben, in particular Frivy Councillor Bosch, the Chairman of the Vorstand of I. C. Farben, and the defendant Krauch were in close contact with the Reich Air Ministry and that there was a close connection.

314

May I pass to the next document? NI-5911, which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 407. This can be found in the English text on page 87. In the German text in Book 24, page 110. May I point out that we established that in Sprin, or rather May 1936, the defendant Karl Krauch was appointed to Goering's Raw Materials and Foreign Currency Staff, the predecessor of the Four Year Plan? This document NI-5911 shows that in July 1936 at a meeting of the Tochnical Directors at Frankfurt-Houghst, it was discussed that two members of I, G, Farben's Vormittlungsstelle W, namely Mesors. Disckmann and Pfaundler — I am sorry, your Monor, may I correct myself? Those were the gentlemen Ritter and Eckell of the Vermittlungsstelle W who had joined the staff of the Foreign Exchange Commissioner, that is, Georing's Staff, and in order to replace them in the Vermittlungsstelle W two new gentlemen, Hesers. Disckmann and Pfaundler were appointed to the Vermittlungsstelle W.

The prosecution suce in this document that not only did the defendant Krauch himself join Govring: a staff but he also took with him the two first collaborators, namely, Massrs, Ritter and Eckella

The next document MI-L638 I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit L08. It can be found on page 88 of the English text and in the German text, Book 19, page 83.

This document is I latter from L.G. Parbon Sales Commune Chemicals of 2 Movember 1935 in which it is shown that the infendent for harr had been notified by the defendant Maufli or that Herrilaier, who was a member of Coerlay's staff it will be shown in one of the charts which will be introduced 1 tor, four Honers that burn fleijer had asked L.G. Furbon for a chariet to help him. May I point out the Last sentence of this document which shown that I.G. Parbon attached next importance that nobody should be selected for such a job was would work a sinst I.G. Forbon for personal reasons.

The next document, which is NI 5591, which I want to take as prosecution Exhibit 409, and be found on the 89 of the and lish text and
the a S5 of Document Chok NS in the Garren text. This document likewise
is introduced in order to show that also other Lembers of I.G. Furben
joined, as early as 136, Courin 's staff in order to all the measures
which were described in our findings of the International filtery Tribund. This document is an execute of the efficial diagn of Herr Rep; for
who was one of the cost important on bers of the most created Four Year
than, and it shows that the defendant Boutefisch, as I quoter

"....of the Office for German Row Interials and Syntaution reported to Acopter on minural ail works which were, at that time, under construt-

The I point out the this office for German haw interi to and Syntetics succeeded Coorin to Haw interial Staff of which we neve heard before.

The next document, if 5001, which I mark as Prosecution Exhibit 410, can be found on pic 91 of the in list Bossment Book, German Text, Book 19, Page 111. 111. This document is also introduced in order to show that the, as is said in this document, office, which is the Office for German Row Paterials and Synthetics, a sin ty reached I.G. Farbon for a technical specialist in the field of solvents. For I just point out that this document is the instee of a meeting of the technical directors in

16 Sept.47-.-SC-14-2-Loundrd-("von Schon) Court & Case 6

Frankfurt-Hoechst of 15 Lovember 1937.

If I may sum a the exhibits which I just offered in evidence, we tried to show that the defendant branch joined Geering's New Laterials and Foreign Exchange Striff, which was transferred later into the Four Year Plan Office, and that also other defendants here and other members of I.G. Parbon firm went over to saw office and that the defendant Schultz also ecoperated in a very important, art of his organization, namely the advisory Condicted as the anterials puestions.

The next document, if 4955, which can be found on the 93 of this document back and in the German text in Book 25, the 6, is introduced for the purpose in order to show what the sim of the four Year Flan was. This document, which was used before the international lilitary Tribunal as Dr. Sahacht's defense Tabibit 948, is a memorandum which Mitler wrote in acquist 1936, in order to mattime to Georgia, the purpose of the Four Year Flan which he wasted to introduce at the Reich Party Relly 1936. May I draw Your "eners" attention to page 94 of the document book, which is page 7 of the Ger an document book 25. This is a statement by albort Spear, defendant beaute the DT, in which he says what this memorandum was. This memorandum witch sittler gave to Georgia in an ust 1936, point out the basic aims of the Four Year Plan. May I just draw four Honor's attention to some part re as of this rether lengthy document.

On page 97 of the English text, which is page 10 of the Gorman text of Document Book 25, the second page graph from the bottom, Hitlor says:

"It is not the place this experenden to prophesy the moment at which the untempths situation in Europe will resen the stage of open war."

On page 90 of the same decement, which is page 12 of the German Document Book 25, Hitler stresses the military angle in the last two paragraphs of this page. I do not went to cuote.

...lso on page 39 of the English document book, ... 12 of the German text, Book, the underlined sentence, which is underlined in the German original again shows how Hitler stressed the military angle in connection

16 Sept.47-.-SG-14-3-Loomerd-(von Schon) Court 6 Case 6

with the projected Four Your Plan.

On page 101 of the English text, which is page 15 of the German Document Book, Mitter points out, and may I quote, under neverth 6 of this page.

"The definitive solution lies in an extension of our living space (Leoensraum), that is, an extension of the raw materills and food basis of our nation. It is the task of the political leadership to solve this question at some fiture time."

On the next pro, 102, Sitler a sin stresses the war sime of his program and may 0 draw Your Seners' attention to the underlined numbered on page 102, under 6, where Sibler mays, and may I the dermen first. It is on page 16 of the Jersen text. I quote:

"But above all it as absolutely impossible to do this...", which is restricting force; correspy"...at the expense of the national armormant..."

And, at the foote of the prod, the last sentence, Miller again says:
"Much more important, however, is to propose for the war during the
pence."

How I be pormitted to say here thready that we will and this sontoned again in Godrin 's later directives concurring the execution of the Four Year Flan.

T.E PRESIDENT: . Trion no. counsel. I don't bulleve that you that exhibit a number.

IR. CHAR ATT: Yes, I be your pardon, Your Honor. I want to mark this exhibit as [411 - 411.

THE PRESIDENT: Tornk you.

IR. CH.EMATZ: In I point out, on page 105 of the English text, which is rage 17-2 and 17-C of the German text, that Hitler cointed out that the German feel, named motor fuel, production must be developed with the utmost speed and brought to the definitive completion within eighteen months

Hitlor continues, I quote?

"This task must be bundled and executed with the same determination as the waging of wer."

Your Honors will hear in Section D of Count I on production that it was I.G. Farbon which ande this point of Hitler's program cossible.

page in the Sermon text, Mitter also stresses the necessity of mass production of synthetic report. Here again the prosecution will try to prove in Section B of Count I that it was I.G. Farter which did everything to fulfill Hitler's program also in this respect.

I do not want to quote too much of this document. I just want to point out the last page of this document, page 113 of the English test, which is page 17-6 in the Gorman text. Hitler says, I quote:

- "I herewith set the following tasks:
- "L. The German army must be ready for combat within four years, and
- "2. Ther German account must be mobilized for war within four years."

This was the real purpose of the Four Year Plan and this also is stated in the findings of the International Military Tribunal which I quoted this morning.

The next document is 20 416 which I want to mere as Prosecution
Exhibit 412 which Your Honors will find on page 11h of the English
Document book and which can be found in the German text, Book 25, page
18. This document, which was a MSA exhibit beforethe International
'Military Tribunal, namely, Exhibit #USA 635, is the secret chartes of
a cabinet meeting on 4 September 1936. This again is the se-called small
cabinet or small council of ministers, the same as we have seen in our
Document FS 1301 which was Exhibit 101, on page 19 of Document Book 19.
In this meeting of the Council of Ministers, at which again Cooring,
Field Mar and Elembery, the Minister of Mar, Dr. Schacht, and Dr. Krauch's
maperiors, Koormor and Lt. Col. Loob, were present, Gooring rend to this
council the memorandum of the Fuehrer. This is on page 115 of the
English Document Book, which can be found on page 20 of the German
Document book 25. At about the middle of the page, indented, Your Honors
will find the sentence:

"The Colonel General reads the memorandum of the Fuehrer."

In this document Goering pointed out, as we see in the second paragraph, further down, Gooring said:

"If war should brank out tomorrow, we would be forced to take measures from which we might possibly still shy away at the present moment. They are, therefore, to be taken."

16 September 47-A-ATD-15-2-Leonard Court 6, Case 6

And, on the next page, page 116 of the English text which is page 21 of the German text, Couring says and I quote:

"All measures have to be taken asif we were actually in the stage of imminent danger of war."

These two documents were introduced by the - or offered in evidence by the presecution in order to show what the ultimate aim of the Four Year Plan was.

May I now revert to the Document SS 1301, which is Exhibit 401, on page 58 of your document book, Your Fonors which is the German Document Book 24, pages 50 and 51, May I just pages for a nimite?

THE PRESIDENT: Cortainly,

MR. CHARGATZ: On page 58, Your Honors will fied a note for the files of General Thomas; the head of the military scenomics and armsments staff of the ONY, the Eleman High Command, and in this note for the files, Thomas says that Free ideal Schucht had called him and requested him to forward to the Minister of War, and I quote the second paragraph:

"Schacht recurred from the Purherer of the the greatest anxiety since he could not agree to the economic program planned by the Fuehrer."

And the last paragraph before the signature of General Thomas says:

"President Schacht concluded that he again requests urgently to listen to this warning and that he forwards it to the !dimister of War as he will not participate in temperow!s conference."

This document, the presecution claims, shows that Dr. Schacht, the Minister of Economics, and an outstanding economics expert, saw clearly the danger of this Four Year Plan which Hitler wanted or was going to announce a few days later and that he warned the Ministerof War urgently to also point out to the Puchror that this might be interpreted as a challenge to foreign powers as is shown in the text of this document.

May I now continue with the next document, which is MI 81,59, which

I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit hij, which can be found on page 117 of the English Document book, and in the German Document Book 19, on page 9. This document The Exhibit number is hij. This document is an excerpt from the Voelkischer Berbachter, the official Party newspaper, containing excerpts from Hitler's speech at the Reich Party Rally in September, 1936, amnouncing the Four Year Plan. May I just point out that in this speech Hitler stressed the importance of rearmament and also, as is shown on page 118 of the English document book which is page 11 of the German text, that at the same time, that is after the break in the middle of the page, at the same time, when this so-called economic program was published, Hitler also announced that the two year period of military service would be introduced in the German army without dalay.

The next document, which is NI 4471, on page 120 of the English document book, German Document Book 2h, page 111. May I mark this as Exhibit Walls. This document is the minute of a conference, as is shown on page 120, 111 of the German text, of a conference on the Government oil program, held in Berlin on 6 October 1936, at such and such a time, in the Laenderbank. May I be permitted to point out, Your Honors, that here, about less than a month after the public announcement of the Four Year Plan, in which the oil program was stressed so much, at the Laenderbank, which is the building of I. O. Furben in Berlin, a meeting concerning the oil program of the Government was held, may I point out on page 120, the list of persons present show, among others, also the defendant Bustefisch of I. G. Farben. I'm not going to read anything from the document. The prosecution only thinks it's significant that a discussion of the Government's oil program was held at the I. G. Farben building.

Hay I now pass over to the second document PS 2071, skip EC 373 for the coment, and mark this as Prosecution Exhibit 415. This document can be found on page 138 of the English document book and in the German text, Document Book 19, page 36. This is Exhibit #h15. This document is

an excerpt from the German official gazette, the Reichsgesetzblatt, of 18 October 1936, in which Hitler announced the appointment of Minister President Colonel General Goering as Cosmissioner of the Four Year Plan.

The next documents are introduced primarily for the purpose to show that the Four Year Plan was a plan for military economy, and may I now offer EC 373, as Prosecution Schibit hile. This can be found on page 129 of the English document book and, in the German text, in Book 19, page 15. This document is a lecture delivered by General Thomas, the Chief of the Military Economic Staff of ONW, on 2h November 1936, that is, shortly after the publication of the Four Year Plan, and at the Rolch Chamber of Labor, which was a body of the German Labor Front, where also representatives of employers associations were present. In this speech, General Thomaspronounced a very significant sentence which can be found on page 136 of the English document book, which is 2h of the German text, Hero, about at the middle of the page, General Thomas states: It's just above the words "page 22of the original":

"Gentlemen: The Four Year Plan is military decrease at its purest.

Let us rejoice and be thankful that the Fushrer is making use of the
well tried energy and capacity for hard work of Colonal General General to close this gap in our military economy."

This document was introduced, as stated before, in order to show how, at the time of the publication of the Four Year Plan, this plan was regarded by outstanding military economic experts. The next document PS-3324, which I want to mark as exhibit 416I am sorry 417 - it is exhibit 417. This document, which can be
found on page 139 of the English text and in the German book No. 25
on page 23, was introduced before the I.M.T., the International Military Tribunal, as the United States Exhibit 661. This document, which
contains excorpts from a speech made by the then Minister of Economics
Funk of 14 October 1939, that is shortly after the outbreak of the war,
stresses likewise the importance of the Four Year Flan for the economic
preparation of the war. May I draw Your Honir's attention to the first
sentence on page 140 of the English text, which can be found at the
bottom of onge 25 in the German Text.

May I now continue with English document book No. 20, on page 1 of the English document book 20 and in the German bool 19, page 96, we find the document EC-244, which was an exhibit in the case before the International Military Tribunal, namely U. S. Exhibit 641. This document is a letter of VonBlomberg - Field Marshal VonBlomberg. I want to mark this as exhibit 418 with Your Honors' permission. This letter from Field Marshal VonBlomberg, ediressed to Hitler on 22 February 1937, also shows clearly the military purposes of the Four Year Plan. May I be permitted to quote the fourth pergraph of this document? I quote, it is Blomberg writing to Hitler:

"The Four Year Plan has as its object, with regard to economic represent, to clear all bottleecks within this program now, that is in time of peace. Expecially the proposals to secure Germany's own needs for one, fuel and rubber are of-greatest significance from the point of view of a war economy."

The rest of the document deals with the question of the delimitation of the authority or jurisdiction of Goering as commissioner of the Four Year Plan and of Schacht, Dr. Schacht, as planipotentiary general for economy, which in the documents, the German documents, usually is shortened as G.B.W. We will find some documents later on

the same question.

The next document NI-5667, which I want to mark as prosecution exhibit 419, is likewise introduced in order to show that the Four Year Plan was considered by the experts as a plan of military economy. This document, which can be seen on page 2 of the English document book 20 and in the German text in book 19, page 37, is an article in the "Military Economic News," which was a secret publication of the German High Command.

At the battom of the page, Your Hanars will see the title of this article, which reeds:

"FROM WILITARY ECONOMY AND ARMADENT OFFICE..." It is in block letters. "WESKWIRTSCHAFTS - UND RUESTUNGSAMT) TO MILITARY ECONOMIC STAFF (WESKWIRTSCHAFTSSTAB.")

The name of the matherity was emitted in the English missograph.

It says here: "Development and Present-day Tasks by Colonel of General Staff." and now the name Souther should some in. May I spell it,

9-e-u-t-1-e-r. The German text contains this name, it is only emitted in the English text. In this this article, Colonel Boutler, a member of the amament staff, points out, and may & draw Your Peneral attention to page 4 of document book 20, which is the German document book 19,

page 42. May I quote one sentence in the last part of this document?

"The military economic effort now found its strongest expression in the development of the Four Year Plan, in which the strong personality of Reich Marshal Gooring helped the will of the Military Economy (Nehrwirtschaft) finally to win through."

This article was written, as is shown on page 2, in any of 1943, that is considerably later, whereas the documents which I offered all were more or less dated from the time when the Four Year Plan was published.

The next document NI-5746, which I want to mark as prosectuion exhibit 420, can be found on page 6 of the English text and in the German

text in book 24, page 120. This document, which is the minutes of one of the I.G. Parben daily staff meetings in Berlin on 7 December, 1937, shows on mage 7 of this book, under Mo. 5 that Herr Konolar, as was said before an outstanding member of the Pour Year Plan, approached I.G. Ferben for information through the defendant Gattlineau for his purposes.

May I also point out that 'Alhelm Keppler, as was stated before here, was the economic advisor to Hitler.

The next documents, Your Honors, are introduced in order to cover the ellegations of paragraph 28 of the Indictment.

May I introduce NI-OS1 as prosecution exhibit 421 with Your Honors' permission. This can be found on page 9 of the English document book and in the German text book 25, page 33. This document is the minutes of a speech of Goering on the execution of the Four Year Plan on 17 December, 1936, that is about three months efter the announcement of the Four Year Plan. This speech, which was made before the most important German industrialists, and we will see leter on that some of the defondants were present when this speech was delivered. In this speech Goering informed the industrialists of the sines of the Four Year Plan and Your Honors will note how much this resembles the original memorandum by Hitler, which was intorduced here before. It was NI-4955 and introduced as exhibit No. 411. May I just point out two short excerpts of this speech, namely on Page 10 in the lost paragraph, which can be found in the German text document book 25, page 36. May I quote;

"The struggle which we are approaching demands a colossal measure
of productive ability. No end of the re-armament can be in sight.

The only deciding point in this case is: victory or destruction. If we win, then beginess will be sufficiently compensated."

Your Honors will have noted that I substituted the word "business" for the word "economy". I think the translation is more correct. And may I quote on page 13, that is page 41 of the Germon text, the last 16 Sept-1-TB-16-4-Meehen(VonSchon)

paragraph of thes speech or report on that:

"In closing GORRING demanded unrestrict utilization of all power in the whole economic field. All selfish interests must be put aside. Our whole action is at stake. We live in a time when the final dispute is in sight. The are already on the threshold of mobilization and are at wor, only the guns are not yet being fired."

't this speech, three of the defendents in the dock were present.

May I offer in evidence the next document NI-5955, which I want to mark as prosecution exhibit 422, it can be found on page 14 of the English text and in the German text book 19, page 87. This document is an affidavit of Paul Koerner, as was stated this morning, secretary of State in the Four Year Plan and may I quote the two paragraphs:

"On 17 December 1936 Hermann Goering delivered an address in the large meeting room of the Preussenhaus, to the leading industrialists and economists; this address lasted about an hour. Immediately thereafter Adolf Hitler spoke."

Paragraph 2: "All the leading personalities of the totality of German industry and economy, altogether about 100 persons, were present for this address, among them also ir. Bosch and Dr. Krauch, as two representatives of I.G. Farben."

The next document, Your Monors, is MI-bl92, which I want to mark as prosecution exhibit b23. It can be found on page 15 of the English document book and in the German text book 19, page 80. This document is an extract from the minutes of the meeting of the so-called Engl rged Farben Committee- Dyestuffs Committee, of December, 1936, that is five days after the speech delivered by Goering.

On page 15 and may I read these few sentences here, Your Honors, under No. 1. The heading of this meeting is:

"Herr von Schnitzler gave a highly confidential report about the statements made by the Fuehrer and Reich Chancellor as well as by the Hinister President General (Generaloberat) Goering on 17 of this month in Berlin concerning the tasks of the German Economy in the execution of the Four Year Flan."

This document is introduced in order to show that the text, or rather the contents of the Goering speech and also of Hitlers speech, of which however we do not have the transcript or a report, were reported by one of the defendants, Herr von Schnitzler, to one of the governing boards or important boards of I.G. Farben as in this case

the Enlarged Dyestuffs Committee. Four Honors will see that, besides Dr. Von Schmitzler, also the defendant TanMeer was present. May I just point out that the affidavit by the State Secretary Koerner does not contain the name of the defendant Von Schmitzler, because apparently he did not remember his presence, however, from this document the prosedution deduces that the defendant Schmitzler was also present at this speech and communicated to the other members of I.G. Farben the contents of Hitlor and Goering's speeches.

prosecution exhibit h2h, can be found on page 17 of the English text page 93 of the German document book 19. This document is introduced in order to cover the allegations of paragraph 29 of the Indictment. This document EC-167 is a secret letter of the Reich War binister to Dr. Schacht, dated January, 1937, and it shows that also the field of agriculture, which was originally one of the fields of the Four Year Plan, was considered at that time shortly after the announcement of the Four Tear Plan also from the point of view of mobilization for war. May I point out the first paragraph of this letter on page 17.

The next document is WI-4706, which I want to mark as prosecution exhibit 425, it can be found on page 19 of the English document book and in the German book 25, page 42. This document is a
chart of the organization of Goering as Commissioner for the Four
Year Plan. This chart was found in I.G. Farben's files and may I
point out to Your Honors in the fourth line of this chart under Roman numeral III, Your Honors will find the name of the defendant Dr.
Krauch as in charge of the department for Research and Development
of the Central Department of the whole Four Year P an, namely 1-A,
as shown in the center of this chart, which was under the leadership
of Colonel Loeb, whose name we have seen in many of the documents
introduced before.

May I furthermore point out that under Dr. Krauch, to the right, Your Honors will find the name of Dr. Ritter in charge of Chemistry and under the department IV, which is the execution of contracts, etc. which was immediately subordinated to Colonel Loeb, the name of Dr. Eckell again in charge of Chemistry.

Your Honors will recall that these two gentlemen were transferred from I.S. Farben's Versittlungsstelle-W in July of 1936 to Georing's staff for raw material and foreign currency and those two gentlemen can be found now also after the official ammouncement of the Four Year Plan in charge of the sections for chemistry.

The next document, which is NI-1703, I want to mark as prosecution exhibit 126. This can be found on page 20 of the English document book, in the German text document book 25, page 11. Pay I point out to Your Honors that this chart is only the middle piece of a larger chart, which Your Honors will find in German at the end of your document book. There is a photostat at the end of your document book, Your Monors, which is only in the German language. For mechanical difficulties we could not reproduce the entire chart in English, however, in order not to create a wrong impression we added the complete photostat of the original German chart to your document book. However, we translated only the middle piece of this chart, which is concerned with the organization of the defendant Krauch within the Four Tear Plan.

Your Honors will note that the defendant Krauch, whose name can be found in the middle of this photostat, as in charge of the Department for Research and Development, had several groups and sub-groups under his command which covered practically the entire German raw material problem. May I only point out that this chart was found in the files of I. G. Farben, Berlin, the Purchase Department, and that it shows the organisation of the Four Year Plan as of 1 August 1937. We will revert to this chart in the case of the individual responsibility of the defendant Krauch.

May I be permitted to make some remarks before I offer in evidence the next two affidavits. The next two documents, NI-10035 and 10036, are affidavits by Dr. Herner Hagert, formerly employed in the Economics Group Chemical Industry in the Mobilization Department, in which the affiant analyzes the official schedule of the Four Year Flan.

In Document Book XXIII your Honors will find a very bulky document which is the schedule for the Four Year Plan as of May, 1937. Your Honors will have noted that these are very complicated charts, and may I be permitted to mark this document of Document Book XXIII which is EC-281 for identification only as NI-h27. May I repeat. In Document Book XXIII which consists only of one bulky document, namely, EC-281, your Honors will find the official schedule of the four Year Plan. I want to mark this document only for identification at this mement and introduce or offer formally in evidence only the two affidavits. If, however, your Honors or Counsel for the Defense should wish that this very complicated document should be formally offered in evidence, we will be glad to do so.

THE FRESHMENT: Counsel, let me verify this. You are referring now to Document Book EXIII?

MR. CHARLATZ: Yes, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Document NI-427?

IR. CHARMATZ: No, sir.

15 Sept 47-A-FjC-18 & 19-2-Putty (vov Schon) Court VI Case VI

THE PRESIDENT: I meen Exhibit No. 427?

LR. CHARNATZ: Yes, sir.

THE PRESIDENT: Document No. EC -

TR. CHARMATZ: 281.

THE PRESIDENT: 281. Thank you.

MR. CHAPMATZ: May I inquire whether your Honors have this document in front of you?

THE PRESIDENT: I don't believe that either of us have that book here. They are in our offices. They have been delivered to us, but we didn't bring them to the courtroom.

IR. CHARMATZ: May I hand this to the Secretary General.

THE PRESIDENT: I believe that it would be better to weit a moment and let those books be brought to us, and then we will not have any confusion in the marking of the exhibit and have it before us during the duscussion of the matter.

JR. CHARGATZ: Very well, your Honor.

THE FRESIDENT: Now, Counsel, do we understand that Document Book
EXIII consists of only one document?

IR. CHARMATZ: That is right.

THE PRESIDENT: Which is to bear Exhibit No. 4277

MR. CHARLATZ: That is right, your Honor.

I do not know whether I was alear amough, Your Honor. We do not want to have too many long documents in evidence. If no question should come up as to the correctness of the next two affidavits, we want to see this document only numbered for reference. If, however, any question should come up, we will change our procedure and offer this document formally in evidence.

TES FRESIDEAT: We understand your position.

IR. CHARLATZ: Thonk you very much, your Honor.

The next two documents: the first, NI-10035, which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 428, is an affidavit of Dr. Hagert in which he analyzes this document, EC-281, and another document, NI-6767, and makes

16 Sept 47-A-FjC-18 & 19-3-Putty (von Schon) Court VI Case VI

As survey of the perticipation of I.G. Farben in the Four Year Plan.

Hey I point out to your Honors on page 22 of the English document book

which are pages 100 and 100-a of Document Book XIX in the German language

your Honors will see at the right of the page I.G. Farben's share in

the total German program expressed in percentage. We will revert to

this document in our Section D on Production:

May I at this moment only point out on page 24 of the English text which is page 101 of the German text the first paragraph, and may I quote this paragraph.

"As can be seen from the comparison of the totals recorded in columns 4 and 5, I. G.'s share in the total of money to be invested in the above chamical products amounts to 72.7%. If mineral oils are excluded from the table given above, as being not a strictly chemical product, I.G.'s share in the planned strictly chemical total investments amounts to 85.7%."

The next document, MI -

DR. BOETTCEER: Dr. Soettcher.

Tour Honors, the Defense considers it important that the Document Book XXIII, Exhibit 127, be offered not merely for identification but formally in evidence since the Defense intends to cross examine the witness, Hagert, on this document.

1R. CRARLATZ: Well, there are no comments on the part of the Prosecution, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal is not clear as to whether it understands the situation. Is it the representation of the Protecution that the calculations contained in Documents NI-10035 and NI-10036 are the conclusions of the afflant, Dr. Hagert, from the date contained in the document which has been marked for identification only as Exhibit 4277 is that the correct situation?

IR. CHARMATZ: Yes, your Honor. There is only one thing that in one case also in Document NI-10035 which is stated expressly here reference was made to another document, NI-6769, which was not marked for identification.

833

THE PRESIDENT: Then you have a situation where you are offering the conclusions of the affiant from the document marked for identification, Exhibit 427, and mother document.

IR. CHARPATZ: Yes, your Honor.

THE FRESIDENT: If as Counsel for the Defense suggests, Dr. Hagert is to be a witness and is to be examined and cross examined, it would occur to us that it would perhaps lead to less confusion to offer your identified document, Exhibit No. 427, even at the risk of intentionally burdening your record. Don't you think that is true?

IR. CHARGATZ: Very woll, your Honor. I was just afraid to burden the record too much and that was the only mim of the Prosecution.

THE FRESIDENT: Very will; we understand the situation, and certainly under those circumstances the Prosecution would not be subject to criticism for offering the exhibit into syldence.

IR. CHARLATZ: Thank you, your Honor. I, therefore, offer the document, EC-281, contained in Document Book EXIII in evidence as Exhibit 427.

Exhibit 429 can be found on page 25 of the English document book, in the German text book XIX, page 102, and this is another offidevit of Dr. Werner Hogert in much he analyzes snother aspect of this schodule for the Four Year Plan as of May 1937, namely, the investments from Roich means as shown on page 27 of the document EC-281. This is shown in paragraph 2 under Numberal II on page 25. Here again the affiant analyzes an excerpt from this document EC-281, and may I be permitted to point out to your Honors two paragraphs on page 26 of the English document book which says:

"It follows that the projected investments for chemical production amounted to 91.5% of the total investments to be made under the Four Year Plan." (As of May, 1937.) May I continue now: "As can be seen from the other affidavit swarn to by me under today's date and marked Document NO. NI-10035, the share of I. G. in the investments for the

16 Sept 47-A-PjC-18 & 19-5-Putty (von Schon) Court VI Case VI

chemical side of the Four Year Flan amounts to 72.7%.

"It follows that 56.5% of all amounts to be spent under the Four Year Plan for the entire German industry during the period from 23 October 1936 to 20 May 1937 were to be used for I.G. Ferbenindustric projects."

I beg your pardon, your Bonors, for the interruption. It was pointed out to me that Dr. von Metaler raised the question about the document, NI-6769, which is mentioned in Exhibit 428 which is NI-10035, the first affidavit of Dr. Hagert. This document was not introduced here and not marked for identification since this document is even bulkier than the first document, but I think if the Prosecution will turn over the original German document to the Defense and give them enough time to study it, they may fulfill their purpose by studying before cross examining Dr. Rogert.

THE FRESTREAT: If you would do that, we will see what develops and it may avoid encumbering the record with a bulky decument. If it does become partiaent and necessary, of course, we will receive the decument, but in the meantime perhaps that will suffice.

May I ask you, Mr. Prosecutor, are you about now to pass to another exhibit?

MR. CHARDATZ: Yos, your Honor.

THE FRESHDENT: We have run over our time for our afternoon recess, and will rise at this time.

(A rocess was taken.)

16 September 47-A-ATD-21-1-Schwab (Int. Rammler) Court 6, Case 6

THE MARSHAL: Persons in the court room will be seated.

The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. REINTGES (Counsel for defendant Buetefisch): Your Honors, I have one more remark to make in connection with Document NI-4471 which was offered as Exhibit blue In this document I have found out by looking over the copy of the Prosecution that we are concerned with a simple typewritten copy. The document bears no official letterhead; it bears no signature; neither does it bear any initial. It was dated Essen, 8th of October, 1936. One cannot see from that who was the author of that document. Since Essen is indicated, one can assume that at least none of the official agencies - the raw materials agency or the economic group-has written that document. But not bearing a signature and constituting only an uncertified copy, it can have no probative value whatsoever as far as I can judge. It is important to remark upon these points because the Prosecution believes that they can deduce from the fact that this conference took place at Landerbank building, in Berlin, that we are here concerned with a particularly remarkable cooperation between the I. D. and other agencies which were also interested in oil. As to that assertion, the Defense can only define its attitude if they know who initiated that conference and who was the author of that document, the only document to certify that a conference took place.

I think that this document cannot be admitted under the heading which the Prosecution has submitted it.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear the Prosecution.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honors, the document in question we feel is admissible as a captured document under the normal rules prevailing concerning such documents, both before the American military tribunals and before the I.M.T.

It seems to me that this case is particularly a case where we do not possibly have any great problem. These are captured minutes of a conference held in the Laendarbank, which was inside the Farben building

in Berlin at which the defendant Buetefisch was present. If, at a proper time, the defendant Eustefisch wants to say that no such conference was held, we should be very glad to hear from the defendant at that time concerning the point. If the defendant Buetefisch at that time wants to indicate that these minutes were inaccurate, we should be very glad to hear from him at that time.

But we see nothing urusual about this document. Captured documents found by the Allied Forces as they over-ran Germany were not the top copy but rather the bottom copies of the documents, and when they were found in appropriate files of the enemy they have been used time and time again in these proceedings.

If you munt some reforences, Your Monors, I should be glad to furnish more specific examples — but I think that that would be burdening the record. I have several available.

DR. REINTGES: Your Monors, I don't believe the point is whether this document will remain among the evidence which was submitted by the Prosecution, but I think it is important to clarify that, owing to the reasons which I have just stated, taken itself this document can have no probative value whatevever since neither the origin nor the author of this document can be deduced therefrom. If, in spite of that, the Prosecution considers this document as being admissible into evidence here, it is up to the discretion of the Tribunal to make that decision.

THE PRESIDENT: Assuming, as has been stated, that this is a document which was found in the offices of the Farben enterprise and is generally classified as a captured document; bearing in mind that on the face of the document it does purport to be minutes of a meeting or a conference — the Tribural is of the opinion that under the established practice it is admissible and that the objections that have been urged against it by counsel for the defendant go to the weight that should be attached to the document in the final analysis by the Tribunal.

16 September 47-A-ATD-21-3-Schwab (Int. Rammler) Court 6, Case 6

And of course it is true that at his election the defendant referred to therein may, if he sees fit, deny or explain or challenge the authenticity of the document.

It is also necessary for us to repeat what we have already said:
that many documents that may appear to have little weight at the time
they are offered may be entitled to more weight when all of the evidence
has been heard and all of the different threads of the evidence are
put together.

For those reasons, the motion to reject the admission of the exhibit is overruled.

DR. REINTGES: Your Honors, let me correct one matter for the record. As I understood the representative of the Prosecution, this document was not found among the files of the I. G. Farbenindustrie, but elsewhere.

THE PRESIDENT: If the Tribunal misunderstood the Prosecution in that respect, we will be very happy to afford him an opportunity to make a correction.

MR. CHARMATZ: Your Honors misunderstood the Prosecution since we did not make a statement that it was found in the I. G. Farben files.

It was found in the files of the Anhaltische Kohlenwerke, which was the Anhalt Coal Mines Factories. But this does not change anything, that it is a captured document in the original form as it was found.

THE FRESIDENT: Then it would be our view that what was said with reference to the objection going to the matter of weight — rather than admissibility — would stand.

The objection is overruled.

HR. CHARMATZ: May I continue now, with Your Honors: permission, with the presentation of Document Book No. 20?

The next document, NI-5899, which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 130, can be found on page 27 of the English document book and in the German text in Book 19, page 105.

16 September 47-A-ATD-214-Schwab (Int. Rammler) Court 6, Case 6

May I point out, Your Honors, that in the English translation one paragraph which appears to be significant to the Prosecution has been omitted? Hay I, therefore, be permitted to explain this document in emother session?

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. CHARMATZ: I just want to mark it now. It is complete in the German text, and the original axhibit also is complete, Your Honors.

The next document, NI-6709, I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 431. This can be found on page 28 of the English document book; in the German text in Book 19, page 112, 1-1-2.

This document is an article by Col. Loeb, the chief of the Office for Raw Materials & Synthetics, contained in the official bi-monthly review of the Four Year Plan; and the date which is not shown from the English translation is January 1937. The first part and the first issue of this review appeared in January 1937.

May I point out that here the chief of the office for German Raw
Materials & Synthetics, of which the defendant Krauch headed the
Department III, as was seen before, in charge of research and development.
The tasks of this office are described therein; and may I just point
out on page 30 of the Inglish text, page 117 of the German text; it is
the second paragraph on page 30, Col. Loob himself gives a description
of the defendant Krauch's section of research and development.

May I point out another passage of this document, on page 31 of the English text, page 119 of the German text? Here again we see the connection of the Four Year Plan with war. May I quote the last two sentences of the first paragraph, on page 31?

"We must so arrange it that such a surprise attack will not be able to paralyze us in fields which are important for existence and for carrying on war. The measures necessary for this are an added obligation to which the plants of the Four Tear Plan are subject in the same measure as the plants of the immediate arrangents industry."

16 September 47-A-ATD-21-5-Schwab (Int. Rammler) Court 6, Case 6

The next document which is listed in the index of Document Book 20, namely, NI-8590, was offered in evidence before and was given the Exhibit No. 130, 1-3-0. Your Honors will remember — and we added here another English copy of this document — that the German Secretary of State in the Ministry of Agriculture, Eacke, B-a-c-k-e-, made a note in the margin of the report by which he received from Col. Loeb on the activities of the Four Year Plan during the first year of its existence—as will be seen on page 33 of your document book — that when the question was of the closest possible cooperation of the Reich and Party offices with industrial economy, State Secretary Backe put in the margin of this document "I. O. Farben" in order to stress that he considered I. O. Farben as the factor in the industrial economy to attain this aim of the Four Year Plan.

16 Sept 47-A-MB-22-1-Biolsi (Int. Ramler) Court No. VI, Case No. 6.

The question was put to me whether the document was introduced before.

I think I made myself clear.

THE PRESIDENT: We so understood.

MR. CHARMATZ: The next document, which is NI-OS4, which can be found on page 39, and in the German Document Book 19, page 123, is introduced by the prosecution for the purpose of showing that the defendant Krauch, as is alleged in the indictment in paragraph 29, also participated in conferences of the Four-Year-Plan concerned with other matters than research and development and chemicals. This document, which I want to mark as Exhibit 432, consists of the Minutes of a conference held on 16 June 1937 in Berlin, and in this meeting in June, 1937, as has already been stressed in the opening speech, it was pointed out that certain exports would help the enemy, and that certain important products must not be exported to certain countries. May I point out, on Page 42 of the English text — it can be found on Page 135 of the German Document Book 19 — it says, in the lower half of the page:

"The export (namely, of iron) may easily lead to the facilitation of armament of the enemy."

And on page 43, which is page 145 of the German text, we find onother sentence:

"In regards to the shipments of iron to the so-called enemy countries like England, France, Belgium, Russia and Czechoslovskia, only 6% of our export goes there."

Here again we find in the year 1937 in a conference of the Four-Year-Plan where the defendant Krauch, together with his superior Colonel Loeb, participated - as is shown on page 39, that certain export questions were considered from the point of view of war.

May I ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice of a certain reorganization in the German sconomic administration which took place
between November 1937 and February 1938. I will introduce documents
to substantiate them. Your Honors may see from the findings of the
International Military Tribunal that in the second half of the year 1937

16 Sept 47-m-MB-22-2-Biolsi (Int. Remler) Court No. VI, Casa No. 6.

Dr. Schacht was losing his grip on German economy and rearmament and that gradually Goering took over.

May I now introduce the next document, NI-1280, and mark it as prosecution Exhibit 433, page 44 of the English Document Book; in the Garman Document Book 19, page 148. This is an except from an official review of the Four-Year-Plan, as I pointed out before, and it is an announcement of Hermann Goering in which he stresses the importance of the reorganization which took place in February 1938. May I read just the first sentence of this document:

"The most intensive concentration of all political, military and economic forces, ordered by the Fuebrer and assembled in his hands, insistently demanded dictatorial measures that would ensure a homogenously directed economy."

I do not want to quote any further, but may I point out that in February 1938, as is shown from the next document, Hermann Goering, who was at that time — between November 1938 and February 1938 — Acting Phairman, Minister of Economics, transferred all his key personnel of his office of the Four-Year-Plan to the Ministry of Economics. The purpose of this move is shown by the first sentence of this paragraph. May I ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice that at this time also a very significant other move took place in the Third Reich, on the same day — namely, the removal of Field Marshal von Blomberg from the post as Minister of War, and the removal of General von Fritech, the commander of the German Army; and on the same day also the Minister of Foreign Affairs, von Neurath, was replaced by von Ribbentrop.

The next document, I-135, which I want to mark as Prosecution Worlibit 434, may be found on page 45 of the English Document Book, in the German text, Document Book 24, page 128. This document is an except from the Voelkischer Beobachter dated 5 February 1938, the of-ficial paper of the NSDAP, in which the reorganization of the Ministry of Economics by Goering, and the appointment of the new key officials, is described. May I draw Your Honor's attention to page 46 of the

English Document Book, which is page 129 of the German text. In the second half of this page we see the appointments made by Goering. May I again ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice that Hermann Goering, before he turned over the Ministry of Economics to Walter Funk, who took over the Ministry of Economics on 6 February - that before he turned it over he placed all his trusted officials from the Four-Year-Plan in the newly organ sed Ministry of Economics. May I draw your Honor's attention, on page 46, to the names of the newly appointed persons. Here we see the Air Force Major General Losh. He was appointed as head of Main Department I, and Losh was formerly Chief of the Office of German Raw and Synthetic Materials at the commission of the Four-Year-Plan.

The next man appointed as head of Main Department II again is a former plenipotentiary general for iron and steel, at the commission of the Four-Year-Plan, General von Hanneken, the same gentleman who will take the witness stand in the near future.

Further down, Staterat (State Councillor) Schmeer - to head the

16 Sapt 47-A-MB-23-1-Bur is (Int. Ramler) Sourt No. VI, Casa No. 6.

At the bottom of page as Head of Main Department V again we find is jor won Jagwitz from Goering's Four-Year-Plan. At the top of page 47, nearly the whole page of 47, shows that all the persons appointed to these key positions in the Ministry of Economics were formerly amployed in the Four-Year-Plan. I stress this so much, your Honors, becouse we will see in the next document which is NI-523, which I went to mark as Exhibit 435, that the defendant Krauch, who worked at that time in the Raich Office for Sconomic Davelopment, was subordinated to the Ministry of Economics. I am sorry, your Monor, I forget to say where this document can be found. It's in the English Document Book, page 49. In the German Document Snok 20, page 1, This document WI-525 is a table of manisation of the Reich Ministry of Economics as of February 1938. That's after the re-organization of the Ministry of Economics by Govering as we have seen in the document before and the purpose of this move was explained in another document before, NI-1280.

The I point out on page 50 of the English Document Book which is loss one and two in the German Document Book, we see three offices which are attached to the Ministry of Roomomics. Number 1, the Reich Office for Economic Development which took over most of the tasks of the former Reich Office for German Row Materials and synthetics of the Four-Tear-Plan. Under this Reich Office we see as Chief of the First Department of Research and Development the defendant Krauch.

May I point out on page 51, the next page under the numeral 3, we find that the Chief of the Second Department of this Reich Office for Sconomic development, Major Geist, was transferred shortly after this re-organization to the keich Ministry of Seconomics proper and that the functions of this second office were divided up between several persons. One of them is Dr. Eckell of whom we heard before, a man who was brought to this organization by Dr. Krauch from I. G. Ferben.

We are now approaching the critical time of Summer 1935. May I ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice of the findings of the Inter-

national Military Tribunal which can be found in Vol. 1 of the International Military Tribunal on page 195 and 196 where the preparation
for the seizure, the invasion of Czechoslovakia is described in detail
by the International Military Tribunal. This I do not went to quote
from this. I just went to point out that in May, June and July and
august of 1938 all preparations were made both in the political and in
the accnomic field to invade Czechoslowakia.

The next document which your Honors will find on page 52 of the English text and which can be found in the German text, Book 20, page 5, is the document NI-8799 which I want to mark as Exhibit 436. May I just print out, your Honors, that the document which I want to offer now in avidance will cover the allegations made by the prosecution in the paragraph 30 of the indictment. This document NI-8799 is a plan worked out by the Reich Office for Sconomic Development and the title is, as your Honors will say on page 52: "Compilation of the Production Plans for Powder, Explosives, Chemical Warfare Agencies Including " liminary Products. State as of 10 June 1938," and on page 53 your more will note that the first copy of this report is to Dr. Krauch. I do not want to quote anything from this document. I just want to point out that on the 9th and 10th of June 1938 at a time when the Third Raich got ready for the invasion of Czachaslovskia as is outlined in the findings of the International Military Tribunal, the defendant Krauch in his Baich Office of Economic Davalopment worked out a production plan which was exclusively concerned with warfare, namely, explosives, gun-powder and chemical worfare agents.

May I point out on page 62 of the English text, page 18 of the German text, Document Book 20, we find a final remark in which it is outlined that it is necessary to grant special powers in order to secure the execution of this program and then in parenthesis: "Compare Special Memorandum". It's on page 62 of the English Document Book at the bottom. The prosecution could not find this special memorandum.

16 Sapt 47-A-MB-25-3-Burns (Int. Ramlar) Court No. VI, Casa No. 6.

where the defendant Krauch outlined the special powers which he needed in order to prepare for war.

The next document NI-6768 which can be found on page 63 of the English Document Book; in the German text, Document Book 24, page 131, is the transcript of an interrogation of the defendant Karl Krauch in which --

JUDGE MORRIS: Is that Exhibit 4377

MR. CHARMATZ: I am sorry, your Honor. May I mark it 437? In which the defendent Krauch re-affirms the correctness of interrogations held in 1945 and makes certain qualifications as he saw fit; at the time of this interrogation, the second interrogation, on 29 April 1947, as is shown on the pages 63 through to 66. Will your Honors please note the pages 69 and 70 of the English text? The German text was handed ever to the defense as a special document marked page 136, A, B, C, and D. On pages 69 and 70 and may I be permitted to summarize? The defendant Krauch explained that in Summer 1938 Dr. Bosch was worried by the international situation and about the talk about war and that .. wanted to talk to G ring. At the same time the defendant Krauch, as is shown in his own words on these two pages, reported to Secretary of State Koerner of the our-Year-Plan and later also to Goering that the figures on which Col. Look had besed his estimate of production were wrong and that it was dangerous to face war with such a situation. He states - it's ton long to quote. May I be permitted just to stop with this summary and ask this Tribunal to note that the statements of the defendant Krauch are to be found on pages 69 and 70. Now, at this time in Summer or rather late June 1938 when Dr. Bosch, the Chairman of I. G. Farban - I am sorry - the Chairman of the Aufsichtsrat of I. G. Ferben, was so worried about the danger of war and at the time minen the defendant Krauch found it dangerous not to point out that the estimates of his superior Col. Losb were not correct. At this time the defendant Krauch took it upon himself to work out complete programs as we will see in the exhibits which I am going to offer in a few minutes, 16 Sapt 47-A-MB-23-4-Burns (Int. Ramlar) Court No. VI, Casa No. 6.

for German production in the fields of explosives, gun-powder and chemical warfare agents.

better progrem and a correct progrem for the production of explosives, cowfor and chemical warfare agents, he approached the defendant ambros and Your Honors will find, on page 82 of your document books, the Document NI 5687 which I want to mark as a Prosecution Exhibit 438.

This document can be found in the German document book #20, page 19.

This document is a copy of a latter of the defendant ambros to the defendant Krauch, dated 27 June 1938. Nay I be permitted to quote the first sentences of this latter. The defendant ambros writes:

"In compliance with your request, we give you our personal !
impressions of the development of the program for the manufacture of
chemical warfare agants and explosives in Germany."

and he continues:

"Since of present German industry is over-burdened by the many projects of the Pour Year Plan and clao by the increase in exports, we reconst that in future industry should deal only with one fully resconsible competent office for matters relating to now projects for the ermy."

And then the defendent Ambres outlines what the juriediction of this one single fully responsible now office should be. It can be seen under 1, 2 and 3, namely, over-all planning. Furthermore, controctual regulation and financing of the projects, and also a very important question, the allocation of building materials and labor. In this document the defendant ambres makes the first suggestion which powers should be given to the defendant Krauch in order to attain the goal, and we will see in the documents which will be introduced later, that the powers given to the defendant Krauch by Hermann Goaring were identical with the powers as suggested already on 27 June 1938 by the defendant impros. May I point out that on page 83 of the English textit is easy 20 of the German - the defendant impros attacks the practice of the army ordernce office (Heeresweffenant) to construct new plants,

so is soid here, "out in the green meadows." Your Honor will note in some of the following documents similar phrases. In some cases also the expression "in clover"! Now may I be permitted to explain this rather unusual term. The German army ordnance office (Heeroswaff-onemt) called the plants, which were constructed without connection with plants which were already in operation, plants "in the green meadows". We will see in other documents that the defendant Krauch and also the other members of I.G. Farben industry attacked this project of building factories "in the green meadows" violently. We also will try to emplain what the motive of these attacks were. May I draw Your Honors' attention to the last or regreen of this document, on page 85 of the Barlich Accument book. It is new 22 of the German document book. The last energywork, may I quote, the defendant imbres anys:

"If German resumment is to proceed with the nacessary speed and on national principles, what we need is that we should deal with one single responsible office."

The prosecution will show that this one responsible single office a the office of the defendent Krauch.

The next document NI 8839, which.....

DR. PEINZELER (Defense Counsel for defendent ambros): I ask for permission to revert to the exhibit of the prosecution 438. The photostat which was submitted by the prosecution shows a letter which bears no signature - no signature of the firm and no personal signature. Neither does this letter bear any initial. As a consequence, it is not clear whether in the case of this document we are concerned with a final letter which the defendent Dr. Ambros sent in this form whather we are murally concerned with a draft of a letter. Since the character of that document is obscure, I should like to ask the Tribunel to decide on the admissibility of that document to which I personally would object.

Tous PR'SIDENT: Does the prosecution have enything to say on the

15 Sept-A-TS-24-3-Leonard (Remaler)

subject?

IR. SPRECHER: I was wondering whether you perticularly wented us to under the circumstances, Your Fonor.

"To might say that the perticular document was found by the FIAT, this is Field Agency Technical, which is a joint British and American group and we found it in their files at Hoechst which is near Prankfurt. However, it is our information that the document was obtained by FIAT from Ludwigshafen which is the plant where the defendant Ambros had his main handquarters. If course, it's a captured document.

that may be the subject of subsequent evidence. I may say that all that counsel for the defense has said should and will be taken into consideration by the Triounal in determining what weight, if any, is to be attached to this document if, at the conclusion of the trial, no further showing has been and with respect to it. Under the liberal practice that obtains before these Tribunals, this body would not feel isposed it this time to strike the document from the record and the ution will now be overruled. If it develops later that the document has no probative value, the motion may be removed at the conclusion of the evidence.

IN. CHIRLITS: Now it classe the Tribunal, the next document....

THE PRESIDENT: If you will perdon the interruption, Mr. Prosecutor, we're class to the hour of adjournment for the day and I'm wondering if any progress can be made at this time with respect to the prosecution disclosing what documents you will use tomorrow forenoon so that the Tribunal and counsel for the defendants may have the advantage of that information. It's more important that counsel for the defendants have the information, because we can be advised informally as to what books to bring into the court room tomorrow, but if you can now, while the Tribunal is in session, indicate to them, or immediately upon adjournment advise them, as to what document books you expect to

uss tomorrow forences, it will facilitate the proceedings of the Tribunal.

DR. SILCHER (Defense Counsel for defendent von Knieriem): May

I draw your attention to the fact, ar. President, that in order to

consider the defendant, it would be necessary that also the documents

which are to be submitted tomorrow afternoon should be mentioned now

because the gentlemen in the dock do not return to their cells and they

are therefore not in a position to bring these documents along for the

afternoon session, they must have all the documents for the day with

them.

THE PRESIDENT: What is the situation of the prosecutor on that subject?

DR. CF RMTS: The prosecution expects to finish tomorrow the rest of Document Books 20 and also the Document Books 21, 22 and 24 of the English. New, I gave a complete list to Dr. Boottcher and we will furnish the defense with more lists of cross references between the new English books and German books and we expect to finish tomorrow Document Books 20, 21, 22 and 24. Maybe, even the short Document Book 25. That takes care of the rest of the documents of Section C of Count I.

THE PRESIDENT: So far as you are now advised, will you offer the document books in that order?

been disposed of today. This was only this one document.

TPE PRESIDENT: Yes, we so understand.

I'M. SPRECHER: Your Honors, of noon we were able to give to the defense counsel, for distribution and for recopying further copies, three copies of the index which would indicate the German document books and page number and. I think, by a little ingenuity on their part, they will be able, if they have not done so already, within a short time to see that proper cross-references are made and so that by six o'clock when they see their clients they can inform them regarding the documents which will be offered tomorrow and almost the exact order in which they

will be introduced.

DR. SILCHER: Mr. President, may I point out that the defendants have not in their possible in this list where there is a cross-reference made to the German volumes. Having been told the English document volumes, it is not consible for them to know what German document books are going to be presented tomorrow. I think, therefore, that it will be necessary that the prosecution tolls us now what German document volumes they are point to present tomorrow.

19. FPREER: The list will indicate each one of the problems which Dr. Siloher relact.

THE PRESIDENT: Wall, this does present problems and troubles for counsel and for the Tribunal. "a only sek that counsel cooperate so far as they can in accommodating each other so that there may be no more delay or no more hardships involved than are positively necessary. It will be the judgment of the Tribunal that we shall have to leave this in your hards in the light of the indications that the prosecution has made with respect to its plans for the next session.

The Tribunel will now rise until 9:30 tomorrow morning.
(A recess was taken until 0930 hours, 17 September 1947).

1947 17 Sept-M-TR 1-1-Leonard (Rammler) Count VI, CASE VI

> Official Transcript of the American Allitary Tribunal No. VI in the matter of the United States of America against KARL KRAUCH et 21, defendants, sitting at Nurnberg, Garmany on 17 September 1947, 0930, JUSTICE SPARE, presiding.

THE MARSW.L: The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribune 1 VI.

Military Tribunal No. VI is now in sassion. God save the United States of America and this Honorable Tribunal.

There will be prior in the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Arshal, ore the defendants present?

TWE MURSH'L: May it please Your Honors, #11 the defendants are present save the defendant Ilgner who is absent due to illness.

THE PRESIDENT: Is the defendent Warster present?

THE MARSHAL: May it please Your Honors, the defendant Wurster is present.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunel will proceed with the arraignment of the defendant Murator. He will stend in the dock and enswer questions. Defendant Murator, here you counsel?

DEFEND NT WURSTER: Yes.

THE PRESIDENT: Was the indictment, in the German language, served upon you at least thirty Mays ago?

DEFEND' NT WURSTER: You.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you had an opportunity to read the indictment?

DEFENDANT MIRSTER: Yes.

TWE PRESIDENT: Have you reed the indictment?

DEFENDANT MIRSTER: Yos.

THE PRESIDENT: Defendant Murster, how do you plend to this indict-

ment? Guilty or not guilty?

DEFENDANT TURSTER: Not

THE PRESIDENT: You may be scaped.

The Tribunel is advised that the defendant Mureter has recently recovered from illness and if delly attendance in the court room causes him too much discomfort or inconvenience, mean the request of his counsel,

17 Sept M-TB-1-2-Jeonard (Rammler) COURT VI, CLSE VI

he may be excused from time to time as may be necessary until his recovery is sufficient that he can attend the Tribunal without too serious injury to his health.

The Tribunal also has information that the defendant Illgner is tomporarily ill and he will likewise be excused from attendance until such time as he has recovered.

DR. ""G"ER (Referse Coursel for defendent Nurster): Your Honors, with reference to the words the President just uttered towards the defendant, I should, as his defense counsel, ask him to be absent from the trial in view of his physical condition. I shall see to it that either I personally will attend the trial or, in case I am not there, my casistant Dr. Heinzeler will represent me.

THE PRESIDENT: And we assume slso, counsel, that the defendent will be present when his health permits.

DR. W/GNER: Cortainly.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well. That will be satisfactory. The defendant Wurster may be excused this morning if you so desire.

DR. W.GYER: You, I am eaking you for that.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well, That may be done,

DR. TAGMER: Thank you very much.

THE PRESIDENT: Is the prosecution ready to proceed?

MR. CHERMETE: May it please the tribunel. In yesterday's session, in discussing the Document Book 19, the fifth document in this document book, I referred to the Document NI 6525 which had been introduced before in this court and said that this document had the exhibit number 281. I have to make a correction. This exhibit number is wrong. It should read 301, Your Honors.

THE PRESIDENT: What book is that in, places?

IR. CH'RMITZ: It was in Book 19, the fifth document in the index.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you.

JUDGE MORRIS: "hat page of Book 19?

Hay I now continue, with Your Honors' permission, the presentation of the rest of the Document Book 20.

I mentioned yesterday the Document NI 8839, which can be found on negs 86 of the the English document book. In the Garman text, Book 20, or w. 23. I went to mark this Document MI 8339 Prosecution Exhibit 439. This document is enother plan worked but by the Office for Economic Dovalagment and contains, or is shown on mage 86 of the English document brok, mage 23 in the formen toxt, on accolorated plan for explosives, oun sander, preliminary products and chamical warfers. "a have seen in westering's session that only twenty drys before, on the 10th of June, the defendant Krouch had worked out a olan for the production of explosives, gun powder and chanical worfers agents. It the critical time of June, 1938 - mas may I drew Your Honors' attention once more to the findings of the Interactional Tribunal in the Invesion of Czechoslovskie which I montioned here - at this critical time, only twenty days after this first plan, the defendent Ersuch drew up a new :coelerated plan and Your Honors will see from this document, which I am not to quote, that the original goal of the plan of the 9th and 10th of June here was .von ore recelerated. .. operantly on account of the situation as described in the findings of the International Military Tribunal.

The next Comment WI 8941, which I want to mark Prosecution Exhibit 440, can be found on page 95 of the English document book and, in the German text, in Document Book 20, page 32. This document comes also from the files of the Reich Office for Economic Development and, as is shown at the top of page 95, the title of this top secret document is "Summary of Tien for Stepping To Production of Powder and Explosives on Chemical Tarfare gants, Including Primary Products." May I draw Year Fonors' attention to the fourth paragraph of this page 1 which is unfortined in the translation and this underlining can also be found in the original Jocument book. Here the defendant Krauch states:

17 Sept-M-TB-1-4-Leonard (Rammler)

"It must be stresped here with particular emphasis that the expansion plan for specific action can be put into effect only with the help of a fundamentally new and strict concentration of planning of execution in one hand."

Here, Your Honors, you will find the same theme as in the letter of the defendant Ambros which I introduced in yesterday's session, namely, the Document NI 5687, Exhibit #438, where the defendant Ambros, at the request of Krauch, sold "what we need is that all the planning and execution should be concentrated with one single responsible agency." Fore the defendant Krauch, in susperising his plane, already makes the suggestion to the Reich authorities to have all this planning and execution concentrated in one hand.

The next or regreph, which I am not going to quote, mentions that a separate memorandum describes the conditions and the powers needed for the execution of such an accelerated plan. This separate memorandum connot be introduced. It was not found in the files of Krauch's office.

this document on page 95 of the English text, page 33 of the German text. This paragraph is underlined in the translation just as in the Tarra pricipal. Here the defendant Krauch again says:

"It is necessary to make a speedy decision by middle of July, 1938."

"gain we see the speed or how the speed of such a production of chemical warfare agents, comdar, etc., was stressed by the defendent Frauch at the and of June, 1938. "a will see from another document which will be introduced shortly that this accelerated plan of 30 June 1936 was discussed by the defendant Krauch with Goering at Karinhall. I'm sorry, Your Honors, I said 1936. It should be 1938. I am always speaking of the period June and July of 1938 now.

The next document, Your Honors, is introduced for the sole purpose in order to show the etaosphere which reigned at that time and how Hormann Goering talked to other industrialists in other fields then chamistry. May I introduce in evidence the Document Black. May I mark it as Schibit 441. It can be found on orga 100 of the English text. In the German, Document Book 24, on orga 137. May I just prose for a second to give defense counsel time to look up the German text.

This document was a US Exhibit before the International idlitary Tribunal and had the exhibit number US. 160.

This document is, as is shown on ongo 101, the transcript of a conference of the sirerest industrialists at Karlmhall with Gooring on the 8th of July 1938. By I draw Your Honors' attention to the first page, 101, where in the third corregraph may I quote the first two santoness. It is Gooring smeaking:

"The siturtion today does not differ from that which existed at
the time of my last address except perhaps for the feet that it is even
are serious today. You are aware that today it is no longer Germany
on whose attitude the continuance of mesce depends. It is Czechoslovekia who treates the pance like the sword of Democles."

Your Honors will see, in the document which was introduced yesterday, Fitler's monorendum on the Four Year Plan - it was NI 4955 - that at that time Sitler, in order to justify his measures, said that Russia was than staning the mosce of Germany. Now, two years later, it was little Cauchoslovskip that threatened Germany.

May I just coint out two more massages of this rather lengthy speech.

On mage 104 of the English text - it is mage 146 of the German text - may I quote the first sentence of the third maragraph on this orgo:

"Gentlemen: The following is my personal belief. Therever the confiseration may begin, if then Germany must go to wir, this will be the greatest hour of lesting over since there has been a German history."

Here again we see that Goering spoks very openly of the conflagretion which, of course, would occur because Caschoslovakia was threatening the pasce of Germany.

On page 111 of the English text, which is page 172 of the German text, ray I draw Your Honors' attention to the middle paragraph of this way. It is the second but lost sentence in this paragraph. New I muste:

"I still am missing entirely the bember which flies, with five tons of explosives....."

I'm sorry. Could the interereter find it? It is on orgo 172.

I'm sorry. May I blesse see the German book?

THE PRESIDENT: Certainly.

In the German text. I was referring exclusively to the German text.

I was sorry, Your Honor.

May I quote once more. It is Goering speaking:

"I om still missing entirely the bomber, which flies with five tons of explosives, as for as New York and back. I should be extremely happy

to have such a commun so that I would at last be able to stop somewhat the mouth of the arrogent secola over there."

It was the defendent Kreach who provided the explosives.

industrialists - we do not claim that any of our defendants were presentwas ando on the 8th of July. That is eight days after the defendant
krouch had made or had worked out his accelerated plan and four days,
on we will see in the next documents, before the defendant Krouch
worked out a third accelerated plan.

The next document, Your Sonore, is NI 8800 which can be found on page 113 of the English text - in the German text, Document Book 20, page 16. May I mark this document as Prospection Exhibit 442. This document is, re is shown at the top of page 113, the military economic new production plan of 12 July 1938. This new military economic production plan of 12 July 1938 contains, as the plans before, gun conder, explosives, chemical warfare agents and preliminary products tod, in addition to those, also mineral oil, rubber (Bune), and light motals. We see that this new plan is extended now beyond the original score also to the other strategic rew materials - mineral oil, rubber and light motals. This document cames also from the Reich Office for Beenomic Development and we will hear later that it was the defendant Krouch who was the originator of this also.

if y I noint out, on mega 113 of this document - it is page 37 of the German text - the first sentence of the text. It says:

"The goal for the man production plan was set by the General Feldsarshal (teh Piold Marshal)....", that is Goaring, "....on 30 June 1938 in Marinhall. The following basic conditions should be observed for the individual sectors."

Here we see that also the question of mineral oil is discussed by the defendent Krauch and may I point out, at the top of page 114, it is still on page 37 of the German text, also for the mineral oil, we see 17 Sept-W-TS-2-4-Leonard (Rammler)

that Krauch speaks of the mobilization target. So this shows that, even in the field of mineral oil, it was a military point of view which preveiled.

On the same mage, under numeral 2, the last earngraph, which treats the rubber question, we likewise find that decisive for the question of production of Bune is the mobilization target.

Still on page 114, the last paragraph of this orga, for light metals, also we find the mobilization target, and on page 115, at the top of the page, for the production of magnesium, the first words used in this new plan are "the mobilization requirements."

17 Sept 47-M-BJ-3-1-Schwac-(Rammler) Court 6, Case 6.

For the rest of the meterials covered by this new plan, gunpowder, explosives, and chemical werfere agents, we see there is little change compared to the second, the accelerated, plan of 30 June 1938. However, also we see that the mobilization target is stressed by the defendant Krauch. This can be seen after the first paragraph of Numaral IV; and here again, as is shown in the paragraph acove, Krauch stresses—it is underlined here—"the utmost acceleration, and that the beginning should immediately start."

The next document is PS-2353, which can be found on page 11% of the English text; in the German text in Book 24, page 178. May I mark this document Prosecution Exhibit 4437 This document—the English translation which Your Honors will find in the document book—are excepts from a manuscript of Gen. Thomas, who was mentioned here several times before, the chief of the Military-Economic Staff of the OKW; and the title of this manuscript, which was never published but which was captured by the American troops, is Basis Facts for a History of German War and Armamenta Economy. Their document was written during the war, after Gen. Thomas had retired.

lation? First, on page 118 of the English text, which is page 180 in the Garman text, it is at the end of the long first paragraph, we see that the Four Year Plan, as Gen. Inches says: "Was the first to groaden the raw material bases as demanded by the Mahrmacht." Furthermore, on page 120 of the English text, it is 183 of the Garman text, the third baragraph at the middle of the bage, we see that the foundation for the Four Year Plan was laid by the Staff for Raw Materials and Foreign Currency, the staff which was joined by the defendant Krauch as early as May, 1936, and

17 Sept 47-N-BJ-3-2-Schwab-(Rammler) Court 6, Case 6.

in the fremework of which elso the defendant Schmitz, as we have seen in the document NI-5380, which was the first document in Document Book 19; may I please give Your Honors the exhibit number? The Exhibit Number is 400. In the framework of this Raw Materials and Foreign Currency Staff also the defendant Schmitz had helped to prepare Germany's self-sufficiency. May I now draw Your Honors' attention to page 126 of the English document book, which can be found in the Jerman text on page 129. May I quote two sentences of the second paragraph, with Your honors' permission?

The PRESIDENT: You must be in error as to page 126; that is only the cortificate of translation.

MR. CHARMATZ: It is page.—if Your honors will please look in the middle of the page, at the bottom—ten of the translation of Document PS-2253.

THE PRESIDENT: We have that; that page is numbered 128 in our book.

MR. CHARLATZ: I beg your pardon.

THE PRESIDENT: I think it is only my book that is in error.

MR. CHARLATZ: I am so sorry. It's entirely our fault.

THE PRESIDER: Well, that is not of sarkous consequence.

MA. CHARLATI: May I quote these two sentences? It is Gen. Thomas writing: "The increase of the production of gunpowder and high-explosives had been started as a large-scale operation. On the recommendation of the WSto--" that is the Vehrwirtschaftsstar (the Military-Economics Staff) whose chief Thomas was, "—General Fieldmarshell Goaring had ordered, in 1938, the draft of a plan for the production of gunpowder and high-explosives, and had put Generaldirektor Dr. Krauch of the I.G. in charge of its

17 Sept 47-N-BJ-5-3-Schweb-(Remaler) Court 6, Casa 6:

completion. This plan was drawn up on 12 July 1938 as the

Your Honors will have noted that the defendant Krauch is termed here as "Generaldirektor." Now, this is not a technical term: this can only be explained that General Thomas apparently considered the defendant Krauch as the most important man of I.G. Farben. And may I draw Your Honors' attention to the last paragraph of this page 126-or 1257 Here it is considerably later in the manuscript.
I do not want to orgate a wrong impression."

Here, General Thomas says: "History has probably few exemples of a country adjusting its sconomic powers even in peacetime to the necessities of war with as much forethought and plenning as Germany was compelled to do in the period between the two World Wars."

This, Your Honors, finished the document book 20.

I pointed out---

THE PRESIDENT: In that connection, may we direct your attention to the feet that Document NI-5899, which was marked as Exhibit 450 was identified only, and was not offered in evidence? Perhaps that was intentional, but we are just simply calling it to your attention.

IR. CHARLATI: Yes, Your Honor, we will supply a full translation of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Vary well.

NR. CHARMATE: I do not know whether I made mysolf clear yesterday with regard to the Exhibit 426, which is the NI No. 4703, which was the chart of the staff of Goering's and the office for Raw Materials & Synthetics. We had translated in the English document book only the middle paice; however, we added the full German photostat to Your Honors' document books.

May I continue now, with Your Honors' permission, the presentation? It is Document Book 21 now. May I ask the Darfense to take German Document Book 24; the first four documents can be found in the German Document Book 24. The first document, NI-7424, which can be found on page 1 of the English text, page 195 of the German text, in Document Book 24, I want to mark as Prosecution Empiris 444, May I give Dr. Delta a diance to speak.

DE NELTE (Countel for defendant Hoerlein): Mr. President, the strtements which were made by Gen. Thomas and which have taken un a great deal of time in the submission of counsel for the Prosecution have been only partly included in the document book sancerning I.G. Ferben. The document PS-2,353 is this very extensive document I am holding in my hand which played a considerable part during the first trial. If excerpts are being submitted from this document, it seems to me to be important that the Tribunal should be informed as to what Gen. Thomas has laid down in the affidavit which has not been submitted in evidence here, but which, on the other hand, isnecessary in order to recognize the propative value of that document. Inia affidavit of Gen. Thomas' is annexed to that main document and contains, for instance, (which is of perticular importance to us) the following specifications.

The purpose of the book was to be to recognize the rule and the contribution of the military-economic armament office during the reqressent time and throughout the war. Later, the supplementary sentence was added to this afficavit in which Thomas says, and I quote: "My statements concerning the war, concerning the wazi Party, and concerning the Nazi personalities do not correspond to the facts. They were made after the 20th of July 1944, and they were

17 Sept 47-M-BJ-3-5-Schwab-(Rammler) Court 6, Case 6.

added in order that I be expnerated of charges made by the Gastapo."

I may assume that the Tribunal is informed that Thomas was under suspicion of h ving participated in the conspiracy of the 20th of July 1964. He has testified that this book which originally was to serve to illustrate the work of the entire military economy had to beformulated by him in such a manner that there be no suspicion against him on the part of the Gestape. For that resen he added an affidevit and he said that "I recognize this back as one written by me."

However, utterances which are important in this trial — and by that I make concorning the war, concorning the Nazi Party and the Nazi personalities — how for this extends I cannot say. These utterances do not correspond to the feets."

I think that is important to state for the record that those excerpts are only a part of the entire document, and have to be evaluated accordingly.

THE PRESIDENT: "I course the Tribunal has no knowledge , except what it has heard in the courtroom, with reference to the character of this document. We assume from what occursed for the Dofunse has said that there is some explanatory effidevit attached to , and made a part of, the dicuments in the files of the Presecution. It is a fundemental rule, we think , that when one party to a controversy has affored a part of a dicument he thereby opens the door to the other party to effer others parts or the whole of the decement. Assuming that the affidavit to which counsel for the Defense has referred is a part of this document, we cannot see that any harm can be done the Defense by the admission of these parts of the document that have been offered by the Prosecution, in view of the fact that counsel for the defense is privileged to offer any other parts of the document, including the affidavit, if he sees fit, or may in fact offer the ----- entire document, including the affidavit, if he deems that proper.

Under the circumstances, the Tribunal will rule that the parts of the document offered by the Prosecution are properly in evidence at this time.

MR. SPRECHER: At Dr. Nelte's request, the Prosecution will undertake in the very near future, to have the portions to which he just translated and placed before Your Honors as an additional part of the ranslation. Now, in connection with the weight of this document, I have only one or two brief references which I would like to be permitted to make to Your Honors now in view of some of the remarks of Dr. Nelte. Am I permitted?

THE PRESIDENT: Certainly?

MR. SPRECHER: Dr. "elte is certainly correct in stating that the Thomas diary— or the Thomas memorandom played a great role in the first trial. In view of that fact that it did and in view of the fact that General Thomas cannot help but be well known to anyone who studies this case, we had not translated that part. I think we were in error. We will correct it. But I only want to make this point clear. I think the whole basic theory of the Prosecution in case No. I before the I.M.T.; and, if I am not mistaken, the general theory of the decision of the I.M.T. was that there were different kinds of conspirators and different kinds of principals invar crimes.

Now, it is certainly true that General Thomas, alreading man of the High Command, was a different kind of conspirator than Goering was; and the mere fact that he and a number of other people in a very late stage of the war said: "We have hid enough of this aggression, and we will attempt to take Hitler's life," we do not believe goes without to what they wrote about what happened before 1939 or even what they wrote after 1939 — except that obviously this was a police state. In connection with the weight of the matter, perticularly with respect to those passages to which the Prosecution just referred, we do not believe that there can be any proof adduced in this case which indicates

error on the part of General Thomas where he recites the facts on the rearmament and on the plans which the military-conomic staff made at that time.

Suite true, General Themes claims to have done some "window dressing" then he wrote about went personalities, referring to some of the higher, strictly Party leaders. I do not think that the efficient in any way will indicate that what he wrote concerning the leading men of industry and the military-personale staff inconnection with the wer preparations was in any way wrong.

The General was trying to write a history of the wer in this memorandum for future publication.

THE MESIDE T: We take it that counsel for the Prosecution has
no quarrel with the ruling of the Tribunel to the effect that, having
offered such parts of this document as it has selected, a catablishing
the theory of the Prosecution, you thereby open the door to the introduction of additional parts at the discretion of counsel for the
Defense. Is that correct?

MR. SPRECHER: Indeed not, Your Honor. I only wanted to take the burden from Dr. Helte since he preferred that part by offering to see that the translation was made in the near future.

THE PRESIDENT: Them it would report that we have a close understanding of our situation, and counsel for the prosecution may proceed.

MR. CH RMATZ: I after the document WI-7424 in evidence as
Exhibit No. 444. This document, which is part of the files of Wrauch's
Office for Becamenic Development, is an excerpt, as is shown at the
top of the page — but I want to point out the German original says
"Excerpt from;" it is a document, an original captured document which
is an excerpt, superently from a longer document. It says "Excerpts
from me sures in accordance with order dated 15 July 1938 for the
execution of the new military-economic production plan."

This document is dated "Berlin , 18 July 1938" and as is shown by the first sub-title which is underlined "Chemical Warfare agents and Diglycol." It can be deduced that these are the measures which were taken by the defendant Krouch in one particular field of this new sullitary-economic production also, namely, chemical warfare agents and diglycol.

Were other measures taken in other fields.

New, may I point out to Your Honors that here in practically all the points mentioned here we find that the defendant Krauch , only a few days after he had worked out with Goering this new mill-tery scenesic plan, gave orders to I.G. Farbon to comply with this new plan which was set up in the atmosphere, as was described before here. In fur to the findings of the I.M.T., and also to other idecuments as R.160. Your Monors will see practically all of these measures are commissions to I.G., or order given to I.G. for immediate expension of diglycol production at Lydwigshaven.

The mest point egein , 19, "Commission given to I.G. for increase of production expecity of diglycol."

Point 20: "Consider given to I.G. to speed up construction of diglycol plant..."

Point 21: Similer.

So is (point) 22.

Organic 25 does not muntion I.G. Ferben directly, but an Organic company. We willintroduce evidence in the next section of Count 1, in Section B on Production, what the relationship between I.G. Ferben and this company Organic was.

Point 24 again: "Commission given to I.C. Ferben for operation of Oxel apparatus" - which is an intermediate for poison gas.

(Point) 25: "Immediate commission to I.G. for commencement of experiments on large-scale production of direct mustard gas."

We stinch great importance to this document because it shows that vary smortly after the defendant Krauch had worked out for Georing, and at the instigation of the military-occurring staff, such a new plan in the field of military economy, the defendant Krauch passed on his orders to I.G. Farben; and in this one document we saw that in the field of chamical wanters engines, practically all orders — with the exception of one — went to I.G. Farben. And we will try to show, in Section D of Count 1, that Organia also, for all practical purposes, was Farben convenienced.

17 September-M-IL-5-1-Burns (Int. Remler)

DR. HEINZELER: Dr. Heinzlar, counsel for the defendent Dr. Ambros. Your Honor, the Document Exhibit 444 according to its photostatic copy constitutes an excerpt from a document and it does not become evident by whom the original document was executed and where it was found. I do not know whather the prosocution has in its possession the complete document. At any rate, it is impossible for the defense to define its attitude new towards the content of the document which has been submitted unless it knows who the author of it was, from what files it originates and at what agency it was found. It is my opinion that the submission of an excerpt from a document. the origin of which is not clear, cannot be admissible because the defense one not define its attitude towards it. For that reason I should like to ask the Tribunal to decide upon the admissibility of that document.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear what the prosecution has to say when you are ready.

MR. SPRECHER: The document, as was stated, was found in the Reichsant fuor Wirtschaftsaufbau, the official files of this office headed by Krauch. It is stamped with the typical stamp "Geheime Reichsanehe" which means "top secret" by English or American terminology. There is underlining on the original. The heading of the document itself states it was an excerpt. Now, the contents indicate that this particular part of whatever the original document was was sent to the Krauch

Court VI Casa VI

office because this particular part of that document directly involved the Krauch office.

Now, because the presecution does not have, and it does not have, the rest of the document from which this original document was taken, we do not think that the matter runs whatsoever to admissibility but, on the contrary, may indicate some points going to the weight of the document in view of the fact it may give some difficulties to the defense. However, on that point it seems to us there was very little before us, in view of this fact certainly some of the defendants in the dock and particularly the defendant Kreuch and Ambros are in a position to indicate whether or not the text of this document fits into the whole pattern which they certainly know very well.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection really involves two matters; one, the quastion of whether or not thore is a sufficient showing on the face of the decument or otherwise to entitle it to admission and consideration by the Tribungl. On that point we think there is ample precedence to sustain the view that captured documents of this character are prime facic admissible. About that issue we have little doubt. Now, as to the document purporting to be only an excerpt of a larger document it seems to us the answer to that matter is this: that when counsel for the prosccution offers a part of a document which it has in its possession, it may be required to produce the entire document for the use of the defense if the defense elects to offer additional

17 September-M-IL-5-3-Burns (Int.Ramler)
Court VI Casa VI

parts of the document or the entire document.

Now, on the statement by counsel for the presecution that all they have are these so called "excerpts", manifestly they could not be required to produce something that they do not possess, so, looking at both angles of the objection we are inclined to the view that the document is admissible as a captured document on the showing made by the presecution which has not been challenged by counsel for the defense.

As to the other matter, we believe the document is admissible even though purporting to be on its face only an excerpt and that the rights of the defendant is to require the prosecution to produce all of the documents that they possess end, manifestly, if the exhibit is all they do possess in nothing equid be accomplished by an order of the Tribunal for them to produce more and on the showing made, the objections are everywhere.

MR. CHARMATZ: May I continue now with
your Honor's paraission to the next document?
PS-1436, on page 3 of the English Document Book,
page 197 of the German Document Book 24. May I
offer this document as Presecution Exhibit 445?
This document is a note for the file and as the
document states: "Business Transacted at
conference with General Fieldmarshal Georing at
Karinhall on 18 July 1938", and then enother remark:
"CKW (without No.) Berlin, 18 July 1938."

As is shown by the marginal notes at the left side of the document this document was in the 17 September-M-IL-5-4-Burns (Int.A-micr)

Armement Department I-C of General Thomas:

Military Recommy and Armement Office to which
we referred here before. May I point out the date
of this document? It's the 16th of July 1938,
four days after the defendant Krauch worked out
with Georing his new military seemony plans.

May I point out hard on page 3, the second but last paragraph "C" where the function of the Four Year Plan is discussed? May I just quote this one paragraph?

"a. The Four Year Plan function consists
in propering the Garman Seemany for total wer
within four years. The Commissioner for the
Four Year Plan, Goaring, has unrestricted powers,
which he can delegate, if necessary, to specially
appointed Planipotentiaries Gameral, (Field Marshal
Von Hanneken.) It is intended to appoint further
Planipotentiaries Gameral for mineral oils, for
gun-powder and explosives, and possible for power."

May I point out that Harr Coaring states not only the aim of the four Year Plan but also says that Gooring as the Commissioner of the Four Year Plan can delegate his powers to Planipotentiary General von Hanneken, who will be called here as a witness, is given as one of these General Planipotentiaries.

May I quote another passage of this document?

It's on page 6 of the English Document Book of your Honors' and on 200 of the German text. Under V your Honors will find the caption Program of

17 September-M-IL-5-5-Burns (Int.Ranler)

the Four Year Plan" and may I quote this paragraph with your Honors' paraission?

The achievements of the Four Year Plan have so far been unsatisfactory in all respects from the point of view of the war affort, owing to lack of co-ordination. Position in the gun-powder and explosives industries positively entastrophic owing to departmental rivalry. Drastic acceleration of the Four Year Plan accessary for the production of the following:

"a. gun-powder and explosives;

"b. fuols;

"c. nluminum;

"d. buna;

"a. supply of ora."

nohiovements of the Four Year Plan as unsatisfactory and this apparently is the explanation why the defendent Krauch, as we will see later, at this time was appointed Plenipotentiary General for special tasks of the chemical production in order to fulfil the program of the new military economic production plan in the field gun-powder and explosives and also chemical warfare agencies, fuels, aluminum, which is light metals, and buna. Only the last rew-material mentioned here under "e", "orde" which means most probably iron orde, was not within the jurisdiction of the defendant Krauch which he had only a few days later when he was appointed Plenipotentiary General by Gearing.

May I just point out to your Honors that this document here contains a full program of all accounts accessary for complete mobilization in case of mar? I will not read from it. May I just point out on page 3, under "b", if your Bonors will note under 1-b, the first sentence reads:?

"The office of PGE --"now this is the Plenipotentiary General for Economy. In the German it reads "GBW". I think the expression "GBU" is nore common and since we will discuss the authority of the GBW may I ask your Monors to correct this "PGB" and change it to "GBW". It's on page 3 of the English Document Book under 1-b. This document, except for the fact that it muntions exactly why a new len was made for gun-powder, explosives, etc., and in addition to that the mentions that Goering considered drestic receive tion necessary, is likewise introduced in order to show the atmosphere of these July days 1938, in which the dofendant Krucen took over these new additional situations and this new jurisdiction and powers.

The next decument, your Honor; I am serry ---

DR. GIENLICKS: Counsel for the defen dent Dr. Schmitz. Your Honor,
I have to excuse myself if I have to revert to the previous document at
this time. The defense is confronted with the fact it has to deal with
documents of which it does not know who the cuther is, where they were
found, for what purpose they were written and whether or who anyone of
the defendents in the dock received any knowledge of these documents.
I am quite evere that the presention and in particular in the case of
the last document, is not in a position to give us more than they themselves possess. I do think, however, that in case of such documents
which in themselves do not show who the author was and for what purpose
they were written and to whom they were directed, at least the presecution can be required in order to clarify matters, to submit affidevits in connection with the documents, made by those persons who have

found these documents and certify them to be captured. From these affidavits one would see who found them and where they were found and it
would also be certified that the copies correspond with the found original document. This is extremely important because, according to my
information, it is the practice in this Pelece of Justice. In that
connection let me also point out that the lest occument bears a note
by the Office of Chief of Counsel for "ar Crimes that it bears the
ch rector of a so-called "unofficial copy." That, in my opinion, confirms
the necessity of the efficient for which I have just asked and I would
be grateful if, in order to avoid continual objections by the defense
which interrupt a swift preceeding, the Tribunal would make a general
decision to the effect that the prosecution would have to submit affidavite in the case of such documents which cannot be understood in
themselves.

An affidavit would have to be submitted by the person who found the document and it has to beshown through the instrument of that affidavit where it was found and all clevent data have to be given in order to enable the defense to define its proper attitude towards such a document.

THE PRESIDENT: Permit the Tribunal to make this observation. Non this document, which has been identified as Prosecution exhibit 1.44, was under consideration t short time ago and when the Tribunal ruled on the objection of counsel for the defence, it may be recalled that we stated that there had been a representation by counsel for the prosecution that this was a captured document and we said that that statement had not been challenged and we accepted the statement of the prosecution as a fact, and based our ruling of admissibility upon that fact. Now, if there is to be some question about the history of this document, the source of the document, how it came to be in the hands of the prosecution that presents a matter with respect to which to have given no consideration. We shall be glad to hear the views of the

prosecution on that point.

MR. SPRECHER: The certificate, your Honor, which are accompanying the exhibits given to the Secretary of the Tribunal are identical in form with the certificates which are being used in the other cases, so far as I know. In cny event, this is similar to the certificates with which I am familiar from nearly two years experience in Murnberg in connection with the wer crimes triels. The specific questions concern tricing many of these documents are exceedingly difficult as I am sure Dr. Gierlicha or at least some of the defense counsel who were here during the first triels will well know. The army intelligence groups from each of the Armica didn't take an owful lot of time always to make a lot of individual certificates about each of the documents which they impounded and forwarded back to the various document collection centers. I think that is rather apparent from the original certificates. For example, the Coogen certificate which was introduced on the first day of this trial and which was likewise introduced on the first day of the case before the IMT. In other words, we are not confronted here by anything that is unusual or is new.

THE PRESIDENT: Mey I ask, Mr. Prosecutor, if in your file there is anything by way of certificates or memorandum to indicate the source of this document?

MR. SPHECHER: We know that this document was found in the files of the Krauch office. I am sorry , your Honor. I was referring to Edubit 444 to which I understood you to refer. Is that correct?

THE PRE IDENT: We were under the assumption that counsel was speaking with respect to Exhibit 444. Is that correct?

DR. GIERLICHS: I referred to both, Your Honor. I referred to 444 and

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. SPRECHER: Then we did make the statement: Mr. Charmatz did happen to know where that particular document did come, but for us to go

back and ettempt to find out which clerk, which secretary, which
Second Licutement, which Sergment may have first uncovered this
particular document as a matter of his clerical interest and then in
the course of his official duties forwarded it on, so that it came
to our attention in many cases and, if fact, in most cases, would not
only be very difficult but in many cases impossible and I den't see
in most cases where it would particularly help.

THE FRESIDENT: Our thought is this; this Tribunal is disposed to accept the statement of fact from coursel for the presecution or counsel for the defense 100%, if I may use that expression, unless such statements are challenged. We admitted this document in the first instance upon the representation of the presecution that this was emong captured documents. That would have disposed of the matter in view of the Tribunel except for the fact that counsel for the defense has expressed misself as not satisfied with that showing. We will suggest that the ocumed for the presecution ascertain during the ensuing intermission what showing it can make in a general way as to the source of this document. The Trib nal will not be concerned with what happened in the passing of this document from one hand to enother or perticularly what the identity of the individual who found it or carried it to the effices of the prosecution and we will nor yet rule on the question -- Perhaps it might be well for the prosecution to make such showing, as it is in position to make, with reference to the basic fact that this is a captured document and was found in the files of the office to which counsel referred.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honor, it is a captured document and taken care of by the usual cartificate. I understand your point. Beyond that we shall indeed—

THE PRESIDENT: with those observations the Tribunal will now rise for its customery morning recess.

(A recess was taken)

17 September 47-M-ATD-7,8,9 41-Putty (Intl von Schon) Court 6, Case 6

THE MARSHAL: T he Tribunal is again in session.

THE FRESIDENT: Mr. Secretary, will you please hand up the original of Exhibits 444 and 445. We may say to Counsel that the records which we use on the bench are not the original exhibits, as you well know, but merely mineographed copies for our own convenience like the copies that you have on your desk. These copies do not purport to carry the showing of the authenticity of the document. We were in some confusion when Counsel referred to the certificate accompanying those documents. The only certificate that we have before us from our working copy of the documents is a certificate of the translator. During the internession we took the liberty of sending for the original of the two documents that have been marked bull and but. We find as a part of those exhibits certificates substantially alike signed by an officer who identifies himself as connected with the Evidence Division of the Office of Chief of Counsel for ar Crimes, and after identifying the respective certificates stating that the exhibit is a time copy of a document which was delivered to him in his official capacity in the usual course of official business as the original of a document found in German archives, records and files captured by military forces under the command of the Supreme Commander, Allied Expeditionary Forces. The certificate is dated and signed by the officer.

This, in the judgment of the Tribunal, is clearly prime facial evidence of the authoritity of these documents and will be accepted as sufficiently establishing that fact unless there is a direct attack upon the certificate and unless there is a showing that the certificate is untrue, and, of course, the party assuming to make such an attack would have the burden of proof to establish it. With those observations the Tribunal new overrules the objections to the admissibility of offered Exhibits 14th and 14th.

MR. CHARMATZ: May it please Your Monors, may I continue to introduce the next document. The next document, EC-278 which can be found on page 8 of your document book, Your Honors, and in German document book XXIV, page 203, is a circular — may I mark this as Prosecution Exhibit 446. This is a circular letter of Field Mershal Goering dated Berlin, 16 July 1938, the same day as the document which was introduced here before as 445, also 16 July 1938. May I point out, Your Honors, that in the English translation on page 9 the distribution list is omitted. It shows up in the German missograph. It says, "The distribution: All Reich ministries and Prussian Minister of Finance."

Your Honors will also see on page 8 the document states "hO copies" and this here is a third copy. In this document Goering mentions that he wants to appoint certain Plenipotentiaries General as we saw already in Exhibit No. 1415. May I just read the first sentence of this document with Your Honors' permission.

"The political situation, particularly the necessity for speeding up the execution of the Four Year Plan and the readiness for mobilization compels me, regardless of all objections, to appoint so-called General Plemipotentiaries for various fields of special importance."

Goering also points out in this first paragraph that he can transfer to these Plemipotentiaries General all powers which he was given by Adolf Hitler.

On page 9, which is page 203 of the German document book, Goering points out that he will announce in the near future the persons in charge of such new functions.

May I now introduce the next document, EC-279, which can be found on page 10, in the German document book 205, which I want to make hip. This document, which is a letter of the ONW, the Supresse Command of the Wehrwacht initialled by "K" which is Keitel, Field Marshal Keitel, is dated 21 July 1938. This document is the reaction of the OKW to this announcement of Hermann Goering. Keitel says that he has learned that Goering wants to appoint a specific person as General Plenipotentiary

17 September 47-M-ATD-7,8,9-3-Putty (Int. von Schon)

for the production of powder, explosives and war gasses, and as will he seen on page 11 at the end of the second paragraph Keitel suggests that General Becker, who was the chief of the Army Ordnance Office, the Heoreswaffenamt, be appointed to this position, and the last paragraph shows that General Keitel want to have a talk with Field Marshal Goering in case that Goering should have another appointee in mind.

The next document which is still concerned with the same subject, namely, the question of the appointment of a new Plenipotentiary, is Document NI-8840, which can be found on page 12 of your document books, Your Honors, and in the German document book XX on page 48. May I mark this as Prosecution Exhibit 448. This is a letter of the defendant, Kranch, written to State Secretary Keerner on 22 July 1938. At the beginning of this letter the defendant, Krauch, refers to a letter of General Becker, the candidate of General Secker's observations.

The Prosecution does not possess the original letter which General Backer wrote to Koerner. The Prosecution contends that it is shown from this letter that Secretary Koerner gave the defendant, Krauch, General Becker's letter, and here the defendant, Krauch, points out what his reaction to the arguments of General Becker are.

May I draw Your Honors' attention first to page 12 of the English text. It can be found at the bottom of page 48 and the beginning of page 49 in the German text. I want to point this cut. In the middle of the third paragraph, numeral 3, the question of the military importance of mitrogen production is discussed here. Your Honors will recall that when the witness, General Morgan, took the stand in the cross examination this question of the convertibility of mitrogen for fertilizers was discussed. May I just read those two sentences here in the middle of paragraph 3.

"The fertilizer mitrogen basis becomes at once, by its export decline in the case of mibilization, the backbone of the whole of the

nitric acids and of armonium nitrate. That latter again will be produced by the conversion of plants manufacturing, in peacetime, special kinds of fertilizers."

This is only pointed out in order to supplement what was said before by the witness, General Horgan. It is the defendant, Krauch, speaking on this topic.

On page 13 the last paragraph of this page, namerol 5, shows the defendant Krauch, claimshere that he bimself as far back as the end of 1936 had taken the indicative to point out to the Wehrmacht the urgent necessity of stock piling, everything, of course, in connection with gun powder, explosives and chemical marfare agents.

On page 15, paragraph 7 — it can be found in the German text at the bottom of page 52 and continues on page 53 — the defendant, Krauch, refers to conversations which he had recently with responsible persons of the branches of the industry concerned. We have seen before the defendant, Krauch, had discussions and received suggestions from responsible persons of the industry.

On page 16 of Your Honors' book, the second but last paragraph, the middle sentence — may I read this middle sentence into the record because, as the Prosecution contends, this shows the voluntariness of the chemical industry to provide the German war machine with powder and explosives.

"Neither the chemical industry nor the equally important gunpowder and explosives industry are undertakings which set up production installations to order in accordance with Scheme F. They endeavor, on the contrary, from a sense of responsibility fulfill the tasks set to the best of their ability."

Furthermore, on page 17, the fourth paragraph, the defendant, Krauch, points out that it will not be necessary to build up a special mechanism, a new office, as General Becker believed, and that as the defendant, Krauch, says— I quote:

and in my staff in the beich Office for Tonneste Development."

I'my I ask Your "oners to smostlitute at the end the last work of this paragraph by the word of "Development" instead of "Extension" in order to have the terms uniform.

May I revert before I finish this document to page 15 of the English document book which is page 53 of the German text. I paraphrase this last paragraph marked numeral 7. May I only quote the last sentence here which also, as the Prosecution contends, shows the voluntariness on the part of the industry. It is the last sentence on this page.

"The firms concerned are willingly propered to assume the responsibility thouselves for the quickest possible rush execution, in which, of course, they must be accorded the State assistance I have proposed."

And now the last pass of this document, page 18 of the English document book. It is at the end of page 50 of the Perman document book. Here the defendant, Trough, stresses that the best way to fulfill this program is the collaboration and the confidence in the industry concerned.

Your Monors will recall it was stated before more, and evidence will be introduced here in the next section of Count I, that the industry which produced powder, explosives and chemical warfare agents was practically identical with I. G. Farben and their subsidiaries. Only one more thing, at the end of the second but last paragraph the defendant Krauch stresses that he has selected his staff principally from the industry concerned, and that he had trained their minds for eighteen months to these tasks, and I quote:

"In order to anchor in the industries concerned the principles of national economy here represented."

The next document, Your onors, is T-3797 which can be found on Page 19 of your document books, Tour Monors, and on page 58 of the same

17 September 117-init-7, 0, 2 9-5-jutty (Inv. von Schon)

Cornan document book, nomely. May I mark it as Presecution Thibit 449.

Thy I be permitted to give a short explanation.

He saw in the evidence offered here that "cering had in mind to appoint Dr. Erauch | lemipotentiary Demoral in the fields of powder, explosives and chemical warfare agents. However, this appointment did not take place right after the so-celled Sarinhall Plan was put into effect.

The next document which I just marked as Twittit in 9 shows that
the defendant Tranch was very ancious to get these powers and here we
see a document of Tranch's Office for Technomic Development dated
Berlin, 8 august 1935, and it deals with — may I read the beading:
"Remains which up to the resent have hindwred the industry from
speeding up production of the unpower and explosives plants." and
we see right at the beginning of this proventum that Tranch states
that "The industry complains of the absence — funderlined in the
ordinal remain text — of a clearly-defined program for the construction
of now explosives and jumpendar plants for abbilitation requirements
and supply and a tockpilling."

17 Sept 47-M.FM-10-1-Leodard (Int Ramler)-FM Court VI, Case VI.

On the same page 19, the second but last paragraph, at the beginning we find again the defendant Krauch stressing that industry complains of the lack of acceleration of expansion up-to-date. It is the defendant Krauch who stresses to Goering the necessity of a clearly defined program for explosives, gun powder, etc., and his support is the industry. Now, as I stated before, the prosecution has shown to a certain extent and will show that the industry concerned with these products is practically identical with I.G. Farben.

May I draw Your Honors' attention now to page 21 of your document book, which is still part of the Document NI 8797. This is a new rush plan... I'm sorry, I did not give the German page. This can be found on page 81 of the German Document Book 20. On the 13th of August, 1938, only five days after the defendant Krauch had pointed out the necessity of acceleration of preparation in the fields of gun powder, etc., Krauch submitted a new plan, a new rush plan, for, again, gun powder, explosives and chemical warfare agents. Your Honors will recall that we have quite a series of such plans and I will shortly interduce a document which shows clearly the sequence of these plans. So I will not give any explanation at this time.

May I draw Your Honors' attention to page 22 of the English text, which is page 62 of the German document book 20. Here the defendant Krauch, on 13 August 1938, explains als new rush plan of the same day, and may I point out, as is shown in paragraph 3 of this page, I quote:

"The details of the rush plan have been adjusted and full agreement reached between the CKW (WStb)...", which is the military economy staff, "...(Supreme Command of the

17 Sept 47 M PM-10-2 Leonard (Int. Ramler)

Armed Forces - Military Economy Stalf), the OKH (Wa A) which is (High Command of the army - army Ordnance Office Dept. A), and Dr. Krauch,

At the end of the paragraph before, it is shown that Krauch wants to get the maximum increase under this rush plan by Autumn of 1939. Your Honors have seen, from the findings of the International Military Tribunal, that in Autumn 1939 Hitler started the World War.

On page 23 of your document book, which is page 63 of the German text, Your Honors will find an underlined paragraph. May I quote. It is the defendant Krauch speaking:

"The implementation of the rush plan will only be possible if very special (War emergency) powers are granted to the authority responsible for its execution."

We will very shortly hear that the office which was to be granted the authority was the defendant Kycuch.

In the same page, in Nobe I, Your Honors will rind the sequence of the three plans for gun powder, etc., in which, however, the fourth plan of 12 July 1938, the so-called Karinhall Plan, is omitted. It is omitted here because this Karinhall Plan with regard to explosives and gun powder was the same as the accelerated plan of 30 June 1935.

The next few documents which I want to offer in evidence now are introduced for the purpose to show that at the time that the defendant Krauch worked out plans for the German war machine he was in close contact with I.G. Farben and that there was a close connection between I.G. Farben and Krauch's office for Economic Development, the Reichsstelle fuer Wirtschaftsausbau.

The next document, NI 8973, which can be found on page

17 Sept 47 M.PM.JO.3-Lectard (Int. Ramler)

28 in Your Honors! English book and in the German Book 25, page 45, May I mark this document for Exhibit 450.

May I pause for a moment in order to give defense counsel an opportunity to get the text.

This document is an excerpt from the minutes of the 39th Meeting of the Patent Committee of I.G. Farben of 15 June 1938. Your Honors will see, at the bottom of page 28, this patent committee discusses the question whether I.G. Farben should give all the information to the Reichsstelle fuers Mirtschoftsausbau, Krauch's Office for Economic Development, and has certain doubts about the wisdom of passing on such information since this office might make such information available to competitors.

On the next page, it is on page 26-A, Your Honors will see the representatives of Farben on the patent committee treated this question in a more or less family way. They said they would speak to Dr. Krauch and find out from him directly whether they should give this information or not.

May I just point out to your Honors in the list of the persons present, the defendant Dr. von Knierien is given as Chairman of this meeting of the patent committee. It can be found right under theheading of the document.

The next document NI 5752, which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 451, can be found on page 29 of Your Honors! English document book and, in the German document book 24, Page 207. This document ...

THE PRESIDENT: It will be permissible to the Tribunal to pause when you change books to give counsel an opportunity to find it.

MR. CHARMATZ: Thank you very much; Your Honor.

This document is the minutes of one of these daily staff meetings as is shown in the top left hand corner,

17 Sept 47-M-PM-10-4-Leonard (Int. Rambur) Court VI, & Case VI.

which was held here on 14 July 1938. That is, at the time when Goering worked out the Karimhall Plan. May I point out that this original document bears the initial of the defendant von Schnitzler which is not noted in the English translation.

May I draw your Honors! attention to the bottom of page 31

IR. SPECHER: Your Honors, may I break in here on one point?

Sometimes it may be convenient, with Your Honors' permission, for us

to pass a document to defense counsel to one of the defendants, where
an initial comes into question, and if there is no dispute about the

matter at that time, the practice has been followed in other cases merely
to note that for the record. Now, in this case, I followed that procedure
just to have a beginning and Or. Fireliche showed the photostat to the
defendant von Schmitzler who, as I understand, acknowledged the initial.

THE PRESIDENT: The practice may be that counsel for the prosecution may call such facts to the attention of the Tribunal and, unless there is objection on the part of counsel for the defendant, we will accept the fact as established.

MR. CHARMATZ: At the bottom of page 31 of you document book, Your Honors, which is page 210 in the German text, we see that of the Legal Department a report was made on Jowish firms. May I read:

"Siloher reports about a conversation with Herr Dr. Krauch. Dr.
Krauch has informed him that he has been told by competent gentlemen in
the Reich Ministry of Toponomics, that the implementation decree III for
the Reich citizenship law — that is the Murrhery law — will not apply
to the I. O.; it is well known that things are in good order in the I.O."

The prosecution wanted to read this excerpt because it shows that on in July 1938, when Franch was bush working out plans for the German war machine, he informed his first, his own firm, on matters of which he gained knowledge in the Reich Ministry of Economics to which, at that thee, his Reich Office for Economic Development was formally subordinated. We will see later on, in the evidence which will be offered here, that Krauch kept close contact with I. G. Farben and informed them of several things which concerned his official capacity in the Reich Office for Economic Development.

The next document, which is NI 8791, can be found on page 34 of the English document book and on page 213 of the same German book as was quoted before. May I mark this document 452. This document is an excerpt from a rather bulky file of the Reich Office for Economic Development. Your Monors will note at the top of the English trans—lation, page 3b, it says: "page 152 of orl inal". This first excerpt here, which is dated 5 Metober 1938, is a report by the defendant Trauch on the progress of work in the period from 15 September to 1 October 1939 with report to the military economic new production plan. I want to..... The chromological order is not quite correct, but I think it is more convendent to dispose of this document first. Here Your Monors will find that the defendant Krauch discussed all questions which were covered by the new military economic production plan with the competent military authorities and also, of course, with I. G. Farbon which built the necessary plants.

May I point out, on page 35 of the English text, at the bottom, it can be found on page 222, of the Cerman text, that discussions were held by Frauch, of course, also with the Mich Command of the Navy.

On the next page, which is page 35-A in the English text, German text 222, under the hearing of "Chemical Marfare Agents and Preliminary Products", we find that the projects of Parbon are mentioned and the defendant Franch points out here:

"Both projects - dirlycol expansion in "olfen and Ludwigshafen -- have been punctually completed."

Completed on time.

The next page, 37 of the same document, which is page 223 in the German text, again in the chronological order comes in the same level as we stopped before, namely August, 1938. Here we see a report by Dr. C. Trauch, dated 19 August 1938, on the measures instigated for the implementation of the military economic new production plantfor the period 1 August to 15 August 1938. May I point out here, Your Honors, Krauch refers here to the measures and the Exhibit 444 which was discussed here is exactly a document which contains some of these measures

which were taken by the defendant Trauch for the implementation of the military economic plan. Tay I draw Your Honors' attention to the similarity of the heading of the bocument VI 7h2h, which is Thibit hhly, and the heading of age 37 in your document books. This shows the connection which the document introduced here before, has with the execution of Trauch's new military economic plan. Although the English terms are not always uniform, it can be seen from the original exhibits that the heading is absolutely identical.

May I here in this document, on page 37, point out the first two paragraphs and may I be even permitted to quote these two short paragraphs, Your Honor?

THE PRESIDENT: Very Well.

Mr. CHWWATZ: It is the defendant Trouch speaking:

"A draft of an order for the implementation of the Rush Flan that is the plan of 13 August and for the cooperation of the offices concerned of the High Command of the Army (army Ordenice Office) and OK: (WStb)..."
Military Reconcurs Staff, "...was jointly a reed upon with General Becker and General Thomas.

"The Rush Plan for our powder, emplosives, chemical warfare agents and preliminary products was coordinated among the offices concerned and full agreement was reached through the complete acceptance of my proposals. It will be handed over by us fointly to the Generalfeld-marshall on 22 August."

Here, Your Honors, the prosecution contends, can be seen that the real instigator of these plans was the defendant Krauch. Both the army ordnance office of the OKH, of the High Command of the German Army, and the famous Military Economic Staff of General Thomas accepted completely the defendant Krauch's proposals. May I draw Your Honors' attention that this is in late August, 1938, merely a few weeks before the first invasion of Czechoslovakia.

It may be interesting to note that in the same document, the defendant Krauch...may I drew your attention to page 39-A and 40 - also goes into great detail what the firms say about the building projects. About in the middle of page 39-4 of the English text, it is on page 236 of the German text, we find the heading "Position of Individual. building Projects." Your Horors will first find the further caption: "DAW reports". That is the Dynewit-Nebel Aktiengesellschaft which, as was shown here before, was part of the Forben concern. The DAO reports on certain plants. On page 10, we find "Did and Dir state;" This ha the DAG and DSC stands for Doutselve Sprange soute. Your Henors will find the name of this company in document of 10033 which was a duri, which was previously offered in evidence hers, and may I just give the exhibit number for identification, with Your Henors' permission. It was Exhibit i.S. The next fire this ts mentioned in Krauch's report, one paragraph down, is the Mand states. Your Fenore will recall that in the same Document NI 50030, Eddill to, the firm WASAG is given as an I. U. and Dynamit Model subsidiary and, at the and of this document, we see what I. G. proper status. Now, may I be permitted to point out that the prosecution did not make any excerpts omitting other firms. This did not omit any other firms which also stated. This shows that only I.C. and I.C. subsidiaries were quoted in this report.

The next document, MI 8197

THE PRESIDENT: If counsel will pardon an interruption. We will mise at this time for our noon lunch period.

(A recess was taken until 1330 hours, 17 September 1947)

AFT PNOON SESSION.

THE MARSHA: Persons in the court room will be scated. The Tribunal is again in session.

MR. CHARLATZ: May it plea so the Tribunni, I finished at the and of this merning's session the Document NI-5791 which was marked Exhibit 452.

The next decement, NI-8917, which - west to mark freeecution Exhibit LS3, een be found on page L1 of the English document book, and in the German document book 20, page 68. This document is again an excerpt from a file of the Aciebsstella four Birtschaftausbau(
The Beich Office for Economic Development), and I would like to point out occural pages in the translation.

May I first area Your Seners at outless to page 46 of the document book, which is page 76 of the German text? This document, which originates again from the Scien for Economic "evolopment, and is stamped "top secret", discussed the creation of a Chemists' Officers Corps. Here the Scien Office for Economic Development suggests that for the purpose of chemical warfart, such a special officers' corps to created. And may I just point out, on page 46, at the end of paragraph 3, the last sentence which re ds, if I may quote: "Defenction which cortainly exists - - " it refers to chemical warfare - " is a sign sentimental lack of discrimination."

May I also draw Your Monors attention to the last part of paragraph 4, which is underlined in the original German text, in which Krauch's office says that the chasical wappon should insure a superiority for Germany.

May I just point out that the original disposant, the file, has
the following title Exploitation of Verman Chemical Industry for a
Decisive Variance. " In German : Ausnutzung der Catschen Chemischen
Industrie, fuer eine Enescheidungssuchende Kriegsfuchrung.

The muxt page, 48, in the English because t back is a "top secret"

The next page, Ad, in the English document back is a "top secret" document, likewise from Krouch's office; and in the sixth paragraph of this document we find reference again that Krouch suscerize the warlike powers which he nowded for the execution of his rush plan. This detailed memorandum referred to here in the sixth paragraph is to ducment WI-8797 which was introduced before as Exhibit Ad9. It might be useful to Your Honors for cross-reference.

The next page, 69, of the seme document can be Found in the German document book on pages 79,80 and 81, is an order signed by Goering on 22 August 1938. In this order of 22 August 1938 Searing says in the first paragraph that the execution of the new rush plant quote. It is the fourth line in paragraph 1" . . . is entirely an trusted to br. "rauch."

Nay I quote on? He, therefore, is fully responsible for the execution of the program within the time set and for procuring the mans required incidental thereto (menoy, steel, building materials, labor, etc.)"

The second paragraph of this military order of Georing's shows the distribution of the tasks between the defendant Krauch and the OKN, or OKW. We see, under Numeral II-A that the program and planning is "Dr. Kranch's responsibility and only the actual constitution of plants will be carried out by the OKH, the High Command of the Army, and its section, the Army Armament Office."

Under numeral III Your Honors will note that Dr. Krauch created a special Building Staff for which the Supreme Command of the Army delgated a permanent representative, and that Dr. Arauch also had a liaison officer with the OKH, the Command of the German Army.

May I draw Your Honor's attention to the fact that tops order of 22 August, 1938, of Georing's does not mention that the defendant Krouch was appointed plenipotentiary general for these special tasks.

In a document which I am going to introduce later, Your honors, will see that in addition to this order Georing issued a special decree on the same day, also 22d August 1938, appointing Krauch Special Planipotentiary of the Four Year Plan.

The Presecution contends that this order, the form of an order, was chosen in order to make Krauch's position clear to the form of an order, was chosen in order to make Krauch's position clear to the military buth rities; for the field of the Four tear Plan and general governmental administration a special degree was issued, as will be shown later on.

The next document, Your Henors, is NI-7835, which I want to mark Prosecution Fahibit h54. It can be found on page 51 of the English text and in the German Decument Book No. 20 on page h2. This document originates from the Naw Asterials section of the Wilrus-Amt of General-Themah's office. It is deted 15 July 1960, and it is introduced for the purp se of showing how the defendant Armuch out plans for the production of gunspewer, explosives, and chemical warfare agents.

May I call Your Hener's attention to paragraph 3 of page 51, which can be found at the bottom of page 12 in the German document book? And may I be p.rmitted to quote the first sentence?

"In view of the strained political situation in the autumn of 1938 and the demands thus created in the sphere of gunspower, explosives, and chemical warfare agents, it seemed desirable to extent and, above all, to accolurate the former planning." End of quote.

And may I point out the last paragraph on this page which shows that it is always General Thomas' worfice speaking — that Dr.

Krauch received special authority from Field Marshel Georing and that the control of this rush plan was in Dr. Krauch's hands? The execution was divided between the Krauch Office, the army high Command, and the OKW. In this document Your Honors will also find how the planning for gunspewer, explanious and chemical warfare agents begun by Dr. Krauch

17 -cpt.47-A-GJ-13-4-Schwab-(Ramler)-Court 6 case 6

in '38 continued up to "uly 1940.

The next tepic I want to cover new is paragraph 31 of the indictment—I beg Your Henor's parden. I did not state this merning that in this connection I would like to refer to a document which is contained in Document Book 19, and may I perhaps, after the recess, can back to this one topic in order to give Your Henors time to get this document book? It is entirely my fault.

THE PRESIDENT: Is it a decument already in evidence?

MR. CHARGATZ: It is entirely in ovidence. It is Decument PS-1301 which was, if I am not mistaken, our exhibit 601.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. CHARMATZ: I theref re will also ship any reference to the next document in the back, NI-b193, which is exhibit hol.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you intend subsequently to come back to this document?

MR. CHARMATZ: Yes, Your Monor. The next dreament, EC-282

mich I want to mark as freservin in Exhibit 45, is is is as an an page 56 of the English document book and in the German document book 20, page 83, a mark report of the plenipotentiary general of the Minister President Field Marshal Georing, Dr. C. Krauch, before the General Council (Generalrot).

This document's data is shown on page 59 as the end of April 1939. That is shortly efter the invasion of the remainder of Czecheslovakis on 15 March 1939. In this report the defendant Krauch gives a full picture of his efforts to step up the surman war potential particularly to increase the production of gunpewer, explosives, and chemical agents, and all the other materials which were devered by this new military-economic plan of 12 July 1936.

May I just point out on the pages 106 and 107 this long report which can be found in the German text on pages 178 and 179? The defendant Krouch susserises the position of Germany. May I be permitted to read into the record those two last paragraphs on pages 106 and 107? "It is essential for Germany to strongthen its own war potential as well as that of its allies to such an extent that the esalition is equal to the efforts of practically the rost of the world. This can be achieved only by now, strong and combined efforts by all of the allies, and by expanding the greater economic desain corresponding to the improved raw material basis of the coalition, peaceably at first, to the Balkans and Spain."

And the last paragraph: "If setion does not follow upon these thoughts with the greatest possible speed, all sacrifices of blood in the next year will not span us the bitter end which already once before we have brought upon curselves owing to lack of foresight and fixed purposes."

It was just pointed out to me, Your Honors, in the last paragraph of page 106 I read " greater economic domain." This in German reads "Grosswirtscheftsraum." We will find this German expression
again in Count 2 in Speilation. This was the typical terminology of
the Third Soich for their expansion and search for Lebensroum.

Only and more thing on page 10k, before the defendant Krauch summarizes, he also analyzes the political situation. Your Honors will find, under the heading "Conclusion" on page 10k; it is page 173 of the German text. May I be paralited to read two sentences of this paragraph?

When, on 30 June 1938, the objectives or the increased production in the spheres of work discussed here were given by the Field Marshal it sended as if the political leadership could determine independity the timing and extent of the political revolution in Europe, and could avoid a rupture with a group of powers under the leadership of Great Britain. Since march of this year there is no longer any doubt that this hypothesis dues not exists anymers.

May I be permitted to point out, Your "eners, the date: "Merch of this year"; march 1939 as the occupation of the remainder of Cacchoslovakie on 15 of "arch 1939. The Prescention contends that this paragraph makes it clear that if there should have been any doubt in the derendant Krouch's mind still in June or July of 1938, he states here himself that there was no lenger any doubt that such a hypothesis did not exist enymore, namely, that it would not come to a showlown, a war.

The next document, NI-6783, which I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit 556, can be found on page 108, and in the German Document Back 20 on page 180. This document, again, is introduced for the purp se of showing what the relationship of Dr. Krauch was with I.G. Farben. This document, NI-6783, is an excerpt of minutes of the Monday conference of the Tochnical Committee, on 8 May 1939.

17 Sopt 47-A-PM-15-1-Burns (Ramler) Court 5, Case 5.

MR. CHARMATZ: Your Honors will recall that when the witness Dr. Wagner was on the stand ne referred to the so-orlied "Small TEA" meetings. As is seen from this document, Dr. Struss reports on a special meeting of Wrin Group I, on 28 april 1939 and states that since Dr. Krauch's time is very much taken up by his activity in the Raisa Office for Economic Development, Dr. Schneider will in the future deputize for Dr. Krouch in the latter's empacity as leader of Main Group I, that is, Sparte I. From this, theprosecution contends, it can be seen that the defendant Arauch maintained up to the end of april 1939 his full position within I.G. Farson as head of Sparte I and we think it is significant that unly on 28 April 1939, one day before this report of the General Counselor of the Four Year Flan was made, Dr. Krauch appointed a formal deputy to his most important function in I.G. Farcon. I think the chronological link is rother striking. At the time when the defendant Krauch realized the situation beyond my doubt he wanted to devote his full time to the brace of roung Germany.

The next documents which follow in Document Book 21

mre introduced primarily to show that the defendant Krauch,
shortly before wer broke out and also during the war, held
a very important position in the German Government and also
in the German military organization.

The next document NI-1237 which I want to mark as
Prosecution Exhibit 457 is a letter addressed to Dr. Todt,
at that time General Plenipotentiary for construction
planning of the four Year Plan. Lateron Dr. Todt became
the first German Minister for Weapons and Ammunition.
In this letter of 31 August 1938 Dr. Todt stresses that
in the field of the Plan Krauch as is seen in the subject

17 Sept 47-A-PM-15-2-Burns (Int. Remler)

of this letter, preferential labor allocation should take place and may I be permitted to point out the date of this letter? On the day before the outbreak of the war that the circuid protection is mentioned here.

May I point out that the English translation gives 1938. It should read 1939. It's one day before the outbrock of the war. The German copies are correct.

The next document NI-1526 I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit - I am sorry, your Honor - 458. It can be found on page 110 of the English Document Book and in the German text, Book 20, page 192. On page 110 the heading of the document contains a mis-translation. The underlined herding, "List of Express Projects Planned to be Extended" should read "to be continued". I think this is clearer and I am sure that the Ofense will not object. Your Honors will note from this document that on 5 September 1939, that is, four or five days after the outbreak of the war, Professor Krauch, as Gooring's Plenipotentiary General drew up a long list of projected plans which were to be continued in event of an omergency. We will see from other documents that at the ond of August already the defendant Krauch did cortain rosoarch, what was necessary to be done in case of mobilization. Your Fonors will see that this list of factories which word - whose construction was the defondant Krauch's responsibility, contains several hundred names. May I point out that many of these plants mentioned here in Krouch's program are plants of I.G. Farben, DAG, etc.

The next document NI-8796 which I want to mark as
Prosecution Exhibit 459 can be found on page 132 of the
English Document Book and page 211 of the German Document
Book 20. This document is a report — may I repeat the
German reference? It's the German Document Book 20, page 211.

Court 5, Case 6.

This document is a report of the defendant Krauch dated as of 16 October 1939. That is shortly after the out-break of the war, on his achievments in the execution of the new military exonomic production plan of 12 July 1938 and the Bush-Plan of 13 August 1938. I am not going to quote any thing from this decument.

The next document, PS-1457, which I want to mark
Presecution _xhibit 460, is a list of files of General
Thomas, the hand of the Wi-Rue Amt., which shows important
discussions which General Thomas held with Prof. Trauch.

May I draw your Honors' attention to page 141, the fourth
item, a menorandum on discussion of General Thomas with
Prof. Krauch and Chief of army Ordanace Office (Hecroswaffenant) Gen. Becker on 17 November 1933 when the Iron and
steel quotes from the Krauch plan were discussed.

Your Honors will note that over since 1939 the
Kerinhall Plan was termed Krauch Plan in more or less all
German official documents. On page 1-2 at Item 25, your
Honors will find again a discussion between the efcadent
Krauch and Jon. Thomas and also on page 145 at the and
of Item 35 we find the Krouch Plan mentioned.

The next document, N1-7570, which I want to make as Proscoution Exhibit 461 can be found on page 158 and in the German Document Book 25, page 47. May I pause for a minute to give the defense time to look for it?

This document NI-7870 is a memorandum of a conference of the it Gen. Thomas' on 17 November 1939 on the increase of the Iron and steel quotae for the implementation of the Krauch Plan. Your Honors will note that this is the transcript of the conference to which we referred before in Emilbit 430 on page 141 of the English Document Book and may I request your Honors to revert to page 141 of the Emilbit

17 Sept 47-A*PM-15-4-Burns (Ramler) Court 6, Case 6.

where it is shown that the result of this conference were a memorandum to the Fushrer. We see that the conferences in which Krauch, the defendant Krauch, participated with the most important specialists of the German High Command resulted oven in memoranda of the Fushrer and that is no question of any low-level discussions.

Your Honors will note on page 158, which is page 48 of the German text, that with the exception of Prof. Krauch and Dr. Zahn, who was an employeed of the Heereswaffenant, the army Ordnance Office, only Generals, Colonels and one Hajor ware present. I am not going to quote anything from this document. Your Honors will see that the Cofendant Krauch discussed here with the key military men all the problems for warfare and as is shown on page 187 it was Prof. Krauch who investigated theoreties and submitted later on suitable proposals. This shows clearly the landership of the defendant Krauch in these matters.

The next document NI_7132 I want to mark as Prosecution Exhibit, 452. It can be found on page 169 of the English text and in the German Document Book 21 on page 1.

May I pause for a moment? This document NI-7132 is a decree of Goering's, dated 5 December 1930 and the subject is, as shown on page 159: "Re-organization of the Reichevelle for Economic Development." May I just read the first — paraphrase the first sentence? It shows that Goering thought that the special circumstances of the war made it necessary to re-organize the Reichetchle for Economic Development and to re-name it now on account of its increased importance "Reicheant." The English translation does not show any difference. I want to point out that before December 1938 the official German name of what we call here the "Reiche Office for Economic

17 Sept 47-A-PM-15-5-Burns (Romler) Court 6, Case 6.

Development" was "Reichsstelle fuer Wirtschaftsausonu" and after 5 December 1939 the official German term is "Reichsamt." This distinction does not show in the English translation.

On nego 171 under Item 6 and may I quoto? It's Gooring's shooth:

"I agree to it, that my Genoral Planinotentiary for shedial
questions, Prof. Dr. Kreuch be entrusted tomorarily with the direction
of the Teicharmt."

May I be cormitted to explain here only two thines? Number 1; stready long before December 1939 the real Chief, as is shown from the documents which we introduced, was Dr. Krauch and Dr. Krauch kept this position which is termed "temporary" here from 1939 up to the end of the wer. The official Chief of the Reicheaut fuer Wirtschaft-scushau before December 1939 Col. Czimetis, whose a me shows up in our Document NI-523 -- may I be cormitted to look up the Exhibit Number, your Monor? I am sorry, your Monor, I will have to give you the exhibit number offer the recess. The official Chief Col. Czimetis is not shown in my of the documents which we introduced this corming and this offernoon. Prom the distribution lists your Honors will see it's always Prof. Krauch and, of course, Goaring or the State Secretary Koorner, to whose all these alons went.

The next document, NI-820, which I want to mark as Prosecution Schibit A63 can be found on mage 172 and in the German Document Book 24, mage 25. May I makes for a minute? I am sorry I do not know whether I gave the ongo number correctly. The ongo number is 259 in the German text. This document is a latter of the Reichs Ministry of Represent to Prof. Krouch outlining the jurisdiction of the Reich Office for Economic Develorment and of the Plenimotentiary General for Special Tasks of Chemical Production. May I draw your Honors' attention to the end of the first paragraph on page 172. It's in the German text on page 260. Here several decrees are mentioned and smong others - decree of Luguet 22, 1938 on the appointment of the Plenimotentiary General for Special Cuestions of Chemical Production. This is the decree to which he referred before as the official decree of the Four Year Plan appointing the defendent Krouch Plenimotentiary

17 Sort-1-T3-16-2-Surns(Tamler)

Goneral. The order of the same date of 22 August 1938 was only a military order in order to make Dr. Krauch's mosition clear in relation to the Army.

The next few documents are introduced orimarily for the purpose to show the importance of the so-called "Krauch Office" as it was called later, that is, the combined office of Krauch, both in his capacity as head of the Reichsamt Fuer Wirtschoftsausbau and in his capacity as Planipotentiary General.

The next document is NI-4952 which is Exhibit 464. This is an efficient of Dr. --- I am sorry, I did not give the German location --it's in the German Document Book 21, range 4; in the English text,
rege 178. In this efficient Dr. Felix Ehrmann, who was the Deouty
Manager of the Economic Group Chemical Industry of Germany, Josephson how closely inter-linked the two functions of the Plenisotentiary
General and the Reichs Office for Sconomic Development and in the
fourth rangement Dr. Ehrmann stated: May I quote this one sentence?

"The vest mejority of these meanle reting on an honoray basis were approximately up to 90% of their total number representative of 1.6. Farbenindustrie."

This refers to the fact the defendant Krouch employed in his office mostly so-called honorary colleborators" which came from the German chamical industry and it's shown here that somroximately 90% of the total number came from I.G. Farban.

Exhibit 465, is a report of the Reichsminister and Chief of the Reichschancellory to the Reichsminister of Economics, Dr. Funk. This document can be found on page 180 and on page 180 and on page 5 of the German Document Book. In this document the Chief of the Reichschancellory Dr. Lammers, teichsminister Lammers, transmits to Reichsminister Funk that there were enormous complaints about the Reichsmant Fuer Wirtschaftsnusbau and may I point out on page 181, the last paragraph

17 Scot-1-IB-16-3-Murns(Ramlor)
COUNT 6, CASE 6

before the second, it!s Willhum, who was a high official in the Reich Chancellory, who writes this:

"The serier is obviously well-informed. He exaggerates though, yet he gots down to the essentials. Also elsewhere, orinions to the same effect have been voiced."

Your Honors will see that on page 180 about line 7 of the text of the note, it was complained that about 70% of the personnel of Krauch's office were I.G. Farben amployees.

17 Sint - WI, CASS VI

The next escument, NI-7474 which can be found an page 182 and in the German document book XXV on page 66, will be marked Prosecution Exhibit 466. This document are excerpts from the files of the records of the meetings of the General Council of the Four Year Plan during the fer.

Your Memors will see from this document that the defendant, Krauch, earticipated in practically all of these meetings and that he made various reports on his activities to this high board of German governors.

My I draw Your Monors' attention to rage 183'of the English document book? It is rage 67 of the German document book. The fourth name from the bottom is Telch Cabinet Counsellor Dr. William. This is the official whom I mentioned before as initialling the exhibit of 465.

The last document in Document Book XXI is NI-4714 on pose 197
which can be found in the Serven text in Book XXI, page 7. I went to
with this as Prosecution Exhibit 467. May I toint out, Your Honors,
that in the English translation the date of this mean at the bottom
of the document is omitted. It should read 13 April 1940. The Gorman
missograph is correct. This document is introduced solely for the
purpose in order to show that the word "Kreuch" during the War was
used as a key word for certain wer essential transports and Your Honors
will see his a me was used together with the name, "Speer", who was
the Gorman Minister of Armanent. I think this juxtaposition clone is
quite significant. May I inquire, Your Honors, whether you could
obtain already Document Book XIX?

The tresident: If our offices can locate them, we can obtain them now. Do you have them now? We do have them.

MR. CHIRTZ: Thenk you. May I now refer to rege 64 of the English Document Book XIX. The German can be found in Book XIV, page 81. I am referring--

THE PRESIDENT: Just moment, Ar. Prosecutor. Give Counsel an appartunity to locate their books.

I believe you may proceed now.

17 Sept-A-TB-17 & 18-2-Putty(Remler)
CCURT NO. VI, CASE VI

IR CH. UETZ: This document -- yes it is in the German Document Sook XIV, page 81.

THE FRESIDENT: There seems to be some difficulty. Will you informally check that with Counsel for the Defense?

MR. THARMITZ: This document is referred to here primarily to cover the allegations made by the Prosecution in paragraph 31 of the Indictment. This is a report on a speech delivered by Field Marshel Quering on 14 October 1938 in the Raich Air Ministry. The date is shortly after the invasion of the Sudenten area of Czechoslovakia. May I be permitted to quote some short excernts from this speech. Page 64, the beginning of the speech:

"General Field Marshal Goaring opened the session by declaring that he intended to give directives about the work for the next month. Everybody knows from the press what the world situation looks like, and, therefore, the Fuehrer has issued an order to him to carry out a gigantic program compared to which previous schiovements are insignificant."

and in the third paragraph of this document, the third sentence, Gooring continues -- or the report rather continues:

"We received the order from the Fuchrer to increase the armoment to an abnormal extent, the dir Force having first priority. Within the shortest time the dir Force is to be increased fivefold; also the Newy should get armed more repidly, and the Army should procure large amounts of offensive weamons at a faster rate, particularly heavy artillary pieces and heavy tanks. Along with these manufactured armaments must go especially fuel. -- "

The word "rubber" is smitted in the English translation, Your Monor.

May I ask you to not in after "fuel" -- "rubber, cowder and evaluation

are moved into the foreground. It should be coupled with the acceleration construction of highways, camels and marticularly the reilroads."

May I maint out that all these products mentioned here as fuel,

rubber, nowder and explosives were parts of the Karinhall Plan which was the defendant's -- Krauch -- responsibility.

On name 66 of this document which is at the bottom of mags 84 of the document this last acregrath was quoted in the opening speech by General Toylor. This shows the program to swellow the remainder of Czechoslovskia and the program that Czechoslovskia and Slovoka should form

Your Honors will recall that in Document Book XXI I emitted to introduce the document Al93, WI-Al93, which can be found on ange 55 of the English text and in the German text, Book XX, ange 82. If y I mark this document now Prosecution Exhibit 468. This document is an affidevit of State Secretary Paul Koorner stating that on 14 October 1938 - meeting took also at the Roich Air Ministry where General Yield Parshal George sooks, and that the defendant, Krauch, was prosent at this meeting. This finishes the Document Book XXI. There is only one more thing. Then I mentioned the document, KI-523, which shows the name of Colonel Crimatis as the official head of the teich Office for Economic Development, I had not the exhibit number at home. It is Exhibit 435.

THE PRESIDENT: Now in connection with what exhibit number was that mentioned, planse?

The CH WESTZ: It was mentioned in connection with the Exhibit 462 which is NI-7132, the decree of Gooring. It is to be found on onge 169 of Decement Book XXI.

THE PRESIDENT: Now give as again the exhibit number.

MI. THITT: The axhibit number is 435, for the chart NI-523.

THE PRESIDENT: Thank you very much.

NI-1527 which can be found in the German Decement Book XXII starts with Decement NI-1527 which can be found in the German Decement Book XXII, page 9.

May I mark this document Exhibit 469. This decement which is a report of the defendant, Kreuch, in his connectives Georgiaa's Plenicotentiary

3

General dated 18 May 1940 is intorduced here to show that during the var the defendant, Krauch, continued his function as chief of all constructions in the field of explosives, powder, and so on.

The next document, NI-7294, which I went to mark 470 can be found on nage 5 and in the German text, Book XXI; page 13. This is on nage 4, is a letter of the Supreme Command of the Mehrmocht, the Beonomic "resements Office of 14 June 1941 showing again that the code name "Krauch" was used for the most important transports in the field of military aconomy.

The next document, NI-7291, which I want to mark as Exhibit 471, can be found on ease 7, and on ease 15 of the German document book.

This document is a memorandum for the files by General Thomas on a report given at the headquarters of the teich W rahal on 86 Faburary 1941. The report is signed by Thomas. May I maint out that the German mimoograph contains a clarical error. It says "Jhomas" instead of "Thomas". It should read "Thomas" T-h-o-mas-s. In this document General Thomas outlines the instructions given to him by Goaring concerning the planned invasion of Russia, and may I Graw Your Honors' oftention to page 8, item 5, The Krauch Plan. "In connection with the discussion of the planned invasion of Russia, the Krauch Plan also is stressed accordingly."

The next document, EC-200, which I went to mark 472, can be found on mage 10 and in the German text XXX in Document Book XXIV, page 269. This document is a file note for General Thomas from his armament Docertment of the Military Economy and Armament Staff dated 4 October 1941. This is a few months after the invasion of Tussia. The document before was of 27, and of Pebruary, 1941, four months before the actual invasion of Tussia, and this document is dated about three months after the invasion actually took place. Hereby the question of manpower is discussed by the Military Economic and Armament Office of the OKY together with the question of Tussian prisoners of wer as is shown in

17 Sect_A-TB-17 & 18-5-Putty (Ramler)

the last line of nege 10, and Your Honors will note under toman Numberl I that the Krouch Plan is mentioned here again among the first plans to have special priorities.

The next document, EC-489, which can be found on page 12 and in the German book XXI, nage 18, should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 473.

This document is a letter of Lt. Col. Kirschner in the staff of the Planicotentiary General for special questions of Chemical Production, Dr. Frauch, dated 20 October 1941 in which Lt. Col. Kirschner writes to General Thomas and may I be cormitted to quote one, the middle paragraph of this letter. It is Lt. Col. Kirschner writing to General Thomas.

"During my visit Professor Krouch developed an idea concorning the employment of Russian prisoners of wer in the execution at which he for the further development and, associally, the execution of which he considers you, deer General, to be the right men."

Here we see the defendant, Krauch, developing his own ideas how to see Tussian prisoners of war in the armoment industry. The German word is -- war armament -- "Kriegarusstung", so there is no loubt that this is not the general expression which was used in the Third Reich Armament for even secondary presents as rew materials, steel and iron.

In the last peragraph Lt. Col. Mirschner mentions a short note of the ideas of Professor Krauch analogad with this letter. The Prosecution is not in possession of this note.

The next document, NI-8915, should so in as Prosecution Exhibit
474. It can be found on regul 13 of the English text, in the German
Document Book XXI, range 19. This document is a report on the chemical
production when within the Four Year Plan. May I draw Your Honors!
ettention to the last paragraph on page 13 which shows what enormous
amounts of raw materials and what enormous amounts of money were involved
in the defendant, Krauch's responsibilities. It states here that 13.25
million tons of Iron were used and a total investment of approximately
16 billion marks were made. All this in the field of the so-called

COURT NO. VI, CASE VI

chemical production plan.

On the next page, page 14, at the beginning of the second paragreen it is shown that approximately 8 hundred thousand workers were constantly employed within the chemical production plan. This only in order to show how important the position of the defendant, Krauch, was during the War.

The next document, NI-5934, which should go in as Prosection Exhibit 475 can be found on as so 19 of the English text, in the German text, Document Book XXI, as go 30. On asgo 19 the subject of this letter should be corrected, Your Honors. It states here: "Subject: Specialists appointed by the Plenicotentiary General." I think the translation should better read "Tochnical Experts." "Ithough even this might not be the exact translation. It is very difficult but it is shown from the attached document that the defendant, Krauch, had under his orders a great number of outstanding German industrialists which were appointed by him in accordance with an agreement with the Ministry of Economics.

Your Honors will note on the pages 20 through to 26 names of several of our defendants here in the dock, on page 20, Director Jackne, the defendant, Jackne, of I. O. Farben Industry, and later on also the defendant. Ambros on page 22 at the bottom of the page and also under "E on the same page in the middle of the orga the defendant, Turster, Director Dr. Turster of I.G. Farben in charge of smake screen meterials, on rage 23 again the defendant, Ambros, and so on. We find the name of defendant ambros several times, also the name of the defendant, Murster, and the name, Buergin. On page 28 the special but lest name is H. Buergin, the defendant, Buergin.

THE PRESIDENT: If you have finished with Exhibit 475 --

17. CHARM TZ: I have, Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: It is the approximate time for our afternoon recoss.

MR. CHARMITZ: Thank you, Your Honor.

(rocess was taken.)

Court No. VI, Case No. VI.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in sassion.

MR. SHARMATZ: May it please the Tribunal, the next document can be found on page 29, in the German text, book 21, page 40. The document number is NI 1336 which I want to mark Prosecution Exhibit 476. This document is a letter of the defendant Krauch's office, dated Berlin, 9 August 1943, and the subject of this letter is "Messures for bringing oack to work those French workers who have been recruited by individual enlistment and have broken their contracts." This document, as is shown on page 31 in the English document book, page 42 of the German text, suggests to all plants and construction managements under the jurisdiction of Krauch a cartain form to get foreign workers back who broke their contract. Your Honors will note, on page 31 of the paragraph consisting of four lines, in the last third of the page, that, in this form which is suggested by Erauch's office for Economic Development, the request is made to start a search and to escort the worker back to his place of work. The datails of such messures will be brought out here in Count III, namely, on forced lacor.

The next document can be found on bego 23 of the English text, and in book 24 of the Jargan text, page 271.

This document, NI 7569, whould go in as Prosecution Exhibit 477. It is a latter signed by the defendant Krauch, dated 13 January 1944, addressed to the chief of the raw materials office in the seich Ministry for Munitions and War Production, President Kehrl. On page 23 Your Honors will find a sentance underlined in the English translation, which is underlined also in the German original text, in which the defendant Krauch thanks the armaments office for the strong support he got with pagerd to his applications for manpower.

On page 34, in the last mragraph of this letter, Your Honors will note that the defendent Krauch stresses his own

initiative which he took in order to employ cartain classes of manoower such as, and I quote: "Prisoners of war, inmates of concentration camps, prisoners, units of military pioneer corps, etc." And, in the last sentence, may I quote, the defendant Krauch says:

"I consider that the initiative displayed by my staff in the procurement of labor, a virtue which has proved its worth in the past, must not be pepressed in future."

Here again we find the defendant Krauch stressing his own initiative in procuring labor such as, as we saw soove, prisoners of war, insates of concentration camps, and he requests that such a virtue should not be repressed in the future.

The next document which can on found on page 35 of the English document book, in German document book 25, page 10. deals likewise with the activities of the defendant Krauch as plenipotentiary general in the field of labor allocation.

17 Sept 47-A-BJ-21-1-Schwao-(Rammler) Court No. VI, Case VI.

JUDGE MORRIS: Is that Exhibit 4789

MR. CHARMATZ: I am sorry, Your Honors; this should be marked Exhibit 478.

In this memorandum of Krauch's office, dated 13
February 1944, Krauch's office gives a report on a discussion with Gen. Weeger, who was the chief of the Armament Office of the Speer Ministry, the Jerman Ministry of Armament and Var Production. Your Honors will note, on page 37, Item 8, the heading Foreign Labor Recruited for Geoechem (which is Plenipotentiary General for Chamistry) must not be assigned for any other purposes.

Here again we find the defendant Krauch trying to keep the foreign workers which were obtained through his own initiative.

The next document, NI-7572, which should go in as 479, can be found on page 41 of the English text; German text 21, page 45. This document again treats the question of manpower of Krauch's office. The document is a letter of the defendant Krauch dated 12 May 1944 addressed to Reichminister Sheer—and Your Honors will find, on page 53, in the first paragraph at the top of the page, showing the defendant Krauch writing to Minister Speed, asking him to do his best that 1,700 inmates of the concentration camp Auschwitz should be struck off the list of men to be transferred to another field of production.

THE PRESIDENT: Pardon me, counsel, just to keep the record straight I think you meant to say page 43---instead of 53.

MR. CHARMATZ: I did, Your Honor. Page 43. I am sorry, Your Honor.

The exhibit was 479.

. The next document, NI-7571, which whould go in as Prosecution Exhibit 480, can be found on page 44 of the

English text, and in the German document book 24, page 273.

This document also treats the involvement of the defendant Krauch in the question of alave labor. This document is a letter of the defendant—I am sorry—is an excerpt of a memorandum on negotiations in connection with the withdrawel of builders from the chamical production plant for the fighter program.

On 16 May 1944 a meeting took place in which Reich Minister Speer and several of his key officials, together with Prof. Dr. Krauch, discussed the question of transferring certain classes of workmen to other production programs. On page 44, Item 3, in the fourth line from the top, Your Honors will note that again the defendant Krauch discusses here with officials of the other sectors of war production the question of manpower, including the 1.700 concentration camp inmates which were from Auschwitz, mentioned in the documents before.

The next document, NI-2972, should to in as Prosecution Exhibit 481. It can be found on page 47 of the English text, and in the German Document Book 21, page 48.
This document is an affidavit of the defendant Krauch. May
I be permitted to read only two short sentences from this
affidavit? First, under numeral 2: "In my official capacity as plenipotentiary (Generalcevollassechtigter fuer
Sonderfragen der Chemischen Erzeugung) I was the highest
aughority in bassing Judgment regarding the allocation of
labor for the individual plants of the chemical industry.
This labor included, in addition to German workers, foreign
workers, prisoners of war and inmates of concentration camps."

And, under numeral 4, the first sentence, I quote:
"I was aware of the fact that from the year 1942 on, workers
were recruited in occupied countries on an involuntary basis."

17 Sept 47-A-BJ-21-3-Schwab-(Rammler) Court VI, Case VI.

May I draw Your Honors' attention to paragraph 7 where the defendant states, that is on page 48, that he was present at the meeting of the Forty-Third Conference of the Central Planning Board, in which the question of additional lador for the Buna work at Auschwitz was discussed. The next document, NI-5821, should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 482. It is in the English text on page 50; in the German text on page 53 of Document Pook 21--the same document pook as we had before.

This deciment is an interrogation under bath of Albert Spear, former Minister of Armaments and Var Production of the Third Reich. May I draw Your Honors' attention to a mistranslation in this document which occurs several times? On page 50, under the words which are indented "(page 2 of original)" five lines down, Your Honors will see "hationalized I.G." Could Your Honors find this quote? This reads in German "Verstantlichte I.G." Now, may I explain here, what is meant is not that I.G. was nationalized, that I.G. was oassive; it is meant to be that I.G. was active, and actually acted as the government, and therefore this should more properly be translated as "I.G. promoted to government status." This translation was used in the indicument, as Yeur Henors will recall.

May I draw Your Honors' strention to the bottom of page 51? Here the question is put to Albert Speer:

"Could you now tell me in what sense and for what purpose you have used the expression that the Reich Office for Economic Development is an 'I.G. promoted to government status'?"

Answer: "Since the I.G. Faroen had the monopoly for the expansion of production of these raw materials, and the Office for Economic Development had the same task, I chose

17 Sept 47-A-BJ-21-4-Schwab-(Remmler) Court VI, Case VI.

the expression that the Office for Economis Development of been a sort of - now again -- "I.G. promoted to government status' in comperison with the self-responsibility of industry which was led by me."

17 Sept-A-FL-22-1-Meehan (Int. von Schon)
Court No. VI, Case VI

Albert Speer, who was a defendant before the International Military Tribunal, speaks here of the so-called self responsibility of the industries during the latter stages of the war. The Third Reich found out that the Wehrmacht after all was not efficient enought to direct the production of armament, just as Goering had found out already in June of 1938, after the defendant Krauch had come to see him, that the army ordnance office had not done its best to fulfill the demands of the Wehrmacht in the field of explosives, gun power and chemical warfare agents.

Under the leadership of Nimister Speer a system of self responsibility of industry was developed in Germany, which led to the organization of the production of armament, that it was not a Government agency which directed the production of armament, but Heards of industrialists. I think we do not want to take up too much time. This thing we will take up at a later stage of this trial. Was I clear, Your Honor.

The next document NI-656 should go in as prosecution exhibit 483, it can be found on page 59 of the English text and on page 65 of the German text book 21.

DR. HEINZELER: In Document 482, the interrogation of Albert Speer, there is in the German text the expression "Eine Verstaatlichte I.G." The prosecution has pointed out that this expression was translated in the document which the Tribunal has before it with the words "a nationalized I.G." He also said that according to the opinion of the prosecution the translation would be better "I.G. promoted to Government status." I should like to be able to explain to the Tribunal that the defense should like to reserve the right to come back to the correct translation of this very difficult expression and we will try to reach an agreement with the prosecution as to the proper translation.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal understand that the defense is not bound by the attempt of the prosecution to be helpful in the matter of the translation and we shall be very happy to have your observations

17 Sept-A-FL-22-2-Nechan (Int. von Schon)
Court No. VI, Case VI

on that subject if you are unable to agree with the prosecution as to the appropriate translation.

MR. CHARMATZ: The document Ni-656, which I offer in evidence as Prosecution exhibit 483, is a letter of Lt. Col, Kirschnor on Professor Nrauch's staff, dated 25 October 1941. It is addressed to the defendant von Schnitzler and I would like to draw Your Honor's attention to the middle paragraph of this letter in which Lt. Col, Kirschnor thanks Dr. von Schnitzler of the I.G. Farben industries for having made available so far and without exception, proven exception, valuable help by furnishing personnel to the office of the plenipotentiary general. Your Honors will find in other documents that I.G. Farben put their personnel at the disposal of Krauch's office and also continued to pay this personnel.

The next documents, which will be introduced now should show how I.G. Farbon and in particular the defendants Karl Krauch and Hermann Schmitz tried to be agreeable to Hermann Georing, the commission of the Four Year Plan, who had appointed the defendant Krauch to such an influential position in German government and business.

The first document NI-682, which should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 484, can be found on page 60 and in the German document book 24, page 278. At the top of page 60, the date 31 March 1932 should be corrected to 1938. This document shows how I.G. Farben and among the I.G. Farben loaders was the defendant Dr. Schmeider here proposed to henor Field Marshal Goering by distributing ten thousand copies of a special book or biography of Goering to the employees of I.G. Farben. As Your Monors will note, the Defendant Ilgner took up — it can be seen from the signature on page 60 — first the defendant Tigner took up the suggestion of the defendant Schmeider to be agreeable to Field Marshal Goering and submitted it to the defendant Geheimrat Schmitz. We see at this time in 1938 I.G. Farben was still rather modest in their

17 Sept-A-FL-22-3-Meshan (Int. won Schon)
Court No. VI, Case VI

birthday gifts to Goering.

The next document NI-536, which should go in as exhibit 485, can be found on page 62 of the English text and in the German document book 22, page 1. This document contains a collection of letters and correspondence concerning the gift of I.G. Farben to Goering in the year 1939. This time the birthday present is somewhat more substantial. Your Honors will note first on page 62 a letter written by the defendant Krauch for Geheimrat Dr. Hermann Schmitz, the defendant Schmitz addressed to Goering and on page 63. Your Honors, will find the bill for this birthday present to Krauch. The bill of sales shows that it is addressed to the defendant Krauch and states:

"Upon your order we sent as a birthday present to His Excellency Field Marshal Prime Himister Hormann Goering:

1 oil painting on wood. Not price 38,000 Reichsmarks."

On the next page 64 we see that the defendant Hormann Schmitz took care of the financial side of this transaction.

The next document NI-540 which should go in as exhibit 486 is again a collection of documents, letters and bills of sales concerning another birthday present to Fieldmarshal Goering. The time is January 1940 and here we see again that the defendants Krauch and Schmitz gave a rather valuable piece of art to Fieldmarshal Goering in order to show their appreciation of that he had done for I.G. Farbon.

The next document NI-532 which should go in as exhibit 487 covers the birthday present to Goering in the year of 1942. The present to did not find the correspondence concerning the birthday present to Goering in the year 1941.

And the next document NI-543 which should become prosecution exhibit 488 is the correspondence concerning I.B. Farben's birthday present to Goering in the year 1943. Your Honors will note that the amount, the value of these birthday presents increased as the war went on.

The next document, MI-1315, should go in as Exhibit 489 and here
we see the first drop in the value of these birthday presents. May I
ask the Tribunal to take judicial notice that the position of
Fieldmarshal Goering had slightly deteriorated by the beginning of
1944? Therefore, apparently there was no reason to make another increase
in the investment.

The next document, or rather the next documents, are concerned 'primarily with the allegation made in the indictment in Paragraph 34 and following. The prosecution alleged that most of the defendants in the discussion and many other Farben officials and technicians held key position in Gorman government agencies and participated in Germany's mobilization for war. May we just introduce here a few documents in order to substantiate these allegations?

The next document, MT-528, was introduced before as Ehibit No. 60 in Document Rook 3. This Document, MI-528, shows the list of mamin. of the so-called Gomerol Economic Council of Ritler which was appointed on 15 July 1933 and your Morors will note the second name in this list is the Chairman of I. U. Farbents Verstand, Prof. Boach. In the affidavit of the defendants in this case your Monors will note that most of the defendants were Wehrwirtschartsfuchrers, Military Economic Leaders. I think this will be brought out in the presentation of the individual responsibility. At this stage I would like to introduce and offer in evidence the Document NI-3512 which should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 490, which is an affidavit of Gen. Warlimont concerning the position - I am sorry, I did not give the German text. It can be found in the German Document Book 25, page 133. In this affidavit Gen. Warlimont describes the purpose of the creation of the so-called Wehrwirtschaftsfushrerkirps and also describes the tasks and duties of these Military Sconomic leaders. By I ask your Monors to look at page 86 of the English Document Book? It's page 144 of the German Document Book 25. This is a plan for a declaration of political attitude which

had to be signed by each individual before he was appointed which the sech of these Wehrwirtschaftsfushrer's had to sign? I quote:

"I hereby declare that I stand unreservedly on the National Socialistic conception of the state, and that I have not engaged in any activity against the interests of the people.

"I am fully aware that the truth of my statement will be investigated, and that any untrue statement will result in my dismissal from
the position of Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer.

"I am fully aware that in case of any expression or actions of wine which may constitute an offense against the Estional Socialistic conception of the State, I must expect not only local prosecution, but also my dismissel from the position of chroirtschaftsfuchrer."

I am not going to offer the next document, NI-5479, in ovidence since it is the same subject. It was put in here because there was some difficulty in assembling the documents before.

THE PRESIDENT: Will be be warranted in striking it from our index?

MR. CHARUATZ: Yes, your Honor.

DR. WADNER: The prosecutor just spoke of the statement on political attitude and he said that everyone appointed Wehrwirtschaftsfuchrer had to sign it. From this document itself these conclusions are not justified. That is the first thing I want to say, then I should like to demy this positively. By client never signed such a statement although he had the title of Wehrwirtschaftsfuchrer.

THE PRESIDENT: The defendant, of course, is not bound by the statements of coursel for the prosecution. We accept these statements by way of explanation of what the prosecution expects or is attempting to establish but only in so far as it is established by evidence it is considered by the Tribunal.

16 September 47-A-ATD-23-3-Durns (Int. von Schon) Court 6, Case 6

ME. CHARGATZ: Hay I draw your Honor's attention to page 82 of the English text, pages 139 and 160 of the German text. This deals with the pre-requisites necessary for the appointment to the position Wehrwirtschaftsfuehrer. Under three it pays that a questionnaire must be filled out by the person and in addition to the questionnaire several things must be submitted. Among them, under "f", a statement concerning the applicants support without reservation of the Mationalistic State as per attached Form 3-H, which is exactly the form which I read before.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Monor, concorning Dr. accor's statement and Mr. Charmata's previous statement that the form had to be filled out by each chrwirtschaftsfuchrer the prosecution will definitely state that we were making that statement entirely upon the basis of regulations establishing a Johnwirtschaftsfuchrer. Now, it may be in some cases with respect to men who were so well-known by the persons in charge of appointing Welnwirtschaftsfuchrer's that they did not feel any such loyalty checks were necessary and such did not, in fact, take place, but I do think we were entitled to make the remark.

THE PRESIDENT: Gentlemen, the Tribunal understands your pointof-view. The prosecution has asserted that it did believe that the
#Edfendant did sign such a statement but defendant's counsel said he
did not. With all due respect and respecting all views on this
subject on the avidence, when the evidence is concluded, if it
becomes necessary for the Tribunal to determine the fact, it will
determine it according to what the evidence will show.

DR. WAGNER: Mr. Prosident, in the interest of clarifying this matter I should like to say just one more sentence. There were two kinds of mehrwirtschaftsfuehrers. One kinds appointed by the Reich Minister of Economy and the others were appointed by the Reichsfuehrer, the Reichs Defense Minister, and that seems to be the cause of our differences of opinion.

Mi. CHARMATI: May I just make one closing remark since Dr.

Magner brought up the question that there are two kinds of

Webrairtschaftsfushrers? In the German law for the liberation for

Maxies and Militarism I would like to point out that the

Makerirtschaftsfushrer appointed by the Minister of Recommics are

classified as Class-A major offenders because in this case both the

Movernment of the new Cerman Laenders and the Allied Military Covernment were of the opinion that these charirtschaftsfushrers who were

appointed by the "inister of Tecnomics were Maxis beyond any doubt

and that there was no doubt about their political attitude.

THE PRESIDENT: Now, gentlemen, parmit the Tribunal to say this;
you both have been allowed to testify quite liberally on this subject.

I think you know the rules of procedure. I think you know that this
Tribunal must resolve controversies of fact by the evidence. Let's
move on to see thing else.

MR. CHARMATZ: Very well, your Monor. The next document 4623, it should go in aspage 491 and can be found on page 90 and in the Berman Document Book 22 on page 20. Here in this document, which is a letter from the Military Economic Inspectorate, one of the lower scholers of the Military Economic Staff.

JUDGE MORRIS: May I enquire the Tabibit number: I missed that.

JUDGE HORRIS: LSL. Thank you.

sends to an official of I. C. Farben, Leverkusen, such a questionnaire for the appointment to a Wehrwirtschaftsfuchrer, and here again, your Honors will note under Item 5 on page 90 that this Military Economic Inspectorate submitted to this official of I. G. Farben, Leverkusen, a form of a statement as to "unreserved devotion to the Nationalist State", which, as the prosecution contends, is the form referred to before.

926

17 September 47-A-AX-24-1-Putty-(

Exhibit 492. This is the copy of a letter of the defendant.

Schmitz, to State Secretary in the Ministry of Economics, Posse,
dated 4 February 1938 thanking him for the appointment as Wehrwirtschaftsfuchror and showing his appreciation. May I point out that
State Secretary Posse was in charge of the Department of the so-called
GEW which I mentioned today when discussing the document, PS-1436.

That is the department of the Ministry of Economics concerned with
the preparation for mobilization.

The next document, NL-8197, should go in as Prosecution
Exhibit 493. This is an excerpt from a book. It can be found in the
German text, Book EXV. page 147. This document is an excerpt from the
book on the Reich Group Industry. The author is Dr. Karl Guth,
who was the principal business manager of the Reich Group Indust.

published in 1941. This document is introduced in order to show
the history and the function of the Reich Group Industry.

In the next documents we will see which of the defendants and which members of the I.G. Farben Industry, A.G. held positions in this official board, the Reich Group Industry, NI-3798 should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 494, German Book XXIV, page 282. Your Honors will note that this document supports the allogations made by the Prosecution in paragraphs 36 and 35 of the Indictment. We see in those lists the manes mentioned as officials of the Reich Group Industry.

The next document, NI-077, which can be found in the German Document Book XXII, page 24, should go in as Presecution Exhibit 495. This document, NI-077, is introduced to show that at the meeting of the Advisory Board, (The German expression term is Beirst) of the Reich Group Industry, Question of mobilization of economy were discussed and also the Question of significance of the Wehrwitschaftsfuehrer, the military economy leaders.

17 September 47-A-AK-24-2-Putty

Your Honors will note in item 6 that Dr. Guth, the same gentleman who is the author of the book introduced before as Exhibit 493 reported in this meeting of the Advisory Board of the Reich Group Industry on this organization of the military economy leaders and also as will be seen by "C" on the next page on the Question of wer economy advisors.

This finishes the Document Book XXII, Your Honors.

MR. SPHECHER: Your Honors, that makes a convenient breaking-off point.

Could we have just a few seconds? There are several announ-

THE PRESIDENT: Verywoll.

Charmatz will go on with the English books XXIV and XXV which will conclude the naterials now being presented in connection with Count I-C of the Indictment. For the benefit of the Defense Counsel and the defendants, we are able. I think, to reduce some of the confusion with respect to these last document books by stating the following: books XXII, XXIV and XXV with the exception of four affidavits by defendants, two by the defendant, Ilgner, and two by the defendant, von Schnitzler, which will be found in Document Books XVI and XVII.

We can probably reduce the difficulty of the defendants in bringing all of Document Book XVI and XVII to court by noting that the Schnitzler statements are NI-5196 and NI-5191, and that the two Ilgner statements are NI-5713 and NI-5544.

Your Honors, we believe that we should be able to present these materials tomorrow morning perhaps by the morning pause. Thereafter we would propose that the Prosecution continue with the documentary evidence in connection with Count I-D of the Indictment through the test of the day and that on the next day, Friday, the Prosecution should call General Hermann you Hanneken as a witness:

17 September 47-A-24-3-Putty COURT VI CASE VI

and as soon as we are finished with that witness that we continue on with the documents in relation with Count I-D.

May I state for the record that the first five document books concerning Count I-D, that is principally Count I-D, in the German have already been served upon the Defense Center, namely, Document Books XXVI, XXVII, XXVIII, XXIX and XXX. Then thereafter one more book, XXXIII, has been served and four others are in the process of being put together and we should be able to nerve then very soon.

That is all we have.

The PRESIDENT: San you may now what English Books the Tribunal will need when you reach the presentation of the documents relating to Count I-D7

MR. SPRECHER: Tes. Thank you, Your Honor. I am glad you brought that up because I think it also has some importance for the Defense asswell. I should think that tonorrow we night get through 26, 27, 28 and possibly 29, and fortunately I am able to announce that unless we are taken by surprise in one or two instances, the German document books are in the same order as the English document books for which we are quite as grateful as the Defense, and, therefore, if the Defense and the defendants would likewise bring those documents tonorrow, because I think by the pause we shall have reached I-D.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will be in recess until nine-thirty tenerrow morning.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal will be in recess until ninethirty o'clock temorrow morning.

(The Tribunal adjourned until 0930 hours, 18 September 1947.)

Official Transcript of the American Military Tribunal No. VI in the matter of the United States of America against KARL KRAUCH; et al, defendants sitting at Nurnberg, Germany, on 18 September 1947, 0930-1630, JUSTICE SHAKE presiding.

THE NERSHAL: The Honorable, the Judges of Military Tribunel VI.
Military Tribunal No. VI is now in session. God save the United
States of America and this Honorable Tribunal.

Thort will be order in the Court.

THE PRESIDENT: Who the defendants eresent, Mr. Morshel?

THE MARKEL May it places Your Honors, all the defendants are present save the defendants, Murator and Ilgner, absent due to illnoss.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribural is advised with reference to the situation as it applies to the defendant, Murster, and we also have this morning a certificate from the dester that the defendant, Ilgner, is sick in bed with bronchitis and unable to come to court at this time. The Chair will pass these certificates to the Secretary for filing with the record, and the Prosecution may proceed.

iR. CHARKNTS: May it please the Tribunal, in yesterday's session I introduced at the end of the session three documents concerning the Reich Group Industry and the economic groups. I am continuing now this tooic which covers the allegations made in the Indictment in the peregraphs 34 and 35. I am introducing now the documents which are contained in the English Document Boo, XXIV.

The first document, NI-6087, which should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 496 can be found on need to the English text and in the German Document Book TXII on once 28. The tocument is an excerpt from minutes of the 43rd meeting of the Commercial Committee held on 23 September 1941 in Frankfurt with the defendants, as Your Hhonrs will set on page 1, Schmitz, Schmitzler, Figner, von Knieriem, Kugler, Mann and Oster present. At this problem the Commercial Committee the defendant, Ilgner, reported at great length on the setting up of

in this document on the proper 1, 2 and 3 of the English text, on pages 29, 29 up to 31 in the German text. This document is to show that the matters of the Reich Group Industry were deemed important amough by I.G. Perben to be discussed at great length in their most important commercial board, namely, "Fig., the Commercial Committee. The defendant, Ilgnor, was appointed the chairman of this newly greated committee as in shown on range 2 of the English document book, page 29 in the German document book.

The presecution will intorduce evidence as to the activities of the Reich Group Industries and the scommanic groups in later sections of Count I, mostly in Section "H" on the so-called New Order which was the Garman Plan, the plan of the Third Reich to dominate all of Europe.

The next document, AI-8507, should go in as Prosecution Exhibit

497. It can be found on p go 7 and on ongu 37 of the Garman document

books. This document is a letter of the defendant, Kuchna addressed to

the defendant, Schmitz, dated 31 august 1937. This document is interest
ing because it shows how I.G. Parpen tried to dominate the sconomic

groups, however, that I.G. Forban did not like the semes "I.G. Parben"

to appear.

May I coint out in the middle of the second or regreth of this letter and quote one sentence. It is the defendant Kuehne writing to the defendant Schmitz: He speaks first of the fact that Herr Cleam, the former chief of the Economic Group Chemical Industry, resigned, and now I quote one sentence.

"Contrary to Herr Clasm, Herr Bachmann is a man who knows how to give orders and who does not shirk responsibility and, finally, though an I.G. man, he is not so well-known as an I.G. man that the general public might suspect that I.G. wants to fill that position."

Further down at the end of this paragraph the defendent, Kuchne, discusses the possibility of another candidate for this job, adds,

18 Sept-N-TB-1&2-3-Futty COURT NO. VI, CLSE VI

"however," -- and I quote -- "this is not suitable for a direct I.G.

Your Monors will note that in the left rangin of this document -it is eartly covered -- there is a handwritten note, "T ken care of
by talephone, 2 Sectionber 1937 (Initial) S." This ranginal note is
not contained in the German mimeograph, and I want to point out that
the photostat which is the archibit in this case is not too clear
either. The original, however, is at the disposal of the Defense if
they want to check this handwritten marginal note.

The next document, NI-339, which should go in as 498, is a secret circular of the Roich and Prussion Minister of Economics of 18 Merch 1938. It is in the German text two organ firther down, 39, and this secret circular gives a list of the so-called Mobilization Commissioners which were appointed by the Roich Minister of Economics in each of the seconomic groups.

Your Monors will note on page 9 of the English text -- It is page
Al of the German text -- that in the Economic Group Chamles! Industry
a certain Dr. Klaus Ungawitter is appointed Mobilization Commissioner.
Your Monors will recall that in Section B of Count I the Prosecution has
introduced evidence as to the activities in the field of mobilization
properation both by the aconomic group and by I. G. Parbon.

The next document, NI-5953, should go in as Prosecution Exhibit
499. It can be found on argo 13, page 44 of the German document book.
This document is an efficient of Dr. Felix Ehrmann who was the DEputy
General Manager, the deputy to Dr. Ungewitter in the Economic Group
Chamical Industry and in this efficient Dr. Ehrmann discusses in
paragraph 2 the jurisdiction of the economic group chamical industry
and also the delimitation of the jurisdiction between the sconomic
group chamical industry and the Planipotentiary General.

May I ask the Tribunal under Mumoro II, caragraph 2, the second line, the translation in the second line should be corrected. It says here, "As regards of the allocation of labor there were the following restrictions of the commence." It should read, "the following delimitation of the jurisdiction", because in was maragraph the affiant discusses the delimitation of the jurisdiction of these two autorious.

Your Honors will note on page 14 in persgraphs 6 and 7 that the affiant describes the role both of the Economic Group Chemical Industry and the Gebechem, which is the Planipotentiary General for Chemistry, namely, the defendant, Arauch, in the field of labor ellocation and the field of recruiting labor in Italy, which is persgraph 7.

The next document, NI-4954, which should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 500 is an affidevit again of Dr. Ehrmann in which he describes the atmosphere in the Economic Group Chemical Industry in Summer, 1939. Your Monore will recall that in the presentation of Section A of Count I Mr. Dubois introduced a document, a Schnitzler affidavit, NI-5196, which was given the exhibit number 40. In this affidavit the defendant Schnitzler had described the discussion which he had had with Dr. Ungewitter concerning the coming war against Folund, and he had stated that this discussion took aloce in the presence of Dr. Ehrmann.

Your Honors will see that Dr. Ehrmann in his officevit, Exhibit 500, also refers to the discussions which took place in June and July 1939.

The next document, NI-1352, should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 501. It is in the German text on eage 49, in the English document book on eage 18. This document is an excerct from the minutes of the 59th meeting of the Commercial Committed held on 1 March 1944, and Your Honors will note that the defendants, Schnitzler, Haefliger, Ilgner, Kugler, Mann and Oster were present. In this meeting of the Commercial Committed the new reorganization of the Economic Group Chemical Industry was discussed, and Your Honors will note in the middle of paragraph 1 that the defendant, ter meer, held an important position in this newly created production committee of the Economic

18 Sept-M-TB-1&2-5-Putty COURT NO. VI, CASE VI

Group Chemical Industry.

The next document, NI-5695, should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 502. It can be found on page 24 of the English text, in the German Document Book XXIV, page 293. This document is a circular of the Economic Group Chamical Industry dated December, 1943, and shows at the top of the mage that it was received by the office of the "34", which is the Central Committee of the Vorstend of I.G. Farben.

Your Honors will note on page 24, that is, page 293 of the German text, that the document contains an announcement to all masher firms by the then leader of the Economic Group, ar. H. Schlosser: May I point out the first sentence. Here it is stated that at the instigation of the Reich Minister of Economics the Economic Group Chemical Industry has been dompletely divided into departments and sections, and may I quote now this sentence?

"The mein purpose of this is to create on instrument of strict lendership for the wer economy, and especially for the tesk newly given to the Economic Group by the Reich Minister for Armaments and "For Production."

New I sak the Tribunel to take judicial notice of the fact that in September and October, 1943, the Reich Ministry of Economics was reorganized and the newly created Reich Ministry of Armaments and Var Production under Speer took over most of the functions of the former Ministry of Economics.

To out something in our information bulletin which was submitted to the Court both in English and Surern Languages, and the Prosecution is willing to submit the formal evidence to this effect if the Court deems it suitable.

THE PRESIDENT: Is this the paper that was alseed on the table this morning?

MR. CHARGETZ: The besic information.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you have reference to the basic information

folder that was delivered to us at the beginning of the trial.

R. C" Tas, Your Fonor.

THE FRESIDENT: I doubt if we have them with us.

MR. GP.PP.TZ: "fall, we can revert to this later, if Your Honor clease.

TUE PRESIDENT: In the meantime perhaps one of the staff can go to our offices and procure them for us if we should have them.

IR. CHURK TZ: May I go on in the meantime?

On orge 26 Your Fonors will note that the defendant, tor weer, was repointed Deputy Chief of the Economic Group Chemical Industry at the mement of the reorganization and at the time when the Economic Group Chemical Industry was given such new important functions.

On pages 27 and 28 four Honors will find the regional chiefs of the Secondic Group Chemical Industry. Your Honors will note under item 4 on page 27 for Oberschlesien, Upper Silesia, the defendant, Duerrfold of I.G. Farban was the regional enter; item 10 for Schleswig-Holstein Director Dr. Nacle of the Dynamite-Mobel, the D. .. G. was appointed regional chief; item 15 for Westphalis-North Director Dr. Guenther of the Chemical Works Huels, another Farban subsidiary was the chief of this region; item 21, Testmark, the defendant, Dr. Murster, was the regional chief; item 22, Shain-iwin, the defendant, ter west the regional chief; item 26, Kalle-1, recourse the defendant, Schneider; and Your Honors will also note that item 28 for the Sudenten area a member of the I.G. Farban subsidiary was the regional chief.

In the long list which follows, Your Honors will find on every organt least one and in many cases several names of mambers of the I.G.
Farban firm. This is a long list of all the sub-groups of the Economic Group Chemical Industry.

Honros will soc as eniofs of various sub-groups -- it is in the German on Pages 300 and 301 -- at the top of the page Dr. Franz Vorlagnder of the

firm I.G. Firben, S.G., the next department chief, Dr. Bodo Scheef of I.G. Ferben, S.G. Then under the heading #2. Group Sulphur and sulphur accounts. # the chief, Dr. Wurster, and on the next page, page 31, in the second helf of the page -- this is page 302 in the Gorman text -- under item #3. Witrogen. # we find as the chief of this sub-section of the Economic Group the defendant Oster, and for a sub-group as the chief of the sub-group another member of the I.G. Farben firm. On page 32 at the top of the page the thrid name is Director Dr. Ambros, the defendant, Ambros, of I.G. Ferben in charge of one of the sub-groups, and on page 40 of the English text -- it is page 312 of the German text -- under item 16 we find the name of the defendant, Schnitzler, within the group of ter dyes and intermediate products.

The next document, NI-4899, should go in under Exhibit No. 503. It can be found on page 56 of the English text and mage 328 of the German text. This document is a list of the sub-groups of the Economic Group Chemical Industry and is properly identified by a document which Your Wonors will find on page 99. That is Document 4929. It can be found in the German book 2261. This document which identifies the exhibit 503 is an affidavit by Dr. Felix Ehrmann, the Deouty Manager of the Economic Group Chemical Industry.

May I mark this document Exhibit No. 504?

THE FRESIDENT: You mean Document NI-4929 to be 504?

MR. CHARMATZ: Yosy your. Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Very woll.

MR. CHARMATA: The Exhibit 505, which is NI-L899, is much more detailed than the exhibit 502, which was introduced before. Your Honors will note that at the time when this first reorganization which is described in Exhibit 502, took place, many of the leading positions were still kept open. In this new decument, NI-503, Your Honors will note that even more defendants and members of I.G. Farben held leading positions in the sub-groups of the Economic Group Chemical Industry, whose importance was increased for the war production by the reorganization which is described in the Decument 502, on page 24.

Hay I draw Your Honor's attention to the pages 56, and following, of the English decement book? We see on page —it is page 303 of the German, if we follow the main groups here we have on page 56 the first group for sode caustic and so on, The defendant Ernst Buergin, as director of the chemical industry, on page 57; in charge of the second group, sulphur and sulphur compound, the defendant Carl Wurster—in the middle of the page; it is page 334 of the German text, and on page 58, in charge of the third group, namely, nitrogen: Dr—the defendant—Oster, and his director of the Technical Committee is another number of the Ferben Birm, Dr. von Staden, of the Ammeniakwerks directors EmbH.

The next document, NI-6764, can be found on page 94 of the English text and in the German beck 22, page 57. This should go in as Exhibit 505. This document is a circular letter of Dr. Alt, the manager of the sub-section for carbide chemistry, methand and so on, of the Economic Group Chemical Industry.

And, on page 95 and an page 96, Your Honors will note that many
of the men in charge of these important war production were Farben
men. On page 95, which is page 58 of the German text, Your Honors will
find the name of the defendant Ambres; and as two assistants for
5p cial Fields in this carbide production, two other I.G. Farben
men: namely, Or. Gustav Kraemar of the Suedecutsche Kalkstickstoff
Worke A.G. subsidiary—and Dr. Max Wildhagen, of Bayr, Stickstoffworke
A.G., another Farben subsidiary.

On page 96 Your Honors will note the names of several members of I.G. Parbon.

The next dreament, NI-6157, should go in as Presecution Exhibit 506. This is an excerpt from the minutes of the meeting of the Technical Main Gau Director to at "rankfurt-om-Unin Hoochat, on 21st August 1964, with the Aufen and Fautenschlagger present.

On page 102, which is at the bottom of page 63 of the German text, your Henors will note—in the fourth paragraph—that in1944 all the negetiations between I.G. Farban and the secondaic groups which had become so important I.G. Farban and the contonic groups which had become so important were reuted the Vermittlungsstelle W just as before the wor, I.G. Farban had concentrated all its centact with the military muthorities also in the Vermittlungsstelle W.

Exhibit 507, can be found on page 103, and in the German Book 20, page 65. This afficient of the defendant Tor Meer, describing the positions held by the defendant Buergin. May I draw your "ener's at untion to the paragraph 6 of this decument? It can be found on page 10h. It is on page 66 in the German text, which describes the position of Buergin in the Economic Group Chemical Industry.

The next document, NI-3765, should go in as Presecution Authibit 506. It is in the German Book 22, page 67. This document is an excerpt from a secret back issued by the Reich Ministry of Armaments

Farben concern who were in charge of the important production committees. On page 106 Your onors will note--and may I point out that this is dated July 1944--the defendant Karl Wurster as chief of the Production for Sulphur and Sulphur Compounds, the defendant Oster in charge of the Nitrogen Committee-always of the Reich Ministry of Armaments & War Production.

On page 107 the defendant Ambros for Buna; for powder and explosives, Dr. Sarrasin of the Westfaelisch-Anhaltische Sprengstoff A.G. That is what was described here before as a Farben subsidiary.

Dr. Schnitzler in charge of the Dyes and Dyes Internediates
Committee. And then the defendant Butefisch, as Chief of the Economic
Group Fuel Industry.

Up to now we have not mentioned yet this Economic Group. We were concerned only with the Economic Group Chemical Industry. In 1924 this Economic Group Fuel Industry had likewise become a part of Spuer's Ministry Armaments and War Production.

The last document in Book 24 is NI-6785, which should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 509. This is a document found in Farben files, and it shows, on page 110, under the items 1,2,3,5,9, clearly the names of the leading, I.G. Ferbun officials in charge of the kin sub-sections.

THE PR SIDENT: Mr. Prosecutor, when you care to make a reference to this basic information material, we now have the records before us.

MR. CHARMATA: I beg your pardon, Your Honor. We have only Volume 1 with us, May I refer to this later?

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

MR. CHARMATZ: May I continue in the meantime to present the rest of the documents which are contained in Document Book 25 of the English text? The next document, NI-SiS7, which should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 510, is an affidavit of Dr. Guenther Frank-Fahle, the chief of the Bureau of the Commercial Committees in which he re-affirms, under eath, a statement which he made in the year 1945. This document can be found in the German Document Book 25, page 166. Here the affiant identifies the next document, which is NI-1294, which should go in as Prosecution Exhibit 511. This second document, which can be found on page 3 of the English Document Book-German Document Book 22, page 72--is a statement by Frank-Fahle--or rather to excerpt from a statement of Dr. Frank-Fahle--in which he describes the most important positions he;d by I.G. Farben people in the German government and in semi-governmental agencies. It was just poited to me, your Honors, that I didn't make myself very clear. The translation is an excerpt from a document; however, the document, as much, which is in the exhibit folder, is a complete document.

The next document, NI-5713, should go in as Prescention Exhibit 512. This as an affidavit of the defendent Higner deted 2 April 19h7 in which he gives the most important positions of members of the I.G. Farbon firm in the state, the Party and Public life. Your Honors will note, on page 7 of the English text, it is page 79 of the Garman Document Book 22, the names of the defendents Schmitz and von Knieriem as members of the Academy for "erman Law, Your Honors will find, as a member of the Foreign Office, von Waltzahn. Under Item 5 we find two members of I.G. Farbon as officials of the Ministry of the Past. Item 6 and 7 give the manes of members of the I.G. Farbon firm employed in the CKW Abwehr—that is the Intelligence and counter—intelligence department, both in foreign countries and in Jarmany.

Item 8 states that defend at Schmitz was a member of the Reichstag. Under "B" Your Honors will note that, at the end of Item 1, that the defendant Ambros, Buorgin, Buctefisch, Gajewski,

18 Sept.47-M-GJ-3-5*Schwab-(Schon)-Court 6 case 6

Schneider, and Wurster, were working in a honorary capacity in Krauch's Office for Economic Development.

Item 2: Again we find several names of defendants as working for the German Armament Ministry. The defendants Ambres, Buetefisch Wurster and—with a possible doubt, as the defendant Ilgner states—also Buergin. And the remainder of the document shows the positions held by other members of the Ferbon firm in the economic life, and also in the occupied countries.

The last document, which is 65hh was introduced before as "resecution Exhibit 377 in Document Book 1h. May I draw your Honor's attention to the pages 22 and 23 of the English text, which can be found on pages 381 and 382 of the German document book 2h, where the names of several defendants and other numbers of the I.O. firm appear as holding positions in the governmental life of Germany? The Exhibit number was given before. This document was introduced as Exhibit 377.

May I now reference only to two documents which were introduced before in the course of this trial--

DR. SILCHIR(Counsel for defendant von Knieriem): Mr. Prosident, morely a brief explanation. I have not succeed in finding document 504-Exhibit 504-and I believe some of my colleagues have also failed to find it. I would appreciate it if you would tell us again where Numbers 503, 504 and 505 are.

THE FRESIDENT: Prosecutor may provide counsel for the Defense with this information.

MR. CHARMATZ: The Exhibit 503 was the document NI-4899, to be found in the German document Book, 2h page 328. The Exhibit 504 was the document NI-4929 to be found in the German Document Book 22, on page 61. And the Exhibit 505 was the NI- No. 6784 to be found in the German document book 22, page 57.

May I only refer to two exhibits which were introduced here before, namely, to the exhibit LO, which is NI-5196? This document was introduced in Section A-I am not going to quota--Your Honors-of Count 1, and is contained once more in Decument Book 16 in the English text, on page 108; and in the German text in Book 16, page 125. In this Schnitzler affidavit the defendant Schnitzler hisself had outlined that it was I.G. Ferben, with its own personnel, which essisted the regressient phase of the German governmont within the framework of Krauch's Meich Office for Economic Dovolopment. And may I refer also to another Schitzler affidavit. namely, NI-5191, which was introduced here as xhibit 39, which is likewise to be found in Book 16 page 1, and in the same place in the German text, in which the defendant Schmitzler states that .I.G. Farben was often referred to as "a state within the state." This finishes the presentation of the document books 19 up to 25 primarily concerned with the proof of Section C.

MR. SPRECHIR: With Your Honor's permission, I would like to make a brief reference to Volume 2 of the basis information. Now, I think in all logal systems it is customary for lawyers to refer from time to time to things which are so well established in the minds of the judges and of the counsel that references is merely made to the fact—and without further proof the matter is accepted. It we were conducting a trial where the names of some of the leading officials in America—in, for instance, the "ar Production Board—came into play, I am certain we would not run into any difficulties. However, here we are involved in a trial which involves agencies and persons holding positions in agencies in Germany.

Now, for your assitance in this matter, the Prosecution drew together Volume II as a basic information summary, and the source material behind, the entries, behind the contents, of this basic information come out of documents and publications which, on the whole are matters of which, I am sure a court normally would take judicial notice.

We have indicated that we do not consider those basic informations as avidence. I have discussed this problem briefly with Dr.

Boettcher and one or two other members of the Defense Staff. I have asked them that I would appreciate their pointing out any errors which are curtainly possible in this basic information. I have been informed by Dr. Boottcher that the Defense is considering the filling of a similar basic information where any possible emphasis the Prosecution has given in basic informations 1 or 2 would be corrected from the Defense point of view. New, I trust that in due course that will be done, and that we can possibly get together to iron out any outstanding, particularly concerning Volume II which is more or less a rotical, a very brief recital, of some of the history of the private andt governmental institutions which were concerned in directing and controlling the chemical industry of Germany.

16 Dept.47-M-4-3-Schmab-(Schon)-Court 6 case 6

Now, when Mr. Charmatz asked you a little while ago to take judicial notice of a cortain fact, I pointed out to him that under the circumstances that would not be too easy, unless you had some source to go to; and therefore we thought we would make reference to this basic information. As usual, copies have been served upon the Lofense in the German language, with the English copies paginated right in with the German for checking.

18 September T. Sar Jurne (Int. von Schoen)

of the basic information which was submitted to Your Honors wherein the paragraphs 2 and 3, the re-organisation of the Speer Ministry and also the establishment of the system of self-responsibility of industry throughtthe committees and rings, among them also the production committees is discussed.

DR. SILCEMR: Mr. President, when it was announced yesterday what document books would be introduced "basic information" was not nentioned. Therefore, none of my colleagues have the "basic information" here. We would be grateful if the presecution would wait for swille if we have a brief recess to get this material.

MR. SPRECHUR: Your Honor, we are very sorry of the omission. It was something of an emergency situation. However, I think the reference of Dr. Chernets is to a very eighly point in connection with the re-organization of the Speer Ministry and if there is any question whatsoever about that document we would be very glad to have that pointed out to us whether after the pause or before the pause.

THE PRESIDENT: Does the prosecution have any neterial where we can go on and pass this momentarily until after we have an intermised sion?

MR. SPRECHER: Certainly, Your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: I only think it would be fair to the defense to have them bring the books in while this matter is under consideration by the prosecution and the Tribunal, So, if the prosecution can go to another matter we will pass temporarily this subject of this co-called "basic information material".

MR. SPRECHER: In our presentation, Your Honor, we come to Count 1-D which includes Paragraph 37 through 45 of the indictment. Yesterday efternoon I mentioned which document books would be involved. The presentations will be made by Mr. Morris Amchan of the prosecution staff.

945

18 September-,-RK-5-2-Burns (Int. von Schoen) Court VI, Cose 6

MR. ANCHAM: If Your Honors please, we are about to offer evidence in connection with sub-division-D of Count I and I will be assisted with respect to the handling of the documents and other matters by Dr. Heilbrunn et my right, Count 1-D, which begins with Paragraph 37; Forben porticipated in creating and equipping the Masi Military Machine for regressive war. Paragraph 37 I think is pertinent to recall again. The major contribution which Farben rendered in the re-emirment of Germany lay in making her capable of waging war by rendering barself self-sufficient in three crucial war materials essential to the weging of aggressive wer, nitrates, oil and rubber, In all three cases Germany had no natural resources and was incepable of planning, preparing or waging aggressive war without Forben's development of processes for manufacturing them synthetically. We are about to present the evidence on the story of production, the heart of properation for wasing war: And we propose to present the evidence in the following menner:

First, we intend to trace Ferben's development and participation in the production of synthetic gasoline. There will be two document books on that. We shall then proceed to similarly trace Forben's participation in furnishing synthetic rubber to the German Arned Forces. Then we shall proceed with Ferben's participation in developing magnesius, sluminum and other light metals. We will then present two document books which for descriptive purposes we will call "Plan". It will be the story of Ferben's capital investment in plans and facilities to prove that its capital investment by far exceeded any in peace-tipe.

Following "Plans" we shall present in two books Farben's part in developing and producing explosives, high-explosives, and gun-powder.

We shall then pass to the proof relating to Forben's part in developing and producing poison-gas. We shall present finally a book which is in the nature of opinion evidence, mostly in the form of affidavits from former German government officials, from statements of Farben's 940

own officials, to the effect that all this production was in excess on its face from any needs for peace-time economy and that book will conclude with this opinion evidence on the point that Germany could not possibly have weged war without the efforts and help of Farben's chamical and engineering genius.

At some point, either within the presentation of this evidence or at the conclusion of this evidence, we shall present an expert witness to tostify on some of the technical terms appearing in the document in order to give us a layman's understanding, so to speak, of what it is that these people were talking about in these documents with all these chanical terms and his testimony will disclose, we think, that there was an inter-relation between Farben's production on all fronts to the objective of preparing to wage aggressive war.

We may not necessarily put in the books in the order which I just recited but that in general is our present plans in presenting the evidence on this part of Count I.

Document Book 26, the first book on gasoline. The first documents,
YI-4833 and YI-8637, have previously been introduced in evidence.
They establish the point that in 1932 Farben sent emissaries to
Hitler to find out what his views were and what support they could get.

IND PRESIDENT: Kr. Prosecutor, you will pardon me, but since your index is not really a part of the document book, merely a useful index, would it not be well for you to identify what exhibit number these two documents beer so that it will be on the transcript?

MR. AMOHAM: FI-4833 is in evidence as Exhibit 26. It's the interrogetion of the defendent Gettineau. MI-8637 is already in evidence as Exhibit 29 and is an interrogation of the defendant Buete-fisch where is established the point of a meeting in 1932 with Hitler where they enquire from Hitler what his position would be with respect to supporting Farben's program for the production of synthetic gasoline and these documents establish that Hitler told Gattineau and Buetefisch

18 September-1-EK-5-W-Burns (Int. von Schoen) Court VI, Case 6

that he would support Irrben in their program.

NI-551 is clrecty in exidence as Exhibit 92.

MI-319 is already in evidence as Exhibit 93.

NI-320 is Already in evidence as Exhibit 94.

These three documents relate to the first contract between Ferben and the German government in December 1933 and Your Honors will recell that Hitler personally approved that contract. The contract generally provided for I.G. Ferben to undertake production of synthetic gosoline at a guaranteed price by the German government and the contract has ten years to go. I abould mention at this point that in connection with all of the documents that we propose to introduce, there will be records of military and other government officers of the German government. There will be records of minutes of conferences with these government officials where Farben participated.

There will be other records where Farben did not participate, records of meetings of high military officials or ministers.

The foruments will disclose, I think, that the performances, in so far as production is concerned coincides with the planning and production figures which these minutes will disclose. We offer in evidence as prosecution Exhibit 513 NI-9477, being an affidaivt of Dr. Mulert, former junior director of the Ministry of Economics on the history of the gasoline agreement. Page 30 of the English Document Book and page 56 of the German book merits attention. The first paragraph of the English book, which is on tage 56 of the German, appaks about the initial contract.

The cost price of I.G. gasoline amounted, as far as I remember, to belon 30 marks, whereas the price of natural gasoline was about eight on the world market."

Further down the paragraph perinning:

"As the Reich was not willing to make any promises regarding continuance of the protective tatiff, as it had to reserve to itself full freedom of action in the field of trade policy, the Reich gave a guaranter to I.J. for the sale of the production at crices, which covered the cost-of production and return on capital."

We next offer in evidence as Frosecution Exhibit 514

NI-6530. It's a speech made in 1938 by the defendant Suatefisch in the celebration of the selzure of power by the
Nazis and the speech was printed in the Farcen local magazine
"You week gu Werk." Page 33 is interesting. The Garman is
on page 50. In the middle of the page quoting Dr. Buetefisch went on, "when I could accept from the deich wovernment in Barlin the order now to proceed and expand with all
possible energy the production of gasoline, which for reasons
inherent in political accordy could not be fully developed
prior to the taking of power. From that day on we find
ourselves in this inveriably greet experience of expanding
our industry, in ameasure heretofore takknown."

We offer in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 515 NI-4835, being the minutes of a meeting of the management at Ludwigshafen on 4 July 1934. Present are the defendants von Enloriem and Ambros and the report is made on a visit to Keppler who, your Honors will recall, was Hitler's plenipotentiary in Economics and a report made of that meeting on the subject "The Substitution of Foreign Raw Materials." The document is self-explanatory.

We offer in a vidence as Prosecution Exhibit 516, NI-7295. The document comes to us from the files of the Reich Office for Economic Development which also was a predecessor of the office of the Four Year Plan. The description in the index is not adequate. The files relate to a conference to 11 October 1934, with General Bockelberg of the Jehrmacht with respect to the organization of the Brabes Company and the next few documents will deal with the Brabes story. I might interpose at this point, that Brabas was an association or rether a corporation of the owners of the brown coal mines in Gormany and this corporation that these brown coal mine owners formedwas to use the capital for the erection of hydrogenation plants to produce synthetic graoline from the 16 process named. This NI-7295 contains the conference of 11 October 1934 and additional reports on the steps to be taken to make German industry self-sufficient. Page 39 merits attention end that is on page 66 of the German Document Book. These are the minutes of the Planning Committee of this organization and t they are talking about requirements in A-Fall Gase and at the bottom of page 39 there are some figures. I call attention to "Total Increased cost for covering A-Fall 655 million Reichmarks." That's with respect to the eme int necessary for new capital investments in plants.

Page 41, which is at Page 67 of the German book, No. 5 on the top of the page, indicates that they are planning from a military standsoint the carrying on of experiments in ersatz propelling fuel for the A-Fell. Page 42, which is a confidential report in June 1945 from this government agency -- it's at page 69 of the German at the bottom of the page, summarizing the conditions in the German mineral oil field:

"1. In 1930 we were dependent on foreign countries for our supply of mineral oil to the extent of 75% of the whole. In 1934 we were dependent to the extent of 65%. In 1937 with the projected new production, we shall be dependent only as to 35."

The next page 43, which is page 70 in the German Document Book, No. 2:

"This strong reduction in our dependence on foreign countries is,
apart from a certain increase in German petroleum boring and preparation of lignite ter, chiefly due to the synthetic production of
mineral oils, coal hydrogenation."

And down below:

"The Fischer-Tropach process now being developed also promises to help the demestic production in the near future."

The paragraph preceding the words "Fischer Propach" obviously refers to the I.G. Process.

"So far as concerns gasoline, gas oil, lighting oil, and heating oil there are no limits, either practical or technical or in respect of raw materials, to a further increase in mineral oil production by hydrogenation with German lightee and pit-coal as a basis. A further increase in the production is dependent solely on economic considerations."

18 Sept 47-M-FjC-6-4-Burns-von Schon Court VI Case VI

The point there obviously is that political and economic considerations will govern the future development of that. This being an appropriate time, your Honor --

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunol will drise for its morning rocess.

(A recess was taken.)

18 Sept 47-M-PM-Leonard (Int. Katz)-8-1-Court VI, Case VI.

THE MARSHAL: The Tribunal is again in session.

DR. HOFFMAN: For Dr. Aschenauer, Defense Counsel

for defendant Gattineau, who is unable to be here.

May it please the Tribunal. The prosecution submitted, in volume 26, Document NI 4833, which is an affidavit of the defendant Gattineau. This affidavit has already been submitted as Exhibit 26. Without #27 was a revocation of the defendant Gattineau of #26. The prosecution did not submit this revocation, and it seems to be necessary to point out the fact that this document was revoked.

MR. AMCHAN: Of course, Your Honors, Exhibit 25, as originally introduced, is the exhibit that confirms. Horover, the argument the counsel now makes, he made to an extent at the time when he objected to Exhibit 26, and it was everywhead as I recall the ruling of the court. This was the Gattineau affidavit where they claimed Guress and they alleged the facts in connection with fluress and lour Honors heard the argument on the point. And defease counsel's motion, as I recall it, was overruled and this affidavit and the things supporting it were accepted in evidence.

DR. HOFFMAN: May it please the Tribunal. As far as I remember, this Exhibit #27 is an affidavit of the defendant Gattineou which was made by him before proceedings started in this case. If it had been irrelevent to the prosecution, then the prosecution would not have submitted it as Exhibit #27.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal recalls the circumstances under which the document which was identified as Exhibit 26, was admitted in evidence and recalls that it was observed at that time that Document 27 would be considered in connection

18 Sept 47-M-PM-S-3-Leonard (Int. Katz)

with Document 26 or, rather, the reverse. That Document 26 would be considered in the light of the showing subsequently made with respect to Exhibit 27. Documents 26 and 27 being in evidence already, there is nothing before the Tribunal at this time with respect to their admission. In other words, all exhibits heretofore admitted in evidence are before the Tribunal for what they may be worth. We understand that the proceedings this morning is nothing more than to recell to the mind of the Tribunal the fact that Document 26 is before the Tribunal. It is no readmission of the document. Consequently, as we view the present state of the record, there is nothing before the Tribunal with respect to which the observations of counsel for the defendant are now pertinent.

The objection is overruled.

MR. AMCHAN: If your Honors please, I believe we had just put in, as Prosecution Exhibit 518, NI 7295. Defore proceeding, I believe it will be helpful to call Your Honor's attention to the maps Just placed on the wall. Photostats of these maps are already in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 44, the map on the left and, as Prosecution Exhibit 45, the map on the right. In accordance with the understanding between defense counsel and the prosecutor, it should be noted that the defense does not concede the correctness of these chargs. In passing, I might just point out thet the chart on the left is a visual indication of Furben's plant and capacities in 1932. They're indicated by disks and triangles which have appropriate legends. The map on the right is Farben's capacities after 1944. This is a short before and after map. The status on the map on the right of the plants of Farben's participations and operating plants

18 Sept 47-M-PM-8-3-Leonard (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case VI.

are as of the end of 1943. We shall discuss these maps in a bit more detail, and the meaning, at the time when we consider the production story from the point of view of capital increases in connection with plants.

The next series of document, which we are about to offer, relate to the Brabas story, the story of the organization of the German coal industry to construct hydrogenation plants to produce synthetic gasoline, using the I.G. Farben process.

We offer in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 517, NI 3975. The description in the index is inadequate. That document contains the minutes of & conference of 11 October 1934 with the Wehrmacht.

Incidentally, I was in error in describing that conference in the previous document.

This document, 3975, first has the minutes of the conforence of 11 October 1934, and it also has a copy of the contract of 27 October 1934, indicating the establishment, powers and functions of the Braba; corporation; We believe it would be helpful to look at some of those minutes. At page 45 in the English document book, at page 73 of the Gorman document book, "Discussion in Leuna", (Farbon's plant) "on 11 October 1934". Representing the Wehrenaht: General von Bockelberg. Present, representing I.G. FARBII: The defendant Krauch, defendant Schneider, defendant Buetefisch. The person keeping the minutes of this meeting is a fellow by the name of Koppenbers. It appears at the end of the document. Koppenberg, in a document which we shall later submit, gives a detailedhistory of the progress and development and mobilization in connection with synthetic oil. I call attention to his name now so that, when the document of Dr. Koppenberg's treatise comes up, we'll know who he is.

18 Sept 47-M-PM-8-4-Leonard (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case VI.

At page 46, the German document book, 74, still the minutes of the meeting of October, 1934, in the presence of meantmacht general:

Q: The statements of Dr. Buetefisch and Dr. Pior were followed by a discussion in which the needs of aviation were especially dealt with.

Further down, two paragraphs:

"Finally, there was a long discussion which greatly contributed to the initial clarification of the situation as a whole. It resulted in the following:

"Regardless of the fact that there was as yet been no formal organization of the company," which is a better translation, "in order to save time, a beginning shall already now be made with the practical work. Those parts which require a very long delivery time, the dates of which are moreover already precisely established, shallbe ordered as soon as possible.

"The higher administration of the project takes place in Berlin. The Management (General von Bockelberg, Dr. Krauch, Mr. X...", and we shall very shortly see who "Mr. X" is, " ... and Koppenberg)..."

The paragraph below:

"The special technical and technological work will be carried out in Ludwigshafen and Louns." That is, Farben plants. "A suitable communication will be established between Berlin and Leuna." 12 Sept.47-1-SG-9-1-Putty Court 6 Case 6

At page 47, 76 of the German document book, this is still the minutes of ectober, 1934.

"The directions of the I. G. will be followed as to the drection of the works" meaning the plants at Brabag. "All the knowledge and experience of the corresponding experimental and manufacturing lasts of I. G. will be utilized."

The most page, 43, plac 77 of the German:

"At the suggestion of Dr. Frauch, Mr. Fischer-"another I.G. perben official-"further particularly stressed the necessity of the contracts shortly to be concluded. The following were named in systematic order: the license contract with the I.G., the coal delivery contracts with the coal supply works, electric power," and so on.

And then the last paragraph, on page 78 of the Garmen:

"General von Bockelberg and Dr. Erauch will new communicate with Fr sident Dr. Schacht concerning the further pursuit of the project."

On the page 49 which is page 79 of the German book appears the document interespect to the organisation of the Brabas company, 25 october 1934, two weeks following this meeting with the Johnstont. I call you attention, if Your Honors places, on page 49 to the incorporators. Number 2. Dr. Bustofisch from Loune. That is the defendent, Bustofisch.

THE PRESIDENT: Forder Du, Counsel, do you have much more comment to make with reference to this exhibity

R. MCKAN: You, I have, This is quite an interestions exhibit?

THE FR. SIDENT: Then I think that it is necessary for the Tribunal to say that in order that the necessary most an appointment that we had previously made at a tile when we know not the hour that this will be senvenient time for us to rise for our neon recess.

The Tribunal will stend in recess until one-thirty o'clock this afternoon when Counsel may continue. Is that satisfactory?

MR. ACCHAN: That is perfectly all right

(The Tribunal recessed until 1330 hours.)

APPERNOOM SESSION

THE MIRSHIL: Persons in the court room will be seated.
The Tribunel is regain in session.

THE TRESIDENT: The Tribunel is very sorry to have imposed such some intermission on you gentlemen. We shall not repeat that more often than is positively necessary.

The Prosecution may proceed.

Exhibit 517, being NI 3975, and we reached the point where we indicated what transpired at the meeting of 11 October 1934, where the representatives of the Wehrmacht and I.G. Parban were present.

iny I ask Your Honors to please turn to page 49, which is onge 79 of the German book. That is the agreement setting up the Brobag Corporation; and we call attention to the defendant Buetefisch's participation. On onge 51, two organ further appears the official name of the company: Braunkohle-Sanzin-Aktongeselischaft; the abbreviation is the origin of Brabag.

On rego 52, rego 83 of the German book, under Article 2, the surcess of the commany is briefly set forth: "The commany will engage in the manufacture of fuels and lubricants, by using bituminous coal, and in the construction or the ourchase of plants which are suited to the atteinment and furtherance of those sims."

note, under Item 1, a capital contribution by I.G. Parben in the company of ten million Reichsmarks, and the total capitalization of Brabes at one hundred million Reichsmarks.

Passing to page 57, mage 89 of the Garman book, three figures down, I.G. Farben's participation increased to thirteen odd million Reichsmarks.

January 1935. It page 59, German Document Boo, page 91, the agenda,

iled after this trame 1999- C1-15

COURT 6, CASE 6

under 3, indicates discussion on the establishment of the Braunkohlen A.G., and their relation to I.G. Parben.

I ask Your Honors to note especially the persons present at that meeting; the defendants ter weer, Jachna, Schneider, Buetefisch, Kuchne, unbros, and Krauch.

Proceeding further, on page 61 of the English Book, arge 95 of the Garran book-the lower center of the page-setting out the Vorstand, the managing board of directors of the Brabag Company makes we think are significent.

Your Honors will recall, with respect to a previous exhibit, 3975, the meeting of October 11, 1934, when they were discussing who was to be on the Brabag they contioned Gen. von Sockelberg, Dr. Krauch, and a Mr. "X". Mr. "X" makes his appearance here in the person of Kranefuss, that name is quite significant, Kranefuss, and Krauch on the Verstand. In connection with Count III we shall present evidence of the intimacy between I.G. Perben and the SS, and that as a result of that intimacy I.G. Perben was able to secure concentration camp labor from the SS.

Errorfuse, who appears here on the managing directors of the Brabeg Your Honors will see later from the evidence was a lieutenant general in the SS. He was a member of the intimate Himmler, or Keopler, circle.

We just identify him at this point to indicate the relationship and close association between the defendant arough, sitting on the same board, and the defendant Bustofisch, the technical manager and director of Brabeg.

"We pass next to page 62, German document book page 96, in the middle of the page. They are still discussing that at the meeting: "The Braunkohonbenzin-Aktiengosellschaft is to sign a licence contract with I.G. regarding hydrogenation." That is at the bottom of the first paragraph. "The Puchrer's Commissioner for Economy, Herr Keppler"--we have met him before-- "was appointed as chairman of the Aufsichtsrat"--the

supervising board--" of the Brabeg by the Reich Minister for Economy, Dr. Schecht."

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 519, NI-7319, being an interrogation of the defendant won Knieriem; and it relates to a continuation of the policy to attract control to build plants for the hydrogenation through the I.G. Parben process, and the production of synthetic gaseling.

I sak Your Honors to turn to page 65, which is page 102 of the German book. I shall read portions of this because I think, Your Honors, the selected portions will give a short survey of the substance of this document. Toward the bottom of the page, the enswer of the defendant van Knieriam? "Now comes Foolitz. It was a very big hydrogenation plant in the neighborhood of Stattin. These things started in this way. Standard and Roy 1 Dutch Shell had both 100 percent subsidiaries in Germany which award the whole transportation facilities and filling stations in Germany... Now both these companies distributed the gaseline and the oil of Standard and Shell respectively throughout the whole of Germany, and they get money and certainly made profits. These profits could be used in Germany by the 100-percent-owned subsidiaries of the mother countries, but this money could not have been transferred. These marks could not have been transferred and changed into dellars and transferred in accordance with regulations of foreign currency."

and then he spacks further about the Cornen law "made it impossible to say dollars for marks and send the money to America."

is little further down, "now the result was that they," (apeaking of these two companies) "had a lot of marks in Germany not knowing what to do with it."

And the next enswer: "The Governments oproached them, asking them to join hands with I.G. and for that money and spend the money in building a big hydrogenation plant. I did not take cort in any negotiations for the Government, but I"(this is von Knierien speaking) "went to London

to talk the whole thing over with Standard and Shell, in about 1937, and I had long discussions with Standard and Shell about it. The trouble was that they did not like to create a clant which made grapline out of imported oil."

" little further down he says, "but they said as long as corl is used 'we are willing to do it'."

"I don't quite remember the details, but the important thing was that in the end a commony was formed whereby Shell, Standard, and I.G. took about one-third of the stock."

. little further down: .

Question: "Now this new dompany that was formed, as a result of your London discussion, what was the man of the company?"

Answer: "Hydrier-Werke."

Question: "If I understand you correctly, Standard and Dutch Shell such acquired one-third interest in this Hydrier-Werks, and this new corporation constructed the hydrogenation plant."

Jaswert "Yes."

Question: "t what place?"

Inswer: "'t Poolitz. 'fter the edvice and helm end drawings of I.G., and made a license contract with I.G."

Cuestion: "The new corporation Hydrier-Worke used I.G.'s orocesses, is that correct?"

namor: "Yes."

Question: "The contribution that Standard and Dutch Shell made to Hydrior-Werke was out of the 'blocked myrks' they had in Germany?"

Answer: "Yes."

Court VI - Case VI 18 Sep 47-A-14-1-ZHN-Burns (Von Schon)

"Q. Is it fair to say that Standard and Dutch Shell had no alternative but to join in this company because otherwise they could not get the benefit of the credits and money they had in Germany?

"A. Well, that is a very difficult question. These things relating to foreign currency are a very difficult one. I think you could use within Germany your 'blocked marks' to a certain extent. At least I don't see any reason why they couldn't have gotten permission to build a hotel or something, but you have to spend it in Germany."

At page 75, 113 of the German document book, about two-thirds down on the page;

"Q. And as the result of the organization of this Hydrier Werke, a hydrogenation plant was constructed. At Boelitz, was it?

"A. Yes.

"Q. Was the Vorstand informed of all of it?

"A. Yes, certainly.

"Q. Who in the Vorstand asked you to undertake those negotiations in London!

"A. Well, probably Bustefisch. Bustefisch and Fischer. Fischer was not in the Vorstand but was specific selling man for all oil questions."

At the next page, 76, which is 113 of the German document book, one-third down the page:

"Q. Is it fair to say that during the period 1936-1937-1938 I.G.'s interests in the construction of hydrogenation plants was to see that its process was to be used for the production of synthetic gasoline?

Is that a fair statement?

"A. Yes.

"Q. In other words, I.G. would be interested in having additional plants constructed regardless of whether it constructed or owned the plant so long as its process was being used?

"A. The thing is like this. If I.G. has developed such a valuable process, as a rule it would fabricate itself, but to erect so many hydro-

Court VI - Case VI 18 Sep 47-A-14-2-EHM-Burns (Von Schon)

genation plants by itself would have passed over the money power of I.G.

"Q. Would have been beyond its financial capacity?

"A. Yes "

Next: "Q. You mean the cost of producing synthetic gasoline was

"A. The cost of developing process was so great.

"Q. So great that it was financially unprofitable even though everybody in Germany who was using synthetic gasoline had to use your process and pay you royalty.

"A. I am going to say, if you put on one side cost spent in developing process and on other side the consideration of Standard Oil and all the amounts of royalties flowing to I.G., the left side was still bigger than the right side.

"Q. The loss exceeded the profit?

"A. Yes."

IR. SILCHAR: Mr. President, the photostat of the original which I have just been looking at contains a total of 39 pages. In the German document book which I have there are reproduced only the pages up to page 23 of the original, inclusive, so that pages 24 to 29 are omitted. From page 39 the signature is reproduced. That's on page 110 of the German document book, page 78 of the English. At the top it says "page 19" and at the bottom it says "page 29". I don't know whether the English document book contains pages 24 to 28 or not. In any case I believe I must make the application that, if the document is offered, the complete document must be offered. In this case the defense would not be in a position to submit the part not submitted by the prosecution since it is an interrogation carried but by the prosecution. I would be grateful to find out first of all in what form the Tribunal has this document, and then I should like to speak again depending upon the answer to this question.

THE PRESIDENT: We have not had an opportunity, of course, to look at the document beyond the parts that have been called to our attention

by counsel for the prosecution. Perhaps the prosecution can answer your quastion as to whether the parts of the document are in our books.

MR. SPRECHER: Yes, Your Honors. The last five pages are not contained in either the English or the German document books. Of course, all the pages are in the exhibit which has been introduced, the German photostat being complete. This is a typical case, Your Honor, where I think, if counsel for the defense feels that there is something more that is relevant that should be introduced, that is a matter which we shall from now on have to leave up to them to do in the absence of further instructions from Your Sonars, simply on the ground that that tends to run into the defense case and not the prosecution case, and that we have inserted what we believe is a fair representation of the document insofar as it is important in this connection.

THE PRESIDENT: We understand that it is not contended that the entire document is not contained in the exhibit, but only that portions of it have been omitted from the document books. After all, the controlling document, so far as what has been admitted in evidence before this Tribanal, is the exhibit proper. As has been remerked before, these books, like we have on the bench and like counsel for the defendant has before him, are matters of convenience only. Now, we have then this situation, as we understand the facts to be: the entire document has been offered and received in evidence. The prosecution has called to the attention of the Tribunal certain portions of the document which, it contends, support the prosecution's theory. Since the entire document is before the Tribunal, it will be entirely proper at the appropriate time for counsel for the defense to offer any other parts of the document that have not been called to the attention of the Tribunal by the prosecution. It seems that that is a complete answer to the present problem. The document in its entirety is before us. The prosecution has called attention to certain parts. The defense at the proper time may supplement that showing by calling our attention to additional parts if they feel that there is material in it that will

support the defense.

DR. SILCHER: I beg your pardon, Mr. President, if I am not quite acquainted with the rules of procedure yet. I don't know whether the defense will be technically in a position to offer pages 24 to 28 if we have them neither in the English nor in the German document books. In the second place, however, if I understood correctly, the whole document was offered in evidence, including pages 24 to 28, eince there is a complete copy here. Then I believe I must object to the offering of this document because pages 24 to 28 were not submitted to the defense 24 hours before hand.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to me that the problem that counsel for
the defense is confronted with is of a different character rather than
that stated in the objection. If the defense desires a translation of
that part of the document that has not been called to the attention of
the Court but is contained in the exhibit proper, the view of the Tribunal is that the defense is entitled to that translation, so that they
may determine at a later time whether they desire to offer additional
parts or all of the omitted parts of the document. It seems that would
suffice, and on the application of the defense we shall be glad to
order a translation of those parts of the document which have not been
called to the attention of the Tribunal by being included in the document
books in the hands of the Tribunal and the defense. Yould that not
suffice, Counsel?

DR. SILCHER: Mr. President, I cannot say anything with respect to these pages because I have not seen them. It could be that if I had seen them 24 hours beforehand I might have some objection to the submission of this document. Therefore, I ask that this document be passed and that we be given an opportunity to have these missing pages translated and to form an opinion of them.

16 Sept 47-A-MB-15-1-Burns (Int. von Schon) Court No. VI, Case No. 6.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honor, I think we are running into something here which is going to recur again, and therefore I beg the permission of the Court to make a few remarks. In the first place, Dr. Silcher, I think, could be advised, if he consulted the head of the Defense Center, that he would have no difficulty in getting the full copy of the documents, when he has problems like this. That's point one. Point Two: copies of the photostats of all of these documents are always delivered to the Defense Center. Whether this particular one is there or not, I don't know. I think Dr. Silcher, if he had inquired, could have found out. Now, the Prosecution feels that to have constant interruptions by the Defense on this point before they have inquired and used the normal facilities is to burden the record. I think these are matters which can be straightened out not here before the Tribunal, and these things are of a nature which can be handled later, and where the Prosecution will certainly have no objection to Dr. Silcher or anybody else respening the question after they have taken time outside the session to use the facilities which they are antitled to, and to do that will give them full possession of the facts.

DR. SHCHTR: Mr. Problemt, I hope you won't think I am too complaining if I insist upon my point of view. It is extremely difficult for us, in view of the relatively great speed with which the documents are offered, to follow and prepare for the sessions adequately with our clients. Then, if we cannot even rely on our document books containing the complete documents, that is, if we must expect that parts of document are offered, as in this case, which are not in our document books, that would mean that in addition to the work we already had we would also have to examine the document beforehand in the Defense Information Center to determine whether there is not something in the original document or photostat offered in evidence which is missing in our document books.

I believe that the work would be so extensive that we could not

18 Sept 47-A-MB-15-2-Burns (Int. von Schon) Court No. VI, Casa No. 6.

cops with it in that case. It is only this point of view that we simply do not know how to cope with the work in that case, which unfortunately forces me to insist upon my objection, and, to be more precise, to object to the submission of the whole document because an integral part of it, five pages, was not submitted to the Defense the prescribed 24 hours beforehand. The reference to the possibility of seeing the document itself in the Defense Center is not pertinent, I believe, because the Defense should have the document in its document books 24 hours beforehand.

DR. GIERLICHS: (For the Defendent Gehalmrat Schmitz) Your Honors, I regret that we have to burden the Tribunal with technical matters, but the statement which Mr. Sprecher has just made makes it siam nacassary to me to clarify this situation. As Capt. Rice of the Defense Administration told me armstime ago in the course of a conviriation, it was customary in the previous trials that the photostats of the individual documents as we have them here are made available in the Defense Center, two copies of each document, one of which was filed in the Defense Center by the NI number, while the other copy was placed at the disposal of the Defense, so that the Defense would have an opportunity to compare the documents before the session as far as possible and thus to avoid interruptions in the course of the trial. As Captain Ric: told me, in the I. G. trials only one photostatic copy has been delivered. When I went to the room concerned I found these photostatic copies simply lying in a pile in great confusion. On the same day I discussed the situation with Mr. Spreaher and asked for assistance so that in the rest of the case it would be seen to that two copies were delivered and one of them would be available in an orderly way. Nothing has been done yet, and I considered it my duty to clarify this situation since, if I understood the statement of the Prosecutor correctly, a certain reproach was made to the Defense for bringing such things up in Court. In view of the existing difficulties and technical problems of dealing with the material, I must join the

18 Sept 47-A-MB-15-3-Burns (Int. von Schon) Court No. VI, Case No. 6.

statement of my colleague Silcher and say that the Defense is not in a position to cope with this preparatory work outside of Court beforehand if the technical conditions prevailing in the other trials are not secured in our case as well.

THE PRESIDENT: In the first place we can assure Counsel for the Defense that they do not owe the Tribunal any apology for urging upon the consideration of the Tribunal matters which they deem of importance to their clients. That is your right and your function. We expect you to do that. This does not appear to the Tribunal to be as serious a matter as the discussion seemed to indicate. To review again the situation with which we are confronted: the exhibit offered and introduced in evidence, as we understand, is a photostatic copy of the original document in the German language in complete form. I am speaking new not of your book but of the exhibit which has been offered by the Prosecution. Your book and our book contain excerpts from that same document, yours in German, ours in English, and presumably identical except for the difference in language. Now, the Presidution has not sought to read into the record or to direct the Tribunal's attention to the entire document, but it is available to the Defense nevertheless, and it is difficult to see how the invoking of the two-day rule could harm you unless you were in a situation where you would be expected to determine whether you desired to offer this document upon short notice, but I think we all know as a practical matter there is going to be a long time intervening before Counsel for the Defense must determine whether or not there is anything of value in this document which should be called to the attention of the Tribunal, and it may be repeated what has already been said - that upon a showing that the original of this document is not made available to Counsel for the Defense or if you are not provided with a German copy of it in due time for you to determine whether you desire to offer additional parts of it, this Tribunal will undertake to see that you receive the benefit of that service. We cannot, of course, undertake

18 Sapt 47-A-MB-15-4-Burns (Int. von Schon) Court No. VI, Casa No. 6.

to supervise these administrative agencies in the details of the management of their offices. It would be too burdensome, first, to undertake it, but we will, in a substantial way and in so far as it affects the vital rights of these defendants, undertake to see that you get service that will afford you a timely opportunity to make a showing to the Tribunal. The Prosecution may proceed.

MR. SPRECHER: Your Honor, there's just one point where there's a slight error, if I understood you correctly. The original in this case, which is rather unusual, happens to be in the English language; other that there is no problem.

THE PRESIDENT: Then, under those circumstances, upon request the Tribunal feels that a copy in the German language should be furnished to Counsel for the Defense.

JUDGE HEBERT: I should like to ask the prosecutor for my information whether there are many instances in which the phobostatic copy of the document introduced in evidence is longer or contains material which is omitted from the copies which are furnished to us for working copies?

MR. SPRECIER: Yes, your Henor, I shouldn't easy in more than perhaps ten per cent of the cases but, for example with respect to many of the government reports which include many things, for instance the Reichsgesetzblatt, the Reich Legal Gazette, we only put in the regular decrees and out the surrounding decrees and many times only put in a fraction of the decrees, and we try to indicate the relevant parts to your Honors and still have available the entire matter in the language understandable to the German Defense Counsel and the Defendants. Of course, numerous of the Defende Counsel do speak English, and a very large number of the Defendants do speak English, but even so we will attempt in all cases to make available in the language they understand, the material on the specific points of having a translation made, naturally, they have the services of the Defense Center where they don't speak English or don't want to. I know some

18 Sept 47-A-MB-15-5-Burns (Int. von Schon) Court No. VI, Case No. 6.

of the Defendants do speak English.

DR. SIICHER: If I understood correctly, your ruling was to the effect -- and this seems to me so important that I should like to make it clear, because I am not quite sure - that in all cases in which a document is offered in the form of a photostat, the copies which are given to you and to us should be complete copies of the document. This is the first time I have heard that in about ten per cent of the documents this is not the case hitherto. We have had a number of documents where the copy in our books is expressly marked as "excerpts from document so and so." In this case there is no mention of excerpts. It just says "document so and so". It is only by comparing page numbers that one could see that this was not the whole document os given in the photostat. The word "excerpt" is not inserted here. According to the heading, one would believe it is the whole document. Parhaps it would be a suitable request that if the Prosecution considers a document so important that it is offered in its whole form, then the whole document should be copied for the Tribunal and for the Defense, but if the Prosecution does not consider the document so material as to offer the whole document in evidence but wants to offer only excerpts, then the original or the photostat which is put in the files should be excerpts, the same excerpts as in the document book. I think it is very difficult if one can not rely on the document books, if one must expect that the material which the Tribunal has is mora extensiva.

18 Sept-A-FI#16-1-Leonard (Int. von Schon)
Court No. VI, Case VI

THE PRESIDENT: It is apparent that Counsel has misunderstood the Tribunal. We did not mean to say that when the Prosecution desires to offer less than the whole of a document it must, nevertheless, furnish the Tribunal and Counsel for the Defense with a complete copy of the entire document. What we did mean to say was this, that when Counsel for the Prosecution offers a part of a document, the books furnished Counsel for the Defense and the Tribunal should contain a copy of the part offered, so that the Defense may have reasonable notice of what the Prosocution intends to call to the attention of the Tribunal. But certainly, we do not subscribe to the idea that if, for example, a paragraph out of a large volume was offered in evidence by the Proscention, that the entire book should be translated and laid before Counsel for the Defense and the Tribunal. It will suffice, under those circumstances, if the book is available and that Counsel for the Dofonso may have a translation of that part of it which they desire later to use. Now, it seems to me that is simple. That cortainly is in accordance with what we understand to be well-established practice. It is not calculated to burden this record with material in which neither the Prosecution nor the Defense has any particular interest and which the Tribunal, under those circumstances, does not care to see, and the ruling that we have tried to make clear is calculated, we think, to fully and adequately protect the rights and interests of each and everyone of these defendants. The Tribunal is clear in its concopt of what this ruling ought to bo, and we think we now have made our ruling sufficiently clear to be understood, and we must admonish Counsel to proceed with the presentation of the Prosecution's evidence.

MR. AMCHAN: We offer, if Your Honors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 520, NI-5620, which are the minutes of a meeting of the Commercial Committee of I.G. Farben, dated 10 February 1938, in which report is made with respect to the founding of the Hydrier-Werke and for the construction of plants to produce synthetic gasoline. The purpose of 18 Scpt-A-FI-16-2-Loonard (Int. von Schon)
Court No. VI, Case VI

these minutes of the Commercial Committee is merely to confirm the statements made by the Defendant von Knieriem, to which I have just called the Court's attention.

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 521, NI-7767, being the license agreement between I.G. Farben and Brabag. I ask Your Honors to note at page 87 of the English Document Book, page 127 of the German the signatures on this document. The Defendant Krauch, Kranefuss, and the Defendant Bustefish. That's at page 87 of the English book, at the end of the document.

The six or seven documents that we just presented in evidence are the documents in connection with the Brabag story and I.G. Farben's participation in it.

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 522, NI-9922, which is a memorandum propared by I.G., dated 19 November 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: I may have misunderstood you, counsel. Did you say as Exhibit 522?

MR. AMCHAN: That's correct. 522. NI-9922.

THE PRESIDENT: What is your 5217

MR. ANCHAN: 7767.

THE PRESIDENT: O.k.

MR. ANCHAN: Which is the license agreement.

THE FRESIDENT: Yos, very woll.

November, 1936, with respect to the cost of operating the hydrogenation process. I ask Your Honors to turn to page 92, page 133 in the
German book. At the top of the page we get the figure 482 million Reichsmarks. That figure is the total expenditure made in connection with
developing the hydrogenation process. At the end of the column, appears
the figure 336 million Reichsmarks. That is the losses sustained in
developing the hydrogenation process, so that, from this angle, the
hydrogenation loss not covered comes to 336 million Reichsmarks.

18 Sept-A-FI-16-3-Loonard (Int. von Schon)
Court No. VI, Case VI

The next document, NI-6765, is already in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 31. It's a statement by Jachne of 2 May 1947, stating that I.G. Farbon could not continue gasoline production after 1931 without subsidies. Now, that statement is quite short. It's on page 93, page 135 of the German book. At the end of the first paragraph, the Defendant Jachne says:

"Up to then, 400 million Roichsmarks have been spent for experiment and development."

Now, we ask Your Honors to note please, Jachne is talking about the amount spent, 400 million. The preceding document indicated that the amount spent was 482 million, but the losses were 336 million, and I point that out to show that there is no discrepancy between the documents. Jachne is speaking about the amount spent, which is in secord with the previous document, and the previous document shows the losses, 336 million.

To offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 523, NI-5931. There are three documents: (a) A letter of I.G. to the Reich Air Ministry, dated 3 July 1935. That's an error, 1933. It's 1935. (b) A letter from the Reich Air Ministry to I.G., dated June, 1935, and the third one, the minutes of a conference in Ludgwigshafen, 24 June 1935. 1934 is an error in the descriptive index.

Page 97 in the English book. A meeting of June 24, 1935. Present, representatives of I.G., Army Ordnance and the Reich Air Ministry. The first paragraph, which is on page 140 of the German book:

"Iso-Octano production.

"The experimental installation for the production of 1000 liters of Iso-Octano perday was started at the end of 1935...."

The date is significant, as Your Honors will shortly see.

At page 99, the minutes of this meeting are signed by the Air Winistry representative. Page 143 of the German book, under sub-division 4: 18 Sept-A-FL-16-A-Leonard (Int, von Schon)
Court No. VI, case VI

"Ensuring secrecy of developmental work.

"I.G. is bound by contract to an extensive exchange of experience with Standard. This position seems untenable as far as developmental work is concerned, which is being carried out for the Roich Air Ni-nistry.

And the last paragraph:

"I.G. will suggest the necessary socurity measures to the Reich Air linistry, under special consideration of the situation."

That's 1935.

Page 96, if Your Honors please, page 139 of the German book, is a letter from the Air Ministry representative to Dr. Cunradi, a former Verstand of I.G., enclosing a copy of the conference minutes that I just discussed, and he points out particularly that this record should be treated as strictly confidential.

Page 95 - I think we covered 95.

Now, with respect to this document, I particularly call attention to the dates. June, 1935, the first meeting, and July, 1935. At this point, we ask Your Honors to take judicial notice of the Judgment of the International Military Tribunal. I am reading from Volume I, the Official Edition, page 184:

The Tribunal there said:

"In 1935 the Maxi government decided to take the first open steps to free itself of its obligations under the Treaty of Versailles. On 10 March 1935, Goering announced that Germany was building a military air force. Six days later, on 16 March 1935, a law was passed, boring the signatures, among others, of the defendants Georing, Hess, Frank, Prick, Schacht, and von Neurath, instituting compulsory military service and fixing the establishment of the German army at a peace strength of 500,000 men. In an endeavor to reassure public opinion in other countries, the government announced, on 21 May 1935 "preceding these

18 Sept-A-FI-16-5-Leonard (Int. von Schon)
Court No. VI, Case VI

conforences" that german would, although renouncing the disarmament clauses, still respect the territorial limitations of the Versailles Treaty and would comply with the Locarne Pact. Nevertheless, on the very day of this announcement, the secret Reich Defense Law was passed and its publication forbidden by Hitler. In this law, the powers and duties of the Chanceller and other Hinisters were defined should Germany become involved in war. It is clear from this law that, by May of 1935, Hitler and his government had arrived at the stage in the carrying out of their policies when it was necessary for them to have in existence the requisite machinery for the administration and government of Bermany in the event of their policy leading to war."

At page 226 of the Judgment of the TMT, we ask Your Henors to take judicial notice of this finding of the Military Tribunal:

"Hitler could not make aggressive war by himself. He had to have the cooperation of statesmen, military leaders, diplomats and business man. When they, with knowledge of his aims, gave him their cooperation, they made themselves parties to the plan he had initiated. They are not to be deced impocent because Mitler made use of them, if they know what they were doing. That they were assigned to their tasks by a dictator does not excluse that from responsibility for their acts. The relationship of Jacobar and follower does not proclude responsibility here any more than it does in the comparable tyrency of organized domestic crimes."

I again call attention, if Your Hors please, to Prosecution Axhibit 523, conferences with the military officials after May 1935.

We offer next in swidence, if Your Honors please, as Prosecution Exhibit 524, NI 9088, which is a latter of the Reich Air Ministry to the Army Ordnance, dated 4 September 1934, giving details about the use of synthetic gasoline for the production of sircraft angines.

On Page 102 of the document book, which is page 146 of the German, at the middle of that page, this significant paragraph appears. Your Honors will note this was a top secret document.

"The I.G. representative ... "

They are discussing the production of minoral oil synthetically and gasoline.

no satisfactory information on the subject and was therefore asked to furnish, without dalay, a definite raply to the question, what will be the maximum capacity of Louna Works in the middle of 1935 after the completion of the expansion, if the raw materials lignite and coal ter case to be available."

I call attention, if Your Honors
please, to the date of this. A secret meeting,
27 August 1934, fixing a target date for the
production of gasoline in the middle of 1935.

At page 103, if Your Honors please, page 147 of the German book, toward the bottom of the page:

16 September-A-IL-17-2-Leonard (Int.von Schon)

"C. Lead totra-cthyl."

Still the same conference in 1934.

"I.G. is asked to conduct negotiations as quickly as possible for a license for the production of lead tetra-ethyl. If at all possible, an attempt should be made to obtain a general license permitting production of unlimited quantities.

"Only of this is impossible should a license for a limited quantity be accepted, that is, for the production of 1 ton per day. It is intended either to build this plant with a considerably greater potential espacity, and only to produce 360 tons a year for the time being, or alternatively to build one or two more plants and keep them in operation."

That was at page 103 that I just discussed, and if we go back a minute to 102, to the portion I just read, about the middle of 1935, which is 146 of the German book, the paragraph immediately following is quite interesting:

"For this reason, the needleration of tests
to ascertain the suitability for aircraft angines
of Launa III, appears to be even more important
than the tests on Launa II, as, in the event of
war, such a situation must be reckoned with."

The next three documents, if Your Honors

please, I think we can offer more or less
together. It is Presecution Exhibit 525, NI 355,
copy of a letter of Clemm, the head of the
Economic Group Chemical Industry, to the Reich
Chember of Economics. As Presecution Exhibit 526,

NI 358, a lotter from Ungewitter, the Manager of the Economic Group Chemical Industry, to the Reich Economic Ministry. And as Prosecution Exhibit 527, NI 357, another letter from Clamm, of Economic Group Chemistry, to the Reich Ministry of Economics. These three latters indicate the steps that the chemical group industry were taking to secure examptions from taxes for the oil industry, particularly pointing out the Farban situation and the purpose for which Farban was producing synthetic oils.

Decument NI 5380 is already in evidence as Proscoution Exhibit 400. The date, we think, is significant. 26 May 1936. Top secret record of the meeting of the Advisory Committee about questions of raw material, under the chairmanship of Goering. The defendant Schmitz is present.

May I refer to page 130, page 183 of the German book, in the middle of the page:

Stresses that today very much oil is still being thrown away. He raises the point, that the quality of the lubricating-oil production can be improved considerably by recently acquired knowledge of American processes for refining oils. The Leuna production has been concentrated more on German oils. Similarly, the Hamburg firms have been induced to use German oils in order to have suitable machinery for German oil on hand in the A-case."

Schmitz is present at this conference.

"Maanwhile the main supply must be provided by synthetic production. Synthetic oils have proved themselves to be of equally good quality as foreign oils.

"Goaring amphasized that in the acase
(A Fall) we would not, under certain circumstances,
get a drop of oil from abroad. With the thorough
motorization of the army and nevy the whole problem
of conducting a wer depends on this. All
preparations must be made for the acase so that
the supply of the wartime army is safeguarded."

Goaring, speaking at the conference.

At page 132, the Defendant Schmitz spanks up. Page 185 of the German book. This, Your Honors, incidentally, relates to synthetic rubber, and I ask you to consider that when we come to synthetic rubber. At this point, it is enough to mention that at this meeting they are talking about the preparation of war, the Defendant Schmitz is there and participates in the discussion, and, at page 132 is the Defendant Schmitz' participation in the discussion with respect to rubber.

The next document, if Your Honors please, is already in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 401, being 1301 PS. Your Honors might note that they both appear in Book 19. 1301 PS appeared in Book 19, and so did NI 5380. They appeared in Book 19 previously.

1301 PS. "Top Secret Record of the Council of Ministers on 12 May 1936."

18 September-A-IL-17-5-Leonard (Int.vonSchon)

The document also has, and this isn't in the description in the index, the minutes of a meeting of 27 May 1936. That's they day after Gooring's meeting that I just mentioned.

I'll ask Your Honors to turn to page 162.

Page 219. We might turn to page 161, page 217

of the German.

Your Honors will note, on page 161, "Copy of mosting of Ministers on 27 May 1936." You can see who's present. The first paragraph. Page 217 of the German.

"Prime Minister Goering: The two sessions of the board of experts held so far have brought forth interesting discussions."

That obviously refers to the session of the preceding day which we referred to in the earlier document.

"Naturally, opinions frequently disagree.

The experts are invited to state their concepts in writing."

Page 162, Page 219 of the German.
"Cortain raw materials for war must be stocked.

"These viewpoints are recognized and followed by the Reich Ministry for Economy.

The execution is mainly dependent on the question of funds. Therefore, necessity to save in all fields, to make saved funds available for investment.

"Prime Minister Goering: All measures are to be considered from the standpoint of an 18 Scptomber-4-11-17-6-Leonard (Int . von Schon)

assured waging of war."

Page 164, which is Page 222 of the German book. In understand Mr. Charmatz called to Your Honors' attention yesterday the reference by Minister von Blomberg about the Kaiser Wilhelm Gesellschaft, with a request that Geheimrat Bosch, if possible, as heading that post when his resignation from I.G. is possible, and von Blomberg's statement of the close working relationship between the military and the Keiser Wilhelm Institute.

That concludes, if Your Honors planse, this document book, and Document Book 27 also relates to gasoline, and I leave it to Your Honors' judgment whether this is an appropriate time for recess.

I did not hear.

IR. AMCHAN: I wondered if this would be on appropriate time for a recess.

THE PRESIDENT: Woll, it's a bit carly, but it is also quite werm, and I think we will rise for our recess.

(A rocess was taken.)

THE NARSHAL: The Pribunel is again in session.

IF. AMCHAZ: Before we leave Book XXVI. if Your Honors please, unless you have already left it, we should like to add an additional document. If you left it, we can just as soon put it at the beginning of XXVII.

THE PRESIDENT: Is that the one that was distributed?

iF. ANCHAS: That's right, NI-7836, which was distributed. We offer that in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 528, NI-7836. It is a copy of a letter dated 16 September 1936 from the Reich Minister of Aviation to the Reich Minister for Mer, enclosing a copy of a contract with the Amonia Werk Kerseburg for their information.

Tour Honors recall the Assonia Werk is a subsidiary of I.G. Farben.
On Page 5 of this document we call attention to the date. That is at
the top of page 5, 10 June 1936. The contract simply is for the
construction of plants for the production of aviation gasoline. The
significance of the date, 10 June 1936, we think lies in the fact,
especially when considered in relation to the two documents I referred
to, 1301-PS and 5300, which were meetings of 26 Mey 1936 and 27 May
1936 of the military people at high levels, discussing mineral oil and
synthetic specime - two or three weeks after those meetings comes
this contract of 10 June 1936 for the production of aviation gasoline.
THE PRESIDENT: So there may be no confusion, we are adding that as
the last document to Book XXVI. You so understand it?

NE. ANCHAY: Fine.

We next offer in evidence in Book XVII - I am sorry; we are about to proceed with Book XXVII, the second bookon gasoline. We offer in avidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 529, NI-5909, which is the minutes of a meeting of the technical management on 12 October 1936 - the dates again I think are significant - where the defendants Lautenschlaeger and Jachne are present and there is discussion on the question of procuring synthetic gasoline and rubber under the Four Year Plan. To further reading need be made. The date, as I say, is significant.

18 September-A-SK-18 & 19-2-Putty (Int. Katz) Court VI, Case S

We offer next in evidence, as Prosecution Exhibit 530, NI-8200, being the minutes of a meeting of the Aufsichtsrat - that is the supervisory board of I.G. - on 17 October 1936, and the minutes disclose the Defendent Schmitz reporting to the Aufsichtsrat the extent of I.P. Ferben's participation in the Four Year Plan. And we again call attention to the date, 17 October 1936, three months after Schmitz was present at the meeting with Goering, when he told the about the preparations.

I think we can go back to FI-5757, which is already in evidence as Frosecution Exhibit 30. It is an interrogation of the Defendant Frauch concerning the development of the production of synthetic resoling. It is a fairly complete statement, the readin in and of itself sets out the history of I.G. Farben through the years in developing this synthetic gasoline process.

The cell attention next to NI-652h, which is already in evidence as Exhibit 13, being another effidavit by the Defendant Krauch to the effect that Farkan processes accounted for nearly all German gasoline production. We ask Your Honors to turn, please, to page 15, which is page 22 of the German document book—toward the center of the page:

"In 1923, Bosch had ordered the development of new processes—
since sales reduction could be foreseen even then—in order to set
the installations to work an other processes in good time if they
become free. At the beginning of 1925, the conversation of beans
from nitrogen production to hydrogenation of scal was begun. It was
planned to have an initial production of 100,000 tens of gasoline
per year. Froduction started under enormous difficulties in 1927
Lin, therefore, production at full empacity could not be achieved
until 1930."

Now, I take the to mean at this point that in 1930 the production depactty of Leune was 100,000 tens of gaseline-synthetic gaseline.

Krauch than proceeds:

"Then, in 1933, the Feder-Beach agreement was concluded"
that agreement is in evidence—"which led to an increase of production to 200,000 tens by the use of the appropriate units for highpressure processes and hydrogen production which formarly had been
used for mitrog a samufacture."

And just tow sontonees down:

"It had almost been decided to give up Leuna in 1929 or 1930 in face of the unermous difficulties which had at that time arisen with regard to the gaseline manufature. Influential execution of I.G.

were at that time of the opinion that it would be the right thing to do to close down the big Leunz works. They were Duisberg and his followers: They wanted to give up the production of gasoline on litegather this occasion, whereas Bosch still remained his point of view that work at these plants had to be continued at any price for the sake of righting unimpleyment in Germany—the more so as the plants new covered expenditure although they made no profit."

At the next page, 16-which is page 23 of the German book, in the middle: "As the Government, through Feder, requested us to increase production in Lemma for the purpose of giving employment to more workers, a new agreement was concluded in 1933" It may be page 25 new. "This is the se-called Feder-Beach agreement, the basis rinciple of which was a guarantee for a price equal to the cost price at a production rate which was to be improved from 100,000 tons 200,000 tons of gasoline."

Into this agreement with Ferben, is 100,000 tens. We shall see that the accoleration of that production,

Exhibit 96. It is a speech by Dr. Struss, who was in charge of the Technical Constitute under Dr. ter Bour on the Four-Year-Plan. We have referred to that before. It indicates how important gosoline was in the Four-Year-Plan and just what part I.G. Parbon played in it. It has some technical discussion, but a reading of the decument will indicate its substance.

offer mext in evicence, as Presecution Exhibit 531, NI-6627, which are excerpts from a speech by Adolf Hitler in 1937 which was printed in the periodical Four-Year-Plan. In that speech, Hitler states that in two or three years hones, "Germany will be free of requirements of fuel and rubber from abroad." Hitler's speeches are always self-explanatory.

We offer in evidence, as 'resecution 532, NI-8328, being on affidavit by Dr. Struss, identifying a speech with respect to burn and rubber which he prepared for Prof. Selek. On page 86 is Dr. Struss's affidavit, and I ask Your Honors to turn to Tage 57, which is Page 50 of the German book, in fact at the bottom of Page 56. This is Struss going into statistical detail on production and consumption of synthetic gaseline. At the bottom of Page 56, which is page 50 of the Ferman book Struss states at that time:

"In Leunz for the first time, the maximum production agreed appropriate the Reich of 300,000 to 325,000 tons anually was achieved in January 1936 by a production of 25,000 tons monthly." The starting point, as I indicated before, in 1933 was 100,000 tons. In January, 1936, they are up to 325,000 tons.

We offer next in evidence, as 'resecution Exhibit 533, NI-9513, being an affidavit of Dr. Hagert, former official of the Reichsstelle Chemic, with respect to 'arben's share in the Four-Year-Plan Andrel Oil Program and its expansion for achilization purposes.

The refer to Page 88 of the English which is page 65 of the German book. Paragraph 3 of Dr. Augert's affidivit. Incidentally, Paragraph 1 indicates the positions hald by Dr. Hagert, He was from '37 to '39 consultant in the Mobilization of the Economic Group Chemical Industries. It says the entire plan of the task of the Four-Year-Plan was shown to him, and he knowns about it.

Paragraph 3. He is speaking of the Mineral Dil Plan, and he says: "The Mineral Dil Plan differs from all other prospective undertakings of the Feur-Tear-Plan by the fact that in addition to proceeding planning it also includes planning for the case of weblication, that is, in the event of war. The mobilization plan is adjusted to requirements in the cayr 1938."

And at Page 89, if Your Monors please, which is Page 67 of the Japan after giving statistical data with respect to production and

18 Scpt.h7-A-GJ-20-4-Schwab-(Katz)-Court 6 case 6

e-nsumption of synthetic gasoline in the mobilization plans, Dr. Hagert continues; under Itom 6:

"The participation of I.G. and its licensees in the expansions provided for by the Four-Year-P'an, and based on the above figures with the intention of meeting the inc reased requirements for mineral bil in the event of war, was as follows: ... "And then he broaks down the figures from which the extent of I.G. Farben's participation is plainly visible.

We effor next in evidence as Presedution Exhibit 534, NI-6708. It is a publication by Major Gen, Loeb, which appeared in the Pour-Year-Plan periodic is in 1938. From evidence which Your Honors have already received in connection with the Four-Year-Plan, under 1-C, the position of Major Gen. Loeb was apparently that he was an efficial in the affice of the Four-Year-Plan. New, in this publication of his he sutlines the goal for expansion of the German noter fuel supply, indicating the need to meet the vital motor fluel and lubricant requirements of German industry. It is a technical article; it is historical; it traces from the Gevernment point of view what their problems were and how they not them. The significant part, as you read that document, is, he points put again and again the part that I.O. Farben played in the production and mobilization of synthetic gaselin. for this aggressive wer.

We offer next, as Prosecution Exhibit 535, NI-7822, which is a copy of a contract dated 7 June 1938 between I.O. and WIFO.

TIFO, as your Honors will see a little later is a government regarded which and reach the financing of certain plants. This contract between I.G. and WIFO relates to the construction of a plant for the production of tetra-ethyl lead. Page 115 of the Document book in English, Page 10h of the German, indicates, in Article 1:

"I.G. shell build a tetra-stayl lead plant of the scope evident from the unclosed estimate of costs and the appended plans. WIFO shell grant the I.G. a lean to the amount of the building costs, plus an addition of 3.8 percent for general I.G. expenses. According to the present state of the planning, the building costs are stimute by I.G. at 3,500,000 Reichsmarks."

We offer next in evidence, if your Monors please, as Presecution Exhibit 536, NI-7127, being a letter from the Righ Command of the Armed Forces to the Minister of Evenemics regarding the tetra-ethyl

10ad production. The letter is self-explanatory. It is dated 20 October 1939.

New, at this point, Your Honors, I think it might be helpful to indicate the purposes and the theory of the Prosecution in introducing documents after September 1, 1939. The last exhibit was dated 20 October, 1939. Under Control Council Law, No. 10, crimes against the Peace are dfined as including the preparation and planning to wage aggressive war and the waging of aggressive war. And under the definition of the crimes, as set forth in Control Council Law No. 10, one of the factors to consider is the netuel participation in waging the aggressive war. So that the purposes of the Presecution in presenting evidence as to what happened after September 1, 1939 -- and incidentally, I just pick September 1, 1939 as an arbitrary date because the various acts of aggression and the various acts of warfare occured after September 1, 1939. To illustrato the point and our theory, for arguments, sake. We picked September I as a point whereby we can conveniently indicate what our theory is. And for that purpose the evidence as to I.G. Farbon's participation and activity after given that date, or some other date of aggression-relates to the point as we see it, that it constitutes of the crime: Waging aggressive war.

We offer next in evidence, as Prescentian Exhibit 537, NI-7138.

It comes from the files of the Reichsstelle Wirtschaftsausbauwhich, I understand, is the Chief Armament Economic Office. It
refers to the Defendant Krouch's Mineral Oil Plan. It is dated 10
January, 1939.

I will ask your Bonors, to please turn to page 121 of the English document book, page 113 of the German book. This is a document boning, as I said, from the files of the Office of Military Loonomy, 10 January 1939, a memorandum on the effects of the tightening of the capital market and the introduction of the Iron quote on the extension

of minoral oil production. Under Point la:

The Plenipotentiary for Chemical Special Production, Dr. Krauch, has set up a mineral oil production plan which provides up to the end of 1963 for a total increase of mineral oil from 2,800,000 tons per year to 11,300,000 tons per year. The distribution with respect to the individual kinds of mineral oil can be seen from the enclosure.

I ask Your Honors, to turn please, to page 122, which is page 114 of the German document book, under Item 3. This is the military fficials of the Government speaking:

"Financing represents a further bottleneck which is at present becoming more and more evident."

And toward the end of the paragraph:

"The basic question must be asked here whether the Reich should not give to the first perticipating in the development of mineral call production partial amounts of Reich leans in the form of leans which are to be amortized and which are to yield interest, in order to do away with the capital obstructions which exist everywhere."

I would like to call attention to that pertion, and the significance of it appears to us to be this: It indicates that financing for the creetion of these improvements and the granting of leans by the Government and the undertaking of capital expansion beyond the financial capacity of private is directly to the preparations for war, and that financing, according to these minutes of the Government officials themselves, is to be considered and treated as an integral part of war preparations.

The turn next to Page 123 of the same document, which is Page 115 of the German, in the middle of the page, under Sub-division 5; still the same minutes of this office of "ilitary Economy, January 1939"

18 Sept 47 22 1 A ARM Burns (Katz)

The following conclusions may be drawn from the foregoing:

1. For the conduct of a modern war mineral oil is equally as important as miroraft, tanks, ships, wespons and assumition. It not therefore be taken into account in connection with total mobilisation preparations exactly as every other implement of war, as regards finencing and rew materials:

We offer next in evidence as Prosecution Exhibit 538 NI-7471, comind from the files of the Governmentagency involved, a report on the new military economic production plan. It is dated 31 January 1939. The reference to the document in the table of contents is wrong. I call attention to page 136 which is page 119 of the German book. 31 January 1939. These are notes for a report to Goering on the mins and domends for the continuation of the mineral cil projects. Under It I. Present Situation:

It has been repertedly recognized by various offices that mineral all is just as important for modern worfare as airplanes, armored rehicles, whips, weap as and manitions. Despite this the development of minoral oil production has been completely neglected until now, compared with other mobilitation projects, partly through the impossibility to guarantee sufficient funds in the money market.

The 18 months plan, as ordered in the Fuchrer's speech in October 1936, for the expansion of the mineral oil production has not yet been reached by a long way. If far-reaching decisions are not made at once, which allow for the practical requirements, a complete feilure of the future development of the mineral oil supply is to be expected. Apart from the fact that the mobilization requirements of the Wehrmacht can then not be met for a long time to come in any way, the import for the current peach requirements necessitates a considerable amount of foreign currency.

We effer next in evidence, if the Court please, as Prosecution Embloit 539, MIL6237, excerpts from a book by Anton Zischka entitled "Science Brooks Monoply", and gives an interesting technical paragraph in connection with the importance of the Haber-Beach development by I. C. Farben. We call attention to page 130, which is page 126 of the German book. About the middle of the page he is speaking of I. G. Farben's Haber-Beach.

"Only through enormous technical and organizing effort did there become grouped around Haber's pressure boilers the giant plants which not only raised the German home demand for nitrogen fortilisers from \$20,000 tens in 1913 to 2,250,000 tens in 1936, and not only reduced the import of Chilean saltpeter from 170,000,000 marks in 1913 to 5,000,000 in 1933, but when completely broke Chile's saltpeter monopoly."

That is specking of the effect and the figures as to what the bedregenation process developed by Farben meant with reference to Chile and Chilen remonia.

"While converted into pure nitrogen there were in 1903 only 353,000 tons of nitrate at the world's disposal, and all this nitrogen was farived from natural sources, in 1933-34 there were 1,787,000 tons of nitrogen and 95.25 of it came from chemical factories; barely a twentieth part of the world-consumption was now met by Chile. From the small amonth factories in Oppau, where the Haber-Boach method was first turned to account industrially in 1914, the giant factories of I. C. Sarbon grow up."

The figures which I call your Honors' attention to appear on the
the next page 131 and then the conter, the significant part I think
is just the effect on the world production of nitrogen that this
arccess of I. C. Farben's had. In 1913-14, as you can see from the
tidle of the page 53.9 of all work production of nitrogen came from
hilean soltpeter. In 1933 only 4.8 percent came from Chilean saltpeter.
The less of the position of Chilean saltpeter for the production of nitrogen
we taken up by the hydrogenation process as you can note from the next
tot that in 1933 95% of the world production of nitrogen came from the
tenthetic process developed by Farben. Zischke subsequently stated
in that discussion of quotas the manufacture of synthetic ammonia as

COURT 6 CASE 6

18 Sept 47-22 3 A ANH Burns (Katz)

stems directly from the use of the hydrogenation process or the production of synthetic semental. At page 133 which is probably at page 128 of the German, at the bettem of the page there's a bit of a discussion in connection with this hydrogenation process. This name will come up again and very briefly it's a paragraph I think will be helpful. He is speaking now of the hydrogenation process with respect to the production of synthetic gas. What I was discussion before was the hydrogenation process with respect to the production of nitrogen. How the evidence discusses the process for the production of gasolinet

"Had these patents been more than protection for an idea, had they described a technical process and not a laboratory experiment, the war, which broke out seen after its publication, might perhaps have ended differently. But this Dr. Bergius stock only just at the beginninger a very wearisome read."

Giving the reference to the German book again, I think it's 28. I think I have enother line and I will read it slowly to catch it. It mays:

"Dr. Borgius succeeded in hydrogenating coal, in combining the carbon from coal with hydrogen under pressure and with the helf of a contact-substance, and in building up a molecula similar to that of gasolino,"

At page 136 of the German book and at page 134 of the English there's something found with reference to the development of the process. I think it's getting too technical to read orally. At page 135, however, is a factual reference. In the middle of the page, page 139 of the German book, the Lemna works now covers night square kiolmeters and has a staff of eleven thousand men. That was a plant that was producing in the main synthetic gasoline.

We offer next as Presecution Exhibit 540 the publication by Koppenberg which appeared in the Farbon megazine in 1937. Your Honors recall I identified Koppenberg as a participant in the conference

back in 1934 with the officials of the government and he himself was an official of that government.

That's NI_5630, Prosecution Exhibit 540. Koppenberg describes
the production of mineral oil and coal and the hydrogenation process of
the I. C. Farben participated init. Page 148 in the English Book,
page 161 of the German book. At the bottom of page 148:

"As vory large quantities of empital are required for the establishing of plants for he production of mineral oil from coal. great urging was necessary in the case of a few construction projects of recent years in order to etimulate private industry in this respect. In times of weak government leadership the fact that foreign oil was obtainable on the other side of our customs boundaries, at 's far lower price than it could have been produced from coal by us, ESPISH, "Your Monors will recall Koppler on the board of Brabag among other things. We have met Koppler before," the plenipotentiary of the Y.J.DA.P. for economic questions, descrees particular credit for his offerts to carry Socialist Cermany with that of foreign nations, will reslike with great admiration with what surprising speed and simplicity owents of the greatest extent took place once they were recognized by the lorders as being essential and right; no consultations and "chates lasting for months, no energy-consuming structles with the opposition. The Reich Minister for Loonomies invited all sutheritative leaders of the lightte industry to a meeting in the fall of 1934. Details of the subject to be discussed were not known; but already after 40 minutes every participant knew it, and after another 10 minutes the tocision ind buen made: the entire German lignite industry will begin imposintely with the construction of motor fuel plants, which must have the capacity of producing at least half a million tons of motor That commally, A few modest objections here and there suffocated in the realisation of the overwhelming facts: complete application of all ressibilities offered by the wealth of coel in Germen soil, for the

18 Sept-A-FL-22-5-Burns Court No. VI, Case VI

achievement of self-sufficiency in motor fuels! That was the hour of birth of the Brown Coal Benzin (Lignite-Gasoline) A.G. which immediately began construction of three motor fuel plants."

At page 150 of the document book on the top of the page which is probably 162 in the German:

"Parallel to the Youndation of the Brobag ran the I.G. Perben industry's initiative which further extended the Leuna-Werk, where gasoline was manufactured from coal for years".

A little further down on the second paragraph at the end:

"At the end of this first building-up period of the German fuel industry it must be noted with special recognition that the I.G. Far-benindustrie has a great share in the meritorious work of speeding up this construction program".

And at page 151 which is 165 in the Gorman, the next to the last paragraph:

We offer next as Prosecution Exhibit 541, MI-8314. We will omit NI-7373. It was there to identify the author Koppenberg. Prosecution 18 Sept-A-FL-22-6-Burns Court No. VI, Case VI

Exhibit NI-8134 is an affidavit by Dr. Struss relating to I.G. Farben's production of synthetic gasoline and lubricating cil. We ask your Honors to please turn to page 155 which is page 169-A of the German book at the middle of the page. Struss states:

"Altogether I.G. and the firms working under I.G. licences produced about 90% of the total German synthetic gasoline."

Under page 166, 169-A, German Book, - at the top of the page, Dr.Struss speaking:

"Farbon supplied all the synthetic lubricating oil menufactured in Gormany. Synthetic lubricating oil was produced in the I.G. plants: Schkopau, Louan, Moosbi rhous and Maydebrook.

"ithout I.G. Farbon's contributions in the synthetic gasoline and lubricating oil field it would have been impossible for Germany to motorize the Wehrmacht and to go to wer."

"To offer next as Prosecution Exhibit 542 NI-8318, an affidavit of Dr. Struss' about I.G.'s indisponsability in producing gaseline for proparing to wage wer. It is self-explanatory.

We offer as Prosecution Exhibit 543, EC-186. It's a document dated June 1942, a memorandum from Neitel, Chief of the Supreme Command. It's to the offect that all foreigners and prisoners of war are prohibited in mineral oil plants, Buns plants and light metal plants and we offer that document in evidence for the purpose of showing from the military point of view the strategic position of these facilities.

Especially in the light your Honors will later see where slave laborers and foreigners were caployed everywhere, these type of plants were excluded.

We offer in evidence NI-10507 as Prosecution Exhibit 5/4;

It is a confidential report of the United States, in March, 1945, ro:
The Petroleum Encilities of Germany. It was propered by the Backy
Oil Committee for The Chartermaster General of the United States Army.
We offer this document in evidence which gives the story of the entire
picture as the U.S. Intelligence saw that, after having made surveys
and received reports on what the physical situation with respect to
oil and gasoline was in Germany.

As I indicated in my opining remarks before proceeding on the proof on I-D, that, as we discussed plant facilities and capital expansions, we necessarily out across the subject matter embraced in the treatment of production of gasoline, Bunn rubber, etc., but, for purposes of orderly presentation, this is what we're conclude our proof on with respect to I.G. Farben's part in the production of synthetic gasoline, and synthetic mineral oil.

Now, we're prepared, if Your Honors are disposed, to proceed with the proof on synthetic rubber, unless you are otherwise inclined.

THE PRESIDENT: We should like to suspend the receiving of documents long enough for you to outline your progress for tenerrow, but it's yet twenty minutes. If you have se objection, perhaps you can start and get a few documents in on the next book, but suspend five minutes or so before to suggest to counsel for the defense your progress for the next session.

MR. ANCHAU: I think it will be most appropriate to indicate what our program is, and Mr. Sprecher is in a position to do so.

THE PRESIDENT: Pardon no, gentlemen of the prosecution, perhaps you might utilize the belence of the day on doing two things. In outlining or suggesting the scope of your next book, the documents that you intend to offer, and then advising the Tribunch and counsel for the defense what books will be used and what your program will be. I think if we can adcomplish those two things, we can eliminate going into introduction of documents at this late hour.

MR. ANCHAN: Books 28 and 29 will deal with synthetic rubber. Book 30 will deal with light notels.

I understand that it is proposed to call a witness tonorrow morning, and it is our intention to come again to the introduction of documents efter we're through with the witness, and my understanding is that the witness will only take about half a day. That's the information I have, and I would say that these three books would be sufficient to carry us over. In the event they're not, we are prepared to proceed with the proof on emplosives which I think is Document Book 33 and 34, my understanding being that it has been delivered to the defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Then, the books that we may need tomorrow may be 28, 29 and 30, and if we exhaust them, the next will be 33 and 34.

MR. AMCRAST: That is correct.

MR. ANCHAN: That's my understanding.

THE PRESIDENT: Wall, in view of the fact that you are now going into a different field. I hardly think it appropriate to ask you to start on the introduction of documents at this late hour and, unless there are any other observations with reference to your program tomorrow that you wish to make at this time, we will recess for today.

You may ascertain from your co-counsel whether there is anything else.

MR. SPRECHER: May we have just a moment. We may went to make a further announcement.

Your Honors, Dr. Charmets points out to me that, during the interrogation of the witness General von Hannacken tomorrow, there will,
of course, be reference to some of the documents which, from time to
time, have gone into evidence here. We will try to have in court the
original German copies, and, they are generally, to be found, for the
most part, in the English document books 20 and 21. I just thought
I might mention that. I don't think there's a great deal that can be
done toward having a witness being presented with a gold ribbon, in a

particular way, but I thought I'd mention it.

THE PRESIDENT: Do you anticipate any use, in the court room, tomorrow of these two books containing basic information?

MR. SPRECHER: No. Your Honors.

THE PRESIDENT: Very well.

Is there enything firther to be added now, that you think about?

MR. SPRECHER: Mothing, Your Monor.

THE PRESIDENT: The Tribunal will now rise until 9:30 temorrow morning.

(A recess was taken until 0930 hours, 19 September 1947.)

MILITARY TRIBUNALS

Muernberg, Germany

UNITED STATES OF AMPLICA

Against

KRAUCH and Others (Case VI)

FIRST JOINT MOTION OF THE PROSECUTION AND DEFENSE TO CORRECT THE FINGLISH TRANSCRIPT

The Presecution and Defense herewith join in moving:

(a) that all the corrections in the "Second Motion of the Presecution to Correct the English Transcript", dated 13 February 1948, be made in the official mimeographed copies of the English transcript, except items 566, 575, 638, 853, 854, 871, 895, and 971; (b) that the Tribunal order forthwith that the corrections indicated below be made in the official mimeographed copies of the English transcript:

the offi	cial mimeographod	copies of the	English transcript:
Item No.	Transcript	Line (s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1.	1016	8	Change first word "stell" to "steel". Change "these was res- ponsibility for extending for the mines" to read "and for
2.	1017	24-25	Delete all of line 2h and first two words of line 25. Replace with "actually these plants acti- ve in the industry concerned".
3.	TRIB	16-17	add "is to" after "know" at end of line 16. Change first word in line 17 "represents" to
li.	1235	José .	"represent". Change "by was of the DAO Trois-

dort", to read "through the DaG

Troisdorf,".

999 (-1-)

No.	page		
5.	1235	12	Change at the right charts to read
			"in the middle of the charts".
6.	1242	14	Change "NI-772" to "NI-7772".
7.	121/2	16	"1927-1937" should be "1934".
6.	1267	3-4	Change ware classified as counsel for
			the Prosecution? or the Defense." to
			road "are to be classified in the sonse
			of the counsel for the Prosecution or
	+		of the Defense."
9.	1320	7	Change first word "filing" to "filling"
			and "FBF," to "K Bi,".
0.	1343	21	"to fund" should be "to find".
1.	1344	15-16	Delete as repetition from "I have to"
			in line 15 to "his testimony". in line
			16.
2.	131da	26	"the witness" should be "where the
			witness".
3	1345	16	the fual Commission" should be "this
		4	Commission".
4	1349	2	"menthol" should be "methanol".
5	1349	13	"require" should be "requires".
6	1349	19-20	"product." should be products."
7	1357	2	"4713" should be "Exhibit 546, NI-4713,"
8	1360	10	"1837" should be "1937".
9	1362	31	Change "process, was licensed" to read
			"process and was licensed".
10	1362	32	Place comma after "Germany",.
1	1365	8	"of production" should be "sinco
			production ⁶ .
12	1366	1	"quality" should be "quantity".
3	1370	8	First word "dilute" should be "diluted".
4	1370	10	"but finds" should be "and finds".

			1
Ite	The second of th	Idne(s)	PROFOSED CORRECTION
25	1370	13	"extenters" should be "extenders".
26	1371	15	"dilute" should be "diluted".
27	1372	2-3	"consumption, ammonia" should be
- 97	100		"consumption of a mmonia".
28	1375	27	"leed aside" should be "lead azide".
29	1378	29	Dolete "of" after first word
-	346	-	"production".
30	1380	-1	Delute heading, "EXPLOSIVES"
31	A.I.	2	Delete "IV. Stabilizers."
	1381		
32	2302	10	"January 1939," should be "January
	2282	21	1937,".
33	1382	11	Delete heading "POISON GAS".
34	1383	57	"mentioned Daoga" should be "montioned
-	****	-2	that Omoga".
35	1387	. 1	"NI-5681", should be "NI-5681, Exhibit
	222	-27	351."
36	3386	1	"utilizing" should be "noutralizing".
37	1388	2	"after a mustard gas". should be "of
			mistarl gas."
38	1389	1	Place "L" at beginning of line and
			begin answer with "Oil".
39	1389	10	"NI-bh98" should be "NI-bh89".
40	1389	11	"Exhibit 116." should be "Exhibit
			117.0
41	1390	29	- Last two words "is a" should be
			concerns a".
42	1392	18	Insert "Exhibit 634," after "NI-7431,".
43	1393	17	Last word "as" should be "at".
44	1394	7	Insert "Exhibit 640," after "NI-7425,",
45	1394	25	Insert "Exhibit 666," after "NI-4994,".
46	1399	3	"it permission" should be "if permis-
1	1		sion*.
47	1400	6	"Norwar" should be "Norway".
		9996	- 3 -)

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
48	1401	20	Delete as repetition last two words
	F.		with oxygen".
49	11,03	22	First word "his" should be "this".
50	11107	11	Insert "be" after second word "gasolines".
51	1409	17	cit is not" should be "is it not,"
			with comma at end of line.
52	1409	19	"a great number" should be "a great
			amount".
53	77103	24	"it is noto" should be "is it not,".
54	2422	7	strue up to about" should be "true
	100		that up to about".
55	11:12	10	"for improve" should be "to improve".
56	1412	12	"addition" should be "additional".
57	11:13	11	"from methanol" should be "that from
			methanol*.
58	11,15	19	"till find" should be "will find".
59	1425	31	Fin general" should be "that in
			general".
60	1429	19	"practical" should be "practically".
61	11,20	1	Delete entire line as repetition.
62	1h21	8	Delete quotation marks before "From".
63	1425	7	"Keiteh Watt" should be "Keith Watt".
64	11/27	20	Insert "it" after "clarification".
65	2427	24	"here as an" should be "here is an".
66	11/29	10	Delete entire line.
67	21,29	12	Insert "and the" before "establishment",
68	1429	23	Change comma to period after "mer-
			captans".
69	2430	18	"Exhibit 272° should be "In Exhibit
			272,"
70	21,30	25	"N80" should be "N20".
71	2/130	26	Delete Band" before Bin American
		999(-	processes*.

999(-4-)

Item No.	Transcript	Line(s) MOPOSID CORRECTION
72	1/31	3/7	Place period after "chemistry" in
			line 3. Change from last word "the"
			in line 3 through all of line 6 and
			first word of line 7 to read: "The
			welting agent tutogen, mentioned in
			that letter as necal, is known to you,
			and igepon is known to you, and also
			cragepon is known to you, cragepon
			which is called an intermediate for
	-		poison cas."
73	1432	15	"phesgner" should be "phosgene".
74	1/31	20	"Keil Jatt" should be "Keith Watt".
75	11,36	3	"freezed" should be "freezes".
76	1437	23	Place semi colon after "other".
77	2/40	25	last two words band products should
			be "and those products".
78	11/43	1	"etab-disminodimitrate" should be
			methan-disminodimitratem.
79	21,1:14	9	Place quotation marks after "diamine"
			before the question mark.
80	2hlili	31	Insert the following after the first
			two words "not only"; "concerning
			the extent of his knowledge of the
			subject but also".
81	2147	29	Change last word "of" to "in".
82	1147	30	Change "America, the inventor Bakele"
			to read "America of the inventor
			Bakeland," with comma after "Bakeland".
83	1147	31	Change "London-dynamite" to read
			"London, of dynamite". Change "of
			it as a colding article, " to read,
			"of the thousands of molding articles,".
84	2/4:7	32 999 (-	Place comma after "glues,". 5 -)

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION -	1-
85	21/49	6/7	Change "that this is a historical	
			fact, there was" to read "that with	
			this historical fact of". Delete	
			question mark at end of line 7 and	
			replace with comma and dash.	
86	11419	8	Delete entire line.	
87	واللا	9	Change nQ. Excuse me, I think it	
			was too long?" to read " Excuse	
			me I am taking too long " (This	
			is continuation of question in lines	
			6 and 7).	
88	1454	22	"that is is of" should be "that it is	
			of".	
89	31458	19	"investion" should be "investigation".	
90	1/159	1	"manufacturors" should be "manufacture	
91	11:59	l _k	Place question mark after #1936".	
			Begin now sentence with "Do you".	
			Delete "that" before "yesterday".	
92	11/59	22	"during the ears" should be "during	
	-		the years."	
93	1459	23	Place coma after "progress".	
94	1661	9	Delete "about".	
95	1451	16	"as of butylone" should be "as	Н
			regards butylene".	М
96	1461	17	Place comma after "and so on".	
97	1465	20/21	Change "about the thatis of chloro-	
			phyll" to read "with a thesis on	1
			chlorophyll."	
98	1467	13	"devoted" should be "dedicated".	
99	11469 -	30	"IC-281" should be "EC-281".	8
100	11470	5	Replace poriod after "plants" with	
			dash (interruption).	1
101	1671	499 (-	"positions" should be "places".	

.

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	TROPOSED CORRECTION
102	11/83	19/20	Change "you state that investment
			figures all those you ascribed to
			Farban items" to read "you aseribed
			to Farbon the investment figures of
			all those Participations".
103	11/85	19	"Th is" should be "This is".
106	11.87	19	Insert "mich" before "adds up".
107	3488	21	Change first word "Third" to #3)",
108	1490	2	Last word "Haucls" should be "Huels".
109	1491	10	"IR. DUROIS:" should be "MR. ALCHAN:"
110	1492	1	May I emplain, the," should be "May I
			explain, them,
111	11492	4	Place period after "Farben".
112	1493	19	End paragraph with first two words
			dand 3d. Thon begin new paragraph as
			reply of witness, as follows: "A. You
			a 30 to bo million marks mate.
113	24,93	27	"The total investment" should be "The
	13		total placened investment". Delote
			last two words in line "for the".
114	2493	28	Delote First word "latter". Next
			word "amounts" should be "amounted".
115	2494	15	Add the following after "save time,":
			WI do not discuss all the figures
			which I might want to oriticise."
116	11,9lr	16/17	Linus 16 and 17 should contain only
			the following: "A. But I would like
			to ask
117	1497	1	Chango "seven categories, including
			alumina." to read" carbide mines,
			including indeed also alumina.
			- 1

999 (-7-)

Itam No.	Transcript Page	Lino(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
118	1497	2/3	Change "Fischer Plant, Hanau, Hocchet -
			obviously C. Hoschst, I assumed -
			expansion. " to read "Fischer Plant;
			Hanau, tar Ardrogenation Plant; Moschat -
			obviously an I.G. expansion."
119	1497	23	"you global" should be "your global".
120	11.97	28	2619" should be "6,19".
121	1498	4	#1859# should be #0.859#, #25.35#
			should be "20.35".
122	1498	29	which you quite" should read which,
			as you quito".
123	1498	30	Place comma after "examples,".
124	1500	. 17	Delete "they" after mobilization".
125	1501	5	Delete "and" after "Ungewitter" and
			change last word in line "they" to
			walioh".
136	1501	9/10	Chango "so-called industry concorns,
			R and J., a designation meaning vital."
			to read as follows: "so-called arm-
	1		ament concerns, R (Russtunga-betriebe),
	*:		and KL (Griegs-und Ichanswichtig) con-
			corns, a designation meaning vital for
			the mar and life."
137	1502	29	Change line from "Is that the Military
			Asency?" to read "No Military Agency?"
128	1500	32	Insert "and" after "yes,".
129	1503	14	"Schloidoalstadt" should be "Schoido-
			Anstalto.
13C	1503	6	Delete one "forther" as repetition.
131	1503	17	"Congress of 1.6" should be "Meeting
			of I.G., ".
132	1503	18	Dolote First to words "by I.G."
133	1503	19	"from this" should be "from memory".
		999(-	8-)

Item No.		Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
134	1	1503	20	"10 0 million" should be "100 million".
135		1503	26/27	Change "this in detail on the law, "
				to read othe capital structure of I.C.,
136		1504	15	Change the last three words "on the
				direct" to read "not cross",
137		1504	18	Change "and not on interrogating
				matters" to read " and counsel is not
				interrogating on matters".
136		1504	19	Change first word "for" to "in".
139		1505	1	Insort "mentioned in your affidavit",
				after Weich Marke". "split up
				jointly" should be "analyzed together.
140		1505	2	"some" should be "the",
141		1505	14	Chango "want also to split up those
				sharas" to read "want to say that
				this includes reserve shares, ".
142		1505	7/9	Change lines 7,8 and 9 to read as
				follows: "I agree with you that this
				is so. Then in order to compare the
		1		ratios, one would have to take the
				figure of the other firms of 75
				million and not put down for Farbon
				1.1 billion marks but 800 million
				Reich Herlen? That is, if our provious
				analysis is correct."
143		1505	24	. "Wintersall" should be "Wintershall".
144		1505	16	Change where it talks about stock
				capital, c to read where stock capital
	-			is mentioned, " .
145		1505	27	"as Wintersall" should be "as Winter-
				shall is concorned, ".
146		1505	19	"That to the question" should be "
			999 (-	in regard to the question".

0.

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
147	1505	22/23	Change "is about the nitrogen and
		7	Parben which are significant," to read
			"is that the mitrogen and dyestuffs
			questions are synonymous."
148	1505	29/30	Chango ontire lines 29 and 30 to read
-50			Mwere to take the witness stand later
	4		then it would be very proper, and may
			well prove to be a very relevant thing
			for the defense counsel to arrange,
			but that",
149	1506	2	"are to state" should be "is to state."
150	1507	5	"quota" should be "quota".
161	1508	5	"First," should be "Second,".
152	1,508	6	"second" should be "third". "third"
			should be "fourth". Last word "groups"
			should be "group".
153	1508	7/8	Delote as repotition "Fourth, the
	1		smaller works from the East"
154	1510	23	"which is" should be "which was".
155	1510	32	"Fing," should be "Ving,".
156	1511	1	"Ping" should be "Ving".
157	1511	21/22	Change "Gillis - The Casting Company,
			son 1 t metal works, the Pulver-
			fable on Company; finally a Light
			Motal Coll, " to road "GmbH; Notal-
			guss Cabil, Leipzig; the Westfuelische
			Leichtantallworks; the Pyropher
			Geschlschaft; the Pulverfabricken
			Company; Sinally, a Loichtmotall Cabi, ".
158	1512	6	"Metal Tork" should be "Metallworke".
159	1512	19	"NI-10013," should be "NI-10035,".
160	1512	22	Delete comme after "per cent".
		999 (-20	o -)
	*		
	-		

ì

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
161	1512	29/30	Change comma to period after "ones"
			in line 29. Delete "the chemical
			factory of Holton, but",
162	1513	16	Delote "that in your test,".
163	1514	15	"produce" should be "product".
164	2514	16	Change "saw, made an assignment here
			that lod" to read "eaw an assignment
			hero, it lod".
165	1517	2	"Golshofon" should be "Golsenberg".
166	1517	6	"Phointraun" should be "Rhoinische
			Braunkchlo".
167	1519	6	writh that I mentioned should be
			"which I mentioned",
168	1519	7	Delete second "also" as repetition.
169	1519	23	#1300%# should be "thirteenfold".
170	1519	31	"now that the condition that" should
			be "now the condition that".
171	1521	7	"opening" should be "opinion".
172	1523	16	"givon" should be "restored".
173	1524	8/9	Change from "in the sale in some"
			in line 8 through line 9 to road
			"in the sale of Chile saltpetre in
			some other important countries as
			compared to the sale in Germany."
174	1524	27	Chango "import anything from Poland?
			to read "export any to Poland?"
175	1525	10	"import anything" should be "expert
			any".
176	1526	18	"torritories was" should be "torri-
			torics for Chile was".
177	1526	19	Delete last two words "in Chile".
178	1529	10	mil-7745" should be mil-7743".
		999 (-	11 -)

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	9
179	1532	h	"not distinction" should be "no dis-	
1			tinction".	
180	1532	22	Delete IR. ALEMAN: (This is a con-	7
			timuation of question in preceding line).	
181	1532	23/24	Insort the following line between lines	
13			2) and 24: "IR. ALCHAN: I would like	
			to say that this question is out of	
			placo."	
182	1532	25	Proferred it." should be "referred to."	
183	1533	20	"I have" should be "I had".	
184	1534	26	"give" should be "gava".	
185	1536	27	"on spara" should be "one spare".	
186	1537	1	First word "botter" should be "Farben".	
187	1537	h	Change "of all reports to" to read	
			"in all plants of". Change comma to	
			period after Farben.	
188	1539	1	"Yos, I do." should be "Yos, I am."	
189	1539	32	"von Hoydo" should be "von Heider ".	
190	15/11	4	Third word "agree" should be "agreed".	
191	1510	6	Delete "their" before "examination".	
192	151/1	8	Dolote last word "that".	
193	1542	16	Delete "have" before "established".	
194	1542	30/31	Change "and I could reconstruct it now	
			after all publications, - were" to read	
			"about which I have learned since through	
			publications - and were".	
195	1543	21	Change "the following of people on the	
			etrongth" to read "the tendency of	
			people who on the strength".	
196	1544	3	Delete "of" before "an agressive war".	
197	1544	14/5	Delote period after "countries", Change	
			"It did not justify precise plans which	
			may have been made," to read "or that	
		Y	precise plans had been made, ".	
		999 (-	12 -)	

999 (-12-)

Item No.	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPUSED CORRECTION
198	15144	6	"under circumstances" should be "under
	* .	-	cortain circumstances".
199	1545	6	"motorized" should be "militarized".
200	1545	25	"on the basis" should be "against the
			basis".
201	1547	21_	Add "and" after "time" at end of line.
202	1547	28	Wadmissible" should be Winadmissible".
203	1551	2	Change first word "war" to "was".
204	1552	16	Change "matter is a matter" to read
			"matter that this is a matter".
205	1552	18/19	Change "in its multiplicity that a
			more yes or no enswer by witness Schmidt
			is to a speech rather than to an in-
			dividual question" to read "I hold that
			if the witness is to enswer with a more
			yos or no cassier, this won't do because
			the question in its multiplicity is
			more a speech than an individual
			quostions.
206	1552	25	First word "an should be "the".
207	1552	32	"and ask thether" should be "and asked
			smother".
208	1553	14/19	"casus solli" should be "casus boll's.
209	1553	30	"Aunchluss" should be "Anschluss".
210	1554	14	First word "what" should be "which".
			Change "thought wore" to "thought they
			were".
211	1554	6	Delete "was" before "unjust".
212	1554	8	Delete last two words "to that".
213	1556	22	"have ruled" should be "have been ruled".
214	1557	8	Place comma after "mations,".
215	1557	10	"That is perfectly" should be "It is
	6		perfectly.

999 (- 13-)

Item No.	Transcript	Idno(s)	FROPOSED CORRECTION
216	1557	14	"That would hardly" should be "It
*	4		would hardly".
217	1557	sft	Dolato last word "af.
218	1558	ih	Insert word "that" after "1933".
219	1559	2	"uri et orbi" should be "urbi et orbi".
550	1560	3	"liego" should be "contact".
\$21	1362	32	"that they were renegades" should be
##			Without they were renegades, ".
222	1563	3	"people for" should be "people if for",
223	1563	4	"multiplex" should be "multiplicatous".
224	1567	30	Add word "to" at end of line.
225	1567	32	Place comma after "Austrians,"
226	1568	31	Place quotation marks before last
			word "the".
227	1569	4	Place quotation marks after "Lobene-
			rauma.
228	2569	6	Place quotation marks before "the
			justification".
229	1569	8	Place quesation marks efter "loadership".
230	1570	5	Place quotation marks before "The
			solderly".
231	2570	6.	Place quotation marks after "Nesis".
232	1572	13	"delensive" should be "aggressive"
233	1572	24	Change "rather not believe his own
			government would believe" to read "would
			not buliovo his own government and
			would believe".
234	1573	2	Delete "outside forces for the use of".
235	1573	3	Insert "from outside forces," after
			"impressions".
236	1573	12/16	Dolete lines 12, 13, 14, 15, 16 and
	200		replace with the following:
		999(-	14 -)

,

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	FRONGED CORRECTION
237	1573	12/16	I believe I have added in my affidavit
	-	(cont'd)	also the expression "in over increasing
			degree" especially for this reason. In
			over increasing degree, he had to
			doubt and in ever decreasing degree
1		-1	he could not believe what was said to
	*		him, and this came automatically with-
			out may offort on his part, without
			his occupying himself with these things
			with any intention or loss of time.
238	1573	19	Belote first word "that".
239	2576	5	Delete last three words "judge that he".
240	1576	22	Place comma after "apart from that".
241	1577	3	"ista" should be "ista".
242	2577	8	"storring" should be "stooring".
243	1577	10	Delete "Q" at beginning of line
			(continuation of enswer).
244	1577	12	Place "Q" bofore "May I put" and
			bogin new paragraph.
245	1577	13	"observance" should be "observer",
246	1577	29	Doloto second word "not".
247	1578	13	"motostod" should be "created".
248	1586	7	"Francis" should be "Frank".
249	1586	214	"referes" should be "refers".
250	1587	8	"on the facts" should be "on the fact",
251	1587	24	"that time was" should be "that time
			that was". Flace comma after
			"majority".
252	1587	31	"well know," should be "well known,".
253	1586	14	"as contrary evidence. As is stated"
			should be "as centrary to such evidence
	-		ns is stated".
254	1538	16	Lost word "ask" should be "assumo".
		999(-1	5 -

.

Thom	-	- 4	- 1
No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
255	1589	5	Insert with a simple yes." after
		4	Panswer".
256	1589	7	"mas your favority rag" should be "mas
			your favorito paper".
257	1591	16	"and in the very last" should be "even
Q			in the very last*.
- 258	1591	30	Place quotation marks after "again".
259	1592	12	Second wordston should be "from".
260	1592	25	Change "the intention of talking" to
			road "that I have talked".
261	1593	14	"for non-expert" should be "for a
			non-expert*
262	1593	7	"of cortain arms" should be "of cortain
			aims".
263	1594	6	"the lationship of of those" should be
			"the relationship of these".
264	1594	15	"raiso" should be "riso",
265	1594	25/27	Roplaces lines 25 through 27 with the
	4	-	following: AYes. Q. I quoto: "although Doenitz had
			built up and trained the U-boat Mavy,
			the evidence does not show that he had
			knowledge of the conspiracy for waging
			of aggressive wars or that he propared
			and began such wars. He was a career
			officer who carried out purely military
			. tasks. We was not present in important
			discussions in which plans for aggressic
-		1	wars were made known, and there is no
			evidence that he knew about the decisions
			which were agreed upon." And so I
			would like to ask you now whether it is
			known to you that the ordinary German
		mark	The second secon

999 (-16-)

Itom No.	Transcript Page	A Charles Are Man	PROPOSED CORRECTION
265	1594	25/27(contid)	or any of these defendants, know
			more about the German aggressive
			war intentions, about Hitlor's
	7		aggressive war intentions, than
			Schneht and Doonits?
267	1594	30	"It qas" should be "It was".
268	1595	2	Delete "before you". Last two words
			"how won should be "why we".
269	1597	1	"has averted" should be "has been
			averted".
270	1597	5	"that they must know" should be
			"to lmotr".
271	1598	4	First word "forces" should be "force".
272	1603	2	"head of the" should be "head of a".
273	1603	10	"from the then" should be "from then"
274	1603	10	Inst date "1934" should be "1943".
275	1606	7	Change "Wiestly he who was not" to
			read" who mostly was not".
276	1607	19	Change first word "Ploigor" to
			"Claibor".
277	1600	12	"interpodiate" should be "inter-
			nodictes".

Item No.	Transcript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
278	1611	12	"Prosecution" should be "production".
279	1611	15	Delete "on" before last word "yesterday".
280	1612	8	Last word "attornies" should be "attorneys".
281	1612	27	"though" should be "thought".
. 282	1612	30	"contain" should be "contrary"
283	1613	17	"which we here" should be "which we are here,"
284	1613	32	Second word "possible" should be "possibly".
285	1614	4	Place comma after "fact" and before "if".
286	1614	16	"who was associated" should be "whom he
			was associated".
287	1615	2	Place period in place of comma after
			"book". Delete last two words "for ins-
			tance" as repetition.
288	1617	19	"to oberse" should be "to observe".
289	1618	4 - 5	"by cross examination" should be "by not
			oross exemining".
290	1619	10	"Document Book 56" should be "Document
			Book, page 56, ".
291	1619	12	Place connu after "go werment".
292	1619	21-23	"to anticipated" should be "to be antici-
			pated."
293	1620	15	"it war necessary" should be "it was
			necessary."
294	1620	33	"ceior to 1939" should be "prior to 1939".
295	1623	14-15	Delete as repetition "then this probative
			value for the prosecution,".
296	1623	28	"to either" should be "to any".
297	1624	3	"to either" should be "to any".
298	1624	31	"is only" should be "is the only".
299	1625	28	"to all affidavits." should be "to all those
			affidavits."
300	1627	7	"I believe to remember" should be "I
			believe I ratember".

999 (-18-)

Item No.	Transcript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED COMPRCTION
301	1628 -	1	*Dyerhenfurt" should be "Dierferrit GubH".
302	1628	5	"phosphor" should be "phosphorus".
303	1628	28	"both to time." should be "elso to time."
304	1630	16	Change "product to such as hydrochloria"
			to read "product such as hydrochloric".
305	1632	11	"sales combine" should be "sales department".
306	1633	16	Dalete "already" after "practically".
307	1634	21	"Haberlandt" should be "Borgwardt".
308	1634	22	"in detain" should be "in detail".
309	1635	2	"No. 9136" should be "WI-9136"
310	1635	14	"lot" should be "list"
311	1635	15	Place cown instead of period after "Dubuss",
312	1635	16	Change "and I don't know whether he was
			asked about that," to read "but I don't
			know, ".
313	1636	31.	Change entire line to "counter-intelligence
			office (Abmahrbeauftragter)".
314	1636	1	Delete line 1 as repetition.
315	1636	7	"after the war," should be "after the war
			broke out,".
316	1636	15, 17, 28	"netal company" should be "Metalgesellschaft" name (proper/of a firm and should not be
			translated).
317	1636	16	Change "who transferred this sales manager
			to use, to read "who appointed its sales
			unnager."
318	1637	8	"Mr. Mayer and Kistor." should be "Mr. Mayer-
	1,645		Kuester. 5
319	1639	3	"to order" should be "in order".
320	1639	14	"chemical" should be "chemicals".
321	1639	55	The quotation sarks before "as" should be
		12000	after "principle,".
		000 (**

999 (- 19 -)

Item No.	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
322	1639 .	25	Delete "the methods which".
323	1640	22	Delete "Q," at beginning of line
			(Continuation of answer).
324	1640	25	Ohange question mark to period at end
			of paragraph after "body".
325	1640	26	Line 26 should be changed to read as
			follows:
			*Q. Than, when immediate decisions were
			nacessary?
			A. yes, "
326	1642	14	"Nice-nitrogen" should be "Nice Nitrogen"
327	1644	2	"micenitrogen" should be "mice nitrogen".
526	1644	35	"It is cuite" should be "it was quite".
329	1645	4	"and Leverkusen" should be "at Leverkusen".
330	1645	11	Delete "the" before "resolutions".
			Last two words "if the" should be "of the".
331	1647	30	Add "Document NI-9126" at end of sentence.
332	1648	. 1	"Flemioptentiary for natters of counter-
		3	intelligence" should be "counter-intelligence
			officer".
333	1644	12-13	Place comma rafter "Chemicals". Delete
			perentheses around "the Sales Combine
			Twestuffs," placing come after "Dyestuffs",
334	1649	23	Change semicolon after "again" to comma.
335	1650	5	Delete comma after "meant".
336	1650	18	"the with that" should be "the wish that".
337	1652	7	"but that to was left " should be "but it
			was left".
338	1652	12	Change last word-"combine" to "department".
339	1652	13	"B upon " should be "it was done on".
340	1653	14	Place muotation marks after "world".

3 h

Item No.	Transcript pegs	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
341	1652	15	Delete quotation marks after menemy".
342	1652	17	"It should not be" should be "it should not
			read*
343	1652	28	Delete comma after "cases". "average"
			should be "individual".
344	1,656	9	Delete word "no" before "agreement".
345	1657	6	Delete the words "further cross".
346	1657	15	Change "Count II. He will be involved
			considerably and we" to read "Count II
			where he will be involved considerably.
			And we'.
347	1660	3	"MRS. MATER" should be "MISS MAYER".
348	1660	6	"The first book" should be "The next book".
349	1660	20	"real was was" should be "real war was".
350	1660	26	"certainly" should be "certainty".
351	1661	3	"lesses" should be "losses".
352	1662	14	"accompanied" should be "accomplished".
353	1668	23	"and Western" should be "of Western".
354	1664	11	Add "and" after last word in line "return".
355	1665	6	"Ivy Leas" should be "Ivy Lea".
356	1665	16	"XVIII" should be "XVII".
357	1565	26	Place comma after "gave".
358	1667	5	"921" should be "NI-10921".
359	1667	8	Change "Burnham Carter, Carter before "to
			read "Burnham Carter before".
360	1668	2	"Schmidt" should be "Schmitz".
361	1668	22	"significate" should be "significant".
362	1669	5	Lest word "come" should be "came".
363	1669	17	Flace quotation marks before last word "
			"Germany".
364	1669	22	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph
365	1669	23	"ture" should be "true".
		990	7(-21-)

1.

Itan	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
366	1570	6	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
367	1620	13	Place quotation marks of and of paragraph.
368	1670	17	Place comma after "recommendation".
369	1671	22-30	Place quotation marks at beginning and
-			and of this paragraph.
370	1673	6	"who is" should be "who was".
371	1673	7	"abroad who" should be "abroad and who".
372	1674	3	"of the meeting" should be "of a meeting".
373	1674	13	"of the meeting" should be "of a meeting".
374	1675	24	Dolete line 24 and everything that follows
			on this page.
375	1676	1-11	Delete all these lines to paragraph
			beginning "apart fron".
376	1676	12-16	Place quotation marks around this paragraph.
377	1676	17-20	Delete this entire paragraph beginning
			with "The News Agency".
378	1676	21	Place "In the last paragraph of the document,
			Gattineen snys: as a separate paragraph
			before paragraph beginning "the Press Attache"
379	1676	21-24	Place quotation narks around paragraph
			beginning "The Press Attache".
360	1676	25-26	Dolet POLITICAL ECONOMY DEPARTMENT
			(Signature): MUITMANN (1)" and place
			the following as a complete paragraph in
			its place: "The question of the contri-
			bution was referred to the Central Committee.
381	1679	10	Change comma after "The Tribunal has" to
			period.
382	1680	9	"fell" should be "feel", "colling attention
			to" should be "calling the attention of".
383	1680	21	"taken not" should be "taken note".
384	1681	7	"page number " should be "page numbers",
-		99	9 (- 22 -)

No.	Dage	Line(s)	PROPOSED CONFECTION
385	1681	18	"KISS" should be "KISS MAYER".
386	1685	2	Place period after "doubt". Begin new
			sentence with "Gentleman".
387	1686	26	First word "The" should be "This".
388	1687	20	Change seni-colon after "1945" to period.
389	1687	29	"Turing" should be "Turning".
390	1687	30	Change comma after "page 4" to period.
391	1687	22-33	Change "English book, 44," to read
			"English Book 44,".
392	1688	1	"W mbassy" should be "Embassy".
393	1686	2	"Goreign" should be "Foreign".
394	1689	50	"The min to" should be "The memorandum".
395	1691	10	"place" should be "placed".
396	1691	16	"anit-US" should be "anti-US".
397	1692	8	"poses" should be "pesos".
398	1692	21	Last word "was " should be "were".
399	1693	13	"editors" should be "editor".
400	1693	23	"June 1928 and 1929" should be "June 28 and
		6	29, 1940",
401	1693	27	"all defense" should be "all endeavors".
402	1693	28	"represents should be "rapprochanent".
403	1694	9-10	Change "Exhibit 280 of the German," to read
			"Exhibit 820, Document NI-1327, which
			appears on page 143 of the English and on
			page 207 of the German."
404	1694	10	"This is a meeting" should be "This is "
			the nimutes",
405	1694	16	"NI-977" should be "NI-9777".
406	1694	17	"Hansin" should be "Hausen".
407	1694	19	Last word "Housen" should be "Bayer".
408	1695	10	Place "(for Dr. Kugler)" after "DR.HENZE"
409	1698	4	Delete the word "always".

Item No.	Transcript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED COFFECTION
410	1698	8	"Affidants" should be "affiants",
411	1699	11 .	"available to you in" should be "available
			to you in the".
412	1699	12	Place period after first word "transcript",
413	1703	1	"Frank-Falla, Deik-Fischer" should be
			Frank-Fahle, Deichfischer,".
414	1703	19	"efter that film" should be "after the
			film",
415	1706	14	"self of else" should be "self or else".
416	1709	16	Insert in quotation marks the phrase
			"preparation for war" after "numeral II:"
			Delsta quotation marks before "I understand".
417	1709	20	Delete quotation marks after "complications"
			and also before "This".
418	1709	23	Delete quotation marks at end of paragraph.
419	1711	5	"ashort while" should be "a short while ago",
420	1771	6	Change "before you made" to "than".
421	1712	18	"on one of the Department in" should be
			"of one of the Departments in".
422	1712	21	Place semi-colon after "oils".
423	1712	22	Delete semi-colon after "1934".
434	1714	24	"carried for Farben" should be "carried on
			for Farben ".
425	1716	15	"official requested, but they" should be
	-6		"officially requested, but you".
426	1716	18	"quaranty" should be "guarantee".
427	1717 -	17-18	Change "in the statement, that is to say,"
			to read, "in your statement in which you say,".
428	1717	18	Place quotation marks before "the artificial
			fiber industry."
429	1717	20	Place quotation marks after "synthetic
		15	rubber".
430	1717	21	Place quotation marks before "from the
			statements".
		0	L vs _/000

999(- 34 -)

Item No.	Transcript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
431	1717	23	"armament industry" should be "iron	
170	1717	24	producing industry". quotation Place/marks after "was read."	
432	0.0	24		
433	1718	13	Add "What else?" after this sentence.	
434	1718	17	Add the following after line 17, to complete	
			paga:	
			"Q. How were caustic sods production and	
			the sale of caustic soda products regulated	
			in Germany? Do you know?	
			A. I baliave by a syndicate.	
			Q. By a constic sode syndicate?	
			A. Yes.	
			Q. Do you know I.G.'s share in this?	
			A. No.	
			Q. It was in fact 23 %. And what else	
			belongs to the production of artificial	
			fiberst	
			A. In my opinion, these were the important	
			items. "	
435	1720	22	"that any spot" should be "that in any	
	2120	200	spot."	
436	1720	27	"in the tanks" should be "for the tanks".	
100	200			
437	1721	12	"as the tanks" should be "as for the tanks"	
438	1721	26	"of the Ferben" should be "of Ferben".	
439	1733	1	"licenses" should be "licensees".	
440	1722	6	Change comma after "gasoline" to period.	
1		3	Bogin new sentence with " one".	
441	1722	16	"Zistersdorf" should be "Zisterndarf".	
442	1723	3	Change plants, Doeberitz, it is to read	
	1		"plants. There was Doeberitz. It is".	
443	1723	4	Change first word "probable" to "possit	
444	1723	15	Change "for knowing about it" to read	
			"in order to know about it,"	
		qq	01 - 25 -1	

999 (- 25 -)

Item No.	Transcript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
445	1723	27	Change "Exhibits No. 1 translated" to read	
			"Exhibit No. 1 be translated".	
445	1724	3	Change "when this affidavit was brought up"	
			to "for this affidavit brought up";	
447	1724	6-5	Change "I know the procedure later on but"	
			to read "I know the later procedure but".	
448	1724	16	Delete entire line as repetition,	
449	1727	5	Place comma after "Reich Agency".	
450	1727	7	Delete comman around "additionally".	
451	1727	29	Change first word "them," to "the time,",	
452	1728	32	Change "Farben who were in a position to use	
			Dr. ter Mearle nganfy seemed to" to rend	
			"Farban used this position of Dr. ter Neer	
			seeningly".	
453	1729	1	Delate first three words "use their position"	
454	1730	6	"Exhibit 544" should be "Exhibit 504".	
455	1730	11	"arrived" should be "obtained".	
456	1731	20	"could not be " should be "could only be".	
457	1731	23-24	Change "placed this foreign currency at	
			the disposal of the I.G.7" to read "granted	
			this foreign currency license to the I.G.?"	
458	1733	3	"has explained it intended" should be	
			"had explained its intended".	
459	1732	4	Change semi-colon after "submitted" to comma	
460	1732	27	"at the time" should be "that at the time".	
461	1733	1-4	Delete from "the reason" in line 1 through	
			line 4 and replace with the following:	
			"the real notivation for such a general dire	
	~		ive erose when the majority of the contral	
			offices for regulating imports, were set	
			in September 1934; the notification and	
			inclusion of these offices followed but	
		00.01	essentially later."	

99 (- 25 -)

No.	Transcript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
462	1737	26	Delete "after".	
463	1739	12	Place quotation marks and period after	
			"Dr. Ungenistter said", Deleta mingle	
			quota before "Can I".	
464	1739	13	Place quotation marks around "the possibly	
			imminent war".	
465	1739	14	Delete single and double quote at end of	
			perceraph.	
466	1739	16	"with the assumption" should be "with	
			assumption".	
467	1740	12	"either questions" should be "other question	
468	1741	1	"After" should be "Before".	
469	1742	21-22	Change "to the date which is known to me.".	
		71.	to read "to a date which is not known to me	
470	1743	9	"session should be "discussion".	
471	1743	11-12	Change from "Immediately after" in line 11	
			through line 12 to read as follows:	
			*Q. In September 1939, that means after	
			the outbreak of the war?	
			A. I think it was either August or Sept-	
			enber 1939."	
472	1743	14	"before 1939" should be "before September	
			1939*.	
473	1744	16	Change last word "and" to "which".	
474	1745	18-19	Change "with Dr. Struss, Dr. Berndt and	
			defense counsel Ter Heer is beginning	
			to work him either" to read "with Dr.	
			Struse, Dr. Berndt, defense counsel for	
			the defendant ter Wear, will work with	
			him either".	
475	1747	14	Insert "its wishes" after "indicated".	
		999	(-27-)	

Itam No.	Prenscript Dage	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
476	1751	13	Lest word "subjectively" should be "subjective	
477	1751	16	"is the interest" should be "is in the	
			interest".	
478	1752	22	First word "is" should be "us".	
479	1752	29	First word "concerning" should be "concerns",	
480	1753	1	Change "documents etc. It would" to read	
	18		"documents, etc., it would".	
481	1753	2	Change comms to period after "recess".	
			Begin new sentence with "At this point,".	
482	1753	16	"that is more" should be "that are more".	
483	1753	23	Last word "no" should be "now".	
484	1753	31	"German III" should be "German page 111".	
485	1755	30	Change "economical, political department"	
			to read "Political Economy Department	
			("Irtschaftspolitische Abteilung)".	
486	1756	1	Change "Peoples Political Department" to	
			rend "Economic Research Department	
			(Volkswirtschaftliche Abteilung)".	
487	1756	26	Change "economical politic," to "political	
			aconomy,".	
488	1765	24	Delete first two words "which I".	
489	1771	3,13,31	"Wipe" should be "WIPO".	
490	1771	10	"Do you want to" should be "Do you want me	
			to".	
491	1771	26	"had idea" should be "had no idea".	
492	1771	28	"the no one informed" should be "that	
			no one in informed ".	
493	1771	30	"at 1938" should be "as 1938".	
494	1773	4	"these existed" should be "there existed".	
495	1774	18-19	Insert the following two lines between	
			lines 18 and 19:	
		990	q (- 28 -)	

Item No.	Transcript page	Line(a)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
			"A. Yes.	
			Q. Did Dr. Sievers also belong to this	
			group?#	
496	1782	4	"affidavit" should be "affidavits".	
497	1786	10	Delete second word "a".	
498	1787	11	Change entire paragraph to read as follows:	
			"So that in the activity which you have	
			now been carrying through, essentially a	
	-		listing activity, that is, the figures that	
			you found for I.G. Farben or for combined	
			concerns you have listed according to	
			the specifications of the Prosecution?"	
499	1787	12	Place period after "correct." Begin new	
			sentence with "From the work".	
500	1788	5-6	Insert "A. Yes." Between lines 5 and 6.	
501	1790	2	"Reichs" should be "Reich".	
503	1790	6	Plece seni-colon after "depreciation" at end	
			of line.	
503	1790	8	Insert "they" after "agencies" and before	
		7	"ward.	
504	1790	10	Delate "these".	
505	1790	20-21	Insert "A. Tes." between lines 20 and 21	
			Place "Q " bafore line 21.	
506	1791	6	"the second one" should be "the first one".	
507	1792	23	"NI-1006" should be "NI-10005".	
598	1794	5	"guorun" should be " quorun"	
509	1794	11	First word "nay" should be "say".	
510	1795	24	"firm's" should be "firms". "Lonalin"	
			should be "Lonel".	
511	1795	28	Add "produced" after "actually" at end of	
			line.	
512	1796	10	"There is" should be "There it". Change	
		qo	period to colon after "sentence".	

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
513	1799	11	"Godoff" should be "in Godoff".
514	1807	21	"even they" should be "even though they".
515	1809	7-8	Insert "A. No. " between liges 7 and 8.
			Change "A." before line 8 to "Q".
516	1810	20	"Let me brief" should be "Let me be brief".
517	1814	8-9	"that patent" should be "these patents."
518	1818	7	"NI-8990" should be "NI-8980".
519	1820	14	"NI-8970" should be "NI-8979".
520	1822	3	"when" should be "whon".
521	1623	24	"quit correct" should be "quita correct".
522	1823	30	"associated" should be "associates".
523	1824	14	Change come to period after "Nann"
			Begin new sentence with "At page 8 ".
524	1824	15	Change period to comma after "text".
			Continue same sentence with "it is noted".
525	1824	26	"Case Beyer" should be "Case Beyer".
526	1824	30	"as a next series" should be "as the next
			serios*.
527	1825	7	"NWF" should be "NJ-7".
528	1628	30	"they themselves" should be "thenes",
529	1828	31	"appears" should be "appear".
530	1829	3	Delete guotation marks after "example:"
531	1829	4	Place "1)" between quotation narks and
			first word "To" at beginning of line.
532	1829	7	Delete quotation marks at and of line.
533	1829	15	"88" should be "87".
534	1830	1	Delete first two words"in the" as repetition
535	1834	14	"work" should be "word".
536	1836	13	Delete "Krueper" after "VOMI".
537	1836	14	Place quotation marks around "he".
			Delete quotation marks before "referring
538	1836	19 999	"purpose" should be "purposes".
		1.1-1	1 - 2 - 1

Item No.	Transcript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORFECTION
539	1840	18	Place muotation marks at beginning of line.
540	1840	17	Place quotation narks at beginning of line.
541	1840	23	Place quotation marks at and of paragraph.
542	1841	26	"36" should be "46".

	Transcri page	ipt	PROPOSED CORRECTION
543	1845	. 4	"that he exercise" should be "that they exercise".
544	1845	19	Change comma to period after "three groups".
545	1845	20	"personal date" should be "personal data".
546	1845	24	Change "comprising the I.G. production, new plant," to read "concerning I.G. production, new plants,".
547	1853	3	"was asked" should be "were asked",
548	1855	22	"on the head" should be "of the head".
549	1865	28	Change "the autobahn; I" to read "the autobahn, the volkswagen plans; I"
550	1865	29	"which in propaganda" should be "which propaganda".
551	1866	20	"was only built" should be "wore only built".
552	1866	14	"plant" should be "plants".
653	1868	12	"were expected" should be "was expected".
554	1868	26	"exatted" should be "exalted".
555	1869	3	"Dr. Krengler" should be "Dr. Krekeler".
556	1870	30	"391" should be "Exhibit 391".
557	1870	31	"NQ-9487" should be "NI-9487".
558	1871	25	"technical enterprises" should be "military enterprises".
559	1875	26	"Specifically; loft" should be "Specifically, we left".
560	1876	27-28	Change "of Sparte I, representative" to read "of Sparte I, Dr. Ritter and later Dr. Diekmann, and the representative".
561	1877	26-27	Insert the following between lines 26 and 27: "Q. I have still another question. Concerning the construction of this plant, do you know when it was built?"
562	1878	2	Insert "to a Toluck plant" after "war" and before "upon".
563	1878	3	"who competent" should be "who was competent".
564	1880	22	"1033 and 1034" should be "10033 and 10034".
565	1880	24	"for the Austria" should be "for Aust
		999	(- 32 -)

Ÿ

999 (- 32 -)

Item	Transcr	int	
No	_ page_	*	PROPOSED CORRECTION
565	1882		Change "In what sense?" to read "A moral judgment in a bad sense?"
567	1882	12	Last word "plan?" should be "plant?"
568	1882	14	"Farben should be" should be
569	1885	26	"direction" should be "direct" Change last word "also" to "beyond".
570	1887	2	"ceutical" should be "pharmacoutical",
571	1887	4	"of his own" should be "of its own".
572	1888	23	"because" should be "when".
573	1888	26	Change "books; and why in" to read "books and also in".
574	1890	13	"task which the" should be "task of
575	1890	23- 24	Delete both lines.
576	1890	25	Change "Well; in other words", to read "So, no,".
577	1891	4	"historical" should be "commercial".
578	1895	2	Delete. Not in the German.
579	1895	3	Delete "Q." at beginning of line. This is a continuation of question begun in line 1.
560	1896	10	"of the head" should be "or the head".
581	1896	20	"production matters" should be "production and mimilar matters".
582	1896	23	"these matters" should be "this field".
503	1896	25- 26	"these things" should be "this field".
584	1896	28	"these matters" should be "this field".
585	1897	14- 15	Delete "as well as the technicians" as repetition.
586	1900	1 and 3	"manaenvre" should be "maneuver".
587	1900	12	"NI-8310" should be "NI-8319".
588	1900	26, 29, 32	"gypsium" should be "gypsum".
589	1900	33	Place dach after "installation" and before "I".
590	1901	12	First word "plants" should be "plant".
591	1901	15	Last two words "as it" should be "than it".
592	1901	26	"private from a economic" should be "from a private economy".
		900	(- 33 -)

999 (- 33 -)

	Item	Transcript	1 2		
	No		ldne(s)		PROPOSED CORRECTION
	593	1903	5		Change "released in the year of 1925" to read "ret ired in the year of 1945?" Insert after this answer "A. Yes." and change rost of line to new question "Q. Is it true?"
4	594	1903	6		Insert "alternately" after "represented".
	595	1903	22		"virid" should be "vivid".
	596	1903	25		"Hamburg" should be "Ambros".
	597	1903	30		Delete "Dr." before "Would".
	599	1903	2		Last two words "a member" should be "members".
	599	1904	19		"1938" should be "1933".
	600	1905	13		"Aluminum Werke GMEH" should be "Aluminiumwerk GmbH".
	601	1905	14		"Froperty Community" should be "Works Combine".
	602	1905	16		"Aluminum GMBH" should be "Aluminiumwork GmbH".
	603	1906	15		"Aluminum plant GMBH" should be "Alumindumwerk GmbH".
	604	1906	20		Place ocome after "cortainly".
	605	1916	6		"about things" should be about times things".
	606	1918	30		Stange countlin mark to period at one or than answer.
	607	1919	13		Dolote last word "the".
.11	608	1920	14		Genderf to read: Genderf an "inorganic plant".
	609	1920	15		"Anorgona" should be "Anorgona".
	610	1920	16		"Hydernfurth" should be "Dyhernfurt".
	611	1921	2		"occasion" should be "concession".
	612	1923	7		"of the OKH" should be "by the OKH".
	613	1924	6		"ca" should be "circa".
	614	1925	27		Delete "not" after "even".
	615	1927	9		"fater" should be "after".
	616	1927	10-11		"metals plants?" should be "Metalsgesellschaft?"
			999 (-	34 -	.)

999 (- 34 -)

Item	Transcri	ot	
No	Page _	Idne(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
617	1927	12	"metal works" should be "Metalsgesellschaft".
618	1928	5	Insert "48" between "47" and "98". Change "103" to "102". Change
619	1928	6	"104" to "106". Change "641" to "541"
520	1929	19	bwould remain you" should be
040	-/-/		"would remind you".
621	1930	10	"Mr. Prosecution" should be
522	1930	19	Insert "whether" after "yourselves",
623	1930	30	"at that the earliest" should be "that at the earliest".
624	1930	31	Change period to comma after "morning".
525	1934	14.	"upon the" should be "upon by the".
626	1934	23	"I" should be "myself".
627	1935	4	"Parbon committee" should be "Dyestuffs Committee".
628	1935	13	Change "I have correct" to road "I would make the correction, among other things,"
629	1936	21	Last word "background" should be "foreground".
830	1937	5	Insert "began" after second
631	1938	14 & 16 & 22	"Sales Committee" should be "Commercial Committee".
632	1940	13	"materials" should be "material".
633	1942	27	Last word "affidavit" should be "affidavite".
634	1946	17	Change "Including New Order (New Ording)" to read "Including New Order (News Ordning) Questions." with quotation marks after "Questions.
635	1949	4	Delete quotation marks before
636	1949	9	Delete quotation marks after "economy".
687	, 1949	13	Place quotation marks after first word "agencies".
		2001	1

999 (- 35 -)

Item	Transcript		- Commercial and the second
No	Page	<u>line(s)_</u>	_ PROPOSED_CORRECTION
638	1949	15	Change "which I have learned that after the collapse" to read "about which I learned after the collapse".
639	1949	28	Insert "since" between "export" and "after".
640	1950	2	First word "they" should be "who".
641	1951	18	First word "records" should be "words",
642	1952	15	Change: a contribution" "Extended to read:
			a contribution" - the German expression "Beitrag" - "extended
643	1952	18	First word "property" should be "properly".
644	1953	25	Last two words "is was" should be "it was".
645	1956	8	"desires" should be "desire".
646	1961	10	"ay have" should be "as has".
647	1963	4	"hyenalogd should be "analogy".
648	1964	23	D.J." Santi bi "I.G."
549	1966	2	Place comma after "commissions".
650	1967	9	Tir, worth chould be "Mr. Waibe."
651	1969	9	"frustwest should be "fraction".
652	1969	28 -29	What you I.G. much" should be the Lose the I.G. was such ".
653	1969	30	"" was natural" should be
654	1970	4	"was administration" should be "war administration".
655	1970	27	Place "QV at the beginning of line.
656	1970	28 -29	Place "A. yes" between lines 28 and 29.
657	1971	3	Place comma after first word
658	1974	4	Last two words "the latter" should be "the affair".
659	1974	7	"had been waived" should be "has been waived".
660	1975	8	"know at" should be "know that
		999 (- 35 -)	
		- A	

×2

e);

-154

1,73

Item	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
661	1975	15	"Gattineay" should be
662	7344	3	Hean lay" should be Weannot lay".
663	1977	a	last word "was" should be "were".
864	1978	5	"I.G. to abroad" should be "I.G. abroad"
665	1978	9	"to do so such time" should be
666	1981	31	Delete "it" before last word
667	1983	9	Insert "and the NSDAP" after "Hitler".
668	1983	10	Delete all but first word of line 10.
669	1983	n	"as the the word" should be
670	1985	6	Place period after "taken place". Begin new sentence with "It was".
671	1985	7	Add "was it?" after "committee".
672	1986	31	"Exhibit 59" should be "Exhibit 759".
673	1987	14	"experiments" should be "experience".
674	1987	28	Place period after "question".
675	1990	12	"That is what" should be "That is why".
676	1991	15	"counsel, that" should be
677	1992	14	Change "he may answer." to read "and to that he may answer."
678	1996.	11	"our affidavit" should be
679	1996	21	Place quotation marks after "in varied cases".
680	1996	31	Change comma after Brinckmannt to period.
681	1997	17	Place period after "saw them." Begin new sentence with "They were."
		- door	1

999(-37-)

	Item	Transcript	19 22	The state of the s
	_No	Page	Idne(s)	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
	682	1997	23	"movatorium" should be
	683	1997	26	Hwere often" should be "was
	684	1997	29	"these 'movatoriums'" should be "this 'movatorium'".
=	685	1998	24	"as attempt" should be "an attempt".
	686	1998	29	Add "A. yes," at end of page as line 30.
	687	1999	12	First word "chart" should be "nerve".
	688	1999	22	Change "They refer to how they were passed to the Reichsbank." to read "It refers to the deliveries to the Reichsbank."
	689	5000	19	Place "Q." at beginning of paragraph.
	690	2000	25-26	Place "A. yes," between lines 25 and 26.
	691	2001	1	"at before 1933" should be "that before 1933".
	692	2002	3	the relation" should be "was
	693	2002	9	Change period after "No. 2" to comms and continue sentence with "it's beyond".
	694	2003	25	"appreciate." should be "appreciated."
	595	2007	13	"witness" should be "witnesses".
	696	2007	18	"in raised" should be "is raised".
	697	2007	27	First word "on" should be "or".
	698	2008	9	"witness," should be "witnesses,".
	699	2015	7	"drafter" should be "drafted".
	700	2015	24	Delete comma after "trade" at end.
	701	2015	33	"I think now," should be "I think not,".
	702	2016	4	Change "to be carried on to the commercial committee." to read "through the Commercial Committee."
	703	2018	2	Place question mark after "lawyers".
	704	2018	22	Change "B esides we had to" to read "But the duties we had".
			000 (70

999 (- 38 -)

	**	Mary date		1
	Item No	Transcript	<u>line(s)</u> _	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
	705	2018	23	"business of a more current" should be "were of a more current";
	706	2018	25	Change "the specific letter!" to "pending matters."
	707	201.8	27	"Krieger" should be "Krueger".
	708	2019	10	"received" should be "receive".
G	709	2021	1	"Ampstrat" should be "Aufsichte-
	710	2022	18	Change entire line to read "leaders and to help Mr. Waibal. This man was to talk to the Foreign Organization and say: There, you".
	711	2027	12	Change "has been a personal, opinion of everybody" to read "was pondered by everybody".
	712	2027	.16	Change "he entangled in a war;" to read "he entangled in a war." changing semi-colon after "war" to period.
	713	2027	17	Begin new sentence with "That he would" in the beginning of the line. Change period to have after "world," continuing sectance with "I believe".
	714	2029	7	Change "but in case, as you say, "I must have been the case because you have it" to read "but in this case, it must have been the case because you say you have it",
	715	2029	19	Delete as repetition "That is, that part of Czechoslovakia?"
	716	2031	16	"fules" should be "rules".
	717	2032	27	Change "In case - I will translate it in English. I would say." to read "In case I were to translate it into English, I would say,".
	718	2033	2-3	Use quotation marks in these two lines as follows: "For later employment", yes, "for later" — I am sorry. "To be employed later" or "for later employment."
	719	2033	12	Place comma after "Powers." (third word) and after "Powers," (seventh word).
	720	2033	30	Insert "we knew" before "that he might",
			990(- 39 -)	

-

Z ___

.

999(- 39 -)

Item No.	Transcri	pt Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
721	2033	31	"by regaining" should be "in regaining".
722	2034	2	Delete comma after "careful" and change following word "but" to "than".
723	2034	5	"This resulted" should be "It resulted".
724	2034	8	"Dr. Fanter" should be "Dr.Fanta"
725	2034	9	"in Fact Dr. Fanter function" should be "in fact Dr. Fanta functioned".
726	2034	12	"complea" should be "complex".
727	2035	16	Delete comma after "desires".
728	2036	21	"the only was" should be "the only way".
729	2037	3	Change "meantime also through" to read "meantime I had also gone through".
730	2037	22	"from you the three" should be "from you about the three".
731	2040	8	"section" should be "action".
732	2041	31	Last three words on page "in that he" should be "and that he".
733	2042	5-6	Change "wanted to have all number at I.G. plants" to h representative of all I.G. plants"
734	2042	7	First word "attend" should be "attended",
735	2043	.5	Insert "danger" after first word "great".
736	2043	7	"hos worry" should be "his worry".
737	2043	9- 10	Delete as repetition "that he actually expressed".
738	2043	20	Add "with the Foreign Organization?" after "history", deleting question mark after "history".
739	2044	2	Insert "Waibel" after "Kommerzien-
740	2044	12	"staffs to work;" should be "staffs work;"
741	2046	7	"I consider" should be "I considered".
742	2053	12	Insert "give;" after "read,". Change semi-colon at end of line to comma.

999 (- 40 -)

Item No.	Transcript Page	Idng(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
743	20 54	29-	"justiciable" should be "justifiable".
744	20.54	30	"that are before us! should be "that is before us."
745	2055	1	"with the defendant" should be "with a defendant",
, 746	2059	15	"are I.G. Works;" should be "are pure I.G. Works;"
747	2060	3	Change "can only put down part" to read "carnot put down only part",
748	2060	23	"civilian" should be "caustification".
749	2062	3	"it concerned" should be "is concerned".
750	2062	6	"after you list" should be "under your list".
751	2062	8	"caustic sods" should be "caustic sods".
762	2064	22	Change come to period after "question" Begin new sentence with "Altogether" and delete semi colon after it.
763	2064	25	"of your is which" should be "of yours in which".
754	2064	29	"that the figures" should be "that in the figures".
755	2065	2	"and after that page 6" should be "and on page 6".
756	2065	17	Pirst word "on" should be "one".
757	2065	28	"at any request" should be "at my request".
758	2066	1	"you opinion" should be "your opinion".
759	2069	25	"to the Party units;" should be "of the Party units."
760	2072	9	Delete last word in line "not".
761	2074	18	"NI-9957" should be "NI-7957".
762	2075	3	Change "in the meantime, one could" to "but one could".
763	2075	5-7	Change lines 5, 6, and 7 to read as follows: "This represents only the formal situation. We will occupy ourselves with the material problem later, and now only clarify the formal state of affairs. Therefore, I should".

ids il

999 (- 41 -)

Item No	Transcript Fage		PROPOSED CORRECTION
764	2077	3	Place quotation marks after
765	2079	13	"NI-0200" should be "NI-9200".
766	2082	22- 23	"Book VII" should be "Book XLVII";
767	2082	23	Insert "Document NI-8149" after "850," (placing comma after "850").
768	2084	6	"to the Platzer" should be "to Mr. Platzer".
769	2085	10	Change "of the department head of Berlin" to read "of the meeting of the department heads of Berlin".
770	2087	10	Place quotation marks at the end of line after "Committee."
771	2087	12	"NI-7543" should be "NI-7343".
772	2090	12	Place quotation marks and dash after "Bulletin No." Place dash after "forth," at the end of line.
773	2090	13	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
774	2091	8	Delete last word "in".
775	2091	17-18	"and a Markty should be "and Dipl.Ing. Markt,".
776	2093	9-10	Delete "dated 30 May, 1939,".
777	2093	21-28	Place quotation marks before "Discussion" in line 21, and also at end of paragraph.
778	2097	17	"in the English document" should be "in the English document book".
779	2100	7	"NI-7801" should be "NI-7981".
780	2109	20	"XLVI; XLVII, and XLLV" should be

Item	Transcript Page	_idne(s)_	PHOPOSED CORRECTION
764	2077	3	Place quotation marks after
765	2079	13	"NI-0200" should be "NI-9200".
766	2082	22- 23	"Book VII" should be "Book XLVII".
767	2082	23	Insert "Document NI-8149" after "850").
768	2084	6	"to the Platzer" should be "to Mr. Platzer".
769	2085	10	Change "of the department head of Berlin" to read "of the moeting of the department heads of Berlin".
770	2087	10	Place quotation marks at the end of line after "Committee."
771	2087	12	"NI-7543" should be "NI-7343".
772	2090	12	Place quotation marks and dash after "Bulletin No." Place dash after "forth," at the end of line.
773	2090	13	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
774	2091	В	Delete last word "in".
775	2091	17-18	"and a Markty should be "and Dipl.Ing. Markt,".
776	2093	9-10	Delete "dated 30 May, 1939,".
777	2093	21-28	Place quotation marks before "Discussion" in line 21, and also at end of paragraph.
778	2097	17	"in the English document" should be "in the English document book".
779	2100	7	"NI-7801" should be "NI-7981".
780	2109	20	"XLVI; XLVII, and XLIV" should be

Itom	Transcript Fage	Line	PROPOSED CORRECTION
10·L			
781	2110	27-28	Insort the following in quotes between lines 27 and 284
			"Doar Lir. Roithinger:
			Schmitz a desire to be kept informed on tech- nical developments in the United States. From time to time you will receive reports prepared by Mr. E. H. Ludwig on this subject, one of which is enclosed."
782	2111	3	"Judges " should be "Judge's"
783	2111	22-	"878" should be "879".
784	2111	29	"Phenylarseuic" should be "Phenylarsenic"
785	2111	30	"of insecticide" should be "as insecticide"
786	2111	31	"since produce" should be "send the product"
787	2112	21	" U.G" should be "U.S."
788	2113	13	"at time here" should be "at times here"
789	2113	18	First word "out" should be "our"
790	211/1	23	"important to the showing" should be "import- ant, the showing"
791	5117	10	Pirst word "questioned" should be "questions"
792	211/4	26	Change "utilize secret sources, as well as public sources," to read "utilized public sources, as well as secret sources,"
793	2115	5	"Tischer" should be "Fischer"
794	2115	18	"Saloon" should be "salon"
795	2115	30	"I had made" should be "I ha ve made"
796	2118	2	"importance development" should be "importance to the development"
797	212 0	12 -13	Delete as repetition from "And transmittal in line 12 through "chancellory," in line 13.
798	2124	23	"Bosch states" should be "Schnitzler states"
不自	2129	25	"introducate" should be "intricate"
800	2133	5	"117" should be "170"
501	2135	22	"hitherto reports" should be "hitherto re- ported"
902	2135	31	"0558" should be "NI-10558"
803	2139	25-26	End paragraph with "IA?" In line 25. Delete remainder of line 25 and first two words in line 26. Insert the following:

Item	Transcript Page	Line	PROPOS	ED CORRECTION
803	2139	25-26	A	Yes,
		(Continued	2	. So that I can say that you intend the expression "highest level committee" to mean
			(Conti	nue with "that you were concerned" in 6.
804	2139	27	period	semi-colon after "directorate" to . Change last word in line "the" to he", beginning new sentence.
805	21/1	1-2		period to comma et end of line l. us sentence with "scientists" in line
906	21/12	21-22	21 and	the following line between lines 22. "Ibr. Sprecher: I have no fur- uestions, your Honor."
807	2146	28	"Vorbi	ndungs msemmer" should be "Verbindungs-
908	2147	18		dash and quotation marks before the ord "and"
809	2348	22	South	orn" should be "Southeastern"
810	2 149	5	Delate	entire line as repetition.
811	2152	20-21	Insert	the following between lines 20 and 21s
	1,		"consi rich H betwee	sts of excerpts from a report by Hein- oman containing information on relations n the United States and Argentina con- g measures taken to combat the Axis
			908, a on pag German here.	he Prosecution offers as its Exhibit document marked NI-955h, which appears a 33 of the English and page 50 of the text, and" (Line 21 continues from Errata sheet has already been issued is correction)
812	2152	26-27	Insort	the following between lines 26 and 27:
			by Hei	ont consists of excerpts from a report wrich Homan and contains information ing the types of Argentine exports to ited States.
			a docu pago 3 German (Lino	he Presecution offers as its Exhibit 910, ment marked NI-9559, which appears on 7 of the English and page 56 of the text. This 27 continues from here. Errata sheet ready been issued for this correction
513	2154	16	*1942 :	the diplomatic relations" should be after diplomatic relations".
		99	9(-44-	

Ites No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED COFFECTION
814	2154	18	Delete "and" efter "Mexico":
			Place quotation marks before last word
			"Verbindungs".
815	2154	30	Change "in Argentine according to the report
			consisted to read "In Argentina, According
			to the report it consisted.
816	2155	12	Change "an itse marked" to read "where it is
			marked*.
817	2155	29	Change "subersion of pre-Allied contried"
			to read "subversion of pro-Allied countries".
818	2156	28	"to lead itself" should be "to lend itself".
819	2156	20.	Change "that is the case in these documents"
			to read "that is, in the case of these
820	2157	29	Change "Il mer's For East Report" to read
			"Ilgner further states that Block visited
			him in 1936 efter reading Ilgner's Far East
			Report".
821	2169	38	"VOWI" chould be "WIPO".
022	2162	16	"To the document NI-914" should be "to
			Exhibit 914".
823	2164	2	Place muotation marks after "done".
			Delete muotation marks before "I mm".
624	-2164	3	Place motation marks before "through"
825	2164	10	Change come to period after "now".
826	2164	32	"appros hedus" should be "amproached us."
627	2166	14	Place muotation marks before "when" at
00.50			beginning of line.
828	2166	31	Change "not to transmit" to read "do not
			transmit*.
829	2167	21	First word "on" should be "of".
630	2170	24	Place quotation marks at beginning of
			peragraph.

999 (-45-)

It Sh	Transcript Dage	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORREDTION
831	2170	30	Place euotation marks at beginning of
			paragraph
832	2172	15	"known at" should be "known as";
			Delete comma after "Gesellschaft".
833	2172	31	"86" should be "686".
834	2174	8	Place omotation marks before "I should".
835	2175	20	"Dye Committee" should be "Dyestuffs
			Consittae.*
836	2175	30	"Herrn Kuensler and Herrn Putkemer" should
			be "Herr Euenzier and Herr von Putkoner".
837	2180	2	"in pore business", should be "as nere
			business".
838	2193	3	"Count w" should be "Count 2".
839	2195	2	"and I" should be "and myself".
840	2195	20	"Book 38" should be "Book 40".
841	2197	26	Place nuctation parks after "find out".
642	2198	24	Insert "is" after "concerned".
843	2198	28	Insert "were" after "Abwehrheauftragter".
844	2199	2	Place comma at and of line.
845	2199	12	"That are" should be "that these are".
846	2199	28	"I shall with" should be "I shall begin with",
847	2199	31.	"you were of" should be "you were one of".
548	2200	4	"deah" should be "head".
649	2200	5	"therefore can" should be "therefore I can".
850	2201	17	"individual within" should be "individual
			department within".
851	2205	7	"Belegungs planere" should be "Belegungs plac-
			ne*.
652	2206	4	"Banac-meguin" should be "Banag".
			next word "There" should be "Then.".
853	2206	9	"Bannest" should be "Banag".
854	2210	13	Change "we saw proof to be shown to" to read
	2.93	V	"we saw to it that proof was shown to".
	-5-		199 (-46-)

999(-46-)

Item No.	Transcrie	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
855	2214	26	Insert "and" after the first word
	-	100	affidavit*
856	2215	14	"we would like" should be "but we would like".
857	2217	16	"1925 or 1925" should be "1935 or 1936".
888	2217	22	"at the authorities", should be "under the
			authorities. ".
859	2217	23	Ohange this entire line to read "in the
			years after Hitler, that is, after 1933,
			I really cannot tell you anything".
860	2217	25	"of 1936" should be "around 1936".
661	2217	30	Delete "between" before "Dr. Euchne".
863	2217	33	Delete "do you know that".
863	2221	28	"What unpleasant did occur?" should be
			"What umplement matters fild cocur?"
664	2222	2	Place dash between "view" and "was dictated".

Item No.	Transcript Pago	Mne	FROPOSED CORRECTION
865	2223	8	Dolote "its" after "affidavits".
866	2223 -	10	Please colon after "I quote: " Place quotation marks before "From there";
567	2223	14	Place quotation marks at end of para- graph.
680	2223	15	Insert "(Exh 735, NI-10538)" after "Volume 40"
669	2223	19	Insort "process" after "cerboryl".
670	2223	22	"Nickel reserve" should be "nickel meid"
871	2223	2324	Insert the following between lines 23-24: WITNESS: Nickel reserve. DR. DIX: Here it says "nickel seid". And in the last affidavit: "I know that before the war I was occasionally —".
872	2224	7	Insort "botween" after "distinction".
373	2224	9	"their consequences" should be "its consequences".
874	2224	15	"why answored" should be "why be ans-
575	2224	19	"and that expedite" should be "and thus expedite".
576	2225	16	First word "tour" should be "or".
877	2225	1	"(Court)" should be "THE PRESIDENT:"
375	2227	30	Chengo "I understand it after it has been" to read "I understood it after it had been".
279	2227	16628	"Murcek, Conrad" should be "Mueller- Curredt".
860	2230	14	"Mucllor-Conrad" should be "Mucllor- Cunradi".
561	3232	13	"I will poss" should be "I will state".
100	2234	31	Timeert "and in case" before "it is your desire".
863	2236	28	Chango "asstable" to read "as on the non-technical".
194	2239	29	Delete comma after "Professor".
E85	2241	30	Change "was examined" to mead "was dis- covered in the course of an experiment".
566	2242	25	"trade hygiene" should be "industrial hygiene".

Item No	Transcript Page	Lino	PROPOSED CORRECTION
387	2246	12	Last two words "in your" should be
888	2247	8	"wented have examined" should be "want- ed to have examined".
869	2247	10	Delete as repetition "especially in cases where he considered them to".
59 0	2247	21	Insert the following after "themselves": "through testing and chemical develop- ment must establish whother".
691	2247	29	"of all" should be "have".
692	221,8	9	Place comma after "date". Change "de you" to "did you".
693	2248	25	Change "rocaen was secreey" to read ' "rocson. The other reason was secreey".
894	2249	21	Change "which in 1943 gave" to read "to which in 1943 we gave".
895	2252	2	Delete first word "that".
896	2256	16-19	Change "was dangerous in laboratory action" to read "was to come into mi- libary hands". Shange "see to it that wuch chamical warfare" to read "deter- mine that such chemical warfare agents".
597	2259	9	Change "but on the other that" to read "but on the other hand, it was known to me that".
695	2259	10	Change entire line to read "had quality of burning, so I termed my attention in both directions."
699	2259	12	"Compressly responsible" should be "completely responsive".
900	2260	11	"Now after same" should be "Now after words, did some".
901	2261	4	"Book 20, Gorann page 82." should be "book 20 of the German, page 82."

No.	Transcript Page	Line	Proposed Correction
902	2262	214	Change "lines 8, I told Krauch" to read as followst
			line 8, which should read as follows: "I told Krauch"
903	2262	25	Place quotation marks after "planning".
904	2265	3	Change "If you have the opportunity" to read "I think you will shortly have the opportunity."
905	2266	14	Insert "he" after "1936".
906	2268	10	Delete "not" after "Plan".
907	2268	12	"I have no objection." should be "I have an objection."
908	2273	30	"by the Prosecution, Exhibit 682." should be "by the Prosecution as Exhibit 682."
909	2274	31	"and since we are" should be "that since we were."
910	2280 4	13	Last word "has" should be "had".
911	2283	11	Place single quotation mark before "All selfish interests".
912	2283	32	Delete single quotation mark before "Our whole nation".
913	2289	25	"for Prusmia, Dr. Bracht." should be "for Prussia being Dr. Bracht."
914	5590	6	"976" should be "9767,".
915	2291	12	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
916	2291	13	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
917	2294	3	Delete "skut" after "gentlemen".
918	2294	30	last two words "more on". should be
919	2295	16 4 22	"pre cound" should be "propound".
920	2297	13	"would have" should be "would be have".
921	2309	15	Delete diagonal and quotation marks before
922	2309	16	Change "listed under No. 3 under 3a 6 it reads" to read "listed under No. 3. Under 3 A 6 it reads".
923	2309	25	Delete quotation marks after "Engagement".
924	2309	26	Place quotation marks after "question" at end of line.
925	2310	5	"is meaning" should be "means".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line	Proposed Correction
926	2313	5	"past script." should be "postulate."
927	2314	2	"When" should be "A". Last word in line "are" should be "is".
928	5317	3	Change "To a corps esprit d'corps." to read "To a corps belongs generally an esprit de corps."
929	5377	10	"considered it" should be "considered the".
930	2318	21	Insert "of the" before "Aktiengesellschaft".
934	2318	25	"in III of I.G.," should be "in Sparte III of I.G.,".
933	2318	26	"head of III," should be "head of Sparte
933	2319	8,9,10	"TA" should be "TEA".
934	2319	28	Change "and what that made DAG" to read "and how the things concerning DAG".
935	2320	25	"to Dr. Paul Mueller" should be "of Dr. Paul Mueller".
936	2324	23 & 29	"TA" should be "TEA".
937	2321	57	Change "not cases of military production but" to read "not to military products but to".
238	2324	27	"Dr. Hiller" should be "Dr. Mueller".
989	2324	31	First word "class" should be "Sparte".
940	2325	25	"meetings?" should be "meetings of the Sparten?"
941	2327	10	Change comma to period after "companies". Change "were also concerned" to read "Were there subsidiary companies also concerned".
942	2327	27	Insert "Court" after "Finance".
943	2328	12	"DAG" should be "DAG, etc.,".
944	2331	11	Place period after "moment". Begin new sentence with "Please".
945	2331	14	"war agents" should be "warfare agents".
946	2331	16	"Product" should be "Products".
947	2332	6	Last word "calculation" should be "cal-
948	2333	1	"with the existing" should be "with the existing factories".
949	2337	21	"Mat, 1938," should be "May 1938,".
950	2338	ü	"Organit" or "Organit" should be "Organid".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line	Proposed Correction
951	2338	7	Change "near Recilinghausen." to read "near Recklinghausen was chosen."
952	2339	3	"contractional" should be "contractual".
953	2339	6 - 9	Change "assignment which later became Huels of the Ordnance Office." to read "assignment by the Ordnance Office which later became Huels."
954	2339	21	"know if" should be "know of".
955	2340	28	Delete second word "werks".
956	231/1	19	Delete last word "Wa P".
957	2341	21	Change last two words "research do" to read "research department did".
958	23141	32	Delete entire line and replace with the following: "I know of Dr. Schumann only "
959	53/75	51	Delete quotation marks at beginning of
960	2342	22	Delete quotation marks after first word "years".
961	5375	28	"development of research" should be "devel- opment and research".
962	2343	14	"Wa Pruef P" should be "Wa Pruef 9".
963	2343	24	Change "Colonel Dr. Chem." to read "A Colonel Dr. Kimme or Dr. Schmidt."
964	231/3	26	Change "Colonel, Schmidt, Hirsch, Linde, Gebhard," to read "Colonel Schmidt, Colonel Hirsch, von der Linde, Rittler, Gebhard, Ritze,".
965	2343	32	"for ballistics." should be "for the Ballistics Institute."
966	2344	12	"Feenemidade" should be "Peenemiende".
987	2314	13	"Grundsburch G.M., G.H." should be "Grundsbuecks GmbH."
968	2314	15	"a laboratory" should be "a gas protection laboratory".
969	2345	18	Spandau,", should be WA Pruef 9 at
970	2346	3	Last word "Spa" should be "Spandau".
971	2348	11	"so correct," should be "is correct,".
972	23148	27	Place comma after "later".
973	2351	8	"Hauptausschumds" should be "Hauptausschuss".
974	2351	16	"be changed in" should be "be changed to".
			999 (-52-)

I ten		Line	Proposed Correction
8.2			
975	2351	32	Delete quotation marks at beginning of line.
976	2351	33	Delete quotation marks after "Lonal".
977	2352	2	Place quotation marks around the phrase "and perhaps Goldschmidt".
978	2352	3	Delete quotation marks at beginning of line.
979	2352	4	Delete ructation marks after "produced".
980	2352	5	Delete quotation warks at beginning and at end of line 5.
951	2355	9	"question" should be "questions".
962	2357	21	"used his" should be "used ita".
903	2358	25	"Fiels" should be "Fields".
984	2360	29	"the 73," should be "the page 73,".
965	2363	8	Last word "by" should be "of".
996	2366	32	Place quotation marks before "this would".
937	2368	2	"a was" should be "a war".
988	2368	В	Last word "that," should be "the same."
969	2372	1	Delete quotation marks at beginning of line. "Holland Italy" should be "Holland and Italy".
990	2372	4	First word "stand" should be "state".
991	2375	15	Place dash instead of period before quota- tion marks at end of line 15.
992	2376	17	Place quotation marks after "illusions."
995	2380	1	Delete as repetition "Page 158 of the English,".
994	2383	32	Insert the following with quotation marks before it, before "we would":
			"In a later discussion, Howard in- quired whether, under the present circumstances,"
995	2385	10 - 11	Change "The I.G. indicated that they have not yet to secure the consent" to med "The I.G. representatives could not give me these at the Hague because they had not yet secured the consent".
996	2390	31	"ter Heer an Loehr" should be "ter Heer and Loehr".
997	2392	1	"it should be a" should be "there should be".
		- (999(-53-)

.

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line	Proposed Correction
998	2392	2	Second word "quantity" should be "quanti- ties": "was committed" should be "which were chitted!"
999	2394	3	"is extracts" should be "consisting of extracts".

Item_No	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1090	2400	51	Delete "when I see" in middle of line.
1001	2403	6	Change first word "that" to "what"
1002	2404	3	Change comme to period after second word "law". Delete "which" after "ordinance No. 7".
1003	2404	6	"being free" should be "are free".
1004	2405	11	Delete "which" before "I must admit".
1005	2406	18-19	Change "if it cannot be enacted for convenient reasons if it" to read "if for convincing reasons it".
1006	2406	21	Change "this principle. Of course, in cases" to read "this principle, that, in cases".
1007	2407	8	Change comma to period after "essence". Begin new sentence with "If I understand".
1008	2407	11	Place comma after "witnesses" at end of line.
1009	2409 .	17-18	"when they arise." should be "when it arises."
1010	2411	23	Change comma to period after first word "examine." Begin new sentence with "That is important".
1011	2411	15	Change comma to period after "brought in." Begin new sentence with "Also". Insert "that" after "mind" and before "we would."
1012	2411	31	Place question mark efter "dis-
1013	2412	2	Place quastion mark after "effect", Delete comma after "question".
1014	2412	5-7	"inistive" should be "initiative".
1015	2413	В	Place period after first word "defense." Begin new sentence with "Because."
1016	2413	16	Delete comma fter "defense coun-
1017	2413	19	"week end and discuss" should be "weekend to discuss"
1018	2414	15	Add "to" at end of line after "opportunity ",
		anal.	- A

999(-55-)

Item	Transcript		
10	Dage	Line(s)	PROPOSTD_CORRECTION
1019	2413	19	"weekend end discuss" should be "weekend to discuss."
1020	2414	15	Add "to" at end of line efter "opportunity."
1021	2414	25	"on question" should be "one question."
1022	2416	10	"was the reason Farben for" should read "was Farben's reason for."
1053	2416	26	"and was was" should be "and it was."
1034	2416	27	"surely after" should be "how shortly after,"
1025	2416	31	"to the Farben furnishing" should be "to Farben's furnishing of".
1026	2416	32	Change comma to period
1027	2417	10	Delate "and" oefore "which".
1028	2417	12	Insert "and Remington" after "Yestfaelische".
1029	2417	19	"memorandums" should be "memor-
1050	2417	50	"or Remington Arms exresses" should be "of Remington Arms express".
1031	2418	15	"asked to object to state" should be "asked me to state".
1033	2418	21-22	Change "this was done already ce- fore in Nurnoerg in 1947, during this Trial." to read "This was done in Nurnoerg, at the end of April 1947 on the occasion of an interrojation on this document through an official of the Prosecution."
1033	2419	В	"Tirst Dr. Silcher" should be "first that Dr. Silcher".
1034	2419	13	Delete "to me" as repetition.
1035	2419	30	"who statement" should be "whose statement".
1036	2421	13	Change "in any way it does not" to read "in order that it may correctly".
1037	2428	15	Place comma after "point out". Change the following words: "I have already esked the Defense Counsel that" to read "as I have already stated to the Defense Coun- sel, that".
		999 (-	00-

Item No	Transcrip	t tine (s)	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
1038	2424	15	Delete quotation marks after "Berge". Change "981" to "1981" and place quotation marks after it.
1039	2426	11	"is the scope" should be "that is the scope".
1040	2426	16	"are a limited feature" should be "are limited features."
1041	2428	7	"simple" should be "simply."
1042	2428	25	Insert "law" cefore last word "then".
1043	2428	26	"charger" should be "charter".
1044	2428	31	Change last word "operates" to "operated".
1045	2429	15	"appear" should be "appears".
1046	2430	1	"page 9", should be "page 9 of the German,"
1047	2430	. 11	"hange "then, with patents," to read "then patents,".
1048	2430	12-13	Change period efter "patents" in line 12 to comma and continue senunce with "at page 11" in line 13.
1049	2430	16	Piece quotation marks at beginning of peragraah.
1050	2430	24	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
1051	2432	7	Change period after "1938" to comma and continue sentence with "to start".
1052	2432	10	"1949" should be "1939"
1053	2432	14	"page 31," should be "page 31 of the German".
1054	2434	6	Change "I think I'll turn over to page 33," to read "I think rather on page 33,".
1055	2434	7	Change "book. The conclusion" to read "book, is the conclusion".
1056	2434	28	"that they only" should be "that the only".
1057	2434	6	Delete quotation marks at end of paragraph.
1058	2437	5	Change "that the American General" to read "that in regard to the American General".
		999	(-57-)

1.17

1

999 (-57-)

1059	0.430	70	Your word first or the S
1043	2437	19	Last word "is" should be "are".
1060	2438	3	"is the entire" should be "is that the entire".
1061	2438	17-18	"as a natural part" should be "as an integral part".
1062	2438	19	Change "empire. Which was a power and the necessary power" to read "empire, which was a part and the necessary part".
1063	2440	11	Add "of the German," at end of line after "page 70".
1064	2440	27	"page 72," should be "page 72 of the German".
065	2441	30	"77" should be "77 of the German".
066	2443	2	Change "Economic Banking of 11 June" to read "Economic Group Private Banking, Central Associa- tion of German Banks and Bankers, of 11 June".
067	2444	4	Change "that he will" to read "that the Vorstand will".
068	2444	9	Insert "of the German" after "page 90,".
069	2444	17	"hange "this now is a letter" to read "which is a letter".
070	2444	23	Place quotation marks after "as follows: "
071	2446	11	Change "is indicating or advising" to read "indicates or advises".
072	2446	22	Change period to comma efter "party".
773 *	2447	10	Pisce quotation marks after "cam- ouflage". Delate quotation marks priore the next word "Now".
774	2447	11	"was leter given NI-8646." should be "was later given the number NI-8646".
75	2447	12	Change "at this point that" to read "in order to point out that".
76	2447	13	Change "which has been offered in as Exhibit 2652." to read "which is referred to here as NI-2652."
77	0440	24	"of April 9, 1938." should be

....

7- j

¥±

Item No	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED_CORTECTION _
1078	2448	88	"we met him oefore" should be
1079	2450	15	"set forth" should be "sets forth"
1050	2450	23	Dolete "in line"
1081	2450	26	"is set forth" should be "are set forth".
1082	2451	11	Place dash instead of period after "conferences". Do not capitalize next word "we".
1083	2451	12	Place dash instead of period efter "conferances". Do not capitalize next word "out".
1094	2451	17	"consequence" should be "infer-
1085	2451	20	"we refer" should be "we referred?
1086	2451	23	Delate "there is".
1087	2451	24	"the same time orders" should be "the same time contains orders".
1088	2451	27	Insert "were" after "dependants".
1069	2451	88	"to carry out" should be "to en-
1090	2452	3	"and you read that in the" should be "and one reads moout them in the".
1091	2458	5	Change "that may load to infer- ences" to read "and would lead to inferences". Change last word "are" to "should be".
1092	2453	6	"as indicated" should be "to oe indicated".
1093	2455	15-16	Delate as repetition "which lay behind the decisions".
1094	2455	19	"Austria" should or "Czechoslo- vakie".
1098	2455	55	"Whether for good" should be
1096	2456	6	Deleta "it" bafore last word "is".
1097	2456	29	"further would" should be "further that would".
1098	2456	30	Delete "and" after "argument".
1099	2457	20	Place quotation marks after "28".
1100	2457	28	"D" should be "f". Place quota- tion marks before next word "Chamical"

1

1.

999 (-59-)

Item No	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1101	2457	29	"refers" should be "referred".
1102	2458	15	"from maintenance" should be "and meintenance".
1103	2458	31	Change second word "ie" to "us".
1104	2459	6	Change "NI-1747, is already" to "NI-4717, which is already".
1105	2459	21	Change "it speaks about the" to reed "again the".
1106	2460	12	"of documents" should be "of the document".
1107	2450	13	"defendent" should be "defendants".
1100	2461	31	Lest two words "is as" should be
1109	2462	11	"these is a plant" should be "these are plants".
1110	2462	12	"put special interest" should be "took special interest".
1111	2463	1	"to thet 388 PS" should be "to "comment 388 PS".
1112	2463	2	"on the 23 of September" should be "that on the 23rd of September! Delete "that" before last word "they".
1113	2463	3	Delete first word "have".
1114	2464	3	Insert "of the German." after
1115	2464	12-13	Delete as repetition "and with the German Economics; with Mr. Keppler, Secretary of State,".
1116	2464	88	Place quotation works efter "concern".
1117	2464	24	Insert "by" before last word
1118	2465	1	Delete first word "and". Begin new sentence with the next word "The".
1119	2455	18	Delete "As".
1120	2465	19	Change comma to period after "834" Change "this is a not" to read "This is a note".
1121	2465	20	Change "it is four days" to "which is four days."
1122	2465	24-25	Change "a few documents, back on 21 September, was the report sub- mitted to the Vorstand of" to read "a few documents back, in
		999(-	

Item No.	Transcrip	and the second second second second	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
1122	2465	24-25(conit)	Cated 21 September, submitted to the Vorstand on ".
1123	2466	2	Delete "that" before lest word
1124	2466	4	"Auxiliary tasks" should be "Relief Fund".
1125	2466	5	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
1126	2466	50	"cefore General Christiansen," should be "to General Christ- iansen,"
1127	2466	21	"Air Corps contributed" should read "Air Corps, a contribution of".
1128	2/267	7	Delate "or" in middle of line.
1129	2467	10-11	Change "as to whether or not they were flyers, as to whether or not they were foot troops" to reed "in accordance as to whether they were flyers, motor- cyclists, or foot troops."
1130	2468	5	Insert "of the German," after "35? .
1131	2466	21	"remarks" should be remarked".
1132	2469	10	Add "of the German," after "page 38".
1133	2469	23	"At prige 31" should be "At page
1134	2469	26	Aid "of the German," after "page
1135	2469	25	Insert "of the German," after
1136	2470	2	Add "of the German," efter "43".
1137	2470	11	Place quotation marks after "organizations".
1138	2470	27	Add "of the German," after "46".
1139	2471	1	"you disregard" should be "that you disregard".
1140	2471	31	Insert "of the German," after "60"
1141	2472	1	Second word "will" should be "which". Last word "qu stions" should be "issues".
		ATADA	ALEGAGO .

999 (-61-)

-	tem Tr	anscript		· ·
_	No	page	_Ling(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1	1142	2473	11	Change "New Order of General Fart for France" to read "Mew Order, the General Part and the New Order for France,"
4	1143	2473	23	"of the German", should be "of the German book,".
-	1144	2473	24	"page 1 of the German, the doc- ument itself" should read "page 1 of the German document itself".
1	1145	3473	25	Insert "of the German cook," after "458".
**	1146	2474	4	Change Twhich is 470, which is page 3 of the German to read which is 470 of the German book and page 5 of the German documents.
1	1147	2474	18	"which the countries" should be "for the countries".
1	1148	2474	21	Delete auotetion merks after "part".
1	11-9	2475	4	"The provies" amould be "The premise".
3	115C	2475	9	Place quotation warks after "remson of". Delete quotation marks before "This is"
1	1151	2475	10	"the copy I have " should be "the copy Your Honors have".
1	1152	2475	10-14	Delete from "prewer development" in line 10 through line 14, and replace with the following: "the prewer development trand, it was found with its capacity and its scientific performance not only to keep its clear accentage out to advance even further. The outbrack of the wer with all its consequent
	-		*	economic results broke this unequivocal development."
1	153	3476	1	"And that that" should be "And with that".
1	154	2475	4	Change period to comme efter paying Continue sentence with . "in the middle"
1	155_	2478	30	Change the following: "Mulhouse" because to to read: "Mulhousen" in order to
1	156	2479	1 999(-6	Change first word "page" to "and".

#4 -#

(-†

Item No	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
1157	2479	12	Change "in France es your Honors" to rest "in France, or, as your Honors".
1158	2479	19	Change "to Milhouse that:" to read "to the Mulnouse companies thet: ".
1159	2479	25	Change first word "thet" to
1160	2480	16	Place quotation marks at and of paragraph.
1161	2481	12	Insert "of the original", after
1162	9481	18	Last two words "is, we" should be "is that we".
1163	2482	1	Place comes after first word "original". Deleta second word "page".
1185	2483	17	"At 196, which is 594, appare" should be "it on a 196, which is 594 of the German appear".
1165	2483	19	Change paried to comma efter first
1166	2493	32	"Terhear Formen's Borlin N 1-7," should be "Terhear of Ferben's Berlin NV-7,"
1157	2484	4	"he given the" should be "he gives the"
1168	2485	19	Insert "of the German," after "70",
1169	2486	6	Insert "of the Garman," ofter "75",
1170	2487	2	"MI-10165" amould be NI-10164".
1171	2467	4	Delete "to" pafore "indicate".
1172	2487	6	Place comme efter "discussed" and delete following word "and".
1173	2469	17	"has a statement" should be "should present a statement".
1174	2489	18	Change "purpose of it and," to read "purpose of the proof, and,".
1175	2489	19	Add "completed" after "will be" ! et end of line.
1176	2489	20	"within our timed session." " should be "within the time, of this session."
		aga (

6.

999 (-63-)

Itan Ng	Trenscript	Ling(s)	PROPOSED CONSECTION
r 1177	2495	30	should be "will not be necessary"
1178	2497	6	"52,53,55,55, and 56" should be "52,53,54,55 and 56".
1179	7498	5	Change "that has to do, quite apart" to "That has relevance quite apart".
1160	2501	26	"to whatever" should be "at whatevor".
1151	2503	29	Delete "the" pafore "durage".
1182	2504	5	Deleta "the element of". Add "although" after "defendants" at the end of line.
1163	2504	3-4	Delate "and when it".
1154	2504	9	"property and individual country" should be "property in individual countries".
1185	2507	3	"force provented" anould be "force was provented."
1186	2507	25	Change "which will not overrun" to road "from overrunning".
1167	2508	3	Deleta "thet" efter "show".
1153	2508	8	Insert "soncerning" perore "motive",
1109	2509	6	"Government" should be "Govern-
1190	2509	is	"or have been" should be "which .
1191	2510	19	"1051" should be "1059".
1192	2511	2	"1C51" should be "1059".
1193	2512	12	"in egreement" should be Into an egreement".
1194	2513	4	"wes negotiating" should be "were negotiating".
1195	2513	58	"could not out" should be "could not buy".
1196	2516	19	Chenge Finvesion immodiately efter" to Finvesion. Immodiately efter.
1197	2516	26	Insert "of the German" after 463"
1108	2517	2 999	Insert "Stere Commisser for Pri- veto Economy" after "appointed". (-64-)

5 op

No	Trenscript	Ling(s)_	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
1199	2518	18	"about it, he talking" should be "about this, he is telking".
1200	2523	1	Insert "of the German," after
1201	2522	16	"with Harr" should be "through
-1202	2583	5	"we lay to crimes" should be "we claim crimes".
1203	2523	5	"he says" should be "and says".
1904	2523	8	Place comma after "sttention" and delete next word "to".
1205	2523	9	Insert "to" before "the refer-
1206	2523	10	Unange "participated in, as to Austria". to read "participated regarding Austria".
1207	2523	15-16	Place comme after "Hasfliger" in line 15. Delete "April 19, Koofliger is recorting".
1205	2523	53	Place quotet on marks before first word "Kuehne".
1309	2524	7	"prge 53" should be "prge 62".
1510	2594	27-28	Change partod to comise at end of line 27. Continue sontonce with "in such a way" in line 25.
1211	2525	6	"here is in" should be "here is that in".
1212	2537	18	Chango "you will see" at ond of line to "that".
1213	2527	27	"Vorwaltungaret, itc." should be "Versaltungaret of the amlinchamic a.G.".
1214	8588	18	"the next one." should be "the next item."
1215	2528	23	Chonge "this is a" to reed "the index contains a ".
1216	2528	27	Insert "of the German." after
1217	2529	1 -	"131". Delete "and this".
1218	2529	3	Insert "of the German". after
1219	2529	21	Delate comma ofter "particularly".
1220	2530	3	"after here" should be "after this".
		999 (-65-)

Item 1	renscript page	Linc(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1221	2531	1	Insert "and" before "at the bottom".
1222	2531	5	Insert "states " before "that no action".
1223	2531	5	Insert "of the German," after
1224	2531	10	"Commission" should be -
1225	2531	14	"Exhibit 1049" should be "Ex-
1226	2532	16	Insert "of the German," efter
1227	2532	27	Insert "of the Garman" after
1220	2534	18-19	"No Chemical Pin " should be "I.G. Chamical Committee".
1229	2534	25	"on the fact" should be "on the face.".
1230	2535	26	"osgine the statement" should be "begine another statement".
1231	2535	30	"up to No. 8" should be "at No. 8."
1232	9536	8	Change "Pr : 53, if your Honors planes, gives" to read "on page 53, if Your conors planes, he gives".
1233	2536	9	"and the next" should be "and in the next".
1234	2536	13	"at this office." should be "ct his office."
1235	2535	21	"the German firms" should be
1236	2537	17	Placs comma after "unverified" at and of line.
1237	2537	20	"requested him" should be "requested them".
1235	2537	23	"I think though" should be "I think thet".
1239	2537	24	Lest word "that" should be "since".
1340	2537	26	"it would not par" should be "this would not par".
1241	2538	1 990	Place quotetion marks before "According ".

3 ×

No.	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
1242	9538	4	Place quotation marks after participation.
1343	2538	11	"with a private letter" should be "in a private letter".
1244	2541	7	"with the two" sho ld be "via the two".
1245	2541	14	Pircs quot-tion marks at beginning of line before "were".
1246	2541	23.425	Delata os repetion lines 23,24, 25.
1247	2844	14	Insart "for" between "Commissar" and "Private".
1248	2549	3	"erse" should on "essy".
1249	2550	1	Delata " " at coginning of line.
1250	3550	9	Last word "at" should be "or".
1251	2554	23	"lest faw words" should be
1252	2559	50	Change "The point is, I" to read
1253	25.09	21	Change "being in Encyledge here of" to rand "being nowledge of".
1254	2559	23	Insert "of the German", efter "Fegs 8".
1255	3550	3	"oslongse" should be "bolonging".
1256	-550	20-21	Change "who the company is and their physical" to sad "the nature of the company and its physical".
1257	2550	35	Place quotation marks pafors
1258	2560	27	"quita" should be "quit".
1259	2562	14	"control of this," should be "control of these plants,".
1250	2563	31	First two words "goes to" should be "entered and".
1261	2553	33	to read "Munich, in and takes" to read "Munich, I.G. Forben walks in and takes".
1262	2563	25-25	Thronge sentance beginning with last word "We" in line 23 and ending at and of paragraph in line 26, to rond as follows:
	1	3.4-1	

Itam No	Trenscript	_ ine(s)	PROPOSED COPRECTION
1263	2553	23.26 (con!t)	"I would not like to develop this point further, but the significance is that here are preparations for taking over Czechoslovakian industry."
1263	2565	8	"precentance to which " should or "precedent for that,".
1264	2565	12	Insert "concerning" after third word "and".
1265	2555	13	Deleta "concerning".
1266	2555	22	"to read them out." should on "to weed them out."
1367	2558	27	Place pariod after "paregraph" at and of line.
1268	2534	28	First word "Tgis" should on "This".
1369	2857	9	"plupps for the" should be "el-
1270	2567	11	Delate comma efter "olamee". Place comma at end of line efter "Triounel".
1271	2557	14	"met e ourden" snould be "met our purden".
1272	2568 2539	296	"consider" should be "considered". "continued" should be "contingent".
1273	3559	12	"Then can betaken" should be
1274	2659	15	"of the syldence" should be "with the syldence".
1276	2559	30	"Tribunal being called" should be "Tribunal be called".
1276	2570	3 -	Delate "of" after "value".
1277	2570	16	Change first two words "it is" to "which make it".
1278	2571	3	Last two words "of who" should be "of judges who".
1279	2572	13	"as I'm humanly" should be
1280	7373	13	"henge "at the preceding" to read "es indicated on the preceding ".
1261	2573	15	"on the next page,", should be
1262	2573	17-18	"those entire minutes of those mestings" should be "the entire
		999 (-68	minutes of that mosting".

__t

	Itam No	Transcrip	t _ <u>Line(s)</u>	PROPOSED CORRECTION
	1263	2573	25	Insert "of the German," after
	1284	2577	7	"Bruchna" should be "Brunner".
	1285	2578	4	"NI-; 0531" should be "NI-10581.
ķ	1286	2578	28	"indicating" should os "indicate",
	1267	2588	4	"as the so-celled" should be
	1258	2558	9	"de jour" should be "de jure".
	1289	2589	25	Delote "were" after "conditions".
	1290	2599	27	Insert "casis" after second word
	1291	2590	3	Place period after "upon you", Begin haw sentence with "Counsel".
	1292	2590	10	Change semicolon to comme offer
	1203	2590	11	Place comme after "trustees".
	1294	2591	5	"The next document" should be "The next documents" "the other" should be "otherwise".
	1295	2592	9	"in permissible" abould be
	1296	2592	27	"and made cortain persons," should be "by certain persons,".
	1297	2593	7	Add "is" efter "out" at and of line.
	1298	2593	8-9	"os interpretation" should be
	1299	2593	13	"oasis" should be "oasic".
	1300	2593	26	Change "in the press re to" to read "es a precedent for".
	1301	2594	1	"RTO" should os "HTO".
	1302	2596	27	"RTO" should be "MTO".
	1303	2597	2	"want them as" should be "want this".
	1304	2600	7	Lest word "paid" should be "meda".
	1305	2600	8	Change period after "Dr. Pohlend" to comma. Place comma after "Dr. Turater".
	1306	2500	10	Change "to question once Dr. Pohland" to read "to oring up the question of Dr. Pohland".
			U	qq(-69-)

Item No.	Trenscript page	_Line(s)_	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
1307	2600	12	"official cart" should be
1308	2600	15	Delete last word "only".
1309	. 2600	26	port". Begin new sentence with "you might".
1310	2501	1	Insert "regarding" after "con-
1311 1312 1313	2501 2602 2602	16 15 17	Delete "with" efter "contact". "the Jens" should be "the Jen". "indicating" should be "indicated".
1314	2502	23	"then, the" should be than".
1315	-502	31	"to these defendants" should be "then these defendants".
1316	2606	1	"of 'furster's" should be "of
1317	2606	10	Changs period after "Poland" to comma. Continus sentence with next word "all".
1318	2505	11	Chengs "the lest. Two and three" to rend "the lest two or three".
1319	2607	12	"customery us" should be "que- tomery uso".
1320	2609	6	"Gurmy" should be "dummy".
1321	2609	8	"sopfistry" should be "sophistry"
1332	2509	12	Delate "suota".
1323	2609	15	Change "production, would con- tinue" to seed "production, would have to se closed down. The Boruta, on the other hand, should continue".
1334	2509	18-19	"important Garman Economy," should be "importance to the Ge man Economy".
1325	2611	27	Delete first word "in".
1326	2612	5	"You will not" should be "You will note,"
1327	2615	5	Place comma ofter escond word "group".
1328	2615	15	Last word "or" should be "to".
1329	2615	55	"to sekr it in" should be "to put it in".
1330	2515	23 999(-	"If you will not" should be "If you will note".
		MAAAC	70-)

.

No	Page		FROFOSED_CORRECTION
1831	2621	16	"Schwao's".
1332	2622	9	Dalate first two words "the
1333	2622	23	"I rm going" should be "I am not going",
1334	2523	31	"ush" should be "such".
1335	2626	2	Dalate second word "in".
1336	2526	3	"deal" should be "dorls".
1337	2628	3	Second word "Document" should be "Ex.ibit".
1338	2528	22	"aboution" should be "additional".
1339 -	2530	24	Last three words "that is one" should be "on one".
1340	2631	10	First word "men" should be "mon"
1341	2633	23	"they had to be cured" should be
1342	7633	24	Second word "give" should be
1343	2541	30	Lost tares words "what you are" should be "which you are".
1345	2544	3	Dalata "up to the Jorr".
1345	2644	7	"concept" should be "consump- tion,"
1366	2544	21	"their requirments" should be "its requirements".
1347	2544	21-20	Insert octwoon lines 21 and 22 as part of preceding question the following "Ves there not such a provision?"
346	2644	28	Place "A" perfore this line and desh instead of period after "then" at the end. (This is the beginning of the intended answer of the witness).
349	2644	32	Add "state" pfter "you" at end of line.
.350	2647	28	"to confirm," should be "to confirm them,"
351	2652	9	Change fourth word "and" to since".

-

Item No.	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1353	256C	15	Dolota "The others have certi-
1354	2663	19	"Wirtschoftsfuehrungs" should be "Leadership".
1355	2659	3	"Dey Hass" should be "De Hars".
1356	2669	9	"under an operational company" should be "under operational companies of".
1357	2659	18	"Sale es" should be "De Hoas"
1358	2670	7-8	Delete both lines
1359	2670	10	Place come after "experts" et end of line.
1360	2671	12	Change "quoting pros 7 of the original" to road: just soove "page 7 of the the original".
1361	2671	25	Dolote semicolon after first word "oack".
1362	2672	22	"NI 8-77" should be "NI 8077".
1363	2373	52	"and so," should be "and so on;"
1364	2573	25	"it is ranky" should os "itself".
1365	2673	30	Change "to put in their nouth!" to
1366	2675	15	Delote "and quote".
1367	2577	10-11	Change "end this proposes a company in between the synthetic rubber company Crat." to read "on the one hand, and Farben and the Synthetic "woodr Company Esst, on the other."
1368	2677	81	"thet spolistion" should be "of spolistion".
1369	2577	27 Change	"their having too much inter- ference between" to read" their having not too such interference through".
1370	3677	29	"any less by virtue" should be sany loss by virtue".
1371	2677	30	"corporation should os "cooper- ation",
1372	2578	7	Lest word "Rs" should on "wns".
		999(-	72-)

Item No.	Transcript Page	_ Line(s) _	PROPOSED CORRECTION +
1373	2682	27	Replace "they" by "it".
1374	2684	8	Place comma after "KIN" and change
			"strategically important war plants;"
			to "that is, war important and vital
			plants;"
1375	2684	13	Change "strategically and vital
			plants?" to "war important and
			vital plants?"
1376	2684	20	Change "KL" to "KIW".
1377	2684	21.	Change "That is and vital strategic
			plants?" to read "That is important
,			as war important and vital plants?"
1378	2684	28/29	Change "the armament and strategic-
-			ally important plants," to read, "the
			war important and vital plants.".
1379	2687	23	Change "plants" to "plans".
138C	2689	26	Change "face" to "fact".
1381	2689	29/30	Delete "which has been translated by
			'readiness plant', 'stand-by plant'.
1382	2690	23	Change "objectionability" to "unob-
			jectionability".
1383	2696	29	Change "medictiony" to "mediatory".
1384	2697	22	"No. 3-D" should be "No. 5-D".
1385	2698	14/5	Insert between lines 4 and 5:
			"Dr. WON ROSPATT: It is page 3
			of the Original, Number 5-D.
			THE PRESIDENT: Yes, it is at
			the end of the page."
1386	2698	18	Insert "but" between "all" and "only".
1387	2698	20	Change "places of" to "plans for".
1388	2705	19	Delete as repetition "in the office
			which he was in charge of remained
		-	Semeti

999(-73-)

Item No.	Transer: Page	ipt Line(s)		PROPOSED CORRECTION
1389	2707	21		Delete "in Mess".
1390	2707	21	-	Change "capacity" to "from imprison-
				ment".
1391	2707	29		Change comma to semi-colon after
				"I.G. Works".
1392	2708	21,		Change "approached I.G.," to "the
				I.G. ".
1393	2708	25		Change "on" to "in". Delete "i a"
				and place quotation marks before
				"Professor Hoerlein".
1394	2710	4		"I added these words" should be "I
				changed these last words to".
1395	2710	16	-	Change "the explanation" to "an
				explanation for the words" and delete
				comma at end of line.
1396	2710	17		Change comma to colon after "of the
				examination". Place quotation marks
				before "that is,".
1397	2710	19		Place quotation marks after "for I.G."
				at end of paragraph.
1398	2710	21		Insart "which" after "experiments".
1399	2711	8		Place quotation marks around "Tabun".
1400	2711	15		Delete quotation marks after "tests"
				and before "on animals",
1401	2711	21		Change won the latter worked there,
				it should say," to read "only the
				latter worked there, and it should
				say,".
1402	2711	27		Change "Under 10, that" to "Paragraph
				10, which".
1403	2711	32		Change "by the latter be used" to read
		999	(-7	"by him could be used".

qqq(-74-)

ż

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROFOSED CORRECTION
1404	2712	1	Delete comma after "discovered".
1405	2712	2	Delete first word "was".
1406	2712	16	Delete last word "after".
1407	2720	8	Change comma to period after first
			word "not". Delete "whether that"
			and start next sentence with "As far".
1408	2720	10	Change "when" to "whom".
1409	2723	14	"acciditonal" should be "additional".
1410	2727	11/12	Belete "-which as I say were con-
			cluded".
1411	2734	2	Chango "Undernoath" to "Under".
1412	2734	15	"was to put at" should be "was to be
			put atr.
1413	2738	18	Insurt "Gormany" before "which" at
			end of line.
1414	2738	26	Change "the vital" to "of vital".
1415	2711	13	Place quotation marks after "Hoor".
1416	2714	14	Flace quotation marks before "are
	7		roady".
1417	2742	11	Change "quote" to "quita",
1418	27/4	6	Change "document 1200" to "Cxhibit
			1200%
1419	2714	8	Place comma after "only",
1420	2744	32	Charge Document 1202 to Exhibit
			1202", Dalete rost of line.
1421	2745	1	Place "NI" before "10153" at beginning
			of line.
1422	2745	4	Change "disclose" to "dispose".
1423	2745	11	Change "of the majority" to by which
			the majority". Add the word "be"
			after "could" at end of line.

999 (-75-)

Item No.	Transcrip Page	ot Line(s	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1424	2745	12	Change "change by way of increasing
			its capital stock, the 13 per cent"
			to read "changed through increasing
			the capital stock, whereby the 13
			per centu.
1425	2745	30	Change "point out as follows, and
			then to read: point out the "as"
		+	follows" and that.
1426	2746	13	Delete last word of paragraph "later".
1427	2746	27	Place quotation marks at and of
			paragraph.
1426	2748	16	Place comma after "before us".
1429	2748	17	Place comma after "before us".
1430	2748	29	"drawting" should be "creating".
1431	2749	8	Place quotation marks after "Viag".
			Insert "(Versinigte Industriegesell-
			schaft)" after "Corporation".
1432	2749	9	Delete "(vereinigte Industriegesell-
	14,		echaften) or".
1433	2749	10	Insert "or" before "Peironing",-
			Delete perenthesis at enl of line.
1434	2749	18	Changa "accused" to "scurced".
1435	2749	20	Place corem after "75" - Change "that"
			to "mlitch".
1436	271,9	23	Insuro "o.C" between "bicking" and
			"the list",
1437	2750	6	Charge last word "Aero" to "by us"
			and odd quotation marks and dash.
1438	2750	7	Change "Bank, that is a German Reich
			Agency by us on behalf" to read as
			follows: that is, the Aero Bank, a
8		999 (German Reich Agency - "on behalf
		-1-1-1	(-10-)

8,

-91

.

Item	Transcrip Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1439	2750	13	Place coma after "Paris".
1440	2750	14	Place quotation warks and period
			after "order".
1441	2750	23	Insert "to interested" after first
			word "right".
1442	2750	27/28	Delete lines 27 and 28,
1443	2750	31	Delete quotation marks after "Tron-
			handgesellschaft".
1464	2751	3	Change "55g" to 53g"
1445	2751	19	Place quotation makes before "why
	-		the French".
1466	2752	8	Place comma after "affidavit," and
HC L			insort "MI-6318" after it.
1447	2752	11	Change and to "Exchibit".
1448	2753	6	Delete "and".
1449	2753	20	Place come after "booky and insert
			"Page" between "book" and "100".
1450	2754	3	Flace come after "in 16".
1451	2754	7	"non of the aspects" should be "none
			of those aspects". Delete the
			following words wof that",
1452	2754	214	Charge "decument what to "dectrine
			Wideht. Dalets "as" before "res
			adjuli nital.
1453	2754	16	But rouse after "document", and
			insoit that is, a born on "document"
			and "if it would". Chinga "our views"
		*	to "the rele". Add comma at and of
			line.
1454	2754	25	Place quotation mirrs before "Read and
			approved".
1455	2754	26	Add quotesion morks at end of paragraph.
		· 999 (-	77-)

Item	Transcript	Tenelal.	
No.	Page	_ Line(s)	FRO POSED CORRECTION
1486	2755	9	Change the following: located in
			this area were the dyestuff plants."
			to read: located there" - among
			which were the dyastuff and oxygen
			plants.
1457	2755	10	Change "and they are" to "The first
			is".
1458	2755	11	Delate quotation marks before "Among"
			and delete last word of line.
1459	2755	13	Place quotation marks before "Farbon",
			"acquires" should be "acquired".
1460	2755	15	Place quotation marks after "owners".
1461	2755	18	Change "that in seizing" to "for the
			seizure of".
1462	2755	19	Change comma to period after "terri-
			tories". Begin new sentence with
			"Knowledge".
1463	2755	31	"he states" should be "it states"
			"seased" should read "seised".
1464	2756	12	Chango "claim" to "seizure" .
1465	2756	13	"they participated" should be "Ferben
			participated".
1466	2756	21	"as to these documents;" should be
			"in those documents;".
1467	2757	2	"soized" should rend "seizes".
1468	2757	14	"So long as" should be "Not as long
0.44	-121	*	a the state of the post of the
1469	2757	5	Delete first word "and" and start
- 100	-121	2	
			new sentence with "The IMT". Insert
1.000	norte.		"that" before "se long".
1470	2757	7	Insert "this" between "within" and
		999(-	"limitation".

999(-78-)

Item	Transcript		THE PARTY OF THE PARTY OF
No.	Page.	4-35-4	
1471	2757	31	Insert "of" between "because" and
	6-10		"the first proposition".
1472	2758	1	Insert "and" between "Convention,"
			and "a participation". Chango last
			word "of" to bby".
1473	2758	6	Insert "Towards" between "because"
			and "an aggressive war".
1474	2758	8	"attached" should read "attacked".
1475	2759	7	Change "but there" to "and here".
1476	2759	12	Change comma after "nationals" to
			semi-colon.
1477	2759	13	Change "There" to "That".
1478	2759	14	"there defendants" should be "these
			defendants". Change "originally" to
			"c ggrassivaly".
1479	2759	22	Change sentence "I have understood
			your statement correctly " to read;
			"If I have understood their statement
			correctly, their knowledge came in
			this tay."
1486	2759	23	"pressages" should read "passages".
1481	2759	30	Change comma to colon after "it" and
			delete last word "is".
1482	2760	1.	Delete "or belligerency".
1483	2760	8	Change "as for as" to "since".
1484	2760	10	Change comma to period after "IMT"
ATes.			and start new sentence with "They".
1485	2760	11	Insert "that" before "having".
1486	2760	13	Change "having been" to "being".
****	2177	~	Add comma at end of line.
1487	2760	14	Delete first word "then".
1488	2760	15	Place comma after "INT".
1200	2100	999 (and the second s

ž.

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROFOSED CORRECTION
1489	2761	21	"will mention" should be "I will
			mantion".
1490	2761	2h	Change "Jamary" to "July".
1491	2761	25	"of this decree" should be "of
			presenting this decree". Delete
			"that" before last word "with".
1492	2761	26	Dalete "you will see",
1493	2761	30	"with that respect" should be "in
			that respects.
1494	2762	19	Change limitations to "recommendations
1495	2762	20	Delate quotation marks after "firms".
1496	2762	23	Deleta "that".
1497	2762	29	Change "French" to "Gersan".
1498	2763	16	"Nowack" should read "Noack".
1499	2767	30	Change "raise" to "remove".
1500	2770	19	Insert "to have it" between "cross-
			examination" and "elsewhere".
1501	2770	25	Change "concerning" to "claiming"
1502	2773	32	"At that time" should be "And that
			time". Change last word "note" to
			"remember".
1503	2781	9	Change "is considering" to "concerns".
1504	2781	14	"South-European" should read "South-
			Sast Europe".
1505	2783	5	Delete quotation marks after "prefer-
			onco*.
1506	2785	20	"with respect to occupied
			France" should be "for occupied
			France".
1509	2786	7	Place quotation marks after "advised
			of I.G. 's application".
1508	2786	8	Place quotation marks at beginning
		999(-	-sc-of line.

ġ.

Ø 4

Item No.	Transcrip Page	t Line(s	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1509	2786	1/4	"Dr. Hard" should read "Dr. Dard".
1510	2786	26	Insert "present" between "were" and
			"variously".
1511	2786	30	Insert "that" between "stressed" and
			"the pressure".
1512	2787	18	"this affidavit" should be "the
			skipped affidavit".
1513	2790	8	Place comma after "49" and delete
			next word "to", - Also delete "again",
1514	2790	9	Flace quotation marks before "the whole"
1515	2790	20	Place quotation marks after "Committee".
1516	2791	13	Change "hospital" to "proposed".
1517	2791	17	Change "Francolor" to "Rhose-Poulenc",
1518	2791	24	Place quotation strice after "whereby
			it is agraed".
1519	2791	25	Place dash and quotation merks before
			"that concerning".
1520	2791	26	Insert "just" between "should" and
			"wait".
1521	2792	- L	"weaking" should read "weakening".
1522	2794	9	Charge "91" to "81".
1523	2795	6	Place quotation marks before last word
			"fail".
1524	2795	7	Change "and their" to "under the".
1525	2795	8	"would" should read "could". Place
			quotation marks at end of paragraph.
1526	2797	28	Delete "bon" before "Kugler".
1527	2798	7	Insert "is" between "Order" and
			"constantly".
1528	2799	15	Change "prosperous" to "prostrate".
1529	2801	19	Insert "as well as Farben's Waibel"
		- 990	between "Ambros" and "were".

()

A G

, to ...

, Di

16

GGG(-81-)

Item No.	Transcrip Page	Line(s	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1530	2801	20	Insert "of the German Group" between
			"representatives" and "on the council"
1531	2801	32	Delete first word "that".
1532	2803	10	Place comma after "agreement".
1533	2803	21	Delote "to" after "go over".
1534	2807	25	Change "exception" to "except in".
1535	2811		Correct pagination: "8211" must road
			#2811#.
1536	2813	15	Change #2 51 3# to Wa 515#.
1537	2815	2	Pince quotation marks before "the
			tradewrice and delete "is".
1535	2815	5	Change "objections" to "sugmestions"
1539	2815	15	"The German Part" should read "The
			General Parti.
1540	2816	50	Chango "Blaise" to "Grillet".
1541	2819	9	Place come after "document," and
			insort "MI-792" after it.
1542	2820	13	Change "Rhono-Poulencis" to "Fouro-
			Beculimats",
1543	2820	22	Change "Dr. Revy" to "Dr. Redigs".
1544	2820	24	Change "he remarks on the patentability
			on" to read "the remarks on the
			patentchildty of".
1545	2822	30	Change "contained" to "condined".
1546	2823	11	"The Special Company" should be
	u		"The Specia Company".
1547	2823	12	"good aspiring" should be "word
			aspirine".
1546	2823	13	Change "for example" to "that is,".
1549	2823	5/1	Place quotation marks at beginning of
			line and change "contracted" to
		gga (-82-)

12-

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s	PROPOSED CORVECTION
1550	2823	26	Add "the" after "to be" at end of line.
1551	2821	23	Place quotation marks at beginning of
			peragraph.
1552	2824	27	Delate "it" after "submit".
1553	2825	2	"comprehensive" should read "compre-
			hensible".
1554	2825	6	Change first word "Schnitzler" to
		-	"Sohmita".
1555	2825	9	Change first word "Bayer" to "Rhone-
			Poulence.
1556	2825	11	"attitude is" should be "attitude as".
1557	2825	13	Chango "phrase" to "sentonce".
1558	2826	9	Change "Your Honors, we note" to
			"Your Honors will note".
1559	2826	12	Change "being" to "is".
1560	2826	14	"the spone" should read "they spoke".
1561	2828	12	Change first two words "to which"
			to "to wit".
1562	2837	9	"for his intentions," should be
			"for the intentions".
1563	2841	26	Change "vory little. That did you
			understand by this?" to read "very
			little, and I must in some form bring
			out what one should understand by
			this."
1564	2842	2	Crango "may I moto" to "I may".
1565	28114	17	Change "smen the" to "till the".
1566	2849	6	"I soo" should read "I saw".
1567	2855	13	Place period after "information"
			(word must to the last of line).
1568	2856	17	Change comma to semi-colon before
			last word in line.
1569	2850	29 qqq (-8	Chango "axhibit hl" to "Exhibit 8hl".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1570	2863	445	Flace comma after "Office". Change "organization of Germans abroad" to "Foreign Organization (Auslands-organization) of the NSDAP."
1571	2863	7	Change "organization of Germans abroad" to "Foreign Organization".
1572	2863	19	Delete "s" of "organizations", last . word in line.
1573	2867	3	"dearly" should be "clearly".
1574	2867	9	"analysis" should be "analysts".
1575	2867	23	Insert "necessary" between "feel" and "because".
1575	2868	18	Delete last word of line as repetition.
1577	2869	25	"are not in evidence" should be "are clearly in evidence".
1579	2870	15	Add "before," at end of line.
1579	2871	5	"correct" should be "correctly".
1560	2872	3	Change #10064" to #1064". Delete
1561	2574	13	Delete "I reported him on the situation (not in the German).
1582	2875	1	Change "German" to "European" (twice).
1583	2875	3	Insert "Economic" between "European"
1584	2877	24	Delete first word of line "there".
1585	2880	29	Delete the word "it,".
1586	2883	8	Change "If I state this, I did it onco! to read "If I stated this, I did so".
1587	2882	31	Insert "Dr. Ahlemann," after "friend".
1586	2863	3	"A. I never saked anybody to have a duel with me. But I would like to make the remark that I learned later that Dr. Ahlemann wanted me to be asked if that played a role here at all."
1589	2683	17	Insert "and" after "danger".
1590	2884	1	Insert "who" after "military men".
1591	2884	6	Change "but" to "while". Delete "while they".
1592	2884	25	Change first word "being" to "and was".
		990 (-	54-)

...

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION

1593	2985	3	Change "of the Kapp-Putsch?" to read "of von Kapp in the Kapp Putsch?"
1594	2885	7-8	Change "coup d'etat and Eapp Putsch was combatted" to read "suppression of the Eapp Putsch".
1595	2885	22	Change "and indicted there where he describes" to read and there he has described".
1596	2885	27	Change comma to period after "it". Start new sentence with "From".
1597	2885	29	Insert "the name of which I cannot now remember, " after "function". Place comma after "function".
1598	2885	31	Change "of Economics. Then Horr von der Heyde" to rend "of Economics, and with these offices Herr von der Heyde".
1599	2890	1	Insert "not" after first word "must",
1600	\$890	9	Delete "which".
1601	2890	30	"is not evidence." should be "an evidence."
1603	2892	33	Delete "to" after "attack".
1603	2893	1	Place "to" at beginning of line.
1604	2895	3	"Sub-Division L" should be "Sub- Division 1,".
1605	2900	14	Delete first word of line "regarding".
1606	2901	26	"this is nothing" should be "there is nothing".
1607	2903	22	Insert "is that" after first word "Tribunel".
1608	2903	24	Insort "and are" before "not withdrawn" at end of line.
1609	2905	26	Place comma after "reason".
1610	2905	27	"double texation" should be "double texation was not involved.".
1611	2905	31	Change "which this witness could not elicit" to read "in which wo did not elicit".
1612	2907	17	"which camouflage" should be "for camouflage".
1613	ж.	31	"and the occasion" should be "on the
		999(-	D-)

**

ġ.

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Lino(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1614	2908	1	"of British India" should be "of seles organizations in British India."
1615	8908	11	Change "that is when it appeared." to "thon when it was."
1616	2908	14	Change "was" to "wore" after "In thin".
1617	2908	15	Change "that is my client," to "and among them my client,",
1518	2908	33	Change "work" to "word".
1619	2908	28	Delete "it was" after "because".
1620	2908	29	Chango "war caused" to "worsened and war was feered?"
1621	2909	11	Insort "that" after "eventuality".
1623	2909	21-22	Change sentence starting "It would be " to road as follows:
			"Figuratively, the comparison would be very appropriate since it was not only a safety measure but some kind of insurance against the risk of war."
1623	2909	27-28	Chengo "to insure against the threat of war in all kinds of business which took a longer time." to read "to insure, against the threat of war, all kinds of transactions involving considerable time."
1624	2909	31	Dolete "was", second word in line.
1625	2910	6	Change "party officers of NSDAP." to road "part of the officers of NSDAP."
15.6	2910	В	Change "that is also my elient" to
1627	2910	31	Change "some of the planners for an aggressive war was convinced" to read "anyone who plans an aggressive war is convinced".
1628	2911	21	"than Gormany" should be "in Gormany".
1629	2911	25	"in great deal" should be "in great detail".
1630	2911	30	Insert "wore" after "taken", first word in line.
1631	2912	9	"for Ferben" should be "and Forben".
1632	2912	10	Delete first two words "of it".
		999 (-8 sc)

(4)

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1633	2915	13-14	Change from "to have" in line 12 through "German Reich." in line 14 to read as follows: to have those dyestuffs plants get into the influence of enterprises which had nothing to do with I.G. and thus create an extraneous nucleus within the combined German economic sphere of I.G."
1634	2917	24	Change last word "their" to "its".
1635	2924	23	Insert "as an approval" after "construed" and delete same phrase after "committee".
1636	2924	25	Change last word "them" to "it".
1637	3925	6	Place comma after "expedient".
1636	2927	3	Change "to como" to "of coming"
1639	2928	15-16	"to protect it from" should be "for protection from".
1640	2928	21	"for rest," should be "for the rest,".
1641	2931	16	Delete last word "was".
1643	2933	13-13	"customers" should be "customer". Change "a Farbon product" to "Ferbon products".
1643	2933	50	Change "computinging measures" to "comouflaged".
1644	2933	27	Insort "if" between "age" and "you know".
1545	2938	31 -	Chango "drafts two weeks after it broke out." to read "drafts about two weeks after the war broke out."
1646	2939	7	Change "of work which was carried out by the VOWI for OKW" to read "of work of the VOWI which was drafted by the Economic Arasment Staff of the OKW"
1647	2945	23	Change "may have thought they were" to "they thought were".
1648	2947	24	Insort "me" after "summarize".
1669	2947	26	Place comma after "enterprise".
1650	2948	12	"positions" should be "points".
1651	2948	14	La above.
1652	2949	25	"circles as internationals" should be "circles considered and designated as international".
		990(-	

ī.

999(-67-)

No.	Trenscript Page	Line(s)	PROFOSED CORRECTION
1653	2949	27	Change "lie" to "consure".
1654	2950	9	Delete "because" and insert "which one always heard, that" between "words," and "this edifice".
1655	2961 .	12	Change "client" to "elicit"
1656	2954	16	"and the time before" should be "and that even in the time before".
1657	2954	26	"to setablish" should be "was to establish".
1658	2954	30	Complete question begun in this line by "such a possibility for an understanding? Would you say that?"
1859	2954	31	Delete letters "ity". Start new paragraph and enswer with "A. I would say that that was one.",
1660	2955	5	Change period to comma after "Ilgner,". Continuo sentence with "to serve".
1661	2955	31	Change "that we have experienced" to "what we all have experienced at". Place period at end of line. Begin new sentence with "Do you" in next line.
1662	2957	13	Insort "the" between "mention" and "idenlistic".
1663	2957	31	Chango "but I am" to "and I am".

No.	Transcript Page	Mino (s)	PACHESID CONFECTION
1664	2963	24	Change "falscheal" to aforesaid",
1665	2963	22	"comission" should be " commission".
1666	2963	29	Insort "that after "alloging",
1667	2964	11	Change "officet purishable to commit" to read
			Woffeet a punishable compiracy to commita.
1668	296h	25-26	Change the souther Was resultsthis
			triels, to read "The Prosecution in the ET
			Trial in a similar way put in this accusation."
1669	2965	3-4	Delete "In the Opinion". Start new sentence
			with "It says:" in next line.
1670	2967	Title	"GUSTAV REUEGER" should be "KLAT KRUEGER".
1671	2967	7	11939" whould be 11933".
1672	2969	14	Insers "and" after "called".
1673	2969	20	Chauge period to your after "austria".
			Change "on the Traing question" to read
			stalking with him co.et Wachau. ".
1674	2970	17-16	Ghange "to see that if the majority" to
			rond "to sou, as the majority".
1575	2970	25	Delete question marks at and of garagraph.
1576	2971	7	Place quotation combs at end of paragraph.
1677	2271	11	Change "acriting with the great." to read
			Thouling with the wolves."
1678	2972	21	Change "that you had" to read "it was".
1679	2972	30	Delete question mark and insert "by montion-
			ing" after "support", continuing the servence
			with "the Metional".
1680	2972	31.	Place question arth after "Mow-York". Begin
			now sontance with next word "Was" and change
			following word "at" to "that".
1631	2973	31	Change "this question" to read "these details"
1662	2974	9	Change "keeping" to "to keep"
1683	2974	10 9	qq(-E9-)

	Itom No.	Transcri Paga	pt Linc(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
	1554	2976	11-12	Change the part of the sentence "I took the
				idea to it," to read "I took the idea
				up - I could not actually promise it -",
	1635	2979	1	Place commas after "abroad" and after "activ-
				ity".
7-	1656	2979	16	Change "the time which" to "the same time
				whon".
	1657	2979	17	Doloto "that ha".
	1856	2981	3	Change "there is nothing to be said" to
				Withorn was nothing seid".
	1869	2981	9-10	Change "that was a principle that if" to
				"that was the principle. If".
	1690	2981	17-18-	Doloto sentence "And what were the courses
				of this corporation?".
	1691	2981	23-24	Chango from "cs t o finistor "in line
				23 to "asked me" in line 24 to road "and to
				coply to the Enlater of Economics, and
				give the reasons. From a certain time the
				Economic Ministry, as the control of the A.O.
			-	was in its hands, asked mo".
	1692	2982	7	"corporation" should by "scooperation",
	1593	2982 -	25	Add "say was" at und of line after "which
				you".
	1694	2984		Correct pagination from "2934" to "2984".
	1695	2985	1	Change "would be committed" to "wer committed".
	1696	2985	13	"it was a policy" should road "this was the
				policy".
	1697	2986	10	Change period to comma after "works".
	1696	2986	14-15	Change from "or purhaps it seems a bit" to
				"this had been put" to read "what made me
				wonder or perhaps seemed a bit strange to
				mo, and was puts.
	1699	2986	16	Place "was that" at beginning of line before
				owhen the acquisition".

Item No.	Transcript Page		TROPOSED CORRECTION
1700	2986	25	Change semi-colon to comma after "enter-
			prisas*.
1701	2991	16	"point M" should be "point 4".
1702	2992	30	Insert "decided for" after "the KA also".
1703	2992	31	Change last part of line starting "the press
			office " to read "the press office was to
			be under Dr. Brotteer, and where the".
1704	2995	6	Delete lest three words "calculated to be",
1705	3010	18	Pirst word of line "prosent" should be
			"represent"
1706	3015	16-17-19	"the Nazi" should be "the Nazis",
1707	3016	7	Place quotation merks before "I hate" and
			after "nations" at and of line.
1708	3016	8-9-10	Change from "the Germans inothis" to "whother
			the Patherlands to read "the Germans, how for
			they go when their levelty to their Fatherland
			is domanded without being convinced as to
			whother the Patherlands.
1709	3022	27	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
1710	3023	17	9797" should b. 9779"
1711	3027	23	Delete " 'to hunt with the hounds' or, as
			you put it, ".
1712	3029	8	Doloto somma at and of line after "reaction",
1713	3029	9	Place comme after "workers".
1714	3031	12	Change "NI-9720 to read "NI-9620".
1715	3036	1	Insert "there" after first word in line
			wthat's.
1716	30/11	13-14	Bitween lines 13 and 14, insort the following:
			F. SPROCROR: WI-9776.
			THE MINISTER: Thank you.
1717	3041	15	Delete "Thank you".
1718	3043	20	Insort "of Bayer chrocd" after "represent-
			ations"

qqq (-91-)

Itam No.	Transcript Page	_Lino (s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1719	3043	27	"I was told to list those" should be
			"I was told to list them."
1720	3043	27-28	Between lines 27 and 28, insort the following:
			Q. Did you list them?
			A. Yes, from records.
1721	3043	29	Change "They are included." to read "As
			far as any paymonts were made, they are
			included."
1732	3014	2	Change "in political" to "non-political"
1723	3044	17-18-19	Change lines 17,10,19, to read as follows:
			A There would be left very little.
			Q Thouse no, I did not understand
			you.
			A There are not very many political
			payments hero.
1724	3048	21	Change "have actually" to "have not actually".
1725	3049	17	Change "and he makes" to "there he makes",
1726	3053	14	"was not quito correct?" should be "is not
			quite correct?"
1727	3056	12	Delete "in" at and of line after "to bo".
1728	3059	8	Dolote "which" after "maps".
1729	3059	30	Change "principally" to "the principal"
1730	3061	23	Delete first word in line "for".
1731	3063	7	Add "on the port of" at end of line after
			esince
1732	3063	8	Change last two words "had been" to "it was"
1733	3064	9	Change "metal company" to "Metaligesells-
			chaft.
1734	3066	20	First two words "put on" should be "put in".
1735	3072	2-3	Insert "some report" after "other reason"
			in line 2, and delete it in line 3.
1736	3079	12	"Siegestheim" should be "Schiltigheim".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	FROPOSED CORRECTION
1737	3084	5	Correct number of Exhibit is "1235".
1735	3090	9	Place period instead of question mark
			after "Hersen".
1739	3092 ₺ ₺	f. 13 & ff.	"Coyka" or "Coika" should be "Cojka".
1740	3092	26-28	"Conficencial" should be "confidential"
1741	3092	30	"conficence" should be "confidence"
1742	3095	3-4	Delete "as an agoncy of Hust".
1743	3095	12	Change "Jetnils" to "details".
1744	3095	22	Last two words "more details." should be
			"only details,"
1745	3096	3 0 ff.	"metal plant", "metal works", "metal enter-
			prise", stc., should all be "metallgesed!-
1746	3097	26	Change from "in particular" to "the affairs
			in Norway" to road "in particular urged the
			representative of the Beich to have the
			affairs in Worway".
1747	3099	6	Change "should take" to "which was to take"
1748	3099	9	Delete comma and place a dash after "Easter".
1749	3100	12	Insert "the" between "know" and "ratio",
1750	310h	29	Change "under any outh details" to "under
			oath any details".
1751	3107	1.	Delete "nes" after "whether".
1752	3111	25	Change "are not being" to "are now being",
1753	3112	15	Change "in a fow questions" to "in the
			quostions
1754	3117	3	Delete "and" at beginning of line.
1755	3124	18	"plans" should be "plants".
1756	3128	2-3	Lines 2 and 3 should read as follows: "that
			I had to concode to Farbon, since they were
			interested, for another firm to produce
			that product, but that they should
		99	4(-93-)

,

Itom	Transcript		
163.	Page	Lino(s)	FADPOSID CORRECTION
1757	3128 -	5	Delete "to such a firm".
1758	3128	8	"the mention and figure" should read "you
			mention a figure".
1759	3128	18	"NI 1095" should be "NI 10595".
1760	5131	24	Place period after "Roich" and begin new
			santence with Windustry".
1761	3136	30	Change up this sumse of the list" to road
			"up the sense of this list".
1762	3138	3	Insort "which" after first two words "all
			plants".
1763	31.38	10	WThat wase should be "That is",
1764	3140	7	Place comess after first word "chomical" and
			after "Four Year Plan".
1768	32140	В	Place com a after "chemistry".
1766	3141	26	"bucauso" should be "became".
1767	311,2	15-16	"shoover has" should be "whoever had" in
			both lines 15 and 16.
1768	31h2	17	"whoover knows" should be "whoover know".
1769	311/2	28	Place comme and delete dash after "experience"
			Change "that I had" to road "such as I had".
1770	3242	19	Change period to comma after "other side"
			and continue sentones with "that person".
1771	31/1/4	1	Change "discussed, " to "montioned" and
			transfer comes to after "temorrow".
1772	3148	28-29-30	Lines 28 to 30 should read as follows:
			At the end of the last paragraph on that
			page, I want to add after "Our own
			judgments of foreign states, " - I would
			like to add the phrase "of foreign states",
			before "were top secreta"
1773	3151	1/1	Change "Verstand" to Front Your Plan Office"
1774	3151	32	Delote fas a result of";
			999 (-94-)

Ite No	m Trans	eript e Line(s) Figersed correction
17			First word "inserted" should be "interested".
17	76 316		Change "made material available" to "material
			was made available"
17	77 316	2 11	Delete "and" at end of line after "broke
			out, ".
17	78 316	2 16	First two words "it became" should be "it
			involved".
17	79 316	11	Insert "Exhibit 368, NI-6160," after "in
	14		the German. ".
17	80 316	3 32	Place period after "sales combines".
			Change the rest of the line to read "Moreover,
			a part of this work was".
170	81 316	h 1	Change this line to read "requested directly
			by these sales combines and had something to
			do with Farbon."
178	32 316	6 6	Delete "in" after "bid you see".
178	3 316	6 7	"stamp on it" should be "stamp on them".
178	4 317	3 13	Change for cumulative, or else our anticip-
			ated" to read "or are cumulative, or else
		100	are anticipated".
178	5 317	7 20	"Adolf Haele" should be "lifred Noshle".
178	6 317	7 31	Change "to interest themselves very" to "to
			intorest binmelfw.
178	7 317	8 17 24	T. "Hoele" should be "Noehle".
178	8 317	18	Change "that can free themselves." to "to
			free themselves of it."
175	9 3160	20	Change "similar to that we" to "similar so
			that wee.
179	0 3183	21	Insert "Exhibit 1059" after "NI 9289".
179	1 3185	26	Change "the" to "they" before "had only".
179	2 3187	3	Delete "and" before "in order".
179;	3 3187	1 4	Place period after "book" and begin new
			sentence with "Since the basic".

qqq(-95-)

	Item	Transcript Page	Line(s)	TAGRESED CORRECTION
	1794	3139	25	Change Progerdless of location and thoses .
		3		to "let alone those".
	1795	3109	211	Place period after "III-A". Begin new
				sontence with ".horous some".
	1796	3109	30	Place an "e" at and of "foreigner".
	1797	3169	31	Change "of slave labor of such peoples"
				to road "of such playe labor."
	1798	3283	8	Insert "I.G." after "Role of".
	1799	31.88	30	Phocouse of means" should be "because the
				mocos".
	1800	3190	7	Change "deter inod" to "diligent" and "relat-
				lyes to smarratives.
	1501	3190	22	Change line 22 to read "indictment on page
				92, that the sets, conduct, plens, and".
ì	1602	3191	6	Delete colon after "status" and add "in
				affoot; "
	1503	31,91	7	Delete quotation works at beginning of
				paragraph.
	1304	3191	3)1	Delate quotation marks at and of paragraph.
	1805	31.92	3	Change "to Eruct" to rund as follows:
				in the "Distress Project Bruex".
	1806	31.93	1	Delate "winich"after 3C 194,".
	1507	3123	B	"has not ordered" should be "has now ordered".
	1808	3193	27	Delete com n T.C insert "is" after "nont
				doorsants.
	1309	3195	1	Delete comes and insert his ofter Manut
				decument.
	1610	3196	12	First word "skips should be "skipsing".
	1611	3197	31	"affirmative" should be "affirmation".
	1812	3198	5'	Delete "it" before "is not an affidavit."
	1813	3199	6	"Edilbit 12/5" should be "Dohibit 1295".
	1614	3201	11	Agg(-96-)

Ttom No_	Transcrip Page	t Lino(a)	FROPOSED CORESCITOR
1815	3202	15	Delete quetation carks before "it states"
	14		and place befor: "the recruiting".
1516	3202	24	work FAS should be only FSS.
1817	3203	1	Insert "thes after "fulfilment of".
1618	3203	2	Place quotation merks after "businesses".
1519	3203	6	Delete quotation numbers and of paragraph.
1820	3203	11	"copacity" should be "copability".
1621	3203	26	Delete quotation marks before "Report".
1622	3203	28	Delote quotation merks after "Gormany".
1823	3203	29	Delote quotation a ris before "to Germany".
1634	3203	32	Delota quotation marks after "indignities ".
1825	3201)	Ł	Delete desh mul quotation marks before "the
			industry.
1526	350/1	8	ware damped" should be "were desped".
1827	3507	9	Dolete quotation merks at and of paragraph.
1626	3204	$1I_{d}$	Place quotation werks after "Sast".
1629	320/4	16	Delete quotation merin after "workers".
1530	320/	17	Dolots colon after "that" and quotation
		v	marks before "such".
1831	3507	21	Delete quotation write at end of paragraph.
1632	3201	22-23	Delete "states that: "These extracte".
			Following word "recount" should be "recounts",
1633	320);	25	Delete crotables marks at and of paragraph.
1634	320L	29	Delete "on" est quotation perks before "to
			all group".
1835	3205	2	Delote quotellon curbs at end of paragraph.
1836	3205	20	Delete funtation works and dash before
			wor the Chairmans.
1637	3205	21	Delete quotation marks after "Frank." and
			before "this report".
1535	3205	24	Delete quotation peris at und of peragraph.
1639	3205	27	Delete quotation marks before "31 August
			1913".

999 (-97-)

	Item T	Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
- 3	1 40	3205	31	Delete quetation marks at end of paragraph.
3	1841	3206	2	Change colon to comma after "from minutes"
				and delete quotation marks before #1 Threh
				19կկո,
	1842	3206	3	Dolete quotation marks after "Planning
			-	Boards and bafore "Sauckel".
3	1943	3206	8	Dolete quotation news at end of paragraph.
1	844	3206	18	Delete quotation make bufore "Mational".
3	545	3205	26	Delote quotation marks at end of paragraph.
3	346	3207	5	Dolete "in" before "tile deciment".
1	567	3207	13	Place quotation marks at and of line after
				"too plant".
1	848	3207	21	Change "or as the person" to "is the person".
1	849	3200	7	Delote quotation marks at end of paragraph.
1	650	3200	24	Delete quotation marks before "The instant".
2	851	3208	25	Place quotation marks before "foreigners".
1	552	3209	11	Place somi-colon after "manapower".
1	853	3209	12	Dulate semi-colon after "of war".
1	854	3210	86-17	Charge "NI-1500 to "III-6100".
14	55	3211	23	Change "HT-1500" to "HT-6100".
18	556	3212	29	Add "is that" as old of line, after "recon-
				ciliation".
18	57	3214	21	Change first word "you" to "them".
1.6	156	3215	3	Change "difference" to "division" and
				"clarification" to "classification".
18	59	3216	16	Dolete first word of line "that" and change
				the last one "drafted" to "concentration".
18	60	3216	17	Change first word "clave" to "camp".
-16	61	3216	2.8	Change "and we have found it." to read "as
				we have found then".
18	62	3217	5	"domination" should be "dominion"
18	53	3219	8	Change comme to period after "Defense" and
			q	begin now sentence with "If you conclude".

Ttom No	Transcript Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1864	3219	23	"computation" should be "certification"
1865	3220	21	"through the Schlopau," should be "except
3			Schkopau, ".
1866	3229	1	Insert "is" after first word "This".
1867	3230	34	Last word "dismissid" should be "discussed".
1863	3231	4	Correct date: 427 Pobruary 1941
1869	3231	6	Change "Dict" to "Greatuffs industry".
1870	3231	В	"2,8h17 workers" should be "2,8h7 workers".
1871	3232	22	Delate quotation marks before "suggestion".
1872	3232	13	Delete quotation marks after "participations."
1873	3232	19	"work of Sauckel" should be "work of the
			Sauchel cagaign."
1874	3232	29	Place quotation marks after "ago" and delete
			quetation marks before and after Wimola
			agencies were detailed to Ludwigshafen".

1.6

NO.	TRANSCRIPT P.GE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1675	3236	18	"end the period" should be "in the
			period*.
1876	3240	9	Insert "here" after first word "con-
			fronted".
1877	3241	19	"passage" should be "practice".
1878	3242	6	"an affidavit" should be "this effida-
			vit".
1879	32/12	19	Delete "so" after "brought here".
1880	3242	20	Change comma to semi-colon after first
			word "time".
1881	3245	9	"lasmen" should be "laymen".
1862	3249	25	Delote "why" before "such reduction".
1863	3252	8	"is 105" should be "is page 105".
1864	3254	21	"appointed him" should be "appointed "
			coult.
1885	3254	27	Delete quotation marks before "Aside".
1556	3256	4	"wes not lew" should be "wes not lew-
			na".
1867	3256	25	"if improper" should be "of improper".
1888	3262	4	Place quotation marks at and of pare-
			graph.
1869	3263	9	"Geonet" should be "Grenot".
1690	3263	10	"Marek" should be "Mareck"; "van Mel"
			should be "van Mol".
1891	3265	25	Lest word "identify" should be "identity".
1892	3265	27	"notice" should be "noticeable".
1893	3267	3	"meeting of Lucwigshefon" should be "of
			the meeting of the Ludwigshafen".
1894	3267	7	Insert "parter "2.7".
1895	3267	11	Insert "illegible," sfter "sign ture".
1696	3267	22	Insert There is before lest word
			"Schnitzler's"
		nau	2 / 100

999 (-100-)

NO.	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1897	3269	22	Delete "to" efter "caused".
1693	3269	26	"that the transfer" should be "at the
			transfor".
1899	3270	4	"though" should be "through".
1900	3272	20	Correct number is NI-2831.
1901	3272	30	"correct. The observation" should read
			"correct in the observation".
1902	3273	11	"way importance" should be "war impor-
			truce".
1903	3273	17	"withhold" should be "hold".
1904	3273	18	"obeyrnee" should be "abeyrnee".
1905	3275	3	Dolete Inst word "needed".
1906	3275	25	"affidavit" should be "affidavits".
1907	3281	3	Add comme et end of line efter "heve".
1906	3281	4	"served" should be "preserved".
1909	3284	5 4 12	Last word in both these lines "merded"
			should be "marked".
1910	3285	27	"for their rilments" should be "because
			of their silmonts".
1911	3290	25	Insert "and" after first word "factories".
1912	3292	15	Delete one "they".
1913	3292	22	Last word "consisted" should be "consists".
1914	3292	29	"the beginning" should be "that beginning".
1918	3293	6	"NI 1654" should be "NI 6154".
1916	3293	11	Delete quotation marks before "at page".
1917	3294	16	"Besper" should be "Vesper".
1918	3295	14	Place quotation marks before "shortage".
1919	3295	25;	Place dash after "service girls".
1920	3295	25 & 26	Place comma and desh efter "volunteers".
			Delete "and the labor service girls" and
			change remainder of sentence to read "and
			then Female Crostians who come within the
		-	forced lebor category."
		537	ARE E-SERVICE

999 (-101-)

ITEM NO.	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
	- (-)
1921	3296	4	Insert "show" efter "NI-6125".	
1922	3299	5	Insert "and" after "barrecks".	176
1923	3299	6	Insert "of the English" after "page 26".	9
1924	3299	17	Place quotation marks before "Interrup-	10
		9	tion".	
1925	3299	18	"pregnance of femal" should be "preg-	
		8	nancy of female".	
1926	3299	19	Place quotetion marks efter "Kreis."	
1927	3299	29	Delete "the" before "Leverkusen".	
1925	3302	11	Place quotation marks before "Bruex".).
1929	3302	18	Place quotetion marks efter "Ludwig-	
			shafen".	
1930	3303	8	Delete quotation marks before "and sec-	
			ond" and before "1 June".	
1931	3303	12	Delete quotation marks at end of para-	
			graph.	
1932	3303	15	Delete quotation marks before "27 July	
			19/43".	
1933	3303	17	Delete quotation marks after "work".	
1934	3303	18	Dalote quotation marks before "concern-	
			ing" and expitaliza first letter of	
			same word.	
1935	3303	19	Place quotation marks before "resist-	
			ance.	
1936	3304	30	Delete quotation marks before "the epi-	
			demics".	
1937	3305	1	Delete quotation marks after "camp" et	
			end of line.	
1938	3305	5	Delete quotation marks before "Italian".	
1939	3305	7	Delete quotation marks at end of para-	
			graph.	
1940	3305	23	Delete quetrtion marks before "In" at	
		3	beginning of line.	
		990	9 (-102-)	

ITEM NO.	TRUNSCRIPT PLOE	LDE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
1941	3305	24	Dolete quotation marks after "men,".
1942	3305	26	Delete quotation marks before "Medical".
1943	3305	29	Delete quotation marks at end of para- graph.
1944	3306	2	Delete quotation marks before "Italian".
1945	3306	6	Delete quotation marks at end of para-
			graph.
1946	3307	8 4 9	"campaign" should be "campaigns".
1947	3307	20	Delete quotation marks and place dash
			efter "physicien" et end of line.
1948	3307	22	Delete quotation marks before "et first".
1949	3307	27	Change "the cost possible" to read "even
,			the possible cost".
1950	3308	3	Delete quotation marks before "5 French".
1951	3308	a	Delate quotation marks at end of para-
			graph.
1953	3310	15 & 16	Delete as repetition "the recruiting to
			this matter should be paid to the follow-
			ing:"
1953	3311	7	Insert "Committee" efter "Exemining".
1954	3312	11	Insert "according" after "division".
1955	3313	4	Delete quotation marks and deab before
			"drainage".
1956	3313	6	Delete quotation marks after "attention".
1957	3313	9	Delete quotation marks before and after
			"Then".
1958	3313	10	Delete quotation marks after "conditions"
			at end of paragraph.
1959	3314	18	Correct date to "31 July 1944".
1960	3315	4	Delote last word "spocks".
1961	3315	5	Insert "the" efter first word "of".
1962	3316	10	"NI-964" should be "NI-8964".
			4.17

.

2.10

1

·

NO.	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LDE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
1963	3316	22	Delete quotation marks before "food".	
1964	3316	23	Delete quotetion marks after "work."	
1985	3316	24	Delete comma and insert "that" after	
			"notation".	
1966	3317	3	Delete quotation marks before "It is	
			reported".	
1967	3317	5	Delete quotation marks after "of men."	
1968	3317	9	Delete quotation marks before "In com-	
		1	parison ^u .	
1969	3318	12	Delete "of one statement" at end of line.	
1970	3318	21	Insert "to" efter "strtement".	
1971	3322	4	Insert "date" before "1 Merch 1944" af-	
			ter "of the".	
1972	3322	1.8	Delete quotation marks before "Opon ad-	×
			vice".	
1973	3322	20	Delete quotation marks after "draft,".	
1974	3323	11	#260" should be #250".	
1975	3324	24	First two words "that may," should be	
			"that may be,",	
1976	3324	25	Insert "and" before "it would".	
1977	3327	6	Insert "name" after "State your".	
1976	3330	1	Place "ift. MCHAN:" at beginning of line.	
1979	3338	7	Insert "or else" After "to be sick".	
1950	3339	34	"correct that." should be "correct in	
			that,"	
1951	3343	22	Delete "In" and start sentence with "The	
			affidavit".	
1952	3348	243	Delete as repetition "that you were ill	4
			and, on the other hand,"	
1953	3348	20	"and the plant" should be "of the plant".	
1954	3350	22	Change "passed a medical examination of"	
			to read "been examined by".	

*

999(-104-)

NO:	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LDE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	-
1958	3354	24	Add "Do you remember this name?" after	
			"Schaffhaeuser?"	
1986	3360	4	Insert "to the entrance next to" after	
			first word "got".	
1967	3365	25	"correct" should be "correctly".	
1958	3366	10	"recalled" should be "called".	
1969	3366	15	"misunderstanding" should be "misunder-	
			standing of language."	
1990	3366	16	"Police de l'usine?" should be "Police	
			de l'usine in the effidevit?"	
1991	3366	20 4 23	"factory police" in both these lines	
			should be "factory guard."	
1992 1953 1954	3368 3366 3372	18 16	First word "were" should be "wore", "cisored" should be "visored". "we did not even heve" should be "we had	
			not even had".	
1995	3372	22	"rigor" should be "vigor".	
1996	3372	29	"several of the, " should be "several of	
			them, ".	
1997	3373	18	Place quotation marks around "Clocke a	
			Gaz." ("Grze" should be spelled "Grz").	
1995	3374	2	Place quotation marks around "Clocke a	
			Gaz". (Again "Gaze" should be spelled	
			"Gaz").	
1999	3374	25	Delete "beceuse".	
2000	3374	26 & 27	Delete "we asked them who did it, and	
			they told us".	
2001	3375	1	Second word "of" should be "or".	
2002	3375	3 & 4	Change "on the punishment detail." to read	
			"for penel work."	
2003	3376	21	"scop" should be "scope".	
2004	3376	23	"witnesses" should be "witness! ".	
2005	3377	28	"give us" should be "give me".	
			A TABLE	

.

TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LDE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
3377	30	Change "the entrance to your came No.5,"
		to read "opposite to your camp No. 5."
3378	30	"Yes, I have." should be "Yes, I did,"
3379	1	Change "Thirty yerds, forty yards;" to
		read "Thirty meters, forty meters;".
3381	18	"trenchs" should be "trenches".
3381	21	Insert "them" efter "through".
3381	25	Insert "in the cokery," after "shelter"
		in the middle of the line.
3382	18	"near the factory?" should be "in the
		cokery?"
3384	14	Change "said? Was it directly" to read
		"seid, that it was directly".
3384	18	"with which we cooked" should be "which
		was cooked".
3386	7	Change "water supply," to read "water
		pipe that also supplied the camp,".
3386	32	Change last three words "other prison-
14		ers of" to "French civilian workers".
3387	1	Delote first two words "other nation-
		alitics".
3389	33	"is any Cost" should be "at any Cost".
3391	24	"In recommend said" should be "In reco-
		smonding the srid".
3391	30	Delete as repetition "book, there is no
		document which is supporting this".
3393	10	"11181 to" should be "11781 do".
3393	11	"NI-11181" should be "NI-11781".
3393	- 30	Change comma to semi-colon after "index"
		at and of line.
3394	3	"thing" should be "think".
3394	9	Place "that" at end of line after "has".
		The state of the s
	9.468 3377 3378 3381 3381 3382 3384 3384 3386 3386 3387 3389 3391 3391 3393 3393 3393 3393 3393	PAGE LINE(S) 3377 30 3378 30 3379 1 3381 18 3381 21 3381 25 3382 18 3384 14 3384 18 3386 7 3386 7 3387 1 3389 33 3391 14 3391 30 3393 10 3393 11 3393 30 3394 3

	ITEM NO.	TRANSCRIPT P/GE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
	2026	3395	8	"quitable" should be "equitable".
	2027	3397	6	Insert "tomorrow" after "witnesses".
	2026	3402	29	"inmates with the " should be "inmates
				within the".
,	2039	3403	16	"a number" should be "the number".
	2030	3404	1	Insert "of this effidevit; and I ask for
				the opportunity" after "the admissibil-
				ity".
	2031	3407	23	Delete "se in "documents".
	2032	3408	12	"Comp V" should be "Comp 4".
	2033	3409	5	H7H at beginning of line should be "72".
	2034	3409	10	"Crap 5" should be "Crap 4".
	2035	3409	16	"Tribunals" should be "Tribunal".
	2036	3409	17	"that we will" should be "and then we will".
	2027	3412	22	Insert "1433" efter "1432".
	2038	3414	6	Insert "end" before "the" at end of line.
	2039	3414	10	Last word "was" should be "as".
	2040	3414	27	"out books" should be "our books".
	2041	3416	19	Delete "to" before "these" at end of line.
	2042	3417	8	Change semi-colon to comme after first
				word "innates".
	2043	3418	2	"of" before lest word in line should be
				Mor".
	2044	3418	3	Place "and" before "sither one" at begin-
				ming of line.
	2045	3420	647	Change period to comme after "Lagerbe-
				streuung," and change following sentence
				beginning with "As" to reed as follows:
				which even when the Prosecution main-
				tained that both words were used, they
				themselves translated as "camp care".
	2046	3420	13	First word "can" should be "cannot".

ITEM NO.	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
2047	3420	24	Delete first word "thet".
2048	3421	21	"evacuation" should be "excavation".
2049	3422	7	Last word "typhus" should be "typhoid
			cases".
2050	3425	19	Insert "that the Pregue gentlemen" after
			first two words "Prague gentlemen," and
			before "on their own initiative,".
2051	3426	16	Change "Cerl" to Kehl".
2052	3427	19	"looking" should be "locking".
20.63	3432	22	"to bring out" should be "to make certain".
2054	3439	5	Insert "of the" before "Montan" at end
			of line.
2055	3439	29	"This Sporte" should be "This field of
0			the I.C.".
2056	3440	15	Change period to comme "fter "datril" and
			add after it "because I do not know what
			works are meant.".
2057	3443	28 & 29	Change this answer to read "The rate of
			interest was determined by the discount
			rete of the Reichsbank at the time."
2056	3445	15	"from the Reich to the firm" should read
			"from the Reich, the immemont Department,
			directly to the firm".
2059	3445	20	"included, or as" should be "included on
			a parallol basis, or as".
2960	3445	23	"superiors" should be "superior".
2061	3445	32	"r year 1943." should be "the year 1943."
2062	3446	21	"safeguard" should be "safeguarded".
2063	3446	31	"very long this line," should be "very
			long along this line,".
2064	3447	10	"creeted an impression would" should read
			"creates an impression which would".
		00	(a (-ine)

999 (-105-)

ITEM NO.	TRUNSCRIPT P.GE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
20 65	3447	12	Insert "has" after "witness".
2066	3455	22	Chango "122" to "119".
2067	3458	749	Last two words "list persons" in both
			lines should be "list of persons".
2068	3460	22	"suffidiene" should be "sufficient".
2069	3463	10	"inmate affidevite," should be "inmates!
			effidavits,".
2070 2071 2072	3465 3466 3469	6 -16 14	"clod" should be "cold". "five hundred" should be "one hundred fifty". "Mr. Reied" should be "Mr. Fried".
2073	3472	18	Change "Tribunel" to "proceeding".
2074	3473	15	Change entire line beginning with bonnec-
			tion" to rend "connection with the Com-
	-		mission or the order under which it will
			operate are incomplete."
2075	3474	3	Last two words "add vouching" should be
4			"and vouching".
2076	3475	5	"circumstances" should be "circumstance".
2077	3477	3.	"Then ask your" should be "Then I will
			eak your.
3078	3482	5	Change period to comme after "No. 7" and
			continue the sentence with "the express".
3079	3482	10	"extend" should be "extent".
2060	3483	14	"I meant to say" should be "I mean to
			say".
2091	3483	16	"to work out" should be "to work it out".
2052	3482	22	Change comma to semi-colon efter "NI-
			6667 " at and of line.
2063	3483	23	Change comme to semi-colon after "NI-1972 ".
2054	3463	29	"in this announcement" should be "of this
			announcement".
2095	3484	8	"syeyed" should be "strted".
2066	3484	23.	Start a new Poregraph with "I am" efter
			"objection."
	-		999(-109-)

NO.	TRINSCRIPT PAGE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
2067	3484	27 & 28	Delete as repetition all of line 27 and
			first three words "reserve the right" in
			line 28.
2088	3485	13	Insert "some" efter "offered".
2089	3485	14	Insort "early" efter "point".
2090	3485	18	Place comma after "further,".
2091	3485	19	Place comma after "was made,".
2092	3487	4	Change first two words "No. II." to read
19.			"to Book No. 11."
2093	3487	24	Place pariod after "time-sever."
2094	3488	8	First two words "we did" should be "we
			will". Insert "ell" after "counsel".
2096	3488	9	Delete "gaye" before last word "of".
2096	3489	16	"Pohl" should be "sole".
2097	3490	16 - 21	Change entire paragraph to read "Your
			Monors, I beg you to allow me to make a
			short statement. I would like to testi-
			fy in my native Osech lenguage. Bocause
		24	of the difficulties which the interpret-
			ore will have, who are not familiar with
			all the different technical expressions
			to translate them from Czech into the
			English language, I have decided to aban-
			don this decision of mino, end, for these
			technical ressons, to testify in German."
2098	3491	11	"in fron" should be "in front".
2099	3502	5	Change "sixteen" to "ten".
2100	3503	24	"dimors" should be "division".
2101	3504	L	"Dr. Bosch" should be "Dr. Besch".
2102	3504	21	Insert "paid" after "amount".
2103	3504	22	Change "This fact was only became known
			after" to read "This fact only became
			known to you after".

ITEM NO.	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
	4			
2104	3507	1	Change "No" to "yes".	
2105	3509	4	Delete lest word "still".	
2106	3510	5	"in this cause" should be "in this case".	
2107	3511	16	Insert "not" efter "was it" before "in	
			1938°.	
2106	3511	17	Delete second word "not".	
8109	3511	19	Change entire line to road "(cooper)-	
			ation they had placed a lot of Parbon	
			experiences in these two plants?"	
2110	3513	15	Change "should not be considered as	
			sold?" to read "was not to be consider-	
			ed covered by the sale?"	
2111	3513	26	Change "and therefore that we demended	
			recognition" to read "and therefore we	
			demended quesi recognition".	
2112	3514	10	"shipped" should be "cheap".	
3113	3517	13	Insert "wra" before last word "all".	
2114	3517	23	Changed "sold to the present" to read	
			"deposited in the present".	
2115	3519		Change Pagination "3619" to "3519".	
2116	3519	6	"has to be sold." should be "had to be	
			sold."	
2117	3519	9	Place commes before and efter "that is,".	
2118	3519	22	Place-comma efter "negotiations" at and	
			of line.	
2119	3519	23	Place comma efter "that is".	
2120	3520	8	First word "That" should be "It".	
2121	3520	25	"I.G." marks the end of the question.	
			Place "A. No, it was never operated"	
			as the enswer of the witness between lines	
		-3	25 and 26.	
2123	3521	10 qc	"Lueller" should be "Mueller".	

.

NO.	TRUNSCRIPT PAGE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
2123	3522	13	"residing" should be "resigning".
2124	3522	27	Dolete "wore" after "in the bank,",
2125	3536	30	"what the contents" should be "that the contents".
2126	3537	2	Change entire line to read "Socialist system obviously."
2127	3544	26	"52" should be "56".
2125	3546	6	Change "there 400 to 420 were in one"
			to read "there were 400 to 420 in one".
2129	3546	28	Change "defense. You're" to read "de-
			fense when you're".
2130	3548	25	First word "proceed" should be "precede".
2131	3550	12	Last word "published" should be "deliv-
	14401	-	erede.
2132	3550	32	Delete last two words "in the".
2133	3551	13	Place desh efter lest word "quantities."
2134	3554	12 6 13	Lines 12 and 13 should reed " Ferben
			had signed in Hall 820; in the firm of
			Burbonk, Burbank had signed; and in the
			paint shop Parbon had signed; also Fer-
			ben in the shoemakur's shop."
2135	3566		"and this bulletin was made" should read
			"whom this bullotin came out".
2136	3567	2	Last three words "in one block" should
	20.2		be "is one block".
2137	3567	12	Dalste last word of line "was".
2138	3569	28	Place comma after "Kommiss".
2139	3570	7	"carrying on" should be "carrying in".
2140	3570	9	"carried on" should be "carried in".
2141	3575	24	Insert "but" after "I don't know".
2142	3576	1	"ill" should be "will".
2143	3581	7	Change "Q" to "A". ("Who in Gormany-",
	*****		etc., is the reply from the witness.).

_	TEM NO.	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LDE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
2	2144	3582	15 & 16	Between lines 15 and 16, insert the fol-
				lowings
	- 0			THE PRESIDENT: Is this man mentioned
			-	in the affidevit?
				DR. DRISCHEL: Yes, Your Honor.
				He is mentioned under point 4.
				The witness says there: "I obtained
				this information from prisoners who
				were employed in the orderly room at
				Monowits and who had to be correctly
				informed about those things, as for
				instance, Stefan Hymann."
2	145	3583	28	Last word "participate" should be "per-
				ticipated".
2	146	3585	15	"I am playing the piano," should be "I
				play the plane,".
2	147	3590	12	First word "forty" should be "sixty".
2	148	3594	2	Picce "wer" efter "when the" at end of
				line.
2	149	3594	18	"of their long time" should be "of the
				long time".
2:	1.50	3594	23	Delote "Do you know that?" (ontire line).
2	151	3605	6	"in the case" should be "in that case".
2	152	3608	24	Insort "PB" ofter "instructions".
2	153	3615	27	"reply" should be "rely".
2	154	3616	23	Lest word "but" should be "by".
2	155	3626	13	Last word "sides" should be "sites".
2	156	3630	11 4 12	Change "so they would be gassed." to read
				"would be gassed."
2	157	3631	19	"in such a berracks," should be "in such
				full barracks,".
23	153	3631	29	"51 were living" should be "51 were for
				living". 999 (-113-)

ITEM NO.	TRANSCRIPT P.OE	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
2159	3635	8	"and to the cases" should be "and for the
170			casos".
2160	3651	1/4	"cspos" should be "kapos".
2161	3651	30	Add "who" at end of line after "prison-
			oro*.
2162	3651	31	"these prisoners" should be "these were
			prisoners".
2163	3654	27	Place dash after "proceedings" at and of
			line.
2164	3657	30 & 31	"was unconspicuous" should be "in conspic-
			uous**.
2165	3665 & 3666	32	After Line 32, of Page 3665, and before
	a 3000	+	Lino 1, of Page 3666, insert the follow-
			ing:
			Q. I would like to know from you,
			Witness, whether you were arrested be-
			cause you belonged to a certain party?
			A. I belonged to no Party.
			Q. and also today you belong to no
			Party?
2166	3667	6	Lest three words "there not a" should be
			"there was not A".
21.67	3667	7	Delete "was" after "prisoner".
2158	3668	33	First word "barracks," should be changed
			to "barracks parts,".
2169	3668	1	Change "trucks" to "stones".
2170	3675	32	Delate period and insert "the cards
	~	_	which each senior innate kept of his
			people," after "the camp".
2171	3683	13	Last word "then" should be "that".
2172	3687	20	Insert "across" efter "to get it".
2173	3689	31	Lest three words "that was there," should
		c	bo "that were there."

2)-

NO.	TR'NSCRIPT PAGE	LDE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
2174	3692	29	"were in September" should be "were	
			used in Septembers.	
2175	3695	12	Change "was either hushed (?)" to road	
			"was pushed forther on".	
2176	3701	16	Delete "Schwerin Oberlandespræssident in".	
		17	Insert "Schwerin Oberlandespraesident,"	
			efter "present".	
2177	3702	2 & 3	"eldest" should be "eldests". in both	
			lines.	
2178	3705	25 & 26	Insert "which" before "by reason". Delete	
			"in which" after "they had".	
2179	3706	2	Place somi-colon efter "ebout thet".	
2180	3707	32	Change last three words "Kape and foremen"	
			to "inmates."	
2181	3713	11	"meny cases were" should be "meny ceaes	
			whore",	
2195	3715	7	"as I.G. buildings." should be "as en I.	
			G. building."	
2153	3717	1	Lest word "the" should be "thet"	
2184	3718	22	-dd "for this efternoon," after "Mr.	
			Rrusch [®] .	
2185	3721	7	Place quotation marks before "bocause".	
2156	3724	7	Insert "to" bufore lest word "the".	
2167	3724	10	Change "issued and I said" to read:	
			mssured and I added	
2185	3724	12	Delete quotetion marks efter "of war".	
S199	3724	18	"and as strted" should be "and not as	
			stated". Place comma after "translation".	
2190	3725	29	Change "private business" to "these auti-	
4444	-04		orities".	
2191	3726	7	"1943" should be "1942".	
2192	3726	25	Change "that officials, neither in meet-	
			ings" to read "that neither in official	
			meetings".	

999 (-115-)

NO.	TR. MSCRIPT P. GE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION	
2193	3726	28	Delete first word "norhaps". Place com-	
			me after "If et all,".	
2194	3727	2	Change "overlooked" to read "set aside".	
2155	3727	4	Change entire line to read "opinion that	
			it was inadmissible, that we could not	
			do this,".	
2196	3728	4	"question" should be "questions".	
2197	3728	14	"customery from" should be "customery	
			form".	
2198	3728	26	Change ontire line to read "from Germany,	
			this application is valid at the same	
ě			time re mia.	
2199	3728	27	Dolete "the" before allocation" and add	
			"pa" et end of line.	
2200	3728	28	Change "of the business management to	
			permit" to read "for the greating of em-	
			playment approval for".	
2201	3728	31	"this affidevit" should be "your affide-	
			vit".	
2202	3728	32	Change entire line to read "amorge as	
			though besically private firms were the	
			ones whoff.	
2203	3729	1	Dolete "true" efter "ressons".	
3204	3729	5	Change "Mr. SPRECHER:" to "Mr. V.N STREET:"	
2205	3730	2	"voluntarily" should be "involuntarily".	
2206	3730	17	Insert "which" after first word "charac-	
			ter".	
2207	3730	22	Insert "this" efter "directly on".	
2205	3732	2	Place period and ignert "It is also"	
			after "itself".	
2209	3732	5	Insert "the" after first word "that".	
5510	3732	12	"estimates that" should be "estimates	
		9	99 (***********************************	

NO.	TRANSCRIPT P.GE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
2211	3732	32	Place comms after "affidavit" and change
			"that we" to "but I".
2212	3733	11	Change "I am convinced, this was also
			done in the Flick trial," to read "I am
			convinced, - and this also happened in
			the Flick trial,-"
2213	3733	25	Insert after "Military Internoes?" the
			following: "Shall I report the question
			once more or isn't it necessary?"
2214	3734	4	"that the position" should be "to im-
			prove the position".
2215	3734	5	Delete "was tried to be improved".
2216	3734	11	"their legal position" should be "or the
			legal position".
2217	3735	27	"that they were" should be "whether they
			woro*
2218	3736	12	Change period to comma after "year".
2219	3736	15	Delote "and" after "tension".
2220	3736	16	Delete "this obligation".
2231	3736	19	Change period to comma after "brought in"
			and continue the sentence with "for
			which Polish workers were thought-".
2222	3737	31	Insert "whence" after "Moravia".
2223	3738	26	First word "What" should be "Would".
2224	3739	20	Delete "with" at end of line.
2225	3739	21	Change ontire line to read "whether or
			not be could not, by lack of initiative,
			refuse to go into certain kinds".
2226	3739	22	Change period to comma and place dash
			after "ordered". Next word "All" should
			be "all".
2227	3740	6	Place period after "carried out" and
		99	start new sentence with "With".

NO.	TRANSCRIPT PAGE	LINE(S)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
	4		
2228	3740	26	Insert "have" after "could never",
2229	3745	32	"exported" should be "exploited".
2230	3746	17	Insert "it" before "is necessary".
2231	3747	4	"#6190" should be "NI-6190".
2232	3749	28	"after had" should be "after he".
2233	3750	2	"set" should be "fret".
2234	3753	12	Insert "book" after "document".
3235	3758	20	Second word "the" should be "these".
			Place comma after "Birkonau".
2236	3759	10	Insert "to" before last word "that".
2237	3762	2	Piece period after "explain." Following
			words "every inmeto" should be "First,
			overy incate".
2235	3762	20	"asy" should be "anw".
2239	3763	24	"such as glasses," should be "such as er-
			tificial limbs and glasses,".
2240	3763	26	Dolote "three things".
2241	3763	27	Insurt "took eway with thom" before
			"their glasses", and place puried after
			"limbs" at end of line.
2242	3763	28	Delete "word slways kept."
2243	3765	5	Delete second word "only."
2244	3767	22	Insert "Comp" after "Concentration".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
	3993	6	Insert "rest" after "so-called".
4245	3773		4464.1.07.3.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01.01
2246	3783	17	Change last word "rarely" to "sometimes".
2247	3785	5	Change "in Monowitz," to read "on that day," .
2248	3787	8	Delete "what I know," at begin- ning of line.
2249	3787	10	"By" should be "From".
2250	3787	12	Place comma after "but" and in- sert "Your Honor," before "if the witness".
2251	3787	20	Change "about a tram over levers," to read "over drums, with trams, lever works, work shafts,".
2252	3787	28	"is done" should be "was done".
2253	3787	33	"to push it" should be "to push them".
2254	3789	h	"by I.G." should be "from I.G."
2255	3790	7	Place period after "out." at end of line.
2256	3750	8	Delete "by the collaboration."
2257	3790	16	"being used" should be "in used".
2258	3790	17	Change "observations" to "excep- tions".
2259	3790	18	First word "made" should be "make".
2260	3790	20	"or are" should be "which are".
2261	3791	5	"term." should be "term."
2262	3791	30	Change "On the other hand," to "Purther,".
			Also change last word "perma- nently" to "constantly".
2263	3792	7	Pisce comma after "crowded" and change following word "and" to "but".
2264	3793	24	Change first word "charts" to "re- ports". Also change "other hand" to "other side".
2265	3794	25	"have sent" should be "have sent away".
2256	3796	6	Change "which I shall not" to read
		999 (-119-)	"if I now"

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2267	3798	13	"obtain" should be "send".
2265	3801	29	Change "more simple" to "greater".
2269	3802	18	Insert "have the possibility to" after "could you".
2270	3806	2	Delete question mark and insert "if they" after "immates" at end of line.
2271	3806	3	First four words "At first they gave" should read "at first gave".
2272	3806	24	"it is" should be "which is".
2273	3805	28	"obedisques" should be "obelieks".
2274	3807	3	Change comma to period after "finished" and delete "yes".
2275	3608	17	Insert "with it" after "reported".
2276	3816	15	Place closing parenthesis after "indicating" at end of line.
2277	3817	19	"say" should be "saw".
2275	3618	3	"when it was" should be "that it was".
2279	3618	9	Insert "the" after second word
2260	381,6	22	"you" should be "who" before
2281	3819	29	Delete "in" after "also see".
2262	3819	21, 22 & 23	Change lines 21, 22 and 23 to read:
			PM. INSECT: The Prosecution poves at this time to strike the description of the pictures out of the record. The description of the record is not evidence. It's merely description. We think a circumstance is being put as evidence before the Court here which"
2263	3620	10	Change "concerning the authors" to read "and the names of the authors".
2264	3023	11	"or answer the" should be "or his answer to the".
2265	3823	21	"But they have" should be "but they had".
2256	3827	30	"1167" should be "1172".
2237	3633	10	Delete "time".
		999 (-120	-)

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2255	'3833	11	Last two words "for piece" should be "to piece".
2289	3833	12	First word "time" should be 'work".
2290	3833	20	"in the best" should be "for the best". Last two words "but some" should be "and some".
2291	3835	12	"feel" should be "fell".
2292	3836	13	"isn't it?" should be "wouldn't it?".
2293	3836	30	"certainly is" should be "certainly was".
2294	3836	31	wis it?" should be "was it?"
2295	3838	8	"told me" should be "told us".
2296	3839	13	Change "Yes" to read "That I would question." Continue with "Do you", etc.
2297	3839	32	Add "necessary?" at end of line after "noise is".
2298	3975	10	Insert dash after last word "ques- tion" and before period.
2299	3842	30	"A bus man" should be "A desk man".
2300	38142	31	Change "for anything," to "for a firm,".
2301	1448	Ē	"can't should be "couldn't".
2302	3846	28/29	Retween lines 28 and 29, insert the following:
)	Q. In the Lager, were also two English physicians who had the care of the English pris- oners-of-war, weren't there?
			A. Yez.
2303	3847	31	Change "Ressels" to "boilers".
2304	3848	6	"dessels" should be "boilers".
2305	38h8	25	"Nacflingey" should be "Hacflinge".
2306	3848	26	Change "All of them." to read "Of all nations."
2307	3849	u	"had no clothes properly" should be "had no proper clothes."
2308	3850	5	Delete "a" before "Haeftlinge".
2309	3851	199(-12	-) "can't" should be "couldn't".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2310	- 3856	21	"not cross examine" should be "not be cross examined".
2311	3860	5-6	Delete as repetition "and he sent me to the workshop and there I knew my work,"
2312	3862	18	Change "sustained" to "overruled".
2313	3866	2	Change "we were worked less hard" to read: "since we worked there, were less hard".
2314	3866	32	Insert "thousand" after "hundred-
2315	3869	5	"had political Kapos" should be "had a political Kapo".
2316	3870	6	Last two words "I had" should be
2317	3870	15	Change first word "impressive" to impersionable".
2316	3871	1.8	First word "say" should be "saw".
2319	3872	3	Insurt demosph" after "them".
2320	3672	12	Last word "carefully" should be
2321	3874	5 & 16	"Theifer" should be "Pfeffer".
2322	3875	2	"they were" should be "it was".
2323	3876	24	"an issue" should be "no issue".
2324	3880	15	Facond word "transcript," should be "document books,".
2325	3883	11	Insert "of the document" after "page 2".
2326	3883	15	"1401" should be "1501".
327	3885	17	Place quotation marks at end of line after "speeded up."
1328	3685	18	Place "Under point 4:" and quota- tion marks before first word "addi- tional".
329	3685	19	"!T-109405" should be "NI-10948".
330	3886	5	"Exhibit Ibll" should be "Exhibit ISL".
331	3892	15	Delete "The" and begin the next sentence with the following word
332	3893	28	Change second word "then" to "that".
333	3897	2h 999 (-123	Delete "red" before "copy of a letter

superior". 2335 3901 15 "with to" at end of line should a "wish to". 2336 3902 2 Change "Dr. Duschel." to "DR. 2337 3902 15 Delete "there was". 2338 3902 16 Change "it should be adequate" to "was adequate". 2339 3902 30 Change "DR. Duschel." to "DR. 2340 3903 2 As above. 2341 3903 10 As above. 2342 3909 14 Change "provided by" to "sub- ordinate to". 2343 3909 15 "by Dr. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 2344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Jung;" in line 14, to read as fol lows: "A. I nover asked him. 'I had discussed that methanol sever times amone us, and there were prosent various employees of the nothanol plants and of the glass store. Br. Rales nover made any scoret of the fact that this methanol was to serve for the bar ing of Jews;". 2345 3911 10 a 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as fol lows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousan libers of methanol, which in". 2346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as fol- lows: "A. I have said already that this was scmething about whit the foremen Ralms and Kriss and Dr. Rodchhardt, who was in the". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "fre- quently". 348 3912 31 "no" should be "not".	Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2336 3902 2 Change "Dr. DTISCHEL:" to "DQ. DIX:". 2337 3902 15 Delete "there was". 2338 3902 16 Change "it should be adequate" to "was adequate". 2339 3902 30 Change "DR. DRISCHEL:" to "DR. DIX:". 2340 3903 2 As above. 2341 3903 10 As above. 2342 3909 14 Change "provided by" to "sub- ordinate to". 2343 3909 15 "by Dr. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 2344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Juwa;" in line 14, to read as fol lows: "A. I nover asked him. I had discussed that methanol sever times amone us, and there were present various employees of the nothanol plants and of the glass store. Tr. Kalles never made as fol lows: "M. These quantities were not the only ones dalivored; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousan libers of methanol, which in". 346 391 15 Change entire line to read as fol lows: "A. I have asid already that this was senething about which the foremen Kalms and Tries and D Reichbardt, who was in the". 347 391 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 348 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	2334	3898	31	Place quotation marks before "His superior".
Date "Mr. Mischell" to "D?. Dith.". Delete "there was". Change "it should be adequate" to "was adequate". Change "DR. DRISCHEL:" to "DR. DIX.". Change "DR. DRISCHEL:" to "DR. DIX.". As above. As above. As above. Change "provided by" to "sub- ordinate to". The provided by to "sub- ordinate to". The provided by "to "sub- ordinate to". The provided by	2335	3901	15	"with to" at end of line should be "wish to".
2338 3902 16 Change "it should be adequate" to "was adequate". 2339 3902 30 Change "DR. DRISCHEL:" to "DR. DIX:". 2340 3903 2 As above. 2341 3903 10 As above. 2342 3909 14 Change "provided by" to "sub-ordinate to". 2343 3909 15 "by Br. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 2344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Jums;" in line 14, to read as follows: "A. I naver asked him. Whad discussed that methanol sever times amone us, and there were present various employees of the notbanol plants and of the glass store. Br. Rales never made any secret of the fact that this mathanol was to serve for the buring of Jews;". 2345 3911 10 a 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as follows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousan liters of methanol, which in". 2346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as follows: "A. I have said already that this was semething about which the foremen Kalms and Kries and Briechhardt, who was in the". 346 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 348 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	2336	3902	2	
"Wass adequate". 2339 3902 30 Change "DR. DRISCHEL:" to "DR. DIX:". 2340 3903 2 As above. 2341 3903 10 As above. 2342 3909 14 Change "provided by" to "sub-ordinate to". 2343 3909 15 "by Dr. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 2344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Juws;" in line 14, to read as follows: "A. I mayor asked him. Whad discussed that methanol sever times amoun us, and there were present various amployees of the nothanol plants and of the glass store. It Raise never made any scent of the fact that this sethanol was to serve for the buring of Jews;". 2345 3911 10 a 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as follows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousand libers of methanol, which in". 2346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as follows: "A. I have said already that this was sensothing about which the foremen Kalms and Kries and Dradchhardt, who was in the". 346 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 348 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	2337	3902	15	Delete "there was".
2340 3903 2 As above. 3341 3903 10 As above. 3342 3909 14 Change "provided by" to "sub- ordinate to". 2343 3909 15 "by Dr. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 3344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines il, 12 and 13 to "of Juws;" in line 14, to read as fol lows: "A. I nover asked him. W had discussed that methanol sever times amone us, and there were present various employees of the nothanol plants and of the glass store. Wr. Kales never made any scenet of the fact that this sathanel was to serve for the bur ing of Juws;" 345 3911 10 & 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as fol lows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousan liters of methanol, which in". 346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as fol lows: "A. I have said glready that this was samothing about whic the foremen Kalms and Kriss and Dr Beichhardt, who was in the". 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "fre- quantly". 3912 31 "me" should be "not". 3913 31 "me" should be "not".	2338	3902	16	Change "it should be adequate" to
3342 3909 14 Change "provided by" to "sub- ordinate to". 2343 3909 15 "by Dr. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 2344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Juws;" in line 14, to read as fol lows: "A. I never asked him. W had discussed that methanol sever times amount us, and there were present various employees of the notherol plants and of the glass store. Wr. Kalss never made any secret of the fact that this mathanol was to serve for the bur- ing of Jews;". 2345 3911 10 & 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as fol lows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousand liters of methanol, which in". 346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as fol- lows: "A. I have said glready that this was semothing about which the foremen Kalms and Kriss and Dr. Beichhardt, who was in the". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "fre- quently". 348 3912 31 "no" should be "not". 349 3912 31 Change "when the sun rose." to	2339	3902	30	
3909 1h Change "provided by" to "sub- ordinate to". 3909 15 "by Br. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Jows;" in line 1h, to read as fol lows: "A. I never asked him. W had discussed that methanol sever times amone us, and there were present various employees of the nothanol plants and of the glass store. We Rales never made any secret of the fact that this mathenol was to serve for the bur- ing of Jews;". 3911 10 a 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as fol lows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I om saw a single bill, for 50 thousan liters of methanol, which in". 346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as fol- lows: "A. I have said already that this was semething about which the foremen Kalms and Kriss and In Reichhardt, who was in the". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "fre- quently". 348 3912 31 "no" should be "not". 349 3912 31 Change "when the sun rose," to	2340	3903	2	As abovo.
ordinate to". 2343 3909 15 "by Dr. Frick" should be "to Dr. Frick". 2344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Jows;" in line 14, to read as follows: "A. I mover asked him. What discussed that methanol sever times among us, and there were present various employees of the nothered plants and of the glass store. Mr. Kalme never made any secret of the fact that this methanol was to serve for the burning of Jews;". 2345 3911 10 & 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as follows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones dalivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousand liters of methanol, which in". 346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as follows: "A. I have said glready that this was scmething about which the foremen Kalms and Kries and In Reichhardt, who was in the". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 348 3912 31 "no" should be "not". 349 3912 31 Change "when the sun rose," to	2341	3903	10	As above.
Frick". 2344 3910 11 to 14 Change lines 11, 12 and 13 to "of Jows;" in line 14, to read as fol lows: "A. I never asked him. What discussed that methanol sever times among us, and there were present various employees of the nothenol plants and of the glass store. Wr. Kales never made any secret of the fact that this sethanol was to serve for the burning of Jews;". 345 3911 10 a 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as follows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I on saw a single bill; for 50 thousand liters of methanol, which in". 346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as follows: "A. I have said glready that this was semething about which the foremen Kalms and Kriss and Discibilardt, who was in the". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 348 3912 31 "no" should be "not". 349 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	3342	3909	ile	Change "provided by" to "sub- ordinate to".
Jows; "in line 1h, to read as follows: "A. I never asked him. What discussed that methanol sever times amone us, and there were present various employees of the nothanol plants and of the glass store. We. Kales never made any secret of the fact that this methanol was to serve for the buring of Jews;". 2345 3911 10 & 11 Lines 10 and 11 should read as follows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousand liters of methanol, which in". 2346 3911 15 Change entire line to read as follows: "A. I have said glready that this was semething about which the foremen Kalms and Kriss and In Reichhardt, who was in the". 2347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 2348 3912 31 "me" should be "not". 2349 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	2343	3909	15	
lows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones dalivered; I on saw a single bill, for 50 thousand liters of methanol, which in". Change entire line to read as follows: "A. I have said already that this was semething about which the foremen Kalms and Kriss and In Beichhardt, who was in the". Insert "discussed" after "frequently". Insert "discussed" after "frequently". Insert "discussed" after "frequently". Change "when the sun rose," to	2344	3910	11 to 14	nothanol plants and of the glass store. Mr. Kalms never made any secret of the fact that this methanol was to serve for the burn-
lows: "A. I have said already that this was scmething about which the foremen Kalms and Kriss and In Reichhardt, who was in the". 347 3911 16 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 348 3912 31 "no" should be "not". 349 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	2345	3911	10 & 11	Lines 10 and 11 should read as fol- lows: "A. These quantities were not the only ones delivered; I only saw a single bill, for 50 thousand liters of methanol, which in".
3911 15 Insert "discussed" after "frequently". 346 3911 31 "no" should be "not". 349 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	2345	3911	15	that this was schething about which the foremen Kalms and Kriss and Dr.
349 3912 3 Change "when the sun rose," to	2347	3911	16	Insert "discussed" after "fre-
The same and their right and their right.	348	3912	31	"no" should be "not".
	149	3912	3	Change Wellen the sun rose," to road Wwhen it was still dark,".
6 Change "he was a bit interested in the work I made." to read "he had a certain interest in the work G9G(-123) did."	350	3913		in the work I made." to read "he had a cortain interest in the work

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2351	- 3913	9	Change "I.G." to read "Aldol". De- lete "bit" after "organization".
2352	3913	13	Insert "in France" after "advance".
2353	3913	15	Place dash after "statement" and before "I mention".
2354	3913	17	Insert "the" after "Isn't it".
2355	3913	20	Delete "had", fourth word in line.
2356	3914	12	Change "five minutes which the con- versation" to read "five minutes in which I had the conversation".
2357	3911	14	"fron" should be "front", "minuted" should be "minutes", and last word "somebody" should be "anybody".
2358	3924	21	"because you say that transports" should be "as you say that when transports".
2359	3924	23	Doloto "that" after "affidavit".
2360	391/4	25	Doleta "heard them end".
2361	3915	5	Place "The objection is sustained." after "THE PRISIDENT:". Change "Then pak him" to "Ask him".
2363	3915	12	Change entire line to read "mo failed to return and we saw no one shymore who was transferred to Birkensu and".
2363	3915	20	"lod" should be "lead".
2364	3915	22	Change "Hontpalliar" to "Krist".
2365	3916	30	Delete "that" at and of line.
2366	3918	23/22/23	Delete all three lines as repetition.
2367	3918	29	"car" should be "card".
2368	3919	\$	"what was almost" should be "who was almost".
2369	3922	32	"run" should be "ran".
2370	3923	16	"those workers of that lager" should be "the workers of these lager".
2371	3930	11	"which were filtering beds" should be "for the filtering basins".
2372	3933	3	Change last three words "know it was" to "know that",
2373	3933	L.	Change period to comma after "Camp- bell" and delete following word "Ho".
2374	3939	lı	Change "but yes" to "herein".
		999 (-1	24-)

2375 2376 2376 2377 2378 2379 2380 2381	3942 3944 3948 3953 3954 3955 3966 3969 3974	lı 27 27 13 23 21 18	"and" should be "to". Place period after second word "German". First word "it" should be "them". "NI-10928" should be "NI-10927". "18807" should be "9807". First word "Mare" should be "More". Insert "that" after "to see" before last word "the". "commands" should be "commandant".
2376 2377 2378 2379 2380	3914 39148 3953 3951 3955 3966 3969	27 27 13 23 21 18	Place period after second word "German". First word "it" should be "them". "MI-10928" should be "MI-10927". "18807" should be "9807". First word "Mare" should be "More". Insert "that" after "to see" before last word "the".
2377 2378 2379 2380 3381	3948 3953 3954 3955 3966 3969	27 13 23 21 18	"German". First word "it" should be "them". "NI-10928" should be "NI-10927". "18807" should be "9807". First word "Mare" should be "More". Insert "that" after "to see" before last word "the".
2378 2379 2380 2381	3953 3954 3955 3966 3969	13 23 21 18	"MI-10928" should be "MI-10927". "18807" should be "9807". First word "Mare" should be "More". Insert "that" after "to see" before last word "the".
2379 2380 2381	3954 3955 3966 3969 3974	23 21 18 12	"18807" should be "9807". First word "Mare" should be "More". Insert "that" after "to see" before last word "the".
2380	3955 3966 3969 3974	21 18 12	First word "Mare" should be "More". Insert "that" after "to see" before last word "the".
2381	3966 3969 3974	18	Insert "that" after "to see" before last word "the".
2223	3969 3974	12	last word "the".
2382	39714	200	"commands" should be "commandant".
	24.14	0.00	The state of the s
2363	3975	27	Place come after "six o'clock".
2354	2012	15	Insort "then" after "that".
2365	3982	24	"don't" should be "didn't".
2396	3983	5	Delete "and" after "pulled out".
2387	3983	22	Place period after "Objection" and delete "sustained".
2368	3983	22/23	Botween lines 22 and 23 insert "THE PRESIDENT: Objection sus- tained."
2389	3964	7	Add "and" at end of line after "listed".
2390	3987	17	"Out Patient War." should be "Out Patient Ward."
2391	3989	3	Delate "to him" after "recommanded".
2392	3993	18	Insert Win" after first two words
2393	3994	25	"One through" should be "In one through". Change last two words "and the" to "and in the".
2394	3994	26	Doleto last part of line "That is, it never had an".
2395	3994	27	Change entire line to read "In the latter, they were appointed as administrators, that is, they had".
2396	3995	17	Daleto of after "call".
2397	3995	30	Delete comma after "I believe" and place comma after "it was".
2398	3996	3	Insert "on" after "which is".
2399	3996	4	"of Buetefisch" should be "to Buetefisch".
		999 (-12	

Item No.	Transcript Page	Lino(s)	Proposed Correction
2400	3996	6	Change last three words "to be pur- chased" to road "to have it purchased",
2401	3996	13	Insert "of the index" after "page
2402	3996	16	Change "who writes" to "writing".
2403	3997	2	"MI-1035" should be "MI-10535".
3404	3997	16	"of the act" should be "of the part". Last three words "or the Farben" should be "and the Farben".
2405	3997	17	"procures" should be "procured".
2406	3997	18	"in another one" should be "in any one".
2407	3997	22	First word "ot" should be "of".
2406	3997	30	Inwest "from" before "which I".
2409	3997	31	Change "one, because it shows" to read "only some, because they show".
2410	3997	32	Change corn to semi-colon after "meetings".
2411	3998	13	Insert "who" after "ony jurist".
3612	3998	17	Dulote "but what there would be any question". In the following part "what crime" should be "that crime".
2413	3998	22	"pormitted" should be "pormits".
2414	3998	23	Change "from the subsidiary company which" to simply "from which".
2425	3998	32	Change "sponsored" to "responsible".
2616	3999	9	Insert "Farben" before last two
2417	3999	13	Insert "with ownership by Farben as to" before "some a hundred per-
2418	3999	14	After first word "percent" insert "a thing which I think the documents have shown already amply, as in the Huels plant." Stort new sentence with "But it". Delete last word of line "with".
2419	3999	15	Change first two words "respect to" to read "is allowed to cover up". Delete commas and "I think" at end of line.
2430	3999	16	Dolote entire line.
2421	3999	999 (12	Delete "indeed".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
3422	3999	18	Insert "in the modern world" after
2423	1,001	7	Change "making" to "offering".
2424	4004	13	Add "not" at and of line after "has".
2425	1,005	16	Delete "that is submitted".
2426	1,007	12/13	Botwoon lines 12 and 13, insert "Prosecution Exhibit 1541 for identification. NI-10524 is of-fored as".
3427	14008	27	Delete "axcept" before "because".
2426	h009	31	"in throwing" should be "is throw-ing".
2629	4010	3	"was to have" should be "does not have".
2430	4011	10	First word "in" should be "and".
2431	4012	27	Insert "and" before "have it avail- able".
2432	1,023	30	Insort "an order" after "to make".
2433	4015	19	"and on which" abould be "and which".
2434	4016	1	Last two words "The affidavits" should read "As to the affidavits".
2435	4016	5	"Hoohlr" should be "Hoohle".
2436	1,018	14	"a reference of" should be "a re- ference for".
2437	1,051	5	Delete semi-colon and place dash
2438	4027	1.6	Place comma and delete "at" after
2439	4027	17	Place quotation marks before "Fold- wirtschiftsamt" and place comma after same word.
2440	4027	26	"and it is" should be "and they are".
2441	4026	13	"937" should be "936".
2442	4028	16	Insert "that" before last word "the".
2443	4029	2.	Change comm to period after "Book 2".
2444	4029	3	Change period to comma after "book 31" and delete next word "It".
2445	4031	15	"is a private" should be "was a private".

No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2446	1031	17	"misunderstand" should be "misunder- standing".
2447	4033	4	Place "Dr. GIETLICHS:" before "Your Honors," at beginning of line.
2446	1033	14/5	"A. I still" at end of line 4, be- long at beginning of line 5. (This is the reply of the witness.)
2449	4033	7	Place "Q." at beginning of line, before "Colonel".
2450	4038	6	Insert "was shown, a narrow street" after "Auschwitz". Delete "so it said,". Change comma to period at end of line.
2451	h038	7	Delete "a narrow street."
3452	4039	13	Place occum after "sketches" and again after "that is".
2453	4039	21	"knot" should be "noted".
3454	4040	9	Change "after I had intrduced" to ; "I had already introduced".
2455	holil	2	First word "re" should be "rea".
2456	LOLI	.3	Second word "helo" should be "will",
2457	hohi	16	"mokory" should be "mockery". "at mitigation" should be "of mitigation".
2456	4011	27	Change "have involved concern" to read "were founded which were con- cerned with". Insert "over" before lest word "practically".
2459	4042	2	Delete "the" before "Soviet" and place comma after "Russia".
2450	4042	5	Delete last word of line "same".
2461	4042	7	"monomly" should be "monopoly".
2462	4042	13	"these had been" should be "this had been".
2463	10h2	27	Change "is referring to that." to
2464	4013	1	Insort "charters" after first word
2465	4013	3	"or Economics." should be "of Economics."
2466	4043	11	"NI-hoho" should be "NI-hho".
2467	ьоьз	999 (-	Chango "There's a" to read "Here we have a".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Lino(s)	Proposed Correction
2466	holi3	22	Change entire line to read "will re- member the preceding decrees, you will note that".
2469	4013	23	Delete first and third words "and" and "later".
2470	1013	~ 24	Place comm after "Russia" and change last two words "and reduced" to read "such as reducing".
2471	1:01:3	25	Insert "state" after "raw material".
2472	цоцз	26	Change entire line to read "to another and I call your attention at this time, your Honors, to the fact that the".
2473	EHOH	27	last two words "that purpose" should be "this purpose".
2474	140614	6	Insert "the" after "first being".
2475	hopp	3.0	Dalete last two words "to tho".
2476	hohh	11	Delete entire line as repetition.
2477	hold	18	"ir. Prosecution," should be "ir. Prosecutor,".
2478	hatsh	28	"4970" should be "MI-4970".
2479	hohh	29	Insert "Exhibit" before "1562".
3430	hald	30	"fot" should be "got".
2461	4045	2	Insert "up" after "to put".
2482	4045	5	Insort "Exhibit" before "156h".
2493	4045	15	Change comma to period after "company" and start new sentence with "It was".
2454	4045	18	Add "that" at end of line after "Count 4,".
2485	holis	27	Place comma after "lands". Change "which forests, etc. " to "forests, etc. which".
2466	4045	31	"were owned" should be "are owned".
2457	holis	1	Change "man analogous may as pro- vided the regulations" to read "chalogous to the regulations".
2486	4047	7	"PI-2021" should be "MI-2023".
2489	4047	16	Insert "cannot make Farcen" after first two words "Oil A.G.". Change comma to period after "management" and delete last word of line "can- not".

999(-129-)

Item No.	Transcript Page .	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2490	hol.2	20	
2400	110117	17	Delete first two words of line "make Farben.".
2491	10018	7	Change "referred to State owned matters" to read "in referring to State-owned property, referred to matters".
2492	LO48	12	Place comma nfter "State". Change "The Hague" to read "For then the He rue".
2493	4048	24	Change "Poland" to "the East".
2494	4048	25	Insert "was" after third word "pattern".
2495	4049	3	"is material" should be "are material".
2496	1,01,9	5/1	Change "any talk about" to ha dis-
2497	1:01:9	31	"consummation" should be "consummated".
2496	1,050	8	"mrs only the" should be "was the only".
2499	4050	10	Last three words "he could have" should be "there could have been".
2500	4050	- 11	First word "had" should be "a". Change "during" to "doing".
2501	4050	12	Place period after "Oil". Begin new sentence with "But what".
			Insert "the" before "policy".
2502	4050	21	Insert "the" Defore last two words "controlling thing".
2503	1,050	26	"But I stated by" should be "But here I stated that by".
2504	1/050	27	Change entire line to read "was in some degree only a question of weight. What is important is the".
2505	1,050	31	Delete "that" before the last word
2506	4050	32	Dolete "is add" ofter first word "quotation".
2507	1,052	5	Change "questions" to "element".
2506	4052	В	Change "beligerent" to "enemy".
2509	14025	12	Place comma after "Count I".
2510	1,052	1h	Change comma to period after "war". Begin new sentence with following word "You".
		999 (-1	30-)

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction	
2511	14053	14	Change "if you were to take anything where" to read "you assume that an".	
2512	4053	5	Change "or not you can even go as far as the Hague Convention." to read "you assume that the Hague Convention applies."	
2513	1,053	В	"content" should be "contend".	*
2514	4053	10	Place "the fact that" at beginning of line before "the exploitation".	
2515	4053	11	Delete comma and insert "from" after "question". Place comma after "or not" and after "things".	
2516	4053	12	Change "did to prepare Germany for aggressive war." to read "prepared Germany for aggressive war." End the paragraph here. Begin new paragraph with remainder of line changing it to read as follows: "I would pertainly like to".	
2517	4053	14	"major interest" should be "majority interest".	
2518	1,053	15	"jurpose of that" should be "purpose or that".	
2519	4053	21	Change "ample I think the Tribunal will mark" to read "ample, which I think the Tribunal will note,".	
2520	4053	28	"apart of" should be "apart from".	
2521	4053	30	"that is just the position" should read "that the position".	
2522	4053	31	Charge period to comma after "pur- poses". "raised" should be "raises".	
2523	4054	1	Change entire line to read as follows: "for German troops. It indicates that the plans were that we conquer the". with quotation marks before "we conquer".	
2524	4054	2	Delete "will" before "divide up".	
2525	4054	3	"spoliation" should be "spoils".	
2526	4054	ц	Place quotation marks after "countries".	
2527	h054	5	Change "that moment seizing an arma- ment." to read "that moment the seizing was of armaments."	
2528	4054	12	Last two words "were be" should be	
2529	4054	13	Change question mark to period after "discussed".	
		999 (-13	0-)	

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2530	4054	19	Last two words "from a" should be "form a".
2531	4055	1	"lease" should be "least".
2532	4055	10	"for profit purges," should be "as usufractuary,".
2533	4057	17	"through the monopoly" should be "for the monopoly".
2534	4058	h	First two words "With what" should be "With that".
2535	L059	5	Delete first three words Wwhen taken beside".
2536	h059	7	"indicates" should be "indicate".
2637	1:059	31	"would by" should be "would be".
2538	4060	5	"should be kept" should be "will be kept".
2539	4060	19	Place come after "policy".
2540	.1,063	13	Capitalize first letters in the words "Soda", Caustie", and "Alkalies". Add "(Soda-und Actsalkalien-Oct G.m.b.H.)." at eri of line.
2541	h06h	17	Insert "the" before "affiant Struss".
2542	1,066	16	Change comma to period after "camps". Begin new sentence with following word "Nost".
2543	1,066	17	Place comma after "camps" and change comma to period after "you say". Negin new sentence with "Could that be".
2544	4065	31	Change "were given" to "were con- stituted".
2545	14068	19	Change last word "lecture" to "re-
2546	h069	15	First word "considering" should be "concerning".
2547	4069	16	Delete second word of line "that". Change comm to period after "ques- tion" and delete last word of line "and".
2548	4069	17	Begin new sentence with first word "What". Delete "more than any other and".
2549	P063	28	Change "question him as to" to read "counsel question the witness as to".
2550	4072	4	Insert "the" before "Main Camp".
		999 (-13	

A.

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2651	4071	12	Change "lecture" to "report".
2552	4073	29	Second word "that" should be "there".
2553	1,078	11	"the affiants are" should be "the affiant is".
2554	4078	24	"their wishes." should be "his wishes."
2555	4078	31	"the Defense would be" should be
2556	4080	25	Insert "the" before last word "Auschwitz".
2567	1,081	14	Insert "asked" after "repeatedly".
2556	1,061	22	Place comma after "complement".
2559	4084	20	Change "of the documents were lost." to read "of Bertrams were lost."
2560	4084	214	"RECRES" should be "CROSS".
2561	4090	6/7	Change "than what the Prosecution can do." to read "than the Prosecu-
2562	4094	24	Place quotation marks after "invest-
2563	140914	18	Change comma to period and place quotation marks after "question". "the words" should be "The word".
2564	4095	34	"706" should be "706".
2565	4095	30	"32,2" should be "23.2".
2566	4096	2	Change comma to period after "Office". Begin new pentence with following word "With".
2567	4096	20	"1007" should be "100.7".
2560	4096	31	Insert "for stabilizers were made" after first word "investments".
2569	7033	. 28	"as you have not" should be "as you have noted".
2570	1,100	12	"that line on" should read "that first line of".
2571	14100	32	Delete third word "a".
2572	1,102		Correct pagination of page numbered 1102 on which last two lines begin the reply of THE PRESIDENT to Nr. Sprecher is 1101.
2573	1905	30 999 (1	Insert "been" after "not have".

13	Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
	2574	4104	10	"a year" should be "the year".
	2575	1106	17	"laborator" should be "laboratory".
	2576	14107	13/14	Between lines 13 and 14, insert: "A: I can remember that in all cases, the Metallgesellschaft was the driving force to keep the quota."
7	2577	142.08	7	"10007" should be "NI-10007".
	2578	4109	12	"on here." should be "in here."
	2579	1109	21	Insert "it" before "was a little".
	2560	MIL	19	#10008" should be "NI-10008".
	2561	4112	26	Change "settlement" to "housing".
	3552	1015	15	Change entire line to read "From the point of view mentioned, as to the witnesses who testify".
	2553	1115	16	Place "only" at beginning of line before "as to opinions".
1	2564	4115	22	"Lenger" should be "Gengyel".
G	2565	1418		Correct pagination of page numbered 4117 the last line of which is a question to the witness reading What groups are they?" should be 4118.
-	2566	1,118	2/4	"informatl" should be "informal".
- 3	2587	1/119	26	Delete "to be included".
- 1	2598	1120	29	"German" should be "Germany".
3	3589	6120	30	"themselves" should be "herself".
3	259●	4120	31	"they consumed" should be "was con-
3	2591	4123	1/2	Insert "A. Yes." between lines 1 and 2.
1	2592	1423	14	Add "for" at end of line after "for instance,".
:	2593	1223	21	"of explosives" should be "for ex- plosives".
2	2594	1757	25	"NI-10595" should be "NI-10010".
	8595	141.27	17	"is impossible" should be "is not impossible".
2	596	4128	1	"sild" should be "silk".
2	597	1,128	15	Add "the" at end of line after "state of".
2	2596	4129	999(-	"613, 613" should be "612, 613".

,

Item No.	_Transcript Page	Item(s)	Proposed Correction
2599	1,129	27/28/29	Delete entirely as repetition.
2600	4130	7	Change "account these figures that" to read "account that in regard to these figures for".
2601	4130	8	Delete first word "in".
3603	4132	2	"568" should be "658".
2603	4132	6	Change entire line to read "and with it the dependency of the Wehrmacht which you have expressed in percent- age figures?"
2604	4132	9	"and no concerning" should be "and noncern".
2605	14132	22	"of the Parben" should be "of Ver-
3606	1433	17	Delete "in lt" after "speak".
2607	1433	25	"which had a five per cent share in Farcon's stock." should be "in which Farban had a 50 % share."
2606	h134	6	Delete "it certainly isn't correct".
2609	42.314	.7	Delete "in one case".
2610	• 4234	9	"production of figures" should be "production figures".
2611	4134	10	"1933 to 1945 or 1937 to 1943," should read "1932 to 1945 and for the years 1937 and 1943,".
2612	4135	10	Place commus after "record" and in- scrt after it "page 1917 of the English Transcript."
2613	1035	20	Change "sere determined" to "were found".
2614	4235	21	"on hand of" should be "in".
2615	19710	9	"are economic," should be "were economic,".
2616	4242	10	Delete "up" before last word "from".
2617	1,11,3	30	Insert "for Hasis" after "40,000 tons".
2616	Helts	2/3	Change "on the state of I.G.'s consideration in regard to the further" to read "from the stand-point of I.G. a further".
2619	Lilly	I ₄	Insert "was planned" after "Bura" and before the question mark.
2620	4245	32	"You may answer" should be "you may ask".
		999 (-13	55-)

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2621	1217	11	"participating" should be "partic-
2622	1217	30	"figure" should be "figures".
2623	विक्रा	24	Delete first two words "working for". Place comma after "Reich".
2624	12148	25	"Verdingen" should be "Werdingen" and place comma after same word.
2625	4148	32	Last word "operate" should be
2626	10119	6	"estimate" should be "estimated".
2627	1019	11	"Teichseigen" should be "Reichseigen".
2626	11119	26	Second word "were" should be "was".
2629	4250	1	"contention" should be "computation".
2630	1151	24	Delete "whether".
2631	1152	19	Place comma after "1940" and delete next word "that".
2632	4152	31	Place comma after "has" before last word "actually".
2633	1253	23 4,25	"Versertungschemie" should be "Ver- wertchemie".
2634	4153	24	Incort "have" after "that I".
2635	1253	25	"that I was concerned." should be
2636	1455	21	"It is no" should be "There is no".
2637	1,157	25	"It shall now get" should be "It has now".
2638	h1.62	3	Change period to comma after "is given" and continue sentence changing "The witness" to "a wit- ness".
2639	14163	8	Insert "that" after third word "ask".
2640	4163	9	"Tribunal" should be "Tribunals".
2641	h16h	7	Delete last word of line "under- neath".
2642	1797	8	Insert "count" after first word "marborship".
2643	4167	5	"indicates a membership" should be "indicates SA membership".
2644	1,2.57	18	Add "Year" after last word of line
		9996	136-)

1)

r.

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction

2645	+1,167	30/31	Delete as repetition "otherwise known as the Keppler Circle of Friends, because Keppler Circle of Friends,".
2646	141.68	4	"cs" should be "is".
2647	141.68	10	"in this means" should be "by this means".
2548	1,168	11	"night" should be "might".
2649	1268	19	"NI 6025" should be "NI 6025 P".
2650	1,168	30	"about the Dresdner Bank" should be "of the Dresdner Bank". "SS Rank" should be "SS Bank".
2651	14169	6	"NI-299" should be "NI-399".
2652	1,169	17	"were made" should be "was made",
2653	1269	23	Place period after "membership" at and of line.
2654	4169	ZŁ	Place "The" before first word "state- ment". "not one declined" should be "no one declining".
2655	4170	14	"accounts" at end of line should read:
2656	1270	22	"requested to" should be "requested for". Insert "were" before last word "only".
2657	h171	- 8	"Kranefull" should be "Kranefuss".
2656	4172	23	"does" should be "did".
2659	4173	4	Delote "one".
3660	4173	13	"In sofar as Counsel can" should be "In so far as possible, Coursel should".
2661	10.73	31	Insert "to" before last two words "the statements",
2662	h173	32	"of Hoydrich had," should read "about Haydrich who,".
2663	1,171	12	Delete "It's" at end of line.
2664	1,171,	13	Begin new sentence with "Now what we are". Delete "is" after "about".
2665	1475	5	Insert "was liquidated" after second word "that".
2666	h175	9	Place comma and "NI-12398 and NI- 12399, " after "documents".
2557	4175	999 (-1:	Delete *12401, *.

1175 1175 1176 1176 1176 1176	28 29 3 4 6	Change semi-colon to comma after first word "press". "to show what" should be "to show that". "which everyone" should be "that everyone". "knew about," should be "knew about this,". Last two words "is this" should be "is that this".
1,176 1,176 1,176	3 4 5	"knew about," should be "knew about this,". Last two words "is this" should be
4176 4176 4176	6	"knew about," should be "knew about this,". Last two words "is this" should be
1176 1176	6	this,". Last two words "is this" should be
4176		
	17	
4176		"defendants" should be "defendant's". Last three words "by the individual" should be "as an individual".
	21,	Change comma to period at end of line after "now".
14276	25	Change "as NI-12398 and may" to read "NI-12398 may".
4179	7	"Art. 7" should be "Art. 9". (Be sure this change is made in line 7 and not in line 8).
h180	2	"In time" should be "Indeed".
1,180	3	Place comma after third word which" and change next word "is" to "if". Change period to comma after "de- fense" and delete next word "It".
1,280	21	Insert "has" before "exercised".
b180	22	Change "promote" to "present".
4181	3	Delete first word "that,".
1181	h	Insert "that" before "we do consider".
4181	5	Change "satisfactory" to "important". Change "and adjective" to "of adjec- tive".
4161	6	Change first word "passes" to "touches". Change last word "in" to "and".
1,182	16	"1945" should be "March 1914".
14181	16	Place quotation marks before "has always".
1,181	19	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
14183	27	Change "like marking" to "like to mark in".
4184	8	Change "to have more support" to read as follows: to have "moral"
	4181 4181 4181	h181 16 h181 15 h181 19 h183 27

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2693	·· 14185	25	"19hh" should be "193h".
2691	141.89	29/30	"by private industry." should be "by the German Labor Front."
2692	1191	4	"the subject to" should be "and subject to".
2693	4191	12	Insert "represents" after "payments. Also insert "subtracted" after "usually".
2594	1,191,	8	Add "in the affidavit." after "covered" at and of paragraph.
2595	4294	30	Add "so that" at end of line after "examination".
2596	14197	32	"possible" should be "possibly".
2597	4200	20	"pass that up" should be "pass those up".
8988	4203	16	Delete last word of line "and".
2699	1,203	514	First two words "of you," should be "of yours,".
2700	11205	12 5 28	MII-3999" should be "NI-399",,

Itom No.	Transcript Phgs	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2791	4213	3	Change line to read "immate be it even that a punishment had been ordered against him?"
2702	4214	23	Third word "now" should be "no".
2703	4217	13	Place question mark after "Com- mitment" and delete "which you gained?"
2704	4218	12	Change second word "the" to "a".
2705	4219	26	Change second word "them" to "you". Also change "move them" to "move you".
2706	4220	11	Add "that" at end of line after "matter of course".
2707	4221	33	Add "A. Yes." at bottom of page efter line 33.
2708	4234	1	Insert "that" after "know". "the" should be "those".
2709		31/32	Dolote entire line. Repeated on next page.
2710	4225	1	Delete "not" before "recall".
2711		5	"them arranging" should be " in arranging".
2712	*	6	"turned to a meen" should be
2713		7	Place come after "also".
2714		10	"but is" should be "but it".
2715	*	12	Delete "end" before last word
2716		24	Insert "or" after "whother".
2717		16	First word "gentlemen" should be "gentlemen". Place period after "visit" at end of line.
2715		17	Begin new sentence with first word "However".
2719	4227	12	Insert "Fanslau," after first word "fuchrer".
2720	4232	11	Delete "sco" efter "witness".
2721	,	22	Second word "and" should be "at".
2722	4233	16	Insort "cojection" after "we have no". Begin new sentence with "Key we".
		999 (-140-)	my we .

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2723	4236	4	"over six weeks." should be "every six weeks."
2724	4239	4	"page 15 of the English" should be "page 25 of the German."
2725		5	"23" should be "22". Place quotation marks before "by order".
2723		9	Change quotation marks to single quote before "Department".
2727		10	Place single quote after "Research" and before the quotation marks.
3726	4244	20	"page 15 of too English," should read "page 42 of the English book 84"
2729	*	21	fourth word "to" should be "through". Place period after "entries" and delete "of the German."
2730		26.	Delete "January, 1942 and S January"
2731	H	277	This line should read as follows:
			"Mit. CUSEOFF: 2 January 1942 and 5 January 1942, I believe is on there."
2753	4244	28	Change "hile January." to read
2733	4246	2	Place quotation carks before "The Defense" at beginning of para raph.
2734		28	"I should not may" should be "I would say".
2735		27	Delete "not" after "page".
2733	4248	20	Place corms ofter "basis" and delete "then where".
2737		21	Second word "may" should be "cnn".
2738	4249	25	"Gormen" should be "English".
2739	4250	7	"3 January" should be "6 January".
0740	4252	В	"Page 1" should be Page 2".
2741	*	23	"Page 3" should be "page 2".
2742	1254-4263		These numbers not used. Page 4253 is followed by Page 4264.
2743	4264	5	"on the fact" should be" on the face",
2744	4257	5	"the," should be "them,".
		999 (-14)	

-

Item No.	Trenscript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2745	4267	24/25	Place period efter "Book 11" and delete "and in Document Book 84".
2745	*	29	"Volume 84, page 1" should be "Volume 11, page 72".
2747	4268	7	First word "Soerlein" should be "von KSI RIEM".
2748	4271	24	"Frenchment" should read "French-
2749	4272	34	"You were there" should be "You were then".
2750	4276	10	Change last three words "is Dr. Boos" to read "Dr. Boos is not".
2751		n	Change "and not Dr. Ekarius?" to read "but Dr. Ekarius." (Delet- ing question mark).
27.52	4281	4	Insort "orders of" after first word "to".
2753		33	"AGE Group" should be "Age Group". (Twice).
2754		24	As above.
2755	4382	.26	Change "worked for 12 hours again, etc." to read "worked for 12 hours, restod for 24 hours, and then worked for 12 hours again, etc."
2758	4283	37	"1500" should be "1600".
2757	4284	7	"rests" should be "romains".
2756		16	Add "whon" at end of line efter
2759	4286	8	"Mr. President" should be "Witness",
2760	6292	27	Place comma and insert "in my opinion," after "and" and before "the Prosecution".
2751	4293	4	"dies" should be "doos".
2762		26	Change "say something?" to "ask another question?"
2763	4295	20	Change "To remain voluntarily is very difficult" to read "Volun- tarily? It is very difficult to say."
2764	4297	32	"I was never" should be "I never went".
2765	4298	31	Delote "not" once.
		999 (-142-	.)

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2765	4302	4	"Do you call" should be "Do you recall";
27 67	4303	.26	"Mrs Orial" should be "Mr. Oricl".
27 58	4304	1	Insert "in" after "referred".
2769		24	"depended" should be "depending".
2770	,	27	Insert "able" after "Mr. Voll-
2771	4306	15	Place quotation marks before and after "Pour Proseard".
2772	•	16/17	Change "Alchymist Engel igimpe treuse et un volontaires et un chemiste. Lender," to read as follows: "Equipe treuti et un volontair- es et un chimiste." (A shift of 31 volunteers and one chem- ist.)
2773	4307	16	"went" should be "sent".
2774	6309	14	Place period after "affidavit" and delete following word "of". Continue the paragraph with following sentence "I would like now to offer BI-12396 as Prosecution Exhibit 1623. This is an affidavit of —".
2775	*	37	"mark" should be "Marek".
2776	5	28	"were to be found," should be "were found,".
2777	5310	7	Doleto "number" before last word "which".
2778	*	24	"Fuller" should be "fuller",
2779	4311	16	Place "because" at beginning of line before "of two affidavits".
2780	4312	16	Change "that they ero" to read "perhaps they were".
2781		29	"I have been asked" should be
2782	4313	4	"to talk about" should be "to
2763	1315	3	"you" should be "yourself".
2784		11	Change "level" to "number".
2755	4316	26	Place comma at end of line efter
2785		27	"simply" should be "simplify".
		999(-143-)	

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Idne(s)	Proposed Correction
		1	
2787	4318	1	Insert "as to what" after "agreed",
2786		3	"either one," should be "either one of you,"
2789	*	21	Place period after "affidavite". Bugin a new sentence with "Please".
2790	4319	11	"Kopon" should be "Kogon".
2791	4320	2	"Last two words "the little" should be "a little".
2792	4326	29	"Document 265" should be "Document
2793	4332	7	Place period after "1630" and do- lete the following words and sub- mitsat this time".
			Begin now sentence with "There is".
2794	4333	10	"June 17th" should be "June 15th".
2795		11	Insert "to" after "deliveries".
2795	4334	6	"NI_1246" should be "NI_12246".
2797		17	After line 17 and before line 18, insert the following:
			THE PRESIDENT: Is this sufficient or do you wish a further explanation, doctor? Dr. MELTE: Thank you. That suffices. Mr. SPRECHER: Can we enter it as an Exhibit? THE PRESIDENT: Yes, it is an exhibit. MR. MINSEOFF: New, we offer document NL-12247 as Proceeding Exhibit 1674. This document consists of various letters and we submit them only to point out that further experiments were made with I.G. products in the Lehmann-Facius Clinic for Mental and Nerve Diseases. IM. PRIBILLAY Mr. President, this document, NI-12247, Exhibit 1624, composed of three letters, is set out in the index in three paragraphs. I ask that especially large question marks be placed besides each of the three letters in the index. In the letters there is nothing to indicate that this concerns inmates of concentration camps, but something entirely different, a general observation of typhus patients.
2796	4335	24	"fact" should be "face".

999(144-)

"fact" should be "face".

No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2799	4336		Insert "in" before "all these", Delete "where".
2830	4337	14	Change "that a pattern is shown" to read "to show a pat- tern".
2801	4338	7	"show" should be "shows" (twice). Last word "wore" should be "is".
2802		23	When a man" should be "But
2603	4341	11	Place quotation marks after "stage," and add after it "And farther down: ".
2604		27	Place comma after "noted".
2805	4342	4	"condition" should be "kind". Delete "III" after "experiment".
2806	4343	6	"From index" should be "From the index."
2807	4344	1	Place comma after "text".
2808	•	23	Change last two words "the course" to "because".
2809		24	Change period to comme after "throughout" and continue sen- tence with "he was".
2610	4345	8	Add "bo" at end of line after
2611	4346	10	"It's" should be "It".
2812	4349	19	"NI_1245" should be "NI_12445".
2513	4350	2	"he pointed out" should be "the former pointed out".
2614		3	Add "that" at end of line af-
2815	4352	8	Place comma at end of line af- tor "testify to".
2616	•	9	First word "w uld" should be "word".
2617		15	Last two words "is processing" should be "is being processed".
2618		16	"and will make" should be "end will be made".
2619	4353	4	"whether he died" should be "whether they died".
2827	*	a	"caused persons" should be
		999(-145-)	"caused a person".

Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2821	4354	13	"correction" should be "cor- rections".
2622	4356	28	"166h" should be "16h6".
26 23	4365	18/19	Insert the following between lines 18 and 19 *were not competent to essign them. We had to go to Berlin and apply.
26.24		4.9	Delete first three words "were to Barlin,"
2825	- (4)	21	Change "discussions" to "Con- struction Conferences".
2825	4375	19	Insert "by" after first word "submitted".
25 27	4380	10	"outrated" should be "outvoted."
3828		22	"that you frequently" should be "that you have frequently".
2829		29	Delete comma at and of line after "construction".
2630	4381	25	Insert "of" after "development" and before "Farben".
2831		29	First w ord "case" should be
2632	4382	3	Insert "inspite of" after "too smell,".
2533	и-	10	Place comma after "himself".
2834	4383	20	Insert "it" after "Legally",
2635	6385	1	Insert supplementary line be- fore line 1, reading as fel- lows:
			THE MAISHAL: The Tribunel is again in session.
2635	4386	10	Place comma after "Exhibit 17h0".
2537	1/387	12/13	Delete last two words of line 12 "get through" a nd all of line 13 as repetition.
2538	4389	9	"and notice" should be "and as
2539	4391	12	Wis the case" should be "in the Case".
2640	14393	3	"plant" should be "plan".
2641	1396	1	Delote "That is," and begin now sentence with "As to any".
		999 (-145-)	

1	Item No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	rroposed Correction	~
-					
2	2842	4396	14	Place comma after first word "knowledge". Delete next two words "as to" and also delete comma after fellowing word "those".	
2	2843	4402	12	"witness" should be "witnesses".	
2	2544	11/105	1	Insert supplementary line before Line 1, to read as follows:	
				THE MARSHALL: The Tribunal is again in session.	
2	2845	4	5	"I am no oloar" should be	
***	2846	hh06	30	"Let me ask you a question" should be "Let me answer your second question".	
	2547	1407	23	"Other methods" should be "Another method".	
2	8548	1409	10	""Brod" should be "Broad".	
2	3349	14420	15	"NI 6361" should be "NI 6363".	
2	1850	14,11	1/4	Delets communicator "works".	
2	8651	Phlus	14/5/5	Change order of sentence be- ginning in middle of line 4 to read as follows: "The se- called Degesch firm, which is a German company for com- batting vermin, is supposed to have influenced Testa."	
3	552	1413	b	Dolote "and otherwise,".	
2	653	4418	1	Last three words "is the case" should be "in the case".	
3	654		11	"of the firm" should be "in the	
2	8.55	141,20	12	"Fun," should be "Fund,".	
2	556	4421	1	"cause" should be "case".	
2	≥57		7	Delete "it on".	
2	58	1423	26	"it it please" should be "if it please".	
5	859	4424	20	mend of the book?" should be mend of the document?"	
28	560	и-	30	Delets second word "not".	
28	551	1427	23	Change "grammatical" to "num- berod".	
26	662	4432	999 (-147-)	"Well, when" should be "Well, then".	

A.

P. P.		100		6
Itom	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction	
26 53	11135	26	Change "overruled" to "sus- tained".	
35.54	1434	20	Deleto "as" boforo lost word "having".	
2665	44.37	9	Dolote comma after "Stabslei- ter". "Hess's," should be "Hess's adjutant,".	
2865	1439,14410,1	distrit	The data on pages 4439,4440, & 4441, should be 26 Nov.	
25.67	14139	2)4	"On the fact" should be	
26.68	**	21	Place quotation merks after "transcript,".	
26.59	1442	2	Place quotation marks after	3
2870	4146	10	Place period after "All of them are." Begin new sen- tones with "However,".	
2671	latiso	3	Insert "number" after "ex- hibit". "NI-;2527" should be "NI-12627".	
2872	1452	3	"Exhibit 1918" should be	
2873	14155	13	Last two words "of making" ahould be "by making".	
2674	LI156	28	Last four words "has be the withess" should be "has been by the withess".	
2575	. 10	29	Add "put" at and of line after "wore to".	
2575	n	30	Doloto "put" boforo" under- neath".	
2877	4458	27	"loft this" should be "loft lammberg".	
2576	14459	0	"Exhibit 1831" should be	
2679	р.	21	Dolote lest word of line "the".	
2560	a.	22	Change entire line to read "that is, the Military Ec- onomic Armament Office of the OKW, from".	
2561	4461	14	Insert "in" before last	+
2662	141463	999(-165-)	First word "what" should be "which".	

	Itam No.	Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2	3883	- կկճկ	11	Place quotation marks before and after "To Prok. Kuhl- Schatten, Dipl. Ing. Hichaelis,".
2	8664	at .	17	"NO.269" should be "No.269"
.2	2565		18	Change "Official Newspaper" to
2	366	4465	3	Change "Novembor" to "February".
2	557		7	"I will" should be "It will".
3	16.5E		23	Place quotation marks after "monthly with".
2	8689	14465	6	Place comma after "Pension".
2	590	14167	18	"1475" should be "1457".
5	690	1468	11	"On page 6" at beginning of line should be "On paragraph 6".
2	692	. 10	18	"in to." should be "in toto."
2	693	14169	16	Change "NI-12116 is not of- fored and should be" to read "NI-12116 offered and it should be".
26	594	W170	21	Place period after first word
2	95	14172	17 -	First word "Also" should be
26	596	4476	3	"hendwiring" should be "hand-
26	97	W178	13	Dolets "the" ocforo "tro docu-
28	395	ليلا83	1	Place "B" at beginning of line before "Gurhard Ritter" and "9," before "the afficavit".
20	99	1486	22	othe latter affidavit, should be "the last affidavit".
29	100	14169	24	"momorandums" should be
29	C1	и	28	"abstantive" should be "sub-
29	CS	141/91	4	Change period to comma after "his absence", and continue sentence with "we shall",
290	03	W	5	Insert "is given for him" after "opportunity".
290	04		25	Delate "I am sure that" after
			999(-149-)	first word wift.
			Link wise of	

Itom	Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2905	14492	7	"so that may" should be
2905	ti -	31	Delete last word of line "of"
2907	0.	32	Change first two words "got- ting the" to road "in respect to tho"
2906	4494	9	"examination." should be "ex-
2909		11	Insert "the" before "witness stand".
3910		1h	"considerable" should be "con-
2911	D.	15	"affiants" should be "affiant.".
2912	ж-	22	Innort "recess" after "it
2913	0.	2h	First word "contemplation" should be "completion".
2914	14498	9	Change "es the prosiding" to road "end that the prosiding ".
2915		12	Change period to comma after "record" and continue the sen-
2915	4503	9	Delete comma after "general
2917		10	Doloto comme after first word "on". Change "in fact," to "it is a feet," and add "that" at end of line after "exemple,".
2916	450h	21	Charge "there was no such thing as the SD." to read "that was not at all for the SD."
2919	4.	26130	"Inland" should be "within Gormony".
2920	4512	24/25	Botwson lines 24 and 25, in-
			THE FRESIDENT: Would you have a complete list of outstanding witnesses provided for us?
39.51	п	25	Change "THE PRESIDENT:" to road "HR. SPHECK R:"
2922	4516	5	Change "executive presiding judge matter" to read "executive matters of the pro-
		999 (-150-)	siding judge".

4 "

Itom	Transcript	Idm(s)	Proposed Correction
2923	1,516	13	Place quotation marks after first word "(pro)duced". De- lete quotation marks after "1960".
2924	4519	18	Place period after "true" and delete "though".
2925	0	22	First word "from" should be
2925		26	Pieco single quote and quo- tation marks a fter #5000". Insert "then" after dash and place quotation marks before "7800 tens".
3927	4520	ц	Last two w ords "wes conferred" ahould be "we conferred".
2928	-,	7	"That those conversations" abould be "These conversations".
2929	4523	32	"lit" should be "limit".
2980	4524	19	Piece period efter "correct" and delete "though".
2931	16	21:30	"200 tons" should be "600 tons".
2932	4525	31	"of the plant" should be "of the plans".
2933	4526	21	"sre finished" should be "wos finished".
2934	4527	Ø	Insert "by" ofter first two words "to mean".
2935	te	13	"ramak" should be "remark".
3936	4528	31	"35" should be "36."
2937	4536	10	"reading" should be "reaching".
2986	b537	26	Delete first word "had". Also delete "following".
2939	4540	2	"No. 265" should be "NO-265".
2940	н	15	Change "Dr. Kovon" to "Dr. Kogon".
2941	451/1	26	Change "from thet" to "that which".
2942	w	27	First word "it" should be "which".
2943	×	28	"is not in point," should be "is in point." And "not" at and of line efter "It did".
2944		99(-151-)	weas in proper" should be "was

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Lim(s)	Proposed Correction
2945	. 4542	26	#29 January, 19h1" should be #29 December, 19h1".
2956	45143	6	Delote "said" before lest word
2947	6.1	7	Insort "said" ofter third word "case".
2948	и-	14	First word "Hovon" should be
2949	4547	9	"Kunin" should be "Kounine".
2950	W	25	"This completes" should be "This reduction agrees with".
2951	4549	11	Delate "counsel mants to be beerd".
2952	4550	7	Chango "chomical" to "pharma-
29 53	W	11/4	Insort "it" after "consider".
2954	a	18	"omulctivo" should be "cumu-
2955		24/25	"cosulativo" should be "cumu-
3956	4552	17	Place our mas ditor "document" and after "affida vit".
2957	e	21	Delete "11" before "con lead".
3955	w	.55	Change "soo" to "road".
2959	4557	18	"81" should be "Book Bl".
29 SC	4558	12	Insert "the" ofter "true that" and before "kitchen".
2961	9	33	Insert "for the immates," ofter "administered".
2962	4559	17	"civilians who" should be "civilian foremen who".
2953	4564	1	Place period after "irrelo- vant" and delete "in this con- nection".
2954	14567	12 /13	Change "as in the preliminary step after they had been e-m- structed" to read "as in t construction of the first plant."
2965	п	27	"version" should be "conver-
2935	4580	5	Doloto last word "not".
		999 (-152-)	

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
2967	4587	17	Change "transferred " to "covered".
2968	4588	13	Delgto "preliminary" onco.
29 69	14592	13	"not rodirect" should be "no rodirect".
2970	4593	15	"refer" should be "defor".
2971	4594	3/1	"without" should be "within".
2972	4595	2	Delete comme ofter "Schletterer". "is available" should be "cre both evailable".
2973	4597	6/7	Doloto es repetition "and I will expect a further report very shortly".
2974	4600	28	Place comme after "should".
2975	4601	23	Place quotation marks at bo- ginning of line before "I remember".
2976	4602	2	Place quotation marks at ond of paragraph.
2977	4603	11	"is not in order." should be
2978		33	Change "I was to speak the Deputy" to read "I was, so to speak. the Deputy".
2979	1,605	28	"Lossing" should be "Blossing".
2980	4606	2	"as available," should be
2981	1,612	12	Change comes to period after first word "Himmlor".
2952	4613	15	"that is now" should be "that it is now".
2958	4624	I,	"we thought, he had as direc- ted." should be "we thought he had been directed."
2984	20	18	Delete comma after "about".
2965	4615	27	"War Labor of Hinistry" should be "Gau Leber Hinistry".
2925	461,5	3	"The Prosecutions, of course," should be "The Prosecution's ob- jections, of course,".
2937	4621	29 999 (-153-)	Change "industrialists" to "pooplo".
			Ť.

Itam No.	Transcript Page	Line(a)	Proposed Correction
2966	4627	32	Change first word "they" to
2969	4628	26	Change first word "dono" to
2990	4630	26	First word "planning" should be "planting"
2951	4639	h	"Then, it is not" should be "That is not".
2552		6	Change "In this government or with Georing" to read "And at this point I would like to hear what trains of ideas were stendard on the part of this government or with Georing".
2993	4640	324	Delete quotation marks after
2534	0	~ 15	Place quotation marks after "Reich".
2555	4661	h	First two words "our principle" should be "principally"
2596	4644	17	Insort "and so forth, and" ofter
2997	146145	19	Change 'sherge" to 'rming".
2696	11646	18	"if they work words should be
2559	14647	12	"c speicel" should be "especi-
3000		27	"81 hbbo" - ould on "HI bbo".
2001	4648	22	Change "the surface; this is apert" to med the surface is aperts
3002	146149	3	After Time 3, insurt heading
			"RECROSS EXALTERATOR
3003	10	31	Insort "end developed" a fter "brought".
3004	4653	Hoodline	"REDIRECT" should be "RECROSS".
3005	4654	18	"I make no" should be "I made no".
3006	1,659	9	"Briefs pro" should be "Grief is".
3007	4660	7	First word "nor" should be "not",
3006	4661	25	"in individual" should be "in an individual ".
3009	14662	3	Delete Weithers at end of line.

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Lino(s)	Proposed Corroction
3010	4662	10	"fact of the affidavits," should be "face of the applications,".
3011	4665	28	"that they should" should be "that there should".
2013	1,668	27	"then it appeared" should be
3313	1:672	19	"fundamental" should be "funda-
3014	D	20	"the document is" should read "that the documents are".
3015		21	Dolote "to be" after "the
3015	1677	39	Insert "such" before "position".
3017	4680	9	"Only if" should road "That this is the cess is evident if".
3016	0	22	"is not being" should be "will not be".
3019	h681	11	"in view of time" should be "in view of the time - Delete comma after "time" and place one at end of line a fter "we have".
3020		21	"The motive" should be "If the motive".
3,757		26	Doloto "boing" before "adhered".
3022		23	"there ore" should be "these are".
3023	4686	2	Doloto "is" efter "that".
3024	w.	2	"first" should be "fifth".
3025	4690	17	"that it has not" should be
3026	4695	8	Change "verious interests to German parts which" to read "various German interests which".
3027	1,701	5/6	"exportation" should be "exports".
3026		7	As abovo.
3029		10	Delete "tendencies" and place quotation marks before, "in the East" - Change pariod to comma at end of line.
3030		n	Chango "Quito generally speak- ing" to read "an insertion for clarity,". Place quotation marks before "from which".
		999 (-155-)

Itom No.	Transcript Page	Line(s)	Proposed Correction
77.07	1.00	-	
3031	דניינו	13	Place quotation marks and change comma to pariod after "created".
3032	4702	2lı	Change first word "whether"
3033	1703	3	Complete this paragra ph with the following sentence "Thy I have the permission of the Com- missioner to present this docu- ment to the witness?"
3034	4704	14	First w o rd "oxhibit" should be "offered".
3035		25	First three words "to also bo" should be "to be also".
3036	14706	3	Che age "In the official in- formation of the time and in the" to read "In the then correct official reports and".
3037	N ·	14	Doloto wat the time".
3086		3)4	Change "if you ment to supple- ment the 'efficial" to read as follows:
			unless you apply "official" to both
3035		15	Floos quotation marks before "reports" and change second word "by" to "and".
3040		18	"the the neun" should be "to
3041	6	21	Place quotation marks after "official".
3042	" -	22	"clears us" should be "clears up".
3043	4707	1	"At lote" should be "As late".
3044	4708	4	"I would" should be "it .would"?
3045	14709	23	"docidod" should be "docido".
Nurnberg.	30 April 1948	By	a si
	Dato	for TELE	D.A. Sprecher TRO TAYLOR Gen, U.S.A.
	11	By	Company (1994)

Dr. Rudolf Dix

for DEFENSE CONNECT.

999 (-156-)

MILITARY TRIBUNALS

Nurnberg, Germany

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

Against

ERAUCH and Others (Case VI)

SECOND MOTION OF THE PROSECUTION TO CONGECT THE ENGLISH TRANSCRIPT

The prosecution herewith moves that the Tribunal order that the corrections indicated below be made in the official mimographed copies of the English transcript.

No.	Transcript	Line(a)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
211.	576	2	Change comme to period after "Farben". Begin
			new sentence with "Legally". (Correction of
			item 211 of "First Motion of the Presecution
			to Correct the English Transcript", dated
			25 Nevember 1947).
312	681	18	Change ascond word "was" to "were".
213	684	6	Change "in the description" to read "at the
			discretion".
214	684	14-15	Delete all of lines 14 and 15 and through
			"technical committee" of line 16, and replace
			with the fellowing: "bolleved that the
	7		production planning in one of the Plants or
			in a Works Combine conflicted, he likewise
			undertook his own measures on his own
		-	initiative. Furthermore, it is established
			that nermally such steps did not have to be
			undertaken and that in general the diffi-

	*1	ALC: U.S. SATE		
	Item No.	Transcript pege	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
	-		=-2-7-7	
				culties in the various plants were brought
				before the various sub-committees of the
				Technical Committee and ironed out there."
	215	684	18	"owned" should be "held".
6	216	684	23	Insert "that" after "Paregraph 30,".
	217	684	25	Change "from" to read "except for".
	578	584	28	Delete period at end of line (sentence
				continued on next page).
	219	685	23	Shange "again the communications" to read
				"agnin through communications".
	220	585	15	Change "in order to keep the affairs of
				Farben on a unified plane. " to road "the
				affairs of Farben were kept on a unified
				plone.*
	321	586	11	Charge comma at end of line to period.
	222	686	12	Change "to underline, your Honors, the
				uniform" to read "This underlines, your
			7	Ronors, the unified".
	223	596	30	"techican should be "technical".
	224	687	15	Change "A surrary of the Defendant of Page
				124" to read "The surrary of the Defendant
				ter Meer on page 124".
	225	687	18	Change "effidavit ter Meer" to read
				"affidavit by ter Meer".

Item	Franscript page	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
226	688	3	Change "there is a statement herein, except
			where to read "the statements must remain
			since".
			BALLOC- •
227	688	21	Delete period efter "excerpts".
228	690	14	Change last word "doe" to "for".
229	690	21	Change last word "now?" to "not?"
230	591	18	PDr. Tilder," should be "Dr. Silcher,".
231	692	22	"this is" should be "these are".
232	693	6	"important" should be "importance".
233	693	19	"Vorstant," should be "Vorstand." with
	4		period after it to end the sentence.
234	693	21-33	Change period after "German" to comme.
			Change "Most of the basic matters which would
			be involved is most of the things" to rend
			Mand include most of the basic matters
			which are involved and".
235	593	26	"desiring" should be "deserving".
236	694	4	Change "Oppau" to read "Ludwigshafen-Oppau".
237	694	7	"which" should be "what".
238	694	24	"through" should be "throughout".
239	695	4	Change "head of the functions of the
			Working committee notices" to read "had of
			the functions of the Working Committee and
			notes".

	Item	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
	240	695	5	Change "in effect the Vorstand worked" to
				read "in effect did the Vorstand's work".
	241	695	7	"He notices" should be "He notes".
	242	698	9	"G.A." should be "Z.A."
	243	699	6	"by" should be "be".
	214	699	13	Change "principle works combine." to read
				"principal works combines."
	245	700	29	"year" should be "date".
	246	701	11	"that we" should be "than we".
	247	702	5	Piace quetation marks after last word
				gachedule."
	248	703	9	"Sverstengrube" should be "Fueretengrube".
	349	702	14	Change "the Amehedts work" to read "that
				the Auschwitz work".
	250	702	15	Change "planning to some" to read "belonging".
	251	702	16	Delete comes after the second "Main Group I".
	253	703	30-31	Change "there is going to be some reserva-
				tions made." to read "some reservations
				are going to be made."
	253	711	6	"any mudit" should be "an mudit".
-	254	712	30	Place quotation marks after last word
				"liable."

Item	Marianantak		
	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
255	713	11	Change the following:
			that the Term "Betriebsfuehrer" of some
			eianificance
			to read as follows:
			that the significance of the term
			"Betriebsfushrer" be indicated
256	713	19	Change "that at page 4, about Pahle's view"
			to read "on page 4, Frank-Fohle's view".
257	715	5	Insert "meetings" after "committee".
258	715	6	Delete "As cither".
259	715	7	Change period after "problems" to comma
			and continue sentence with "particularly".
260	715	ā	"for industrial" should be "of industrial".
261	715	17	Change "also the defendant Mann," to read
			"also headed by the defendant Mann.".
262	716	19	"Frank" should be "Frank-Pahle".
263	715	30	Obenes second word in line "both to "these".
561	715	57	Delete "neither or" at and of line.
265	715	27	"Frank" should be "Frank-Fahle".
266	716	20	Change "problems to" to read "problems
			which have priority to".
267	716	26	Last two words "are connected" should be "is r
			connected.
268	718	7	Delete "undermeath the defendant Schnitzler".

Item No.	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
269	719	-14	Change whave been reconstituted with the to
			read Thas been reconstituted with the
			approval of the .
270	719	15	Change "and a conference" to read "as a
			result of a conference".
271	719	16	Change "bringing aloser contact to" to read
			"bringing into closer contact":
272	719	29	"to say" should be "to point out".
273	720	2	No new paragraph. Chance "In 1937" to read
			"You will note that as early as the date of
			this meeting, in 1937,". Pince period
			after "America". Begin new sentence with
			following two words "At the".
274	720	6	Change last word "manners - " to read
			"mambers and".
275	720	6	Delete comma after "Vermittlunguatelle".
276	720	22	Delete third word "read".
277	720 -	25	Place seni-colon after "par. 8;".
276	721	3	"determine" should be "deter".
279	721	8	Pirst word "company" should be "companies".
390	721	13	"ere deposits" should be "ore deposits".
281	721	15	Change "so I say where a major point comes
			up." to read "and so I point out that
			where a major point came up", emitting the
			comma after "up".

999 (162)

Item	00.0000.000.000	Line(s)	FROPOSED CORRECTION
282	722	6_7	Change "which was the head to get together
			with VB discuss these matters." to read
			Wwhich committee together with Vermittlungs-
			stelle W was to discuss these matters."
263	726	18	Place comma after "instrumentality". Change
			next word "is" to "which was".
284	726	19	"dengerous form" should be "dangerous from".
285	726	30	Change comma after "war" to semi-colon,
286	726	21	Change "as the instrumentality" to read
-			"as to this instrumentality".
287	726	27	Change last word "dependent" to read "be
			dependent ".
288	728	23	"of which was called" should be "of which
			a sub-commission called".
289	730	1	Insert "I was" before last two words "on
			the".
290	730	3	"relation" should be "relating", Place
			comma after "sub-commissions" and change
			"on other words" to "in other words".
291	730	5	Place comma efter first word "factories,".
292	730	22	"my anticipate" should be "may anticipate".
293	730	23	"alter" should be "later"
294	731	23	"merelin" should be "merely".
295	732	19	Last word "differen -" should be "difference"

Item No.	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
296	732	30	Change first word "tein" to "in".
297	732	31	"preceed" should be "predecessor".
298	733	4	"is mitrates" should be "for mitrates".
299	745	9	First word "upon" should be "open".
300	746	11	Second to last word "that" should be "what".
301	750	20	Delete third word "in".
302	751	16-17	Insert between lines 15 and 17 the following:
			"extent than in peacetime. Furthermore.
303	751	26	Change "because the demand" to read
			"because of the demnad".
304	752	В	Chrisce "objected to you?" to read "objected to by you?".
305	753	4, 10	"expert" should be "export".
306	757	18	"forget" should be "forged".
307	757	51	Change second word "is" to "it".
308	758	23	Change "procedure attached to" to rend "prodecessor of".
309	760	19	Delete "be" before "eliminate".
310	763	а	"and alliance" should be "an alliance".
311	762	14	"darting" should be "skipping".
312	762	15	Last two words "with mil" should be "of
			all".

Item	Transcript Pand	Line(a)	PROPOSED CO RECTION
313	763	7-8	"concerned" should be "is concerned.".
314	763	9	Change "we are dealing in connection with
			Count I, found" to read "in dealing in
			connection with Count I, we find ".
315	763	16	Change "of correspondence to" to read
			for correspondence with".
315	753	29	"ovident" should be "evidence".
317	764	13	Place poried after "Count II." and delete
			as repetition "end there is an alliance of
			Furbon in actual conquest."
318	765	4	"inspectionary" should be "inspection or".
319	766	1	"items 5," thould be "item 5,".
320	766	18	Delete "new" hefore "in connection".
321	765	22	Change "in that the Berlin office" to rend
			"in that in the Borlin office".
222	767	10	"it will" should be "it is".
333	757	15	"there is" should be "where there is".
324	757	16	Change last two words "become to" to read
			"became clear to".
325	758	1	Place period ofter "Committee." Begin
			new sentence with "Although".
326	768	13	"nitric and" should be "nitrates and".
327	768	23	"I have noted" should be "are noted."
326	768	31	"Mingor" should be "Magler".

99 (165)

Item Mo.	Transcript	Line(a)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
329	769	7	"Eye-Stuffs" should be "Dyestuffs".
330	769	14	"passign" should be "passing". "affidavit"
-			should be "affidewite".
331	769	21	Delete "that" before "paragraph".
332	769	32	"is was" should be "it was".
333	790	3	"department" should be "departments".
			Change "Your Honor, may" to read "Your
			Henors may".
774	999.0		TH
334	770	7	Place commas both before and after "Prese
			Office". Chance "the name because" to
			rend "the name of Passarge because".
335	770	15	Delete "are listed".
236	770	20	"was held" should be "were held".
337	770	25	" ones first word "during" to "with".
			"we thought should be "we thought",
338	770	28	"thinks" should be "the".
339	771	6	Change "functions in" to read "fun. pas of
		-0	"TPO in". "has been" should be "have bee.
340	771	7_6	Change "to point out with this principle
			agency the Basi party" to read "to point
			out that I.G. is dealing intimately with
			the principal agency of the Sazi Party".
341	771	9-10	Delete as repetition wand I.G. to donling
			intimately".

Item	Treascript	Idns(s)	PROPOSED CONRECTION
342	771	10	Change the following:
26		24	and the second s
			at the bottom: "hope
			to read:
			at the bottom that it is a hope.
343	771	13	"yourself" should be "rourselves". Delote
			quotation marks at and of paragraph.
344	772	6	"that may" whould be "and may",
345	772	13	"upon the frot" should be "upon the face".
346	772	14	"it started" should be "and started".
347	772	23	Change "You are anging it would be at least
			a prima facie of to read "That you are
			saying would at least be above grimm facie
			ph.
349	772	30	"echibit" should be "exhibits".
349	773	4	"I would" should be "It would". "ti let"
		- 2	should be "to let".
350	773	g	"cutch" should be "potch".
351	773	10	"some to these" should be "some of those".
352	773	17	"in mink" chould be "in mind".
353	773	18	"in due time should" should rend "in due
			time they should".
354	773	30	"to being" should be "to bring". "of
			note of the" should be "to some of the".
355	773	31	Place period offer "documents.". Begin new
		(*)	sentence with "At this time".

999 (167)

Item			
No.	<u>Drug</u> _	_ Line(s)	PIDPOSED COURTONION
356	774	4	"I will" thould be "" will".
357	779	28_29	Change "who have been ac ording to Bassaler
			wore" to read "who have been indicted word,
			according to Beensler."
356	780	21	"threatranslation" should be "the re-
			tremelation*.
359	780	24.25	Change the following:
			"I attended meetings of the Commercial
	9		Committee (Nonfemennische Ausschuss)
		3	and on many occasions I attended.
			meetings of the Working Committee."
			to read:
			"On teny operations I astended mostlags
			of the Commercial Committee (Mass-
			enganischer Ausschuss)" Para. 6
360	780	27	Chence "trenslated in this case" to rend
			"translated in the English". Pince
			quotation marks after "Arbeite-Ausachusa.".
351	761	22	"yat the pellay" should be "muided the policy".
363	782	3	"were regular" should be "were a regular",
363	782	5	"interest of him" should be "interest to
			him's
364	782	2.3	Delete "not" before last two words "like to .
355	782	18	"has submitted" should be "had submitted".
365	784	30	Place quotation marks before "to establish".

	Transcript	_Line(s)_	PROPOSED CORRECTION
357	788	31	Delete as repetition "and the explosive
			industry of DAG, ".
368	792	3	Change "at least Farben jointly" to rend "at least various Farben plants".
369	793	22	Delete "with" before "the defendant".
370	793	8	"plan leaders" should be "plant leaders".
371	793	25	Change last word "be" to "is".
372	794	25	Change "in the affidavit," to read "in the Paulmann affidavit,".
373	796	7	"no exclusively" should be "not exclusively".
374	796	18	"held in by" should be "held by".
375	800	30	"the revealing" should be "them revealing".
376	801	16	"and umprecedented" should be "an unprecedented".
377	901	30	Charge first word "of" to "at".
-378	901	23	There neighbors entended" to rend "her neighbors extended".
379	808	3	"that is became" should be "that it became".
380	802	8	"of transneous" should be "of the transneous".
351	808	10	Change "was before" to "preceded".
382	809	2	Delete third word "where".
383	609	15	Place semi-colon efter "favorable;". Last word "reserve" should be "reserves".

74.00	- E		1
Ho.	A CONTRACTOR OF THE PARTY OF TH	Line(s)	Phopoged colrection
384	818	2-5	Place compa after "staff" and parentheses
			before "it will", in line 4 and after
			"Your Honors" in line 5.
385	ELS	7	"show" should be "shows".
386	816	9	"was would" should be "who would".
387	B15	27	Delete second "111" ne repetition.
388	617	7	"of his organization" should be "of this
			organization".
389	817	19	Last word "point" should be "points".
390	617	30	"Book," should be "Book 25.".
391	818	4	Change period after "page" to colon.
392	818	10	"eny O" should be "eny I".
393	818	11	Place dash after "Eitler mays," and delete
			"and may I the German first,"
394	621	16	Ownge "to forward to the Minister" to road
			"to forward a wreing to the Minister".
395	621	3.6	"Furherer" should be "Fushrer".
398	625	14	"nuthority" should be "nuthor".
397	825	18	Change "In this this article," to road
			"In this orticle.".
398	825	19	Enny Ka should be "mry I".
399	825	27	Insert "previously" after "which I
			offered".

999(170)

Item No.	Transcript	t Line(s)	PROPOSED OF RESPECT
400	827	2	"unrestrict" should be "unrestricted".
-601	829	3	"Ton Meer" should be "ter Meer".
408	688	5	Change comes after "presence" to camb.
			colon.
403	830	18	Change comma after "Inglish" to somi-colon,
404	831	19	"NI 427." should be "Exhibit 427."
405	687	16	Chappe "taken itself" to read "taken by
			itself",
606	838	21	"Factories." should be "Company."
407	039	18	Change "in sharps of research and levelop-
			ment," to rend "had taken over the
			Department of Research and Development."
406	840	6	Change "report by which" to rund 'report
			which".
409	842	17	Change "Chairman, Minister" to road
			"Germun Ministor".
410	845	4	Doloto "Thia".
411	84.8	2	"oe explanives," should be "of explosives,".
412	849	26	Add "or" after "form" -t and of line.
413	851	4	"defendant," should be "defendants.".
4.5	855	-18	Change "om not to" to read "on not going to"
41.5	861	. 19	"Basis" should be "Basic".
416	362	14	Delete "at the bottom ten".
		4	

- 15 -999 (171)

	Transcript	Line(a)	PROPOSED ON INDERC
417	865	7	Delete quotation marks at end of line.
418	867	5	Delste "to" before "which".
419	667	26	"goes wither" should be "mpplies to either".
420	868	19	Change "preferred that part" to road
			"offered this other part".
421	868	20	"was made" should be "is made".
422	858	26	Change "point out the" to read "point out
			that the".
423	869	9	Delete "here".
424	689	13-14	Place period after last word in line 15.
			Delete first word "here" in line 10.
425	869	15	"es Z. 160." should be "such ne Z. 160."
126	069	16	"or order" should be "or orders".
427	669	18	Delote "mgmin" ofter "point".
528	870	6	"congines," should be "ogents,".
429	672	12	Charge "this fact certainly" to you! "the
			fact that certainly".
430	873	14	Change "rights of the defendant" to read
			"right of the "miendents",
431	873	17	Delete "in" before "nothing".
432	874	17	Change "(Field Marshall You Hanneloon.)" to
			reed "(e.g. General ven Haumaken)."
433	674	25	Insert "and" before "is given".

	Transcript	Line(s)	THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERTY O
434	B7E	7	"concern" should be "concerning".
435	879	3	"elerical" should be "official".
435	879	21	"nor yet rule" should be "not yet rule".
437	589	5	"went" should be "wents".
438	862	6	Delete "th t" before "Boaring".
439	083	15	Insert "that" before the last word "tho".
\$40	654	3-1	Change "to substitute at the chil the
			Inst work of this paragraph" to read "to
			alter the last word at the end of this
			porngraph." placing period after "porn-
			graph. " Dhange "by the word of" to
			"Insert".
441	865	1	Charge come after "book" to period and
			delete following word "namely".
4-13	886	5_7	Chengo "and his support is the inductry." to
			read wend its support by industry."
443	E35	15	Chrise "see the" to read "see that the".
444	890	3	"to defense" should be "through defense".
445	890	10-15	Change "that of the Legal Department to
			report" to ren't Munder Lacal Department
			that a report".
446	990	22	"bush" should be "busy".
	891	25	Change Fagain in the chronological order
447	0.2A		cores in the to rend "brings us in the
			chronological order to the".

Item Eo.	Transcript	_ Line(s)	2 NOBO230 00/2/502107
648	892	14-15	Change the following:
			of the Rush Flan that is the plan of
			13 August and for the
			to read as follows:
			of the Rush Plant (that is the gla
			of 13 August) Wend for the
			of to before Afterna with for ein
449	093	15	Change "is the WASAG states." to "is WASAG."
050	594	21	"sign sentimental" should be "sign of
		16. 5	mentimental".
451	094	30	Delete this last line. Repeated on prope
			895.
452	895	B	"document own" should be "Accument which
			chm".
453	298	14	Piace quotation carks before "Fa.".
454	898	21	Delete quotation marks before "Dr. Krauch".
455	1195	23	Delete quotation north offer "Office.".
456	096	6-7	Delete all of line 6 and first two corts
			"nn order," in line 7.
457	696	16	Change lest word "out" to "developed".
459	296	17,23,32	"gunspower" should be "gunpowlor".
		*	-
459	897	13	Change "which is exhibit dol." to rend
		(4)	"Exhibit 488, which is in connection with
	29.3		Exhibit 401."
460	899	17	Change Worch 1939 as the" to read "Worch
		-	1939 was the".

.

- 18 -999 (174)

	Transcript Page _	Line(s)	PROPOSED COLUCTION
451	899	16	Change "Czechoslovakia on 15 of March 1939."
			to read "Czechoslovakin, 15 March 1939,"
462	906	27	Delete as repetition "and on page 150".
463	907	1	"before the second," should be "before
3			the signature. ". "Willhum" should be
			"Willuhn".
464	908	3	"are excerpts" should be "consists of
			excerpts ".
455	909	5	Change "This document is referred to" to
			rend "This document which is our Exhibit
			401, PS.1301, is referred to".
465	909	50	First word "Wes should be "He".
467	910	6	Charge "the townent" to read "the German
			deciment book".
468	911	23	Delete "XXX".
469	912	1	"Numberl" should be "Guseral".
470	013	17	Second word "suc" should be "use".
472	912	21	Chenge "m short note of" to read "in a
			short note".
472	913	21	Delete period after "defendant".
473	915	9-11	Enclose in quotation marks everything offer
			"heading" to end of sentence and correct
			punctuation to read as follows:
			"Poreign lober recruited for Gebechen"
			(which is Planipotenticry General for

(which is Planipotentiary General for Chemistry).... "must not be assigned for

74 1			
	Pranscript	Line(s)	
			any other purposes.
474	916	31	Delete "showing" after "page.".
475	919	3-4	Pirce "self-responsibility of industry"
			within single quotes and capit-like as
			follows:
			"Self-Responsibility of Industry"
476	921	16	"commission" should be "commissioner."
477	922	9	Chesso period after "page 63" to comm.
478,	924	17	"will he" should be "will we".
489	926	17	Change "in as page 491" to read "in as
			Dehibit 491%
481	933	2	Fourth word is "competence,"
482	933	4	Last word to "muthorities."
493	937	5	"The Exhibit 405," should be "The Exhibit 503,
484	937	18	Place period after "and so on".
485	937	24	"Birn" should be "firm".
006	937	29	"method" should be "methonol".
487	938	2	"of those" should be "of this".
488	938	19	Delote entire line as repetition.
489	938	19-20	Change "routed the Vermittlumgoutelle W"
			to read "routed to the Vernittlungsotelle
			W. " with period efter "W" Begin new
			sentence with "Just" in line 20.

- 20 -999 (176)

	Transcript	Line(s)	FAOPOSED SOCIETATES
490	935	17	"Ministry Armomenta" should be "Ministry of
			Armaments".
491	940	3	"Committees" should be "Committee".
492	940	11	"he;d" should be "held".
493	940	13	"poited" should be "pointed out".
49-1	940	25	"mrnes" should be "names".
495	940	31	"defendant" should be "defendante".
496	962	1	"reference" should be "refer",
497	943	8	"officiate" should be "officials".
496	943	23	Lest word "filling" should be "filling".
199	963	2-	"possible emphasis" should be "incorrect
			ecohesis",
500	942	27	Leat word "any" should be "snything".
501	945	14	"simply point" should be "simple point".
502	946	23	Change last word "Plon" to "Plents".
503	946	24	"plens" should be "plents".
504	945	27	"Plans" should be "Plants".
505	9.57	2	Fourth word "from" should be "of".
506	949	g	Charge "belon 30 marks," to read "just
			below EN 0.30 per kg.".
507	3-75	10	Change "cight" to read "EM 0.06".
508	950	19	"process "should be "processes".

999 (177)

Item	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CONTROPICUE
509	953	12	Last word "confirms." should be "is involved "
			here."
510	953	14	"to an extent" should be "extensively".
511	955	4	Delete "Ar" at beginning of line and
100			substitute with quotation marks.
512	986	7	"discussion," should be "discussion,".
513	960	28	Insert "which" after "law".
514	969	30	Pince period after "material." Bogin new
			sentence with "On the specific points".
515	974	5	Place quotation marks ofter "Ministry."
516	974	12	Change "Vorstand of 1.9.," to road
1	-		"Vorstand member of I.G.,".
517	975	1	"german" should be "Germany".
518	976	1	"Hore" should be "Honors".
519	976	17	"representatives" should be "represent"-
			tive".
520	901	3	First word "In" should be "I".
521	98-1	13	First word "become" should be "become".
			"conversation" should be "conversion".
522	981	31	"drecuties" should be "executives".
523	965	_3	Delete lest word "on".
524	985	4	"altogether this occasion," should be
			"altogether on this occasion.". "remained?
			should be "retnized".

Item No.	Transcript	Line(a)	PROPOSED CONSECUTION
525	989	16	Change "for arguments, sake, We picked" to
			read "for argument's sake, we picked".
526	990	23	Change "of private is directly to the" to
			read "of private industry is directly
			related to the".
500	240		Marine State Control Marine State Control
527	990	59	Place colon after "1939:".
528	991	14	Pince quotation marks before the second
			I..
529	991	13	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
530	992	4	"Haber-Bosch." should be "Naber-Bosch
			process."
531	992	27	#53.9" should be "53.9 percent".
532	992	29	"The less" should be "The loss".
533	993	8	Last Word "discussion" should be "discussing".
534	993	15	"atook" should be "atool".
535	994	4	Change Inst word "of" to "which".
536	994	,5	"init." should be "in."
537	994	13	Insert the following after line 13 and
	12		before line 14: "must have had a very
			crippling effect on the initiative of our
			industry in this direction. As is known.
			the Fuehrer, shortly after coming to power
		12	already gave the impulse for the motoriza-
			tion of Germany and the establishing of our
			own mineral oil basis at the Automobile

	a marchine		The second secon
	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
			Exhibition in 1933. The conversion of this
			impulse into matual fact is first of all
			closely linked with the made of the Elich
	-		Minister of Economics, Dr. Schacht. The
			way in which the new knowledge was converted
			into actual fact is characteristic for the
			impetus given to our economy by Mational
			Socialism.
538	994	. 14	Change capitalisation and punctuation at
			beginning of line from:
			KEPPLER, "Your Honors
			tor
			Esppler - Your Honors
539	994	15	Change punctuation in middle of line from:
			We have not Reppler before," the
			plenipotenticry
			101
			We have not Keppler before - "the
		2.5	plenipotenticry
540	994	17	Change "to every Socialist Garang" to read
			"to carry out the plan. Macover compared
			the economic history of National Socialist
			Germany".
541	995	24	"to a contain extent," should be "to n
			certain extent,".
542	996	3	Place period at and of line.
543	996	4	Begin new sentence with this line and Lelate
			period after "page".

74	W			1.
No.	Transcript	Mina(a)	PROPOSED CONFECTION	-
544	996	23-26	Chance period after "facilities" in line 23	
			to comme and continue with lines 25 to 23,	
			changed to read as follows: "especial, in	
			the light of the fact, as your Honors will	
			later see, that although slave laborers and	
			foreigners were amployed everywhere, they	
			were excluded from these types of plents."	
545	997	4	Change "which gives" to "since it gives".	
546	997	Б	Change "new that," to "car it.".	
547	997	6	"opining recorks" should be "opening	
		•	remarks*+	
848	997	10	Change "we necessarily" to read "we would	
	10		necessarily".	
5-19	997	12	Charges "this is what wa're conclude our"	
		-	to read "this coordines our".	
550	997	13	Delete "on" after first word "proof".	
-	-			
551	997	31	Second word "before" should be "first".	
552	1004	12	Change "which I have must mesume" to read	
			"which I have I must sooms".	
553	1004	27	First two words "to them" should be "to	
			these".	
554	1005	21	Add "to" at end of line.	
555	1010	9	"of office" should be "of this office".	
556	1010	18 & ff.	"Mr. Schinatie" should be "Mr. Czinstia".	

557 1010 31-32 Place period after first word "su line 31. Change rest of line and read "After the departure of Majo Dr. Krauch was entrusted with the of this office."	
Dr. Krauch was entrusted with the	14me 39 to
Dr. Krauch was entrusted with the	4 4 HE ME NO
	r Ceimatie,
of this office."	Firection
558 1012 24 Place period after "Planning." B	egin new
sentence with "Since".	
559 iCl3 25 "of explosives" should be "for exp	olonives".
550 1010 10 Chance "to you think" to "do you	think".
551 ICld 11 Swaring wat, w should be "worked in	t nut,".
952 1015 11 Place "A." at beginning of line.	
E63 1018 17 First word #It" should be "In".	
550 1015 20-21 Chas a "should be changed by domes	itic
production at base which should be	z
commorted with. " to read "amula t	on changed
by wynthetic production at home or	ad.
respectively economized."	
855 1015 7 Flace comb-colon after *Synthetics	10.
555 1016 E Chan e "there was responsibility f	for entend-
ing for the mines to read "and for	or.
extending the sines".	
567 1717 1 Former should be "swerese". Chan	I G GOREN
after "details" to period.	
556 1017 5 "frefting" should be "Arefting".	-
569 1017 6 "detailed" should be "detailed".	

Item	Treascript		
No.	- JBRE	Linc(s)	POPOSED CO. GOTICE
570	1017	\$	"and that" should be "in thet".
571	1017	18	"that the orestion" should be "whether the
-	-		creation*.
573	1817	- 19	"that all those" should be "whether all tose"
573	1017	22	First word "and" should be while".
574	1017	23	"thursolf" should be "itsolf".
575	1017	25-26	Dol to all of line 25 and first tim waves
			of line 25. Terlace with "metually those
			plents setive in the industry concerned."
575	1030	1	Place period after "leather". Degin now
	T.		soutones with "And in".
577	1020	3-4	Charle lines 2.3 and 4 to rend as fallars:
			"the field of the textile industry, briefly,
			I believe that there was scarcely a coctor -
			of any importance which, after the ported of
			1939, buring the course of the war, cld not
	-		depend in come may or other on 1.0."
575	1050	17	Insert the following after "Tarbon" and
			before "gractically": "With I.S. araped
			from the German chemical life.".
579	1035	23	Second word "discusses" should be
			"discussed".
567	1028	11	"that is later" should be "this is the inter"
581	1639	19	Firse quotating corbs and dash efter the
			first word "thillipps". Flace dash efter
			the last word in line "Ordnence".

Item	Transcript Daga	Line(e)	P OPOSED DO EDETO"
			Zipos pontation marks before "ptated", the
582	1029	20	
		11	first word in line.
503	1029	23	Flace quotation carks at and of magraph.
584	1031	16	Del ta last word "and".
595	1031	17	Chen a first "ord "beint" to " Gioh is".
588	1031	19	"worth mothing" should be "worth noting".
587	1032	9	Dilets quotetion marks before "This". and
			"bencerming" efter "conference" at one of
			line.
556	1032	10	Banda file film of the mineral or marks (beauty
000	1,00	19	Bario this line with adotation cor's. Change
			first two words "speaking up" to reak
			"stront accoloration of ".
569	1033	1.6	"for Flanipotentiary" should be "Flanipa-
			tentiary for".
590	1033	1	and "for" riter "posts" -t end of line.
591	1033	2	Bolots comma effor "assemblelly". Char. a
			"conditional" to "conditionsQ".
592.	1033	4.	Chan a "spierers the" to "endowers to".
598	1:233	3.6	"to porferences" should be "to a coif reace,".
594	2033	19	Place quotetica carbs at beginning of time.
			Chem o "t- the tuilt" to "t+ to built".
395	1033	33	Fince comma efter Wi.S., W.
505	1634	5	Place gusteti a carea after "Couring".
597	1034	9	Place quotation marks after "Pumpwork 111".

Item	Tremscript	Line(s)	F OPOSZD OD ROCIO
596	1635	18-14	Place ormes efter "shortly" and chem a rest
			of line 13 and Disor 10 to reed of fallage:
			"that only the shortness of the midday pause
			and the difficulties therein have prevented.
-		- 2	them from tein; punctual."
599	1055	26	Correct and punctuate first part of line
			"Es mays Schlegan, Buns I plane to empose"
			as follows:
			"He says: "Schlopen, June I. To emand
503	1035	27	Fince quotation marks efter first word
			"pleat",
601	1035	28	Place quotation marks before "to a
			production" and after "per year."
502	1035	29	Place quotating marks before #1.5.#
50%	1035	1	Chan e "since it is in a resmont, which
			continued" to read "entered but on or res-
			sent weich contained".
504	1038	12	Floce * Me tofore *91,250,000*,
805	1033	18	Place quetation marks ofter "schlenge".
505	1035	1.5	The a first wed "building" to "placed ".
507	1045	21	"was built in should be "our built of".
			Place gentation marks after "plact."
506	1,035	27-3C	Shen w "and agreety on the telegrant :
- 0			road "and an agreement on Schlement.
609B	1037	13	Them s "to the sectors of the The one or to"
			to rept "of the merbers of TEL no to".
F095	107	17	Delete "since" before "synthetics".
			999 (185)

	Transcript	Titun(n)	P OPOSED OC. COTTO
510	1087	16	Place period efter "product" and be in usy
2011	Tiol	10	
		-	sentence with "The Ancusent". Chan e
			"Accureats require" to "document requires".
611	1038	15	Flace quotation marks after "Flon,".
512	1036	19	Delete quotation marks ofter "technicisma.".
51.3	indi	·g	"ques" should be "erpones".
514	1041	_ 31	Flace comes after third word "uses,".
815	1002	11	Chance period to comm after "Wain Projects".
			Chouse "That lists warious productor" to
			road "where w rious products are listed:".
51.5	1045	34	"Bunn." should be "Bunn III.".
817	10%	9	Place " "" before "13" and hofore "10".
51.0	1051	31	Insert the following after first word "pleate"
			"as dimmed. Those perty of the Burn plant,
			tochuntar the negliter place,".
519	1051	22	"to onlarged" strong be "he cal rod".
620	2052	11	Chemic Tibe first that re? to Make the down
			Just".
831	1753	1	"exposition" should be "erecuited ".
522	1,093	32	Incert the Collector Setmen South
			"greater": "ever decreasing exceptions, be
	1.		considered as visential to the hor effort; -
			the".
523	1054	16	Zolate period after "-nomible".
£24	1056	15	Chen a comma after "and on on" to somiwe lon.

-

Item I	benecript page	Li20(s).	
625	1054	19	Chance "rubber cumply" to read "recording
	7	*	rubber susaly".
625	1054	23	Garage period to colon.
627	1057	13	"Tri. and Tolunt." should be "of Tri. and
1	35.41	-	Toluck." "expansion" should be "autension".
528	1058	17427	"Anchen" should be "Alben".
		9 100	
539	1059	3,13	"Aschen" should be "Alexa".
530	1051	12	Chan a "German book, which is page 37 inf
			the lawliah)," to read "Environ book, which
			is name 37 of the bereau.".
631	1052	2	Correct and punctuate line 2 as follows:
			tentile critudors" - owe were for
			incondiary brabe - "quite different
			from the
632	1053	9	Delete second word "them".
633	1052	20	Change "That letter establishing" to read
usu	1300		"This letter established".
634	1052	11	Sign's "and the init stive" to reed "one
			phone Farbes's initi tive".
635	1032	1.2	Chen e line 12 to read "Frazin to orxion
			that steps have to be taken to but Will
			plent in reasoners."
635	1053	12	Test word "Production" about to
			"Prosecution".
537 a	1354	29	Piece quointion marks of heriming of line.
5573	3.055	1	Place quotation marks at beginning of Time.
			100

999 (187).

24.7	200 7.7		
Me.		Line(s)	
538	168;	5	Chan e "recently disposed of it end" to read
			"recently been disposed of and".
639	1056	18	Chance "and made some rulings" to read
			"had rade some relinis".
640	1068	14	"the sarlier application" should be "their
			cerlior applications.
541	1058	15	Pizzo opening pervethesis before line 15.
642	1058	15	Flace closing perenthecis after "Dr.
			Hoffmen". Flace a dash efter the jureatheats
			and charte "but" to "however,".
6/3	1095	32	"possible" simuld be "possible".
506	1058	23	"of with a full" should be "of a full".
845	1/58	34	"toward it" should be "lowerds the train".
605	1070	26	"telon od" should be "telon:".
517	1075	3	Chan o period to come after "Bure !".
			Change following yords "This is" to "out.
648	1,075	9	"in a preceding" rhould be "in the
			preceding ".
649	1075	25	Delate comme after "Separabata"; where commu
			after "rol-ted.".
550	- 1075	25	Ches a first word wood to bir.
551	ICV5	29	"I notice" sinuld to "I note".
553	1975	2-4	Dann e "Page 79 which is Pa o 59." to reed
			Tese 79 of the Envlish which in Seld 75 of
			the Perezz."

999(188)

-			
	Transcript	Line(s)	PACPOSED CO_TOTIO
553	1077	19	Chan e "recall th t bein," to read "recall
			that an being".
554	1078	23	Place quotation marks at and of hire rank.
F55	1079	13	Delete period efter "capagements". Chon, o
			Mr. Mins is back in to read Mr. Mins
		10	has back in".
555	1083		Charge da limiting to "1083".
557	1083		Chen e praination to "1082".
55B	1060	11	Polete 9357.
-359	1026	15	Place suptetion corbs after "Projects."
550	1680	19	"thic explosive." should be "thevo
	6		omiusives.9
- 551	1064	27	Delete comma between "ethylon:" and "etiles".
552	1085	11	Bol to commu after "box". Enclose " on
	2		"esoline" in quotation merks.
553	1005	25	"Ead batit up" should be "hed been built up".
564	1003	15	Delate quotation marks before "Badische."
555	1087	11	lest two words "the line" should be "i:
1			the line?.
556	1087 .	15	"tons 1913" should be "tons in 1913".
567	2,088	4	Flace quotation marks after the first "DAD"
			in middle of line.
558	1088	5	Place quotation marks of burianing of line.
			1 1

****		-	
Jo.	Transcript	Line(a)	P_POPOSED COL BORION
569	1089	22	First word "indicates" should be "indicate".
670	1020	12	"eas accouracy introdients" chould be "as a
	8 0		necesser in redient".
671	1091	5	Place quotation marks after "Did col" and
100			before "and the tonly after".
672	1091	.7	"this le with" viscula be "there is a".
673	2091	19-20	Chen e "We will be having discussions with
			this matter later on with Dr. Dahn." to reed
			"We will find discussions with Dr. Dan on
			this matter later on."
874	1091 /	23	Del te comma after "34". Place comma ritor
			"Rock,". Delete comme at end of line.
E75	1091	25	Seplace cours after "Forbin" by a cleata-
			garenthesic.
375	1024	25	Chen a first word "wad" to "to".
677	2075	1	even urmently should be "in ursently".
676	1098	23	with reference to the obert." should be
			"on this chert."
34			Section & Contract of the Cont
570	1095	28.	Last word "letter" should be "doctment",
580	1000	16	Dolet comment and of line after "roout to".
581	1098	11	Chance "being a stend-by plant" to read
			"toin; that it was a stand-by plant".
			Place color instead of period of sal of
			line 11.
	labora.	640	+
582	1098	19	"pero 53, if your Sunars please," should be
			"On more 55, if your Honors please.",
		v	beginning a new sentence.

Itam	Transcript	Dine(s)	F 0308ED CO CONT
533	1098	24	"sifted" chould be "shifted".
694	1098	23	"leased it" should be "leased thom".
585	1098	39	Place quotation marks before "where".
585	1,1199	11-12	Then a period after "project" in line 11
			to comme and delete quotation marks. Then a
			"Dr. Zehn recommented su agreement and we
			submitted to read as follows:
			Dr. Jahn recommended that an agreement
			sh with he arrived at with the wish
			Ver What 's re-ording a provisional
			Lange toe." We submit
587	1099	15	Dolete "this" after "document,".
568	1099	.25	Then a "port of production in the next" to
			read "stert of production in the wort".
689	1099	27	Place quetation ber's before "the Arey-cored",
690	1099	20	Place quoteston mr'm after "loaten".
691	1100	3	Delete lest two words "amplemention in".
692	1106	17-16	Thomas "there should be thelesels orders for
			district wise considered." to read "missid
		<i>y</i> .	eles be enceidered the wholesale orders for
		-1	disiyed. "
593	1200	24-26	Diem e all of lines 22, 26 and 26 to read no
			follows:
			I would like to call your Honor's
			attention to the items unver the
			headings "Stabilizers" and "Decentration-
			tion Chemicals" on pages 58 and 92, to
			999 (191)
			And the same
			2

Thum 2	Sand State		
Ho.	Page	Line(s)	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
693	1100	22-24	the recitals in this contract of the
			early orders for -
694	1103	2	Place quoteting marks after the first word
			"whereas" and before the second "whoreas".
1			Change "page 76" to read "page 75 of the
			Garrana.
695	1103	17	swent" should be "go". Delete quotation
			marks efter "Schkopau,",
595	1103	16	Delete quotation marks after last word
		. 1	⁸⁵ chkopou ⁸ .
697	1103	31	Insert "of the German" after "Page 84".
698	1104	10	"in inadequate" should be "is inadequate".
699 -	1105	11	Delete period after "top" and change the
			following two words "From our" to "from the".
700	1105	13-14	Change "this is a copy that we only had,"
1			to read "this is only a copy that we have
1			and*.
701	1107	11-	First word "but" simula be "And",
702	1108	27	"consecting." should be "in connection
			herewith.".
703	1109	10	"so much." should be "HM 1.10.".
704	1109	28	Place comma after last word "Sodingen,".
705	1111	10	fwe see that should be fwe see what!.
706	1115	3	Place comma after "Guessen,".
1.0			

Item No.	Transcrip	t Line(s)_	PHOPOSED CORRECTION
707	1115	7	"MON" should be "MONTAN".
708	1115	24	#600° should be #599°.
709	1117	29	Second word "were" should be "work".
710	1118	26	Place guntation marks before "the empacity".
711	1118	31	Place quotation marks after "of power.".
712	1119	5	Change last word "also" to "which also".
713	1120	3	"page 37" should be "page 75 of the German".
714	1125	3	Place "MR. ANCHAN;" at beginning of line
			before "If your Honors please.".
715	1125	6	"of your index" should be "of the index
			of Book XXXIII.".
716	1126	7	"4639" mbould be "4634".
717	1125	12	"page 3" should be "page 3 of the document".
718	1125	. 51	Place qualities marks at end of paragraph.
719	1125	. 25	Place quotation marks after "suitable room.".
720	1125	23	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
721	1126	13	Delete quotation sarks at and of this para-
			greph.
723	1126	20	"It is further" should be "It will be further".
723	1129	3	Delete we repetition "of the English".
724	1139	27	Change "At the present stope" to rend
			"And that at the present stage".

999 (193)

Item Zo	Transcript _ Pase _	_ Mimo(n)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
725	1130	5	Flace quotation marks at and of line after
			"Successing".
726	1130	26	Place quatation sarks before "The experiment".
727	1130	27	Place quatation marks efter "Organia.".
m728	1133	25	Place quetation sarks at end of paragraph.
729	1133	26	"This was menin Emumber 1925" should be
			placed as a complete sentence in parentheses
			ending the preceding paragraph,
730	1133	37	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
731	1134	13	"page 211." should be "page 211 of the
			Germin. F.
732	1134	5-6	Chings "And Ludwigshafen has enumerated
			empacity in the discussion of increasing
			that," to rend "And the copecity of Ludwige-
			helon in accordance with the discussion
			will be incremed.".
733	1137	6	"performed" should be "informed".
734	1157	15	"seems to be a" should be "come to have a".
			Lest word "fun" should be "flow".
735	1139	7	"and explains" should be "and it explains".
	50.70		
736	1139	10	"Page 232," should be "Page 232 of the
		-	German, ".
737	1139	11	"Power" should be "Powder".
738	1140	5	"Fage 235." should be "Fage 235 of the
			German".

111

- 37 -999 (194)

Item Eq.	Transcript Page	itner(s)_	PROPOSED COGRECTION
	****		The contract of the contract o
739	1140	16 -	Place quatation marks at Deginning of line.
740	1140	20	"shall meet" should be "shall again moet".
741	1140	88	First two words "it won" should be "they
		4-	Were a
742	1140	27 .	"Fige 53;" should be fat the paragraph
			marked Page 53, ".
743	1144	3	"the I.G. production" should be "regarding
			T.G. productions.
764	1146	13	"he reised," should be "in the objection
			rainad.*.
745	1146	17	First word "correct" should be "correction".
746	1147	19	Wiss should be "15 of the Gormon book.".
747	1148	1	"Q" at beginning of line should be quotation
			racks.
748	1146	29	"fire in Stuttmart," should be "fire of
			Max Hanf in Stuitgert,".
749	1149	1	Chause "It was observed to Meatures" to rend
			"The nere of the fire spareting the
			Falkanhagen works was chauged to Monturen.".
750	11/29	5	"E Stoff" should be in parentheses.
751	1149	31	Place quotation marks ofter "Gendarf".
752	11.19	32	Delate quitation marks after "subsiding.".
753	1151	10	"proceeding" should be "proceding".

999 (195)

Item_No		Line(s)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
754	1152	11	"words balance" should be "works balance".
755	1152	12	Place quotation marks after "Monten.".
756	1153	30	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
757	1154	16	Place comma after "affered," and after "Survey,".
758	1155	3	"as I got it" should be "as I understand it".
759	1155	26	Place quotation marks before "Anhydrous".
760	1155	27	Place quotation marks after "Pactory.".
761	1155	28	Place quotation marks before "still be".
762	1155	33	Place quotation marks at end of puragraph.
763	1156	13	"weighting" should be "weighing".
764	1156	20	Place quotation marks before "Under the contract".
765	1156	29-30	"another chemical firm," should be "the
			Fuckas Chemical Factory,".
766	1159	1	"a letter from I.G." should be "first a letter from I.G.".
767	1159	6	"On page 60," should be "Then on page 60,".
768	1159	7	"132," should be "132 of the German.".
769	1163	-4	"relates the" should be "relates to the".
770	1163	8	Place quotativa marks, after first word
			Massociation.".

999 (196)

-	S	-	
He.	Transcript	Idea(e)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
771	1153	17	"as the association" should be "as to the
			association".
772	1164	9	W162, " chould be "162 of the German,".
773	1164	11	"sukes gases visible; " should be "which
			mrkee games visible,".
774	1166	3	"Eperla" should be "Huelo",
775	1187	1	Place quotation marks before "the amperi-
			mentel".
776	1157	2	Piace quotation marks at and of line.
777	, 1167	9	Last word "reproduction" should be "operation".
778	1167	32	"not read" whould be "not to read".
779	1168	6	"ill size be supplied" should be "Treet-
			berg will also be supplied".
780	1168	9	"THE." should be "183 of the German,",
781	2168	20	"184," should be "184 of the German,"-
782	1169	9	"between I.J." should be "for I.G.".
783	1170	1	"which have to do" should be "which has to
			da*.
784	1176	13	"are the copies." should be "are additional
			copier." .
785	1180	22	"ab lib" should be "ad lib".
786	1182	13	Place quotation marks before "was produced".

999 (197)

Item No.	Transcript Rege	Line(s)	PAOPOSED_CORRECTION
787	1164	11	"215, 217 now" should be "216, 217 of the
			German nove.
788	1185	15	"toe fact" should be "to the fact",
789	1185	24	"196K" should be "1941".
790	1186	20	"on the Arm" should be "by the Army.".
			Place quotation marks around "The fire".
791	1186	31	Place quotation marks before "was commissioned".
792	1187	12	Change "It is Prosecution Exhibit 629.
			We offer FI-9198." to rend "Wext we offer
			Prosecution Exhibit 539, FI 9198.
793	1189	31 -	Delete as repetition last word "chould",
794	1193	8	Delate "there" before "discussing".
795	1194	7	Delete quotation marks after first word
			"pormonn". Place quotation marks witer
			"Todu,",
796	1194	15	Change comme to period after "sabetage.".
797	1194	31	Enclose "Urea" in parenthenes.
798	1195	6	Change "one the I D. Farbon officials, as
			I recall it, tried" to read "one of the
			I.G. Farben officials, so I recall it, who
			triod*.
799	1195	9	Delete "that" after "to note".
800	1195	16	Change "what the things are, and, if
			necessary in appropriate to" to road "of
		-	What the things are, and, if necessary, it
			would be appropriate to".

999 (198)

	Franceript Five		PROPOSZD_COMMENTICH
801	1195	17	Change last word "use" to "in".
602	1196	1	"the I.G. of should be "the I.G. to".
803	1156	4	"plant it produced" should be "plant pro-
804	1196	5-7	Change "Just another one, I would say, here is the tie-up of Falkanhagen being I.G., and the other evidence to Falkanhagen." to read "Just enother case, I would say, tying up Falkanhagen with I.G. and the other swidence concerning Falkanhagen.".
805	1196	8	Change "Now the next paragraphe 2 and 43," to read "Bow the next paragraph 2, on page 42", dileting comm after 43.
806	1196	ii	Change last word "this" to "that".
807	1196	15	Change last word "having" to "in".
808	1196	16	Chemes first word "substituted" to
869	1196	19	"been hardly" should be "seemed hardly".
810	1196	25	Place quotation marks after first word
811	1197	7	Place quotation marks at beginning of line. Shange "considered on a fixed rent. It means" to read "considered, the fixed rent means".
812	1198	10	Insert "of the German" after "51".

999 (199)

	Transcrip		PROPOSED CORFECTION
613	1198	18	"There is other" should be "There will be other".
514	1199	27	Insert Wof the German" after "66".
815	1201	11	"Page 57" should be "Page 58".
616	1212	24	Change comms to period after "NI_7850.". Delete following word "a".
617	1212	31 .	Place quotation marks after "materials".
818	1213	, 24	Change "makes the explosive effective" to read "does not have the explosive effect.".
819	1214	3	Place comma after "original".
820	1214	24	Change "ere not available for the years 1942 to" to read "am not available for the years 1932 to".
821	1218	12	Change "note which follows in the" to "note that the".
822	1215	22	Change "in loar gas" to "is teer gas".
823	1215	25	Change "was not a tour gas, and this" to "which is not a tour gas, and in this".
824	1215	26-27	Change "and in 1936, as we indicated has some special mignificance and 1939, 837,000 odd tams." to read "to 489,000 tone in 1938, which your we indicated has some special significance, and in 1939 to 537,000 odd toms.".
825	1217	16	"is 136," should be "is page 136,".

न्धः मृत्य (260)

No.	Franscrip Fiet		PROPOSED_CORRECTION
8.56	1217	21	Insert "of the Gerran," after "196".
E37	1218	12	Place quotation marks at the beginning of
628	1219	29	"graft" should be "graph".
829	1221	15	Change first two words "this is" to "Then".
830	1221	25	"My general statement" should be "A general statement".
831	1221	31	"then he was" should be "then that he was".
832	1222	23	Delete "were" before "referred" and insort
833	1223	15	Change somiscolon after "Doeberitz" to
834	1223	30	Change Inst two words "And the" to read
635	1223	31	Ohange "It is a picture." to rend "This is the picture.".
835	1224	2	"4 stee" should be "date".
837	1224	14	Ohmnge last word "and" to "with".
838	1224	16	Add "is to" After "know," at one of line.
639	1825	8	Change first word "The" to "As".
840	1225	23	"investments figure," should be "invest- ment figures.".
841	1225	29	"sholly" should be "wholly".

- 44 --999 (201)

Item No	Transcript Patu	_ Idno(a)	PROPOSED CORRECTION
842	1226	16	Delete first three words "check with chart,".
843	1227	3	"to NI.7772;" should be Fwith WI.7772;".
844	1227	21	"Del, -Wanne" should be "DW and Wasne.".
845	1227	22	Change Fas I indicated, being a direct
			indicated, were direct subsidiaries of I.G
846	1229	3	Delate "facilities".
847	1329	8	Place comma after "I.G.,".
648	1200	11	Place quotation marks before "In order".
849	1230	13	Place quetation marks after "plants.".
850	1231	5	Change "In Poland I.G." to read "In Egiers. Foland, I.G.".
851	1232	13	Pince quotation marks after "champly."
852	1333	3	"to pun it up." should be "to meen it up.".
653	1235	10	Change "by was of the DAG Troisdort," to read "of the DAG, Troisdorf,".
854	1235	13	"at the right charte" should be "on the charte".
855	1235	23	Dunart "As" Defore "Prosecution Exhibit 570".
856	1235	25	Change "the WIFO financing" to rend "the WIFO regarding financing".
857	1235	25	"letter by I.G. Farben" should be "letter from I.G. Farben".

999 (202)

Item	Transcript Fags _		PROPOSED CONTENTION
858	1235	2	Place quotation marks at and of line.
859	1236	20	"and bi-7711," should be "is Bi-7711,".
860	1236	31	TPga 75. should be "Paga 79 of the German."
881	1237		The page numbered 1238, beginning "The
			matter looked to me as follows: and onding
			"awald never be demonded of industry."
			should be remisbared 1257.
862	1237	a	(The page to be renumbered 1237) - Innert
-2			"of the German's efter "78.".
863	1237	7	(The proje to be remembered 1337)- Ohrage
			for cld not want" to rend for (b) did not
			want".
864	1238	16-17	Change "as a limited purpose of precents-
			tion" to read "for the limited purpose of
			oral presentation",
865	1238	19	"was a different" should be "was of a
			different*.
866	1228	23	"and unlargurent of the" should be "oud
			entargod".
867	1239	23	Delete quotation marks before "back home".
860	1239	24	Place quotation sarks around "seremble
			facilities, deleting the open after
			"acramble".
869	1239	25	Fand additional plants should be san addi-
			tional plant".

- 46 qqq (203)

	Twansaript		
NO.	_ regg _	_ Line(c)	PROPOSED_COMESCRION
870	1241	17	Insert "of the German," after "91".
871	1242	16	"1937-1937" should be "1934".
873	1242	23	"1955" should be "1954".
875	1243	89	"an using" should be "on obusing".
874	1264	11	Insert "of the Gerera," after "107".
875	1244	30	Add "of the German," after "131" at end of
			line.
876	1246	31	Add "of the Germant" after "139" at end of
			line.
877	1246	20	Insert "of the German." after "166".
878	1247	17	Pince comma after "plants," and
			shange the following five words:
			as in the "Fall Case"."
			to rend:
			as in the A.Fall ", etc.
879	1247	18-19	Charge "It is a letter from" to rend "It
			consists of latters from".
000	1247	22	Insert "of the German," after "159".
881	1246	15	Insert "of the German." after "9".
862	1249	ā	Insert "of the Gorman," after "19".
683	1251	19	Delete "referred to".
-	5000		227 C. S.
864	1251	23	Add "per cent," after "65.7".
885	1252	29	Add "of calling witnesses" after "program"
			at end of line.

	Iransuript		An and a second second second
22-	_ Page_	_ File(s) _	PROPOSED CORRECTION
666	1253	1	"or procedure?" should be "of procedure?".
887	1257	4	"been a position" chould be "been the position".
888	1257	20-21	"underseath" should be "under".
889	1254	5	"plant the plant" should be "plan the plant".
890	1264	25	"244.000.737." should be "244,737.000.".
691	1264	28	"366000 116, in '42" should be "364,737,000
			and 116,998,000, in '38".
892	1265	10	Place quitation marks at beginning of line
			and after "Verotend".
893	1265	11	Place quotation marks before "with the
			exception" and after "excused.".
894	1266	13	Last word "important" whould be "strategie".
895	1367	34	Change "are classified as counsel for the
			Prosecution or the Defense," to read "are
			to be classified in the sense of the
			counsel for the Presecution or of the
			Defence, "
896	1367	9	"proceeding" should be "preceding".
897	1267	16	First word "graft" should be "graph".
898	1267	22	bforey" should be "forth".
899	1268	14	"WIFO" should be "WIFO".
900	1269	5	Insert "NI-10012" after "694".
901	1269	16	"Four Year Plants." should be "Four Year
			Plan Plants, s.

999 (205)

Item_Hg	Transcript	Line(s)	PROPGSED_CORRECTION
803	1269	18	"s Four Year Plan," should be "a Four Year
		Tri .	Plan plant, "
903	1270	4	Place quotation marks after "projects".
904	1270	9	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
903	1270	27	Delete quotation marks before "copies".
906	1270	28	Delete quotation marks after "Ludwigohafen.".
907	1270	31	"this is some" should be "there is some".
908	1271	7	Delete quotation marks before "Copy".
909	1271	8	Delete quotation marks after "Knieriem".
910	1271	15	"effect of" should be "effect to".
911	1272	15	"Page 109 of the record;" should be "Page _
			309 of the Gorman record and 329 of the
			English:".
913	1273	14	First word "not" should be "nor".
913	1274	9	Change "should always precede the appearance
			of a witness to send "should not precede
			the appearance of every witness. ".
914	1274	16	Last word "bully" should be "fully".
915	1275	4.5	"not as free" should be "more free".
916	1275	6	"see if" should be "then if".
917	1277	5	Last word "defendant" should be "defendants".
918	1277	32	Place quotation marks before "Thus,".

999 (206)

Item _Eg	the second secon	Line(s)	PROPOSTO CONTROLION
919	1278	2	Place quetation marks after "per cent.".
920	1278	4	Place quotation marks at beginning of line.
921	1278	5	Place quotation marks at end of paragraph.
923	1278	13	Change comma to period after the third word "Mobilization.".
923	1278	13	Place community first word "(good)unlly,".
934	1276	26	"all Earbon with" should be "all Farbon products with".
925	1279	28	Delete as repetition last four words
936	1278	29	Incert "of the Gorman," after "18",
937	1279	21	Delute "received" before "the plant londer".
988	1279	28	Telete quotation marks after "Grechoslovakia" and before "it was clear".
939	1279	29	"would" should be "could",
940	1281	31	"Procedution" should be "Defense".
941	1283	26	"stated probably" should be "stated per- fectly",
942	1383	29	"in the seze case" should be "in seme cases".
943	1884	7	"Affidavit" should be "Affidavits".
944	1284	21,	"Mr. Prosecution" should be "Mr. Prosecutor,".
945	1284	31	Insert "of the German." After "7".
946	1285	24	Insert "of the German." after "41".

Item Ec.	Tremusrip Page	t _ Line(s)	LPHOPOSED CONSCITION
947	1385	25	Delote "The date -". Begin now senterca
			with "On the".
wis.			
948	1287	5	Insert the following before the
			quotation in line 5:
Qui.			And en page 40, your Honors, which is
			page 49 of the German, on the top of the
			Poest.
949	1287	- 5	"auturky" should be "auturchy".
950	1287	10	"in cliebed" should be "it climbed".
951	1287	24	"page 40" should be "page 56".
953	1287	27	"I would not" should be "I would now".
953	1289	19	Add "of the German," after "113" at end
			of line.
954	1290	17	First word "ir" should be "is".
955	1291	12	"you hit 1936," chould be "when you hit
			1936.9.
956	1201	81	Delete quetation sarks at end of line.
957.	1593	11	Insert "of the German," after "197".
958	1294	6	Delete quarrion marks before "in spite of".
959	1294	32	"sock-piled" should be "stock-piled".
_960	1297	, 9	"filing" should be "filling".
961	1299	15	"Supply Room," should be "Supply Rooms,",
962	1299	19	Delate quotation warks before "Wife's",
			- 51 -

999 (208)

item No.	Termsocips	Ling(s)	PROPOSED_CCRRECTION
983	1350	14	"Draugh" should be "Krauch",
964	1301	14	"s nd linkal Company" should be "Mord
			Whokel Company".
965	1303	25	"The Court respectfully" chould be "The
			Court is respectfully".
966	1305	22	"says that in 1936" should be "who says
			that in 1936".
967	1309	23	Place period after "co-defendant." Bogin
			new sentence with lest word "If".
968	1313	24	Delote quotation marks before "stated that".
969	1319	28	"it fully mord." whould be "if fully used.".
970	1319	29	"sinterent" should be "sentence".
971	1320	7	"KRT," should be "K Bi,",
972	1323	22	"WIFT." should be "WIFO.".
973	1324	5	"Firtschmithiche" should be "Virtschmitliche".
974	1325	9	Delete last word "the",
978	1337	7	"foreign required" should be "foreign ex-
			charge required".
976	1337	10 -	"endercrosz" should be "underscores".
977	1339	14	Change "Intter remark" to read "last remark
			removed".
978	1330	21	Place quotation marks after "markets."
			Delete quotation marks before "This".

- 52 -499 (209)

Item No	Transcript	Liga(s) _	PROPOSED_CORRECTION
979	1333	23	"May be inquire" should be "May we inquire".
980	1335	11	Last three words "the so choose," should be "they so choose.".
981	1337	7	Change period after "SI-9754" to comma
982	1337	13	"Poglish" should be "German".
983	1337	14	"Germon," should be "English,".

By:

D. A. SPERCHER Chief, Farben Trial Teem

Muernbergt 13 February 1946

Date

For: TPLFCRD TAYLOR
Brig. Gen. UPA
Chief of Counsel

MICROCOPY BBB

ROLL

